

# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Associated factors in *Streptococcus bovis* bacteremia and colorectal cancer



Cheng-En Tsai<sup>a</sup>, Cheng-Tang Chiu<sup>b</sup>, Christopher K. Rayner<sup>c</sup>, Keng-Liang Wu<sup>a,d,e,\*</sup>, Yi-Chun Chiu<sup>a,d</sup>, Ming-Luen Hu<sup>a,d</sup>, Seng-Kee Chuah<sup>a,d</sup>, Wei-Chen Tai<sup>a,d</sup>, Chih-Ming Liang<sup>a,d</sup>, Hsin-Ming Wang<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Hepatogastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

<sup>b</sup> Division of Hepatogastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Linkou Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan

<sup>c</sup> Discipline of Medicine, Royal Adelaide Hospital, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

<sup>d</sup> College of Medicine, Chung Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

<sup>e</sup> Graduate Institute of Clinical Medical Sciences, Chang Gung University, Taoyuan, Taiwan

Received 29 January 2016; accepted 15 March 2016 Available online 26 April 2016

## KEYWORDS

Bacteremia; Colorectal adenocarcinoma; Streptococcus bovis **Abstract** Reports suggest that between 25% and 80% of patients with *Streptococcus bovis/ gallolyticus* bacteremia have concomitant colorectal tumors. This retrospective study was aimed to identify associations between clinical characteristics and a finding of colorectal neoplasm in patients with *S. bovis* bacteremia who had colonoscopy examination. We retrospectively reviewed the records of patients with *S. bovis* bacteremia from Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, between January 2004 and January 2014. Clinical data including age, sex, comorbidities, blood culture, and colonoscopy findings were collected and their relationship to a finding of colorectal cancer was examined. A total of 107 patients with *S. bovis* bacteremia were identified, of whom 49 (72% male; age 65 ± 12 years) were investigated with colonoscopy; 15 of these patients (30.6%) had colorectal adenocarcinoma. Female sex (p = 0.014) and a history of noncolorectal malignancy (p = 0.004) were associated with a finding of colorectal adenocarcinoma. There were no associations with age, percentage of blood cultures, or the presence of diabetes mellitus, chronic liver disease, heart disease, or

Conflicts of interest: All authors declare no conflicts of interests.

E-mail address: kengliang\_wu@yahoo.com.tw (K.-L. Wu).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.kjms.2016.03.003

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Division of Hepatogastroenterology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, 123, Ta-Pei Road, Niao-sung Hsiang, Kaohsiung County 833, Taiwan.

<sup>1607-551</sup>X/Copyright © 2016, Kaohsiung Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

end-stage renal disease. Our results show that *S. bovis* bacteremia is associated with the presence of colorectal adenocarcinoma, especially in female patients, and concomitant existence of other malignancies.

Copyright © 2016, Kaohsiung Medical University. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### Introduction

Group D streptococci, including enterococci and two nonenterococcal species-Streptococcus bovis and Streptococcus equinus-are part of the normal bowel flora of humans and animals [1]. Klein et al. [2] observed an association between S. bovis bacteremia and colorectal cancer in the early 1970s. It was also reported that S. bovis bacteremia was associated with other bowel disorders including colonic adenomas [3-5]. There are two S. bovis biotypes (I and II), with biotype I having the ability to ferment mannitol, a characteristic feature used for differentiating it from biotype II. The prevalence of colorectal neoplasm in patients infected with S. bovis biotype II is substantially lower than that observed for biotype I [6]. In 2003, Schlegel et al. [7] proposed a taxonomy that classified the bacteria into subspecies: Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus, Streptococcus infantarius subsp. infantarius, S. infantarius subsp. coli, S. gallolyticus subsp. pasteurianus, and S. gallolyticus subsp. macedonicus, S. bovis (previously named S. bovis biotype I). These bacteria synthesize proteins and polysaccharides to assemble the capsular sheath, collagen-binding proteins, and three types of pili, all of which enable them to be highly efficient in causing bacteremia, endocarditis, and colorectal cancer [8]. Despite several reports confirming that between 25% and 80% of patients with S. bovis bacteremia have colorectal cancer [9–11], only limited information is available as to whether any clinical characteristics of bacteremic patients are specifically associated with this finding. Therefore, the aim of our study was to examine the clinical associations of colorectal adenocarcinoma in patients with S. bovis bacteremia.

#### Methods

This retrospective chart review study was approved by both the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. The Ethics Committee waived the requirement for informed consent. All patients had provided written informed consent before undergoing colonoscopy.

From database records dating between January 2004 and January 2014, 107 patients with *S. bovis* bacteremia were identified, and the following data were extracted: age, sex, comorbidities [diabetes mellitus, liver cirrhosis, heart disease, end-stage renal disease (ESRD), malignancy], history of related infections (meningitis, arthritis, or endocarditis), percentage of blood cultures that were positive, blood tests [C-reactive protein, hemoglobin (Hb), hematocrit (Hct),

and carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA)], and colonoscopy findings (polyp size, location, and histopathology). Comorbid heart disease was defined as heart failure, valvular heart disease, or endocarditis. Patients with incomplete clinical data or an incomplete colonoscopic examination were excluded.

All S. bovis isolates were identified by Gram stain as Gram-positive lactic acid bacteria growing as pairs or chains of cocci, and subsequent analysis with matrixassisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in the Microbiology Laboratory of Kaohsiung Chang Gung Memorial Hospital. The number of blood cultures yielding S. bovis for each patient was expressed as a percentage of the total number of cultures analyzed. Colonoscopies were performed using an Olympus CF Q260 or H260 video colonoscope (Olympus Optical Co., Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), with recording of all videos for subsequent review. The diagnosis of colorectal adenocarcinoma including carcinoma in situ was confirmed by the histopathological examination of either colonoscopic biopsy specimens or the resected specimen. Additional colorectal neoplasms were defined as tubular adenoma, villous adenoma, tubulovillous adenoma, and serrated adenoma after histopathological examination.

#### Statistical analyses

All data were collected and analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2003 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and IBM SPSS statistics version 22 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). We divided the patients into the following two groups: (1) *S. bovis* bacteremia without colon cancer and (2) *S. bovis* bacteremia with colon cancer, according to the histopathological diagnosis of colorectal adenocarcinoma, and examined differences in proportions for each variable using Chi-square test, with p < 0.05 taken to indicate statistical significance. Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses were performed to examine relationships between the clinical characteristics and the finding of colorectal adenocarcinoma. Data are reported as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

#### Results

In this study, 107 patients with S. *bovis* bacteremia were identified, among which 14 patients (28.6%) were female. The mean age of the study patients was  $65 \pm 12$  years. Among the 107 patients with S. *bovis* bacteremia, 28 (26.2%) concomitantly had cirrhosis, 10 (9.3%) had gall-stones, 11 (10.2%) had acute cholecystitis, two (1.9%) had

198

acute pancreatitis, and 16 (15.0%) had esophageal varices. Twenty-four patients (22.4%) had peptic ulcer disease.

Of the 107 patients, 49 had a record of complete clinical data and colonoscopy. Among these 49 patients, 15 (30.7%) were found to have a colorectal adenocarcinoma. Full colonoscopic examination was not performed due to the following reasons: inadequate bowel preparation, patient refusal to the examination, unstable hemodynamic status, and/or critical condition, which was not appropriate to the procedure. Among the 49 patients who received colonoscopy, 35 (71.4%) patients had a total of 36 colorectal neoplasms [tubular adenoma: 15 (41.2%); tubulovillous adenoma: 6 (16.7%); villous adenoma: 0 (0%); adenocarcinoma: 15 (41.2%)] and six hyperplastic polyps.

In the noncolorectal adenocarcinoma group (n = 34), there was male predominance (27/34; 79.4%) with a mean age of 68.7  $\pm$  13.9 years. Documented comorbidities in this group included 10 (29.4%) cases with other malignancies, 14 (41.2%) with liver cirrhosis, 11 (32.4%) with diabetes mellitus, 28 (82.4%) with heart disease, 12 (35.2%) with ESRD, and one (2.9%) with arthritis. The colonoscopy findings were as follows: 17 patients had one polyp, two patients had two polyps, and one patient had three polyps. A total of 15 (44.1%) patients had at least one polyp equal to or larger than 1 cm; in five patients (11.7%), the polyps were < 1 cm and 14 patients did not have a polyp.

In the colorectal adenocarcinoma group (n = 15), there was only a slight male predominance (8/15; 53.5%) and the mean age was  $68.9 \pm 9.1$  years. Documented comorbidities in this group included 10 (66.7%) cases with other malignancy, two (13.3%) cases with liver cirrhosis, six (40.0%) cases with diabetes mellitus, nine (60.0%) cases with heart disease, three (20.0%) cases with ESRD, and none with arthritis; 12 patients had only one neoplasm and three patients had two neoplasms. The mean size of the tumor was 4.2  $\pm$  3.8 cm, with the majority located at the rectum (1 at cecum/2 at ascending colon/1 at transverse colon/1 at descending colon/2 at sigmoid colon/8 at rectum) and staged as Stage II [3 intraepithelial carcinomas, 6 Stage II (4 Stage IIa and 2 Stage IIb), 2 Stage IIIb, and 4 Stage IV] with moderate differentiation (1 well differentiated/8 moderately differentiated/6 unknown).

The timing in the diagnosis of extracolonic malignancy was as follows: six of the 10 extracolonic cancers were diagnosed before *S. bovis* bacteremia, with a mean period of 18 months. The remaining four extracolonic cancers were, however, diagnosed only after *S. bovis* bacteremia, with a mean period of 6 months. Among the 10 extracolonic cancers, there were four hepatocellular carcinomas (2 Stage II, 1 Stage IIIc, and 1 Stage IV), two bladder cancers (1 Stage 0 and 1 Stage I papillary urothelial carcinoma), two renal cell carcinomas (1 Stage 0 squamous cell carcer (Stage I squamous cell carcinoma), and one lung cancer (Stage IV small cell lung cancer).

Univariate analysis of categorical variables showed that sex (p = 0.041) and a history of other malignancies (p = 0.014) were significantly related to colon adenocarcinoma. The proportion of male patients in the colon cancer group (53.3%) was less than that in the noncancer group (79.4%). Ten of the 15 patients (66.7%) with colorectal cancer were found to have a history of other malignancies compared with only 10 of 34 patients (29.4%) in the Table 1Univariate analysis for categorical variablesassociated with a finding of colorectal cancer in patientswith Streptococcus bovis bacteremia.

Factor	Streptococcus bovis	Streptococcus	р	
	bacteremia without	bovis bacteremia		
	colon cancer	with colon cancer		
Sex			0.041*	
Female	7 (20.6)	7 (46.7)		
Male	27 (79.4)	8 (53.3)		
Other malignancies				
No	24 (70.6)	5 (33.3)		
Yes	10 (29.4)	10 (66.7)		
Liver cirrhosis				
No	20 (58.8)	13 (86.7)		
Yes	14 (41.2)	2 (13.3)		
Diabetes			0.604	
No	23 (67.6)	9 (60.0)		
Yes	11 (32.4)	6 (40.0)		
Heart disease				
No	6 (17.6)	6 (40.0)		
Yes	28 (82.4)	9 (60.0)		
End-stage renal disease				
No	22 (64.7)	12 (80.0)		
Yes	12 (35.3)	3 (20.0)		
Arthritis			>0.99	
No	33 (97.1)	15 (100)		
Yes	1 (2.9)	0 (0)		

\* Mean significant difference.

noncolorectal cancer group. Comorbidity with liver cirrhosis, diabetes mellitus, heart disease, ESRD, and arthritis showed no relationship with colon adenocarcinoma in the univariate analysis (Table 1) nor were there any significant relationships with age, percentage of blood cultures that were positive, C-reactive protein, Hb, Hct, or CEA (Table 2).

Table 2Univariate analysis for continuous variablesassociated with a finding of colorectal cancer in patientswith Streptococcus bovis bacteremia.

Factor	Streptococcus	Streptococcus	р
	bovis	bovis	
	bacteremia	bacteremia	
	without colon	with colon	
	cancer	cancer	
Age (y)	68.7 ± 13.9	68.9 ± 9.1	0.954
Positive blood cultures (%)	$\textbf{79.35} \pm \textbf{29.5}$	$\textbf{65.9} \pm \textbf{35.3}$	0.183
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	$\textbf{83.9} \pm \textbf{82.2}$	$\textbf{142.4} \pm \textbf{120.6}$	0.252
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	$\textbf{10.5} \pm \textbf{2.3}$	$\textbf{10.9} \pm \textbf{2.7}$	0.610
Hematocrit (%)	$\textbf{31.8} \pm \textbf{6.5}$	$\textbf{31.9} \pm \textbf{7.1}$	0.933
Carcinoembryonic antigen	$\textbf{27.6} \pm \textbf{88.9}$	$\textbf{423.7} \pm \textbf{1190.2}$	0.274
(ng/mL)			

All data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

**Table 3**Binary logistic regression of predictors of colorectal cancer in patients with Streptococcus bovisbacteremia.

Factor	Comparison	OR (95% CI)	р		
Sex	Male vs. female	0.101 (0.016-0.629)	0.014 *		
Other malignancy	Yes vs. no	12.376 (2.207-69.402)	0.004 *		
Endocarditis	Yes vs. no		0.236		
CI = confidence interval: OR = odds ratio.					

\* Mean significant difference.

We further calculated the binary logistic regression of predictors of colon adenocarcinoma, which revealed that female sex (p = 0.014) and comorbidity with other malignancies (p = 0.004) were significantly associated with colorectal cancer. There was no correlation with age, percentage of blood cultures that were positive, liver cirrhosis, diabetes mellitus, heart disease (including endocarditis), ESRD, arthritis, or other blood tests (Table 3).

## Discussion

Bacteremia involving S. bovis, an organism usually found in the healthy gut, is known to be associated with colorectal neoplasia. One meta-analysis indicated a sevenfold increase in the risk of having a colorectal neoplasm in the presence of S. bovis septicemia [12]. Other studies have shown that up to 80% of patients with bacteremia caused by S. bovis biotype I (now called S. gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus) have a colorectal neoplasm, a rate markedly greater than that noted in the general population [13,14]. By contrast, the prevalence of colorectal neoplasm in patients infected with S. bovis biotype II is significantly lower than the rate in patients infected with biotype I, and does not appear to be higher than the general population [6]. However, identification of S. bovis subtypes is not widely available, and is not a routine practice in our hospital. The growth of S. bovis in stool aspiration content or the existence of bacterial-specific antibody provides an alternative way to identify patients at increased risk of having colorectal neoplasia [15–17]. However, a few published reports have examined the clinical characteristics specifically associated with colorectal adenocarcinoma in patients with S. bovis bacteremia.

A recent meta-analysis of 20 published case series showed that 43% of patients with S. *bovis* bacteremia that was examined by colonoscopy had adenomas and 18% had carcinomas [14]. Our finding of colorectal neoplasm (adenoma and/or carcinoma) in 61.2% of patients and adenocarcinoma in 30.7% of patients accords very closely with these figures. In addition, we observed that female sex and comorbidity with noncolorectal malignancy were particularly associated with colorectal adenocarcinoma.

It is unclear why female sex should be a risk factor. It is established that the age-adjusted incidence of colonic adenomas and adenocarcinoma is higher in men than in women, possibly explained by the indirect tumor-promoting effects of testosterone [18]. Most case—control studies examining the association of S. *bovis* bacteremia with colorectal disease enrolled patients who were matched by sex and age [5,13], so the relationship we observed has not been apparent in the past.

Several studies have reported a link between *S. bovis* bacteremia and extracolonic malignancy. Previous researchers observed that 29% of such patients harbored tumors in the duodenum, gallbladder, pancreas, ovary, uterus, lung, or hematopoietic system [19,20], and some investigators have advocated more thorough investigation of the gastrointestinal tract than with colonoscopy alone [21,22]. In a Taiwanese study [23], 40% of *S. bovis* bacteremic patients had underlying malignancies, 21% had liver cirrhosis, and 24% had diabetes mellitus. A recent large prospective study spanning 20 years observed 22 cases of noncolorectal cancer among 133 bacteremic patients (17%) [24]. The malignancies observed in these studies included esophageal [21] and gastric carcinoma [3,25], gastric lymphoma, and pancreatic carcinoma.

It remains controversial as to whether S. bovis plays an etiological role in the development of colorectal tumors or is a consequence of the disease. Three mechanisms have been proposed. First, it has been suggested that a chronic inflammatory response to S. bovis could play a role in the malignant transformation of colonic mucosa. Antigens extracted from the cell wall of S. bovis are associated with overexpression of cyclooxygenase-2 and increased concentrations of interleukin-8 in vitro. These are frequently overexpressed in human colorectal cancers and can inhibit apoptosis, and stimulate proliferation and angiogenesis [26]. Second, S. bovis has a strong capacity—partly due to specific collagen- and heparan sulfate-binding proteins and pili-to form biofilms on collagen-rich surfaces, which in vivo are found on damaged heart valves as well as on precancerous sites with a displaced epithelium [27,28]. Third, S. bovis has the ability to increase vascular permeability and facilitate bacterial translocation into the portal circulation [29]. Moreover, the bacteria can then bypass the hepatic reticuloendothelial system and access the systemic circulation easily [30].

Our study has several limitations. First, it was conducted in a single center with a relatively small number of patients. Our analysis indicates that it would be worthwhile to collect further data from multiple centers to identify additional associations. Second, not all the patients with *S. bovis* bacteremia were examined with colonoscopy although the importance of colonoscopy screening in these patients had been emphasized in the previous studies. In conclusion, this study suggests that *S. bovis* bacteremia is associated with colorectal adenocarcinoma, especially in female patients, and concomitant existence of other malignancies.

#### References

- [1] Deibel RH. The group D streptococci. Bacteriol Rev 1964;28: 330-66.
- [2] Klein RS, Catalano MT, Edberg SC, Casey JI, Steigbigel NH. Streptococcus bovis septicemia and carcinoma of the colon. Ann Intern Med 1979;91:560-2.
- [3] Reynolds JG, Silva E, McCormack WM. Association of Streptococcus bovis bacteremia with bowel disease. J Clin Microbiol 1983;17:696–7.

- [4] Burns CA, McCaughey R, Lauter CB. The association of Streptococcus bovis fecal carriage and colon neoplasia: possible relationship with polyps and their premalignant potential. Am J Gastroenterol 1985;80:42-6.
- [5] Sharara AI, Abou Hamdan T, Malli A, El-Halabi MM, Hashash JG, Ghaith OA, et al. Association of *Streptococcus bovis* endocarditis and advanced colorectal neoplasia: a case-control study. J Dig Dis 2013;14:382–7.
- [6] Corredoira JC, Alonso MP, García-País MJ, Rabuñal R, García-Garrote F, López-Roses L, et al. Is colonoscopy necessary in cases of infection by *Streptococcus bovis* biotype II? Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2014;33:171–7.
- [7] Schlegel L, Grimont F, Ageron E, Grimont PA, Bouvet A. Reappraisal of the taxonomy of the Streptococcus bovis/-Streptococcus equinus complex and related species: description of Streptococcus gallolyticus subsp. gallolyticus subsp. nov., S. gallolyticus subsp. macedonicus subsp. nov. and S. gallolyticus subsp. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 2003;53:631–45.
- [8] Rusniok C, Couvé E, Da Cunha V, El Gana R, Zidane N, Bouchier C, et al. Genome sequence of *Streptococcus gallolyticus*: insights into its adaptation to the bovine rumen and its ability to cause endocarditis. J Bacteriol 2010;192:2266–76.
- [9] Abdulamir AS, Hafidh RR, Abu Bakar F. The association of Streptococcus bovis/gallolyticus with colorectal tumors: the nature and the underlying mechanisms of its etiological role. J Exp Clin Cancer Res 2011;30:11.
- [10] Beeching NJ, Christmas TI, Ellis-Pegler RB, Nicholson GI. Streptococcus bovis bacteraemia requires rigorous exclusion of colonic neoplasia and endocarditis. Q J Med 1985;56:439–50.
- [11] Tabibian N, Clarridge JE. Streptococcus bovis septicemia and large bowel neoplasia. Am Fam Physician 1989;39:227–9.
- [12] Krishnan S, Eslick GD. Streptococcus bovis infection and colorectal neoplasia: a meta-analysis. Colorectal Dis 2014;16: 672-80.
- [13] Corredoira-Sánchez J, García-Garrote F, Rabuñal R, López-Roses L, García-País MJ, Castro E, et al. Association between bacteremia due to *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* (*Streptococcus bovis* I) and colorectal neoplasia: a casecontrol study. Clin Infect Dis 2012;55:491–6.
- [14] Boleij A, van Gelder MM, Swinkels DW, Tjalsma H. Clinical importance of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* infection among colorectal cancer patients: systematic review and metaanalysis. Clin Infect Dis 2011;53:870–8.
- [15] Paritsky M, Pastukh N, Brodsky D, Isakovich N, Peretz A. Association of *Streptococcus bovis* presence in colonic content with advanced colonic lesion. World J Gastroenterol 2015;21:5663–7.
- [16] Boleij A, Roelofs R, Danne C, Bellais S, Dramsi S, Kato I, et al. Selective antibody response to *Streptococcus gallolyticus* pilus proteins in colorectal cancer patients. Cancer Prev Res (Phila) 2012;5:260–5.
- [17] Boleij A, Roelofs R, Schaeps RM, Schülin T, Glaser P, Swinkels DW, et al. Increased exposure to bacterial antigen

RpL7/L12 in early stage colorectal cancer patients. Cancer 2010;116:4014-22.

- [18] Amos-Landgraf JM, Heijmans J, Wielenga MC, Dunkin E, Krentz KJ, Clipson L, et al. Sex disparity in colonic adenomagenesis involves promotion by male hormones, not protection by female hormones. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2014;111: 16514-9.
- [19] Gold JS, Bayar S, Salem RR. Association of *Streptococcus bovis* bacteremia with colonic neoplasia and extracolonic malignancy. Arch Surg 2004;139:760–5.
- [20] Herrington P, Finkelman D, Balart L, Hines Jr C, Ferrante W. Streptococcus bovis septicemia and pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Ann Intern Med 1980;92:441 [Letter].
- [21] Pigrau C, Lorente A, Pahissa A, Martinez-Vazquez JM. Streptococcus bovis bacteremia and digestive system neoplasms. Scand J Infect Dis 1988;20:459–60.
- [22] Buqés J, Claramonte X, Martínez JA, Torres M. Staphylococcus bovis infective endocarditis associated with gastric adenocarcinoma. Med Clin (Barc) 1994;103:397–8 [Article in Spanish].
- [23] Jean SS, Teng LJ, Hsueh PR, Ho SW, Luh KT. Bacteremic Streptococcus bovis infections at a university hospital, 1992–2001. J Formos Med Assoc 2004;103:118–23.
- [24] Corredoira J, Alonso MP, Coira A, Varela J. Association between Streptococcus infantarius (formerly S. bovis II/1) bacteremia and noncolonic cancer. J Clin Microbiol 2008;46: 1570.
- [25] Kupferwasser I, Darius H, Müller AM, Mohr-Kahaly S, Westermeier T, Oelert H, et al. Clinical and morphological characteristics in *Streptococcus bovis* endocarditis: a comparison with other causative microorganisms in 177 cases. Heart 1998;80:276–80.
- [26] Biarc J, Nguyen IS, Pini A, Gossé F, Richert S, Thiersé D, et al. Carcinogenic properties of proteins with pro-inflammatory activity from *Streptococcus infantarius* (formerly S. *bovis*). Carcinogenesis 2004;25:1477–84.
- [27] Boleij A, Muytjens CM, Bukhari SI, Cayet N, Glaser P, Hermans PW, et al. Novel clues on the specific association of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp *gallolyticus* with colorectal cancer. J Infect Dis 2011;203:1101–9.
- [28] Sillanpää J, Nallapareddy SR, Qin X, Singh KV, Muzny DM, Kovar CL, et al. A collagen-binding adhesin, Acb, and ten other putative MSCRAMM and pilus family proteins of *Streptococcus gallolyticus* subsp. *gallolyticus* (*Streptococcus bovis* Group, biotype I). J Bacteriol 2009;191:6643–53.
- [29] Herrera P, Kwon YM, Ricke SC. Ecology and pathogenicity of gastrointestinal *Streptococcus bovis*. Anaerobe 2009;15: 44–54.
- [30] Vaska VL, Faoagali JL. Streptococcus bovis bacteraemia: identification within organism complex and association with endocarditis and colonic malignancy. Pathology 2009;41: 183–6.