

Discrete Mathematics 159 (1996) 241-245

# Note

DISCRETE MATHEMATICS

# Some inequalities about connected domination number

Cheng Bo, Bolian Liu\*

Department of Mathematics, South China Normal University, Guangzhou 510631, China

Received 29 June 1993; revised 12 December 1994

#### Abstract

Let G = (V, E) be a graph. In this note,  $\gamma_c$ , ir,  $\gamma, i, \beta_0, \Gamma$ , IR denote the connected domination number, the irredundance number, the domination number, the independent domination number, the independence number, the upper domination number and the upper irredundance number, respectively. We prove that  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2 for a connected graph G. Thus, an open problem in Hedetniemi and Laskar (1984) discuss further some relations between  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma, \beta_0, \Gamma$ , IR, respectively.

## 1. Introduction

All graphs under consideration are finite, undirected, and loopless without multiple lines.

Let G = (V, E) be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E.

The open neighborhood of a vertex u, denoted by N(u), is the set of vertices adjacent to u. The closed neighborhood of a vertex u, denoted by N[u], is  $N(u) \cup \{u\}$ . The open neighborhood of a set S of vertices, denoted by N(S), is the set of vertices adjacent to a vertex in S. The closed neighborhood of a set S of vertices, denoted by N[S], is  $N(S) \cup \{S\}$ .

For a set  $D \subseteq V$ ,  $\langle D \rangle$  denotes the subgraph induced by D.

A set  $D \subseteq V$  is a dominating set if every vertex in V - D is adjacent to at least one vertex in D. A dominating set D is independent if no two vertices in  $\langle D \rangle$  are adjacent. A dominating set D is a connected dominating set if  $\langle D \rangle$  is connected. The domination (independent domination, connected domination) number, denoted by  $\gamma$  ( $i, \gamma_c$ ), is the minimum number of vertices in a dominating (independent dominating, connected dominating) set. The upper domination number, denoted by  $\Gamma$ , is the maximum number of vertices in a minimal dominating set. The independence number, denoted

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

<sup>0012-365</sup>X/96/\$15.00 © 1996 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved SSDI 0012-365X(95)00088-7

by  $\beta_0$ , is the maximum cardinality of an independent set of vertices. A set  $S \subset V$  is an irredundant set if for every  $x \in S$ ,  $N[x] \not\equiv \bigcup_{y \in S - \{x\}} N[y]$ . The irredundance (upper irredundance) number, denoted by ir (IR), is the minimum (maximum) cardinality of a maximal irredundant set of vertices.

For a set  $S \subseteq V$ , |S| denotes the cardinality of S. We denote a set S as an ir-set if S is a maximal irredundant set with |S| = ir.

Any definitions not given here can be found in [4].

The inequality  $\gamma \leq 2$  ir -1 was obtained independently in [2,3]. Does a similar result hold if  $\gamma_c$  is substituted for  $\gamma$ ? This stands in [8] as an open problem.

In this paper, we prove that  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2 for a connected graph G and this result is best possible.

The parameters ir,  $\gamma$ , *i*,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\Gamma$  and IR are related by the following inequalities:

**Theorem 1.1** (Cockayne and Hedet [5,6]). For any graph G, ir  $\leq \gamma \leq i \leq \beta_0 \leq \Gamma \leq IR$ .

A natural question is: What relationships exist between  $\gamma_c$  and  $\gamma, i, \beta_0, \Gamma$ , IR respectively? We demonstrate some relationships and show that these results are best possible.

#### 2. Main results

**Lemma 2.1** (Duchet and Meyniel [7]). For any connected graph,  $\gamma_c \leq 3\gamma - 2$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Allan et al. [8]). If S is an ir-set of graph G, and S is independent, then ir  $= \gamma = i$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** If S is an ir-set of a connected graph G, and S is independent, then  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2.

**Proof.** It follows from Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.4.** If a graph G is connected, then  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2.

**Proof.** Let G be a connected graph and let  $S = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$  be an ir-set. All components of  $\langle S \rangle$  are denoted by  $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq m = \text{ ir. Suppose that there are } t$  isolated vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  in  $\langle S \rangle$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq n$ , where  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  belong to components  $S_1, \ldots, S_t$ , respectively, but each of the other n - t components contain at least two vertices. Hence,

$$2(n-t) + t \leq \text{ir}, \quad \text{i.e., } 2n - t \leq \text{ir}.$$
(1)

We need to consider only two cases as follows.

Case 1: t = n, i.e., S is independent. Then from Theorem 2.3, we have  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2.

Case 2: t < n, Since S is an irredundant set,  $N[v_i] \notin \bigcup_{i \neq i} N[v_j]$  for any  $v_i \in S$ . Assume that

$$N_i = N[v_i] - \bigcup_{j \neq i} N[v_j] \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m.$$
(2)

Since  $N_i \neq \emptyset$ , we may choose one vertex  $u_i \in N_i$  for i = 1, ..., m. Suppose that  $S' = S \cup \{u_{t+1}, \dots, u_m\}$ . It is clear that

$$|S'| = \operatorname{ir} + \operatorname{ir} - t = 2 \operatorname{ir} - t.$$
(3)

We claim that S' is a dominating set.

If S is a dominating set of G, then it is clear that S' is also a dominating set.

If S is not a dominating set of G, let v be an arbitrary vertex in V - N[S], we discuss the following two subcases.

Subcase 1.1: There exists  $i, t < i \le m$ , such that  $N_i \subset N[v]$ .

Subcase 1.2:  $N_i \notin N[v]$  for any *i*,  $t < i \leq m$ . In this subcase, we discuss:

Subcase 2.1: When t = 0, then  $N_i \notin N[v]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Subcase 2.2: When t > 0, since  $v_1, \ldots, v_t$  are isolated vertices in  $\langle S \rangle$ , thus we obtain  $v_i \in N_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$  from (2).

Since  $v_i \notin N[v]$  by the choice of vertex v, hence  $N_i \notin N[v]$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .  $N_i \not\subset N[n]$  for

So 
$$N_i \not\subseteq N[v]$$
 for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ .

Therefore, according to Subcases 2.1 and 2.2, we have that

$$N_i \not\subseteq N[v] \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq m.$$
 (4)

Since  $v \in N[v]$ , but  $v \notin N[S]$ , therefore

$$N[v] \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} N[v_i].$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

By (2), for any vertex  $v_i \in S$ ,

$$N_i \subseteq N[v_i]. \tag{6}$$

$$N_i \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} N[v_j]\right) = \emptyset.$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

By (4),

there exists at least one vertex  $u \in N_i - N[v]$ . (8)

Hence, by (6),

 $u \in N[v_i].$ (9)

But, from (8),

$$u \notin N[v]. \tag{10}$$

So, by (7) and (8),

$$u \notin \bigcup_{j \neq i} N[v_j]. \tag{11}$$

Therefore, due to (9), (10) and (11),

$$N[v_i] \not\subseteq \left(\bigcup_{j \neq i} N[v_j]\right) \cup N[v].$$
(12)

Then, according to (5) and (12),  $S \cup \{v\}$  is an irredundant set. But this contradicts the maximality of S.

So Subcase 2 cannot occur, and only Subcase 1 can occur, i.e., there exists  $i, t \le i \le m$ , such that  $N_i \subseteq N[v]$ . Then since  $u_i \in N_i$ ,  $v \in \bigcup_{i=t+1}^m N[u_i]$ .

Note that v is an arbitrary vertex belonging to V - N[S]. So S' is a dominating set. Assume that all components of  $\langle S' \rangle$  are denoted by  $S'_1, \ldots, S'_q$ , hence  $1 \le q \le n \le ir$ . Let  $Y_i = \{v \mid v \in N[s'_i]\}, G_i = \langle Y_i \rangle, i = 1, \ldots, q$ . Then  $G_i$  is a connected subgraph of G. Any vertex in G belongs to some  $G_i$ .

If q = 1, then  $\langle S' \rangle$  is connected:

$$\gamma_{c} \leq |S'| = 2 \operatorname{ir} - t \qquad (by (3))$$
  
$$\leq 2 \operatorname{ir} - t + (\operatorname{ir} + t - 2) \qquad (\text{since ir} + t \geq 2n \text{ and } n \geq 1).$$

So  $\gamma_c \leq 3$  ir -2.

If  $q \ge 2$ , since G is connected, there exists one vertex  $y_1 \in G_1$ , and  $y_1$  is adjacent to one vertex  $z_1 \in \bigcup_{i=2}^q G_i$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $z_1 \in G_2$ . Similarly, there exists one vertex  $y_2 \in G_1 \cup G_2$ , and  $y_2$  is adjacent to one vertex  $z_2 \in \bigcup_{i=3}^q G_i$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $z_2 \in G_3$ , and so on. We will make a set  $Y = \{y_1, \ldots, y_{s-1}, z_1, \ldots, z_{k-1}\}$ , where  $s \le q \le n$ ,  $k \le q \le n$ . It is clear that  $\langle S' \cup Y \rangle$  is a connected subgraph of G.

So

$$\gamma_{c} \leq |\langle S' \cup Y \rangle| \leq 2 \operatorname{ir} - t + s - 1 + k - 1$$
$$\leq 2 \operatorname{ir} - t + 2n - 2 \leq 3 \operatorname{ir} - 2 \text{ (by (1)).} \qquad \Box$$

According to Theorem 1.1, the following corollary is obtained.

**Corollary 2.5.** If G is connected, then  $\gamma_c \leq 3i - 2$ .

**Example 1.** (a) For any positive integer *n*, consider the graph  $C_{3n}$ , which is a cycle with 3*n* vertices.  $\gamma_c = 3n - 2$ , ir  $= \gamma = i = n$ .

(b) For any positive integers s, t, consider the graph H obtained by identifying one vertex from each of  $C_{3s}, C_{3t}$ ; then the number of vertices in H is 3(s + t) - 1,  $\gamma_c = 3(s + t) - 1 - 4 = 3(s + t) - 5$ , ir  $= \gamma = i = s + t - 1$ .

(c) For any positive integers s, t, k, consider the graph H obtained by identifying one vertex from each of  $C_{3s}, C_{3t}, C_{3k}$ ; then the number of vertices in H is 3(s+t+k)-2,  $\gamma_c = 3(s+t+k)-2-6 = 3(s+t+k)-8$ , ir  $= \gamma = i = s+t+k-2$ .

244

These examples show that the results of Theorem 2.4, Corollary 2.5 and Lemma 2.1 are best possible.

## 3. Conclusion

The fact that  $\gamma_c \leq 2\beta_0 - 1$  is proved in [7]. From Theorem 1.1, we know that  $\gamma_c \leq 2\Gamma - 1$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 2IR - 1$ .

We will show that the bounds are best possible by the following example.

**Example 2.** Consider the graph  $C_5$ ,  $\beta_0 = \Gamma = IR = 2$ ,  $\gamma_c = 3$ .

We draw a conclusion that  $\gamma_c \leq 3 \text{ ir } -2$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 3\gamma -2$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 3i -2$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 2\beta_0 -1$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 2\Gamma -1$ ,  $\gamma_c \leq 2IR -1$  for a connected graph G and these results are best possible.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Professor D. Frank Hsu for his helpful suggestions. We are grateful to the referee for many careful revisions and pointing out to us that some ideas in the proof of Theorem 2.4 are similar to those in [2].

#### References

- [1] R.B. Allan, R. Laskar and S.T. Hedetniemi, A note on total domination, Discrete Math. 49 (1984) 7-13.
- [2] R.B. Allan and R. Laskar, On domination and some related topics in graph theory, Proc. 9th S.E. Conf. on combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Utilitas Math. (Winnipeg, 1978) 43-48.
- [3] B. Bollobás and E.J. Cockayne, Graph-theoretic parameters, concerning domination, independence and irredundance, J. Graph Theory 3 (1979) 241–249
- [4] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications (Macmillan, New York, 1976).
- [5] E.J. Cockayne and S.T. Hedetniemi, Independence graphs, in: Proc. 5th S.E. Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Utilitas Math. (1974) 471–491.
- [6] E.J. Cockayne, S.T. Hedetniemi and D.J. Miller, Properties of hereditary hypergraphs and Middle graphs, Canad. Math. Bull. 21 (1978) 461-468.
- [7] P. Duchet and H. Meyniel, On Hadwiger's number and stability number, Ann. Discrete Math. 13 (1982) 71-74.
- [8] S.T. Hedetniemi and R. Laskar, Connected domination in graphs, in: B. Bollobás, ed., Graph Theory and Combinatorics (Academic Press, London, 1984) 209-218.