ISSN 0735-1097/\$36.00 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2012.02.055

Heart Failure

Effect of Endurance Training on the Determinants of Peak Exercise Oxygen Consumption in Elderly Patients With Stable Compensated Heart Failure and Preserved Ejection Fraction

Mark J. Haykowsky, PHD,* Peter H. Brubaker, PHD,† Kathryn P. Stewart, RDMS,‡ Timothy M. Morgan, PHD,§ Joel Eggebeen, MS,‡ Dalane W. Kitzman, MD‡ Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; and Winston-Salem, North Carolina

Objectives	The purpose of this study was to evaluate the mechanisms for improved exercise capacity after endurance exer- cise training (ET) in elderly patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction (HFPEF).
Background	Exercise intolerance, measured objectively by reduced peak oxygen consumption (VO ₂), is the primary chronic symptom in HFPEF and is improved by ET. However, the mechanisms are unknown.
Methods	Forty stable, compensated HFPEF outpatients (mean age 69 \pm 6 years) were examined at baseline and after 4 months of ET (n = 22) or attention control (n = 18). The VO ₂ and its determinants were assessed during rest and peak upright cycle exercise.
Results	After ET, peak VO ₂ in those patients was higher than in control patients (16.3 \pm 2.6 ml/kg/min vs. 13.1 \pm 3.4 ml/kg/min; p = 0.002). That was associated with higher peak heart rate (139 \pm 16 beats/min vs. 131 \pm 20 beats/min; p = 0.03), but no difference in peak end-diastolic volume (77 \pm 18 ml vs. 77 \pm 17 ml; p = 0.51), stroke volume (48 \pm 9 ml vs. 46 \pm 9 ml; p = 0.83), or cardiac output (6.6 \pm 1.3 l/min vs. 5.9 \pm 1.5 l/min; p = 0.32). However, estimated peak arterial-venous oxygen difference was significantly higher in ET patients (19.8 \pm 4.0 ml/dl vs. 17.3 \pm 3.7 ml/dl; p = 0.03). The effect of ET on cardiac output was responsible for only 16% of the improvement in peak VO ₂ .
Conclusions	In elderly stable compensated HFPEF patients, peak arterial-venous oxygen difference was higher after ET and was the primary contributor to improved peak VO ₂ . This finding suggests that peripheral mechanisms (improved microvascular and/or skeletal muscle function) contribute to the improved exercise capacity after ET in HFPEF. (Prospective Aerobic Reconditioning Intervention Study [PARIS]; NCT01113840) (J Am Coll Cardiol 2012;60: 120-8) © 2012 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Approximately 50% or more of heart failure (HF) patients living in the community have HF with preserved left

ventricular ejection fraction (HFPEF), and the proportion is higher among women and the very elderly (1,2). As in patients with HF and reduced EF (HFREF), the primary chronic symptoms in HFPEF, even when well compensated, are those of severe exercise intolerance (3-8) dyspnea on exertion and exertional fatigue—and reduced quality of life (4). However, the pathophysiology of exercise intolerance in this large and growing group of patients is not well understood, and there are relatively few data regarding its treatment (9).

See page 129

Exercise intolerance can be objectively measured as reduced peak exercise oxygen consumption (VO_2) by expired gas analysis, a technique that is valid and reproducible,

From the *Faculty of Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada; †Department of Health and Exercise Science, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; ‡Department of Internal Medicine, Sections on Cardiology and Geriatrics, Wake Forest University Health Sciences, Winston-Salem, North Carolina; and the §Division of Public Health Sciences, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, North Carolina. This study was supported by the following research grants: National Institutes of Health (NIH) Grants R37 AG18915 and RO1AG12257; the Claude D. Pepper Older Americans Independence Center of Wake Forest University NIH Grant P30AG21332; and the General Clinical Research Center of Wake Forest University School of Medicine Grant MO1R07122. Dr. Kitzman is a consultant to Novartis; Relyos; Boston Scientific; Abbott; and Gilead; and declares a minor (<\$10,000) potential conflict of interest as a consultant for Boston Scientific Corporation. All other authors have reported they have no relationships relevant to the contents of this paper to disclose.

Manuscript received December 7, 2011; revised manuscript received February 3, 2012, accepted February 6, 2012.

including in elderly patients with HFPEF (10,11). In accordance with the Fick equation, reduced peak VO₂ results from either reduced cardiac output (CO), peripheral arterial-venous oxygen difference (A-VO₂ Diff), or both. Results from several cross-sectional studies have suggested that decreased peak VO₂ in elderly HFPEF patients is due to reduced peak CO secondary to blunted chronotropic, inotropic, and vasodilator reserve (6,7), whereas others have suggested that it is due to reductions in both peak CO and A-VO₂ Diff (3,12,13) or primarily due to reduced peak A-VO₂ Diff secondary to impaired skeletal muscle oxidative metabolism (14).

Many studies have reported that endurance exercise training improves peak VO₂ in patients with HFREF (15-18), and that this improvement results from favorable changes in cardiac (15,19-21), peripheral vascular (19), and skeletal muscle function (20,22-24), that increase oxygen delivery to and utilization by the active muscles (i.e., increased A-VO₂ Diff). In contrast, there have been only 4 studies of exercise training in patients with HFPEF (25-28). In our recent report of the first randomized, controlled, single-blind trial of exercise training in elderly patients with HFPEF (26), we found that 4 months of endurance exercise training significantly increased peak VO₂, and this was recently confirmed in a multicenter trial (28). However, the mechanisms responsible for the improvement in peak VO₂ with exercise training in HFPEF have not been examined. That can be challenging as it requires simultaneous measurement of VO_2 and its determinants during peak exercise (29). Thus, the aim of this study was to evaluate the acute cardiovascular and metabolic responses during upright cycle exercise before and after 4 months of endurance exercise training in elderly patients with chronic HFPEF. Our goal was to determine the mechanisms of the improvement in peak VO₂ after exercise training, and particularly the relative contributions of the components of the Fick equation, CO, and $A-VO_2$ Diff.

Methods

Study design and subjects. The study design, subjects, and inclusion criteria have previously been described (4,26). Briefly, HFPEF patients were recruited by review of clinic visits and hospital discharge records from Wake Forest Medical Center. The subjects in this report are a subset of those from our recently reported randomized, single-blind trial of exercise training (26) who had adequate acoustic windows for the evaluation of left ventricular (LV) volumes during upright rest and cycle exercise by 2-dimensional echocardiographic imaging. At baseline, subjects performed a cardiopulmonary exercise test with 2-dimensional echocardiography and were then randomly assigned to either 4 months of endurance exercise training or attention control. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing protocol. Exercise testing was performed as previously described in the upright position on an electrically braked cycle ergometer with expired

Abbreviations

gas analysis (CPX-2000, Med-Graphics, Minneapolis, Minnesota) (4,26). The initial power output was set at 12.5 W for 2 min, increased to 25 W for 3 min, followed thereafter by 25 W increments every 3 min until volitional exhaustion. Peak VO₂ was calculated as the highest oxygen consumed over the last 30 s of peak exercise (4,26).

Rest and exercise echocardiography. Resting and exercise echocardiograms were performed as previously described (4,13,26) by an experienced, registered echosonographer using a Philips Sonos

and Acronyms
ANCOVA = analysis of covariance
A-VO₂ Diff = arterial-venous oxygen difference
CO = cardiac output
HF = heart failure
HFPEF = heart failure and preserved ejection fraction
HFREF = heart failure and reduced ejection fraction
LV = left ventricular
VO_2 = exercise oxygen

consumption

5500 (Andover, Massachusetts) ultrasound imaging system fitted with multifrequency transducer. Standard 2-dimensional images were obtained in the parasternal long-axis and shortaxis views and apical 4- and 2-chamber views. During exercise, the sonographer focused on capture of optimal apical 4-chamber views for LV volume assessment (13). Left ventricular end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes were calculated using the single plane ellipsoid apical 4-chamber area-length method (30,31) by a second experienced echosonographer who did not participate in image acquisition and who was blinded to the subject's randomization group allocation (31). Adequate images for evaluation of LV volumes were defined as a well-aligned LV without apical foreshortening and with the ventricular endocardial contours well visualized for tracing (30,32). All results were averaged from 3 single-beat digital cineloops (4,13). We have previously validated 2-dimensional echocardiographic volume measurements of end-diastolic volume against end-diastolic volume derived from radionuclide angiography (Fick stroke volume divided by radionuclide angiography ejection fraction) in elderly persons and reported excellent day-to-day reproducibility and intraobserver and interobserver variability (13).

Continuous heart rate and noninvasive blood pressure (cuff sphygmomanometer) were acquired at rest and exercise. Stroke volume, CO, and systemic vascular resistance were derived from standard equations (13). The A-VO₂ Diff was calculated by using the Fick equation (VO₂ divided by CO) (13). Circulatory power was calculated as VO₂ times systolic blood pressure (33).

Endurance exercise training. As previously described, medically supervised endurance exercise training was performed 3 days a week for 4 months, during which time the exercise intensity progressively increased from 40% to 70% heart rate reserve (26). Exercise training sessions consisted of walking on a track and cycling on a Schwinn Airdyne (Louisville, Colorado) for up to as much as 60 min per session including warm up and cool down. Any missed sessions were made up so all subjects completed a minimum of 40 of 48 training sessions (26).

Attention control group. The attention control subjects were contacted every 2 weeks, during which time the conversations focused on study retention, reminders, and encouragement regarding attendance of upcoming study visits, and collection information on any new medical events they experienced since prior contact (26). The subjects were not provided with information regarding exercise.

Statistical analysis. Comparison of the baseline characteristics of the attention control and endurance exercise trained randomized groups were made by showing the mean \pm SD, and were tested using the 2-sample *t* test for continuous data and percentages and tested using Fisher's exact test for dichotomous data or the chi-square test for categorical data.

Testing for the statistical significance of the effect of the exercise training was performed by analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) using the 4-month follow-up value of each outcome with the baseline measure of that outcome as a covariate. The assumption of normality of the residuals and equal variances for the ANCOVA model were checked. Because peak VO₂ was positively skewed, analyses of this variable were based on logarithmic transformation. A mediation analysis was performed to estimate the effect of exercise training on peak CO and its relative contribution to the change in peak VO_2 after exercise training (34). The mediation analysis consisted of fitting 3 ANCOVA models as follows: **Model 1.** $VO_2 = a_1 + b_1 BVO_2 + c_1 EX$, where BVO_2 is baseline peak VO₂ and EX is an indicator indicating being assigned to the exercise training group. The coefficient c_1 estimates the overall (or total) effect on peak VO₂ of randomization to the exercise group.

Model 2. Mediator = $a_2 + b_2 BVO_2 + c_2 EX + d_2 VO_2$. If EX does not have an independent effect on the mediator, then the variable cannot be a significant mediator for VO₂. Assuming it is, then:

Model 3. $VO_2 = a_3 + b_3BVO_2 + c_3EX + d_4Mediator.$ The coefficient c_3 is an estimate of the independent effect of EX on peak VO₂ not accounted for by the effect of EX on the mediator and its association with peak VO₂. The mediation effect is estimated by c_1 minus c_3 . The percent of the effect EX had on peak VO₂ that was explained by the mediator is expressed as: $(1 - c_3/c_1) \times 100\%$. Goodman's unbiased estimated of the standard error was used (34).

All statistical tests were performed at the 5% 2-sided level of significance.

Results

Baseline characteristics. As previously reported (26), a total of 46 participants completed the 4-month study (24 randomized to endurance exercise training and 22 randomized to attention control). Among these, there were 40 subjects (22 endurance exercise trained and 18 attention control) who had adequate rest and exercise echocardiographic images for the determination of LV volumes during

Table 1 Patient Characteristics

Characteristic	Exercise (n = 22)	Control (n = 18)	p Value
Age, yrs	70 ± 6	68 ± 5	0.43
Women	18 (82)	17 (94)	0.36
Caucasian	20 (91)	14 (78)	0.38
Body weight, kg	79 ± 17	79 ± 17	0.94
BSA, m ²	$\textbf{1.85} \pm \textbf{0.21}$	$\textbf{1.80} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	0.44
BMI, kg/m ²	$\textbf{29.6} \pm \textbf{5.7}$	$\textbf{31.3} \pm \textbf{7.1}$	0.40
NYHA functional class			
II	14 (64)	12 (67)	1.0
Ш	8 (36)	6 (33)	1.0
Diastolic function			
Normal	5 (25)	3 (17)	0.70
Abnormal relaxation	13 (65)	11 (61)	1.0
Pseudonormal	2 (10)	4 (22)	0.39
History of pulmonary edema	2 (9)	5 (28)	0.21
Diabetes mellitus	2 (9)	4 (22)	0.38
History of hypertension	18 (82)	13 (72)	0.71
Current medication			
ACE inhibitors	7 (32)	3 (17)	0.46
Digoxin	4 (18)	3 (17)	1.0
Diuretics	12 (55)	11 (61)	1.0
Beta-blockers	7 (32)	3 (17)	0.46
Calcium antagonists	10 (45)	8 (44)	1.0
Nitrates	2 (9)	0 (0)	0.49

Values are mean \pm SD or count (%).

ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; BMI = body mass index; BSA = body surface area; NYHA = New York Heart Association.

rest and exercise, and these subjects are the focus of the present report. There were no significant baseline differences in key demographic or clinical characteristics between the 2 treatment groups (Table 1). Further, there were no significant differences in any key variables in the subset of subjects in this report compared to the larger trial including age (69 years vs. 71 years, p = 0.24); body mass index (30.4 vs. 30.4, p = 0.93), weight (79.4 kg vs. 79.2 kg, p = 0.97), and percent female (88% vs. 85%, p = 1.0).

Effects of endurance training on variables obtained at rest. There were no significant differences between groups after the 4-month intervention in resting heart rate, end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, stroke volume, CO, systolic, diastolic, or mean arterial blood pressures, systemic vascular resistance, or estimate A-VO₂ Diff (Tables 2 and 3).

Effects of endurance training on peak exercise variables. Peak VO₂ was significantly higher after 4 months of endurance exercise training compared to attention control (16.3 \pm 2.6 ml/kg/min vs. 13.1 \pm 3.4 ml/kg/min) (Table 2). The change in peak VO₂ and heart rate for the subjects in this study (+2.3 ml/kg/min and +6 beats/min, respectively) was similar to that previously reported for the entire cohort of endurance exercise trained subjects (26). The respiratory exchange ratio was similar between groups (1.15 \pm 0.09 vs. 1.13 \pm 0.11; p =0.18), and in both was >1.10, indicating an exhaustive level of effort. Peak and reserve (peak exercise minus rest) heart rate were significantly higher after 4 months of endurance training compared to attention conTable 2 Re

Rest and Peak Exercise Oxygen Consumption and its Determinant at Baseline and Follow-Up After Exercise Training

		Rest			Peak Exercise			Reserve (Peak-Rest)		
Variable	Group	Baseline	Follow-Up	p Value	Baseline	Follow-Up	p Value	Baseline	Follow-Up	p Value
V0 _{2,} ml/min	CON	$\textbf{261} \pm \textbf{51}$	256 ± 59	0.68	998 ± 211	997 ± 255	0.003	$\textbf{735} \pm \textbf{207}$	$\textbf{734} \pm \textbf{230}$	0.001
	EX	$\textbf{289} \pm \textbf{100}$	$\textbf{271} \pm \textbf{55}$		$\textbf{1095} \pm \textbf{250}$	1,285 \pm 313		806 ± 226	1,014 \pm 286	
VO ₂ , ml/kg/min	CON	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	0.96	$\textbf{12.9} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	$\textbf{13.1} \pm \textbf{3.4}$	0.002	$\textbf{9.4} \pm \textbf{2.6}$	$\textbf{9.5}\pm\textbf{3.0}$	<0.001
	EX	$\textbf{3.8} \pm \textbf{1.4}$	$\textbf{3.5} \pm \textbf{0.8}$		$\textbf{14.0} \pm \textbf{2.5}$	$\textbf{16.3} \pm \textbf{2.6}$		$\textbf{10.3} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{12.8} \pm \textbf{2.4}$	
Heart rate, beats/min	CON	77 ± 13	74 ± 13	0.62	$\textbf{133} \pm \textbf{22}$	$\textbf{131} \pm \textbf{20}$	0.03	56 ± 17	57 ± 17	0.01
	EX	75 ± 17	71 ± 16		$\textbf{133} \pm \textbf{21}$	$\textbf{139} \pm \textbf{16}$		59 ± 19	69 ± 17	
End-diastolic volume, ml	CON	70 ± 22	70 ± 22	0.41	76 ± 22	77 ± 17	0.51	6 ± 7	8 ± 9	0.20
	EX	71 ± 22	72 ± 20		79 ± 25	$\textbf{77} \pm \textbf{18}$		9 ± 9	5 ± 9	
End-systolic volume, ml	CON	$\textbf{31} \pm \textbf{14}$	31 ± 15	0.61	31 ± 16	31 ± 13	0.58	0 ± 5	0 ± 4	0.98
	EX	30 ± 12	30 ± 11		30 ± 13	30 ± 11		0 ± 5	0 ± 6	
Stroke volume, ml	CON	$\textbf{39} \pm \textbf{11}$	$\textbf{39} \pm \textbf{10}$	0.059	$\textbf{45} \pm \textbf{10}$	46 ± 9	0.83	6 ± 5	8 ± 7	0.09
	EX	41 ± 12	$\textbf{43} \pm \textbf{10}$		$\textbf{49} \pm \textbf{13}$	$\textbf{48} \pm \textbf{9}$		8 ± 8	5 ± 5	
Cardiac output, l/min	CON	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	$\textbf{2.8} \pm \textbf{0.7}$	0.24	5.9 ± 1.4	$\textbf{5.9} \pm \textbf{1.5}$	0.32	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{0.9}$	$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{1.1}$	0.56
	EX	$\textbf{3.1} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	$\textbf{3.0} \pm \textbf{0.8}$		$\textbf{6.5} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	$\textbf{6.6} \pm \textbf{1.3}$		$\textbf{3.4} \pm \textbf{1.6}$	$\textbf{3.8} \pm \textbf{1.0}$	
Circulatory power,	CON	492 ± 133	478 ± 180	0.65	2,337 ± 525	2,295 ± 687	0.002	1,846 ± 523	1,817 ± 608	<0.001
ml/kg/min∙mm Hg	EX	546 ± 172	484 ± 102		2,609 ± 643	3,080 ± 712		2,084 ± 592	2,596 ± 670	
A-VO ₂ Diff, ml/dl	CON	8.6 ± 2.0	9.2 ± 2.3	0.99	17.4 ± 3.9	17.3 ± 3.7	0.03	8.2 ± 3.0	7.2 ± 3.3	0.01
	EX	9.7 ± 2.7	9.8 ± 2.7		17.7 ± 4.4	19.8 ± 4.0		8.3 ± 4.2	10.5 ± 4.2	

Values are mean ± SD. The p value represents comparison between the groups of the follow-up least-square means after adjustment for baseline.

A-VO2 DIff = arterial-venous oxygen difference; CON = attention control; EX= endurance exercise training; Grp = group assignment; VO2 = exercise oxygen consumption.

trols (139 \pm 16 beats/min vs. 131 \pm 20 beats/min, p = 0.03; and 69 \pm 17 beats/min vs. 57 \pm 17 beats/min, p = 0.01, respectively).

After 4 months of endurance training, there were no significant differences between groups for peak exercise LV end-diastolic volume (77 \pm 18 ml vs. 77 \pm 17 ml, p = 0.51), end-systolic volume (30 \pm 11 ml vs. 31 \pm 13 ml, p = 0.58), stroke volume (48 \pm 9 ml vs. 46 \pm 9 ml, p = 0.83), CO (6.6 \pm 1.3 l/min vs. 5.9 \pm 1.5 l/min, p = 0.32), systolic (187 \pm 22 mm Hg vs. 178 \pm 28 mm Hg, p = 0.19), diastolic (89 \pm 10 mm Hg vs. 84 \pm 8 mm Hg, p = 0.43) or mean arterial pressures (122 \pm 12 mm Hg vs. 116 \pm 14 mm Hg, p = 0.22), or systemic vascular resistance (1,499 \pm 303 dyns/s/cm⁵ vs. 1631 \pm 440 dyns/s/cm⁵, p = 0.32

(Tables 2 and 3, Fig. 1). The percent reduction in systemic vascular resistance from rest to peak exercise in exercise group compared to controls was also unchanged after training (44 \pm 13% vs. 45 \pm 14%, p = 0.46). However, estimated peak and reserve A-VO₂ Diff were significantly greater after endurance exercise training compared to attention controls (19.8 \pm 4.0 ml/dl vs. 17.3 \pm 3.7 ml/dl, p = 0.03; and 10.5 \pm 4.2 ml/dl vs. 7.2 \pm 3.3 ml/dl, p = 0.01) (Table 2, Fig. 1). Finally, peak and reserve circulatory power were significantly greater after endurance of CO and A-VO₂Diff to the improvement in peak exercise VO₂ after endurance training. We performed a mediation analysis to: 1) estimate the effect of endurance exercise training on peak exercise VO₂;

Table 3 Rest and Peak Exercise	Hemodynar	nics at Baseline a	nd After Exercise	e Training			
Hemodynamics	Rest			Peak Exercise			
Variable	Group	Baseline	Follow-Up	p Value	Baseline	Follow-Up	p Value
Systolic blood pressure, mm Hg	CON	146 ± 22	$\textbf{140} \pm \textbf{21}$	0.49	$\textbf{184} \pm \textbf{25}$	$\textbf{178} \pm \textbf{28}$	0.19
	EX	$\textbf{147} \pm \textbf{20}$	$\textbf{138} \pm \textbf{16}$		$\textbf{186} \pm \textbf{29}$	$\textbf{187} \pm \textbf{22}$	
Diastolic blood pressure, mm Hg	CON	80 ± 9	78 ± 9	0.89	84 ± 9	84 ± 8	0.43
	EX	82 ± 7	80 ± 10		88 ± 9	$\textbf{89} \pm \textbf{10}$	
Mean arterial pressure, mm Hg	CON	$\textbf{102} \pm \textbf{12}$	99 ± 13	0.77	$\textbf{117} \pm \textbf{14}$	$\textbf{116} \pm \textbf{14}$	0.22
	EX	104 ± 9	99 ± 10		$\textbf{121} \pm \textbf{13}$	$\textbf{122} \pm \textbf{12}$	
Systemic vascular resistance, dyn·s/cm5	CON	$\textbf{2,824} \pm \textbf{941}$	$\textbf{2,929} \pm \textbf{654}$	0.24	$\textbf{1,632} \pm \textbf{374}$	$\textbf{1,631} \pm \textbf{440}$	0.32
	EX	$\textbf{2,973} \pm \textbf{1,009}$	$\textbf{2,784} \pm \textbf{753}$		$\textbf{1,569} \pm \textbf{417}$	$\textbf{1,}\textbf{499} \pm \textbf{303}$	
Pulse pressure, mm Hg	CON	66 ± 17	62 ± 15	0.28	99 ± 19	94 ± 24	0.26
	EX	65 ± 18	58 ± 12		97 ± 25	99 ± 19	
Pulse pressure/stroke volume, mm Hg/ml	CON	$\textbf{1.83} \pm \textbf{0.77}$	$\textbf{1.72} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	0.053	$\textbf{2.32} \pm \textbf{0.78}$	$\textbf{2.11} \pm \textbf{0.56}$	0.41
	EX	$\textbf{1.68} \pm \textbf{0.57}$	$\textbf{1.42} \pm \textbf{0.42}$		$\textbf{2.08} \pm \textbf{0.68}$	$\textbf{2.07} \pm \textbf{0.55}$	

Values are mean \pm SD. The p value represents comparison of least-square means at final visit after adjustment for baseline values. Abbreviations as in Table 1.



2) estimate the contribution of CO to the increase in peak exercise VO₂ resulting from endurance training; and 3) estimate the contribution of factors other than CO to the increase in peak VO₂ from endurance training. Based on ANCOVA, endurance training increased peak VO₂ by 19.8% compared to attention control. Considering CO as a mediator of the effect of training on peak VO₂, a model estimating the effect on peak VO₂ while controlling for CO indicated that the magnitude of the effect of training on peak VO₂ that was explained by its effect on CO was 3.2% (or in relative terms 3.2/19.8 = 16% of the total improvement in peak VO₂). Thus, 84% (16.6/19.8 = 84%) of the training-related improvement in peak VO₂ was due to factors other than cardiac output (i.e., improved A-VO₂ Diff).

Discussion

In the growing population of elderly persons, HFPEF is the most common form of HF (1,2). Exercise intolerance is the primary manifestation in outpatients with chronic HFPEF even when patients are stable and compensated; however, its pathophysiology and treatment are not well understood (9). This study evaluated the effect of 4 months of endurance exercise training on cardiovascular and metabolic responses

to acute cycle exercise in older HFPEF patients. The main new finding of this study was that increased peak exercise $A-VO_2$ Diff estimated from the Fick equation was the primary contributor to the increase in peak VO_2 that resulted from endurance exercise training, whereas peak exercise stroke volume and CO were not significantly changed (Table 2, Fig. 1). These findings provide potential insight into the pathophysiology of exercise intolerance in HFPEF and the design of future treatments specifically focused on addressing it.

Although there have been many reports of the effect of exercise training in patients with HFREF (18,35–38), nearly all of which have shown substantial improvements in exercise capacity, to date there have been only 4 reported studies of exercise training in patients with HFPEF (25–28). A critical question in such studies is the mechanisms underlying the training-related improvement in exercise capacity. However, this requires measurement of VO₂ and its determinants during peak exercise, which can be challenging in humans (9,29). As a result, a relatively small number of the training studies in HFREF patients have been able to examine this question (15–17,19,21,22,39,40), and ours is the first to do so in elderly patients with stable compensated HFPEF.

Given that there are no comparable data on the determinants of the training-related improvement in exercise capacity in HFPEF, a review of data in HFREF patients is instructive. In HFREF patients, Hambrecht et al. (19,22) reported that 6 months of endurance exercise training increased peak exercise heart rate and CO. In contrast, Sullivan et al. (16) and others (17,39,40) reported no significant change in peak stroke volume and CO after endurance exercise training in HFREF patients, and that increased peak A-VO2 Diff measured invasively by direct oximetry was the major contributor to improved exercise capacity. Dubach et al. (21) found that 2 months of high-intensity endurance training improved both peak exercise CO and A-VO₂ Diff in HFREF patients. Taken together, studies performed in HFREF patients suggest that the increased peak VO2 after 2 to 6 months of endurance exercise training is due to increased peak CO and/or A-VO₂ Diff. When present, increased peak CO was due primarily to increased peak heart rate as peak stroke volume was not significantly increased after training in any of these studies in HFREF patients (16,17,19-21,40,41).

These prior investigations in patients with HFREF already discussed (16,17,39) and others involving healthy subjects (42-44), including several that measured peak A-VO₂ Diff by direct oximetry, support the plausibility of our results indicating that the improvement in peak exercise VO₂ in elderly HFPEF patients after 4 months of endurance training was due primarily to an increased peak A-VO₂ Diff. Of note, this is contrary to our a priori hypothesis during the design of the trial. We had hypothesized, on the basis of our earlier report in a small number of patients (3), that increased peak LV stroke volume and cardiac output would be the primary contributors to an endurance training related increase in peak VO2. However, our recently published cross-sectional analysis of the baseline exercise test results indicated that the strongest determinant of the severely reduced exercise capacity in HFPEF patients compared to age-matched, healthy control subjects was reduced peak A-VO₂ Diff (13). It is noteworthy that even in our early cross-sectional comparison study, an unheralded finding was that A-VO₂ Diff, measured invasively by direct oximetry, was impaired during exercise in HFPEF patients (3), an observation recently confirmed by Bhella et al. (14). Thus, 3 published studies from 3 separate laboratories, using 3 different techniques, have shown that at baseline before exercise training, HFPEF patients have reduced peak exercise A-VO₂ Diff and this contributes to their reduced peak VO₂. To measure peak A-VO₂ Diff, 1 used direct oximetry, 1 used the Fick technique with acetylene rebreathing measurements of cardiac output, and 1 used the Fick technique with cardiac output measured by 2-dimensional echocardiography volumes (3,13,14).

The finding of increased peak A-VO₂ Diff indicates that after exercise training there was an improvement in either diffusive oxygen transport by improved peripheral vascular, microvascular function and/or increased oxygen utilization by the active muscles. The available data do not allow us to discern which of these was operational in the present study. In HFREF patients, peripheral arterial dilation is impaired and improves after exercise training (45). However, we have previously reported that resting and flow-mediated increases in leg blood flow in elderly HFPEF patients are not significantly impaired and are similar to those of agematched healthy subjects (5). We and others have shown in cross-sectional analyses that arterial stiffness is markedly increased in HFPEF patients and is related to their reduced exercise capacity (6,46,47). In the present study, we did not perform formal measurements of arterial stiffness at rest or during exercise. However, after exercise training peak and the percent change in systemic vascular resistance were unchanged and the ratio of pulse pressure to stroke volume, a crude measure of arterial stiffness, although borderline reduced at rest (p = 0.053) (Table 3), was not different at peak exercise. This finding suggests that the increase in peak A-VO₂ Diff may be due to improved microvascular function and/or skeletal muscle adaptations that results in increased oxygen utilization by the active muscles.

The suggestion that improved skeletal muscle adaptations may have contributed to the training-related improvement in peak VO₂ is supported by a variety of other data. Several cross-sectional studies have shown that HFREF patients and healthy elderly subjects have alterations in skeletal muscle bulk, composition, and function that correlate with their reduced exercise capacity (48-51). Bhella et al. (14) also recently reported that elderly HFPEF patients have impaired skeletal muscle oxidative metabolism. Studies involving a wide variety of animal models and human subjects indicate that skeletal muscle has a large capacity for favorable remodeling induced by exercise. Most relevant to elderly HFPEF patients are several studies of HFREF patients and of healthy elderly subjects that have demonstrated that exercise training produces a variety of favorable skeletal muscle adaptations, including increased percent oxidative fibers (22), oxidative enzyme activity (20,22–24,50), and capillary density (23). Further studies will be required to determine the specific vascular and/or skeletal muscle mechanisms underlying the finding in the present study of a trainingmediated increase in peak A-VO₂ Diff in HFPEF patients.

The results of prior mechanistic studies of endurance training in patients with HFREF (16,17) and in healthy elderly subjects (42,44) also support the plausibility of our results, indicating that endurance exercise training did not significantly enhance peak exercise left ventricular end-diastolic volume, end-systolic volume, or stroke volume in elderly HFPEF patients. As seen in patients with HFREF (22,41), we found that training did increase peak heart rate, representing a possible mitigation of the chronotropic incompetence that was described by our group (3,29,52) and subsequently confirmed by others (6,7) in patients with HFPEF. However, the increased peak exercise heart rate did not translate into a significant training-related increase in peak exercise CO.

The lack of an increase in peak exercise stroke volume with endurance exercise training may be related to sexrelated differences in the effect of endurance exercise training on cardiac function. Specifically, Spina et al. (42,43) reported that the increase in peak VO₂ after endurance training in healthy elderly men was primarily due to an augmented peak stroke volume and CO and to a lesser extent to increased A-VO2 Diff. In contrast, the increased peak VO₂ in healthy elderly women was due entirely to an increase in peak A-VO₂ Diff as peak exercise stroke volume, heart rate, and CO were unchanged after training (42,43). Of note, nearly all of the HFREF patients in prior mechanistic exercise trials were men (18), whereas the majority of patients in the present study of HFPEF were women, reflecting the sex distribution of HFPEF in the general population (2).

The intensity of the prescribed training program can also impact rest and exercise cardiovascular function. Wisloff et al. (53) reported that high-intensity interval aerobic training was superior to continuous moderate intensity endurance exercise training for improving resting ejection fraction, peripheral vascular function, and skeletal muscle mitochondrial biogenesis in elderly men with HRFEF. Nechwatal et al. (40) found that short-term high-intensity aerobic interval training significantly increased peak exercise stroke volume and CO and reduced systemic vascular resistance but there was no change in these outcomes after moderate intensity continuous endurance training in patients with HFREF. Thus, we cannot exclude the possibility that high-intensity aerobic interval training could result in significant improvements in peak exercise cardiac function in HFPEF.

The type of exercise training can also impact results. Our study utilized endurance training only. However, resistance training has been shown to significantly increase skeletal muscle mass and strength as well as improve aerobic capacity specifically in elderly men and elderly women (54,55). Our observations regarding the contribution of peripheral mechanisms to the training-related improvements in exercise capacity suggest that this modality should be specifically examined in future training studies of elderly HFPEF patients.

Cohen-Solal et al. (33) previously reported that peak exercise circulatory power was the single best predictor of survival in HFREF patients. Specifically, over a 25-month follow-up period, HFREF patients with a peak circulatory power >3,047 mm Hg had significantly greater event-free survival compared to HFREF patients whose circulatory power was below this value. Our finding that 16 weeks of endurance training increased peak circulatory power above this threshold value may have important prognostic implications for elderly HFPEF patients.

Study limitations. Two-dimensional echocardiography can systematically underestimate LV volumes, so our rest and peak exercise CO values may have been underestimated (30,31,56). However, our baseline peak CO values are

similar to that reported by Ennezat et al. (8) in older HFPEF patients during cycle exercise at a similar peak power output to our subjects, although they are slightly lower than the peak exercise values reported by Borlaug et al. (6) in HFPEF patients.

The A-VO₂ Diff was not measured by direct oximetry. Although desirable, this would have involved invasive catheterization of pulmonary and systemic arteries during exhaustive upright exercise at baseline and again at follow-up of elderly outpatients and would have involved significant participant burden and risk. Instead, A-VO2 Diff was estimated using the Fick equation where VO₂ measured by expired gas analysis is divided by measured CO. The Fick technique has been used to calculate A-VO₂ Diff in a number of recent physiologic studies investigating mechanisms of exercise intolerance that have included HFPEF patients (13,14,56-58). Our peak A-VO₂ Diff values were somewhat higher than those reported by others (14,59,60), possibly due to under-estimation of CO. However, the absolute change in peak A-VO₂ Diff with exercise training in our HFPEF patients is similar to that found after exercise training in HFREF patients in studies in which it was measured by direct oximetry using invasively obtained systemic and pulmonary arterial blood samples (16,21). Most importantly, because key variables were measured at all testing times using identical methods in both groups, and because we assessed changes in reserve capacity (rest minus peak values) within individual subjects, comparisons of CO and estimated A-VO₂ Diff between groups are valid.

Although the Doppler indexes at supine rest in the overall group indicated the presence of abnormal LV diastolic filling (13), due to technical limitations including merging of the E-wave and A-wave, these were not measured during upright exercise. Tissue Doppler was not performed; therefore, changes in LV systolic and diastolic function may have occurred that we were unable to detect. However, any physiologically significant changes in this regard may have been expected to impact rest and peak exercise stroke volume, which was not changed after the 4-month training program. Although all patients had normal mitral valve morphology and function at rest, we cannot exclude the possibility that exercise-induced mitral regurgitation could have impacted peak stroke volume. Intracardiac hemodynamics were not measured, as these require invasive techniques that are challenging in studies such as ours that require serial assessments in elderly outpatients and involve significant human research subject risk (57).

Our patients met objective, standardized criteria for heart failure, and had features that closely match patients with HFPEF in other published studies, including in the characteristics of diastolic filling, body mass index, and diuretic use. Because our study involved serial, exhaustive exercise tests and 4 months of exercise training, patients were required to be stable and compensated with no recent hospitalizations or medication changes. Thus, they would be expected to have less severe symptoms and biomarker abnormalities than patients with high rates of recent hospitalization and decompensation. Nevertheless, as recently reviewed by others (61,62), the diagnosis of HFPEF can be difficult and that remains a limitation to this and most HFPEF investigations.

In the mediation analysis, measurement error of cardiac output could potentially introduce positive bias. That would be inversely proportional to the coefficient of variation. The reliability of cardiac output measurement in our laboratory is >90%. Thus, we believe that the potential for positive bias is relatively modest.

Conclusions

The improvement in peak exercise capacity after 4 months of endurance exercise training in elderly, stable, compensated HFPEF patents was due primarily to increased peak $A-VO_2$ Diff. This finding suggests that peripheral vascular, microvascular, and/or skeletal muscle function were improved and resulted in increased diffusive oxygen transport or greater oxygen utilization by the active skeletal muscle.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Dalane W. Kitzman, Wake Forest University, Section on Cardiology, Medical Center Boulevard, Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27157. E-mail: dkitzman@wfubmc.edu.

REFERENCES

- 1. Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2006;355:251–9.
- Kitzman DW, Gardin JM, Gottdiener JS, et al. Importance of heart failure with preserved systolic function in patients ≥65 years of age. CHS Research Group. Cardiovascular Health Study. Am J Cardiol 2001;87:413–9.
- Kitzman DW, Higginbotham MB, Cobb FR, Sheikh KH, Sullivan MJ. Exercise intolerance in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular systolic function: failure of the Frank-Starling mechanism. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:1065–72.
- Kitzman DW, Little WC, Brubaker PH, et al. Pathophysiological characterization of isolated diastolic heart failure in comparison to systolic heart failure. JAMA 2002;288:2144–50.
- Hundley WG, Bayram E, Hamilton CA, et al. Leg flow-mediated arterial dilation in elderly patients with heart failure and normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol 2007; 292:H1427–34.
- Borlaug BA, Olson TP, Lam CS, et al. Global cardiovascular reserve dysfunction in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:845–54.
- Borlaug BA, Melenovsky V, Russell SD, et al. Impaired chronotropic and vasodilator reserves limit exercise capacity in patients with heart failure and a preserved ejection fraction. Circulation 2006;114:2138–47.
- Ennezat PV, Lefetz Y, Marechaux S, et al. Left ventricular abnormal response during dynamic exercise in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction at rest. J Card Fail 2008;14: 475–80.
- Kitzman DW. Understanding results of trials in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: remembering forgotten lessons and enduring principles. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;57:1687–9.
- Bensimhon DR, Leifer ES, Ellis SJ, et al. Reproducibility of peak oxygen uptake and other cardiopulmonary exercise testing parameters in patients with heart failure (from the Heart Failure and a Controlled Trial Investigating Outcomes of Exercise Training). Am J Cardiol 2008;102:712–7.

- Marburger CT, Brubaker PH, Pollock WE, Morgan TM, Kitzman DW. Reproducibility of cardiopulmonary exercise testing in elderly patients with congestive heart failure. Am J Cardiol 1998;82:905–9.
- Maeder MT, Thompson BR, Brunner-La Rocca HP, Kaye DM. Hemodynamic basis of exercise limitation in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2010;56:855–63.
- Haykowsky MJ, Brubaker PH, John JM, Stewart KP, Morgan TM, Kitzman DW. Determinants of exercise intolerance in elderly heart failure patients with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:265–74.
- Bhella PS, Prasad A, Heinicke K, et al. Abnormal hemodynamic response to exercise in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. Euro J Heart Fail 2011;13:1296–304.
- Coats AJ, Adamopoulos S, Radaelli A, et al. Controlled trial of physical training in chronic heart failure. Exercise performance, hemodynamics, ventilation, and autonomic function. Circulation 1992;85:2119-31.
- Sullivan MJ, Higginbotham MB, Cobb FR. Exercise training in patients with severe left ventricular dysfunction. Hemodynamic and metabolic effects. Circulation 1988;78:506–15.
- Belardinelli R, Georgiou D, Cianci G, Purcaro A. Effects of exercise training on left ventricular filling at rest and during exercise in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and severe left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Am Heart J 1996;132:61–70.
- Haykowsky MJ, Liang Y, Pechter D, Jones LW, McAlister FA, Clark AM. A meta-analysis of the effect of exercise training on left ventricular remodeling in heart failure patients: the benefit depends on the type of training performed. J Am Coll Cardiol 2007;49:2329–36.
- Hambrecht R, Gielen S, Linke A, et al. Effects of exercise training on left ventricular function and peripheral resistance in patients with chronic heart failure: a randomized trial. JAMA 2000;283:3095–101.
- Hambrecht R, Niebauer J, Fiehn E, et al. Physical training in patients with stable chronic heart failure: effects on cardiorespiratory fitness and ultrastructural abnormalities of leg muscles. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;25:1239–49.
- Dubach P, Myers J, Dziekan G, et al. Effect of high intensity exercise training on central hemodynamic responses to exercise in men with reduced left ventricular function. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:1591–8.
- Hambrecht R, Fiehn E, Yu J, et al. Effects of endurance training on mitochondrial ultrastructure and fiber type distribution in skeletal muscle of patients with stable chronic heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 1997;29:1067–73.
- Magnusson G, Gordon A, Kaijser L, et al. High intensity knee extensor training in patients with chronic heart failure. Major skeletal muscle improvement. Eur Heart J 1996;17:1048–55.
- 24. Tyni-Lenne R, Gordon A, Jansson E, Bermann G, Sylven C. Skeletal muscle endurance training improves peripheral oxidative capacity, exercise tolerance, and health-related quality of life in women with chronic congestive heart failure secondary to either ischemic cardiomyopathy or idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 1997; 80:1025–9.
- Gary R. Exercise self-efficacy in older women with diastolic heart failure: results of a walking program and education intervention. J Gerontol Nurs 2006;32:31–41.
- Kitzman DW, Brubaker PH, Morgan TM, Stewart KP, Little WC. Exercise training in older patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: a randomized, controlled, single-blind trial. Circ Heart Fail 2010;3:659–67.
- Smart N, Haluska B, Jeffriess L, Marwick TH. Exercise training in systolic and diastolic dysfunction: effects on cardiac function, functional capacity, and quality of life. Am Heart J 2007;153:530–6.
- Edelmann F, Gelbrich G, Dungen HD, et al. Exercise training improves exercise capacity and diastolic function in patients with heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: results of the Ex-DHF (Exercise Training in Diastolic Heart Failure) pilot study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2011;58:1780-91.
- Brubaker PH, Kitzman DW. Chronotropic incompetence: causes, consequences, and management. Circulation 2011;123:1010-20.
- Schiller NB, Shah PM, Crawford M, et al. Recommendations for quantitation of the left ventricle by two-dimensional echocardiography. American Society of Echocardiography Committee on Standards, Subcommittee on Quantitation of Two-Dimensional Echocardiograms. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1989;2:358–67.

- Gottdiener JS, Bednarz J, Devereux R, et al. American Society of Echocardiography recommendations for use of echocardiography in clinical trials. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2004;17:1086–119.
- 32. Kuecherer HF, Kee LL, Modin G, Cheitlin MD, Schiller NB. Echocardiography in serial evaluation of left ventricular systolic and diastolic function: importance of image acquisition, quantitation, and physiologic variability in clinical and investigational applications. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1991;4:203–14.
- 33. Cohen-Solal A, Tabet JY, Logeart D, Bourgoin P, Tokmakova M, Dahan M. A non-invasively determined surrogate of cardiac power ("circulatory power") at peak exercise is a powerful prognostic factor in chronic heart failure. Eur Heart J 2002;23:806–14.
- MacKinnon DP, Lockwood CM, Hoffman JM, West SG, Sheets V. A comparison of methods to test mediation and other intervening variable effects. Psychol Meth 2002;7:83–104.
- O'Connor CM, Whellan DJ, Lee KL, et al. Efficacy and safety of exercise training in patients with chronic heart failure: HF-ACTION randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2009;301:1439–50.
- 36. Van Tol BA, Huijsmans RJ, Kroon DW, Schothorst M, Kwakkel G. Effects of exercise training on cardiac performance, exercise capacity and quality of life in patients with heart failure: a meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail 2006;8:841–50.
- 37. Van der Meer S, Zwerink M, Van Brussel M, Van der Valk P, Wajon E, Van der Palen J. Effect of outpatient exercise training programmes in patients with chronic heart failure: a systematic review. Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil 2011 May 18 [E-pub ahead of print].
- Davies EJ, Moxham T, Rees K, et al. Exercise training for systolic heart failure: Cochrane systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Heart Fail 2010;12:706–15.
- Jette M, Heller R, Landry F, Blumchen G. Randomized 4-week exercise program in patients with impaired left ventricular function. Circulation 1991;84:1561–7.
- Nechwatal RM, Duck C, Gruber G. [Physical training as interval or continuous training in chronic heart failure for improving functional capacity, hemodynamics and quality of life: a controlled study]. Z Kardiol 2002;91:328–37.
- Keteyian SJ, Levine AB, Brawner CA, et al. Exercise training in patients with heart failure. A randomized, controlled trial. Ann Intern Med 1996;124:1051–7.
- 42. Spina RJ, Ogawa T, Miller TR, Kohrt WM, Ehsani AA. Effect of exercise training on left ventricular performance in older women free of cardiopulmonary disease. Am J Cardiol 1993;71:99–104.
- Spina RJ, Ogawa T, Kohrt WM, Martin WH III, Holloszy JO, Ehsani AA. Differences in cardiovascular adaptations to endurance exercise training between older men and women. J Appl Physiol 1993;75:849–55.
- Beere PA, Russell SD, Morey MC, Kitzman DW, Higginbotham MB. Aerobic exercise training can reverse age-related peripheral circulatory changes in healthy older men. Circulation 1999;100:1085–94.
- Hornig B, Maier V, Drexler H. Physical training improves endothelial function in patients with chronic heart failure. Circulation 1996;93: 210-4.
- 46. Kawaguchi M, Hay I, Fetics B, Kass DA. Combined ventricular systolic and arterial stiffening in patients with heart failure and preserved ejection fraction: implications for systolic and diastolic reserve limitations. Circulation 2003;107:714–20.
- Hundley WG, Kitzman DW, Morgan TM, et al. Cardiac cycledependent changes in aortic area and distensibility are reduced in older

patients with isolated diastolic heart failure and correlate with exercise intolerance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:796-802.

- Wilson JR, Mancini DM, Dunkman WB. Exertional fatigue due to skeletal muscle dysfunction in patients with heart failure. Circulation 1993;87:470-5.
- 49. Duscha BD, Kraus WE, Keteyian SJ, et al. Capillary density of skeletal muscle: a contributing mechanism for exercise intolerance in class II-III chronic heart failure independent of other peripheral alterations. J Am Coll Cardiol 1999;33:1956–63.
- Stratton JR, Dunn JF, Adamopoulos S, Kemp GJ, Coats AJ, Rajagopalan B. Training partially reverses skeletal muscle metabolic abnormalities during exercise in heart failure. J Appl Physiol 1994;76:1575–82.
- Sullivan MJ, Green HJ, Cobb FR. Skeletal muscle biochemistry and histology in ambulatory patients with long-term heart failure. Circulation 1990;81:518-27.
- Brubaker PH, Joo KC, Stewart KP, Fray B, Moore B, Kitzman DW. Chronotropic incompetence and its contribution to exercise intolerance in older heart failure patients. J Cardiopulm Rehabil 2006;26: 86–9.
- Wisloff U, Stoylen A, Loennechen JP, et al. Superior cardiovascular effect of aerobic interval training versus moderate continuous training in heart failure patients: a randomized study. Circulation 2007;115: 3086–94.
- Frontera WR, Meredith CN, O'Reilly KP, Evans WJ. Strength training and determinants of VO₂max in older men. J Appl Physiol 1990;68:329–33.
- 55. Haykowsky M, McGavock J, Vonder Muhll I, et al. Effect of exercise training on peak aerobic power, left ventricular morphology, and muscle strength in healthy older women. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2005;60:307–11.
- Tischler MD, Plehn JF. Applications of stress echocardiography: beyond coronary disease. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1995;8:185–97.
- Stickland MK, Welsh RC, Petersen SR, et al. Does fitness level modulate the cardiovascular hemodynamic response to exercise? J Appl Physiol 2006;100:1895–901.
- Fujimoto N, Prasad A, Hastings JL, et al. Cardiovascular effects of 1 year of progressive and vigorous exercise training in previously sedentary individuals older than 65 years of age. Circulation 2010;122:1797–805.
- Kugler J, Maskin C, Frishman WH, Sonnenblick EH, LeJemtel TH. Regional and systemic metabolic effects of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibition during exercise in patients with severe heart failure. Circulation 1982;66:1256-61.
- 60. Maurer M, Katz SD, LaManca J, Manandhar M, Mancini D. Dissociation between exercise hemodynamics and exercise capacity in patients with chronic heart failure and marked increase in ejection fraction after treatment with beta-adrenergic receptor antagonists. Am J Cardiol 2003;91:356–60.
- 61. From AM, Borlaug BA. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: pathophysiology and emerging therapies. Cardiovasc Ther 2011;29: e6–21.
- Bhuiyan T, Maurer MS. Heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: persistent diagnosis, therapeutic enigma. Curr Cardiovasc Risk Rep 2011;5:440–9.

Key Words: elderly • exercise • heart failure • peripheral • preserved ejection fraction.