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#### Abstract

For a random vector $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain bounds on the size of a sample, for which the empirical $p$ th moments of linear functionals are close to the exact ones uniformly on a convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We prove an estimate for a general random vector and apply it to several problems arising in geometric functional analysis. In particular, we find a short Lewis type decomposition for any finite dimensional subspace of $L_{p}$. We also prove that for an isotropic log-concave random vector, we only need $\left\lfloor n^{p / 2} \log n\right\rfloor$ sample points so that the empirical $p$ th moments of the linear functionals are almost isometrically the same as the exact ones. We obtain a concentration estimate for the empirical moments. The main ingredient of the proof is the construction of an appropriate majorizing measure to bound a certain Gaussian process.
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## 1. Introduction

In many problems of geometric functional analysis it is necessary to approximate a given random vector by an empirical sample. More precisely, given a random vector $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we want to find the smallest number $m$ such that the properties of $X$ can be recovered from the empirical

[^0]measure $1 / m \sum_{j=1}^{m} \delta_{X_{j}}$, constructed with independent copies $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ of the vector $X$. In particular, for $p \geqslant 2$ and for $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we want to approximate the moments $\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}$ by the empirical averages $1 / m \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}$ with high probability. Moreover, we require this approximation to be uniform over $y$ belonging to some convex symmetric set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A problem of this type was considered in [4]. Formulated in analytic language, it asks about finding the smallest $m$ and a set of points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m} \in X$ such that for any function $f$ from an $n$-dimensional function space $F \subset L_{1}(X, \mu)$,
$$
(1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{1} \leqslant \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|f\left(x_{j}\right)\right| \leqslant(1-\varepsilon)\|f\|_{1}
$$

Another example of such problems originates in Computer Science. The probabilistic algorithm for estimating the volume of an $n$-dimensional convex body, constructed by Kannan, Lovász, and Simonovits [12] required to bring the body to a nearly isotropic position as a preliminary step. To this end, one has to sample $m$ random points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ in the body $L$ so that the empirical isotropy tensor will be close to the exact one, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} \otimes x_{j}-\frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}(L)} \int_{L} x \otimes x d x\right\|<\varepsilon \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This problem was attacked with different probabilistic techniques. The original estimate of [12] was significantly improved by Bourgain [3]. Using the decoupling method he proved that $m=C(\varepsilon) n \log ^{3} n$ vectors $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ uniformly distributed in the body $L$ satisfy (1) with high probability. This estimate was farther improved to $\left(C n / \varepsilon^{2}\right) \cdot \log ^{2}\left(C n / \varepsilon^{2}\right)$ in [23,24]. The proof in [23] used majorizing measures, while the later proof in [24] was based on the non-commutative Khintchine inequality.

These problems were put into a general framework by Giannopoulos and Milman [8], who related them to the concentration properties of a random vector. Let $\alpha>0$ and let $v$ be a probability measure on $(X, \Omega)$. For a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ define the $\psi_{\alpha}$-norm by

$$
\|f\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}=\inf \left\{\lambda>0 \mid \int_{X} \exp (|f| / \lambda)^{\alpha} d \nu \leqslant 2\right\} .
$$

Chebychev's inequality shows that the functions with bounded $\psi_{\alpha}$-norm are strongly concentrated, namely $\nu\left\{x||f(x)|>\lambda t\} \leqslant C \exp \left(-t^{\alpha}\right)\right.$. Let $\mu$ be a Borel measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is called isotropic if

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} x \otimes x d \mu(x)=\mathrm{Id}
$$

where Id is the identity operator in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that this normalization is consistent with the one used in $[12,23,24]$. The normalization used in $[8,17]$ differs from it by the multiplicative coefficient $L_{\mu}^{2}$, where $L_{\mu}$ is the isotropic constant of $\mu$ (see [17]).

The paper [8] considers isotropic measures which satisfy the $\psi_{\alpha}$-condition for scalar products:

$$
\|\langle\cdot, y\rangle\|_{\psi_{\alpha}} \leqslant C
$$

for all $y \in S^{n-1}$. Here and below $C, c, \ldots$ denote absolute constants, whose value may change at each occurrence.

Note that by Borell's lemma, any log-concave measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfies the $\psi_{1}$-condition [17,18]. Let $p \geqslant 1$ and let $\mu$ be an isotropic log-concave measure satisfying the $\psi_{\alpha}$-condition for scalar products with some $\alpha \in[1,2]$. The central result of [8] provides an estimate for the minimal size of a set of independent random vectors $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ distributed according to the measure $\mu$ such that the empirical $p$-moments satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}(p) \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \Gamma_{2}(p), \quad \forall y \in S^{n-1} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\psi_{\alpha}$-condition implies that the $L_{p}(\mu)$ - and $L_{2}(\mu)$-norms of the function $f_{y}(x)=\langle x, y\rangle$ are equivalent. Thus the inequality (2) means that the empirical $p$-moment of $f_{y}$ is equivalent to the real $p$-moment up to a constant coefficient.

In the present paper we use a different approach to this problem based on the majorizing measure technique developed by Talagrand [26]. This approach lead to breakthrough results in various problems in probabilistic combinatorics and analysis (see [26] and references therein). In a similar context the majorizing measures were applied in [25] to select small almost orthogonal submatrices of an orthogonal matrix, and in [23] to prove the estimate (1) with small $m$.

To state the results we have to introduce some notation. Let $\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$ be a Euclidean space, and let $|\cdot|_{2}$ be the associated Euclidean norm. For a symmetric convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we denote by $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ the norm, whose unit ball is $K$, and by $K^{o}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid \forall x \in K,\langle x, y\rangle \leqslant 1\right\}$ the polar of $K$. We assume that the body $K$ has the modulus of convexity of power type $q \geqslant 2$ (see Section 2 for the definition). Classical examples of convex bodies satisfying this property are unit balls of finite dimensional subspaces of $L_{q}$ [5] or of non-commutative $L_{q}$-spaces (like Schatten trace class matrices [27]). We denote by $D$ the radius of the symmetric convex set $K$, i.e. the smallest $D$ such that $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$. For every $1 \leqslant q \leqslant+\infty$, we define $q^{*}$ to be the conjugate of $q$, i.e. $1 / q+1 / q^{*}=1$.

Given a random vector $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be $m$ independent copies of $X$. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex symmetric body. Denote by

$$
\left.V_{p}(K)=\left.\sup _{y \in K}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\,
$$

the maximal deviation of the empirical $p$-moment of $X$ from the exact one. We would like to bound $V_{p}(K)$ under minimal assumptions on the body $K$ and random vector $X$. This will allow us to choose the size of the sample $m$ for which this deviation is small with high probability. Although the resulting statement is pretty technical, it is applicable to a wide range of problems arising in geometric functional analysis. We discuss some examples in Sections 3, 4.

To bound such random process, we must have some control of the random variable $\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}$. To this end we introduce the parameter $\kappa_{p, m}(X)$, which plays a key role below,

$$
\kappa_{p, m}(X)=\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

We prove the following estimate for $V_{p}(K)$.

Theorem 1. Let $K \subset\left(\mathbb{R}^{n},\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle\right)$ be a symmetric convex body of radius $D$. Assume that $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q$ for some $q \geqslant 2$. Let $p \geqslant q$ and let $q^{*}$ be the conjugate of $q$. Let $X$ be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent copies of $X$. Assume that

$$
C_{p, \lambda} \frac{(\log m)^{2 / q^{*}}}{m}\left(D \cdot \kappa_{p, m}(X)\right)^{p} \leqslant \delta^{2} \cdot \sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}
$$

for some $\delta<1$. Then

$$
\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K) \leqslant 2 \delta \cdot \sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}
$$

The constant $C_{p, \lambda}$ in Theorem 1 depends on $p$ and on the parameter $\lambda$ in the definition of the modulus of convexity of power type $q$ (see Section 2.1 for the definition).

Note that minimal assumptions on the vector $X$ are enough to guarantee that $\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)$ becomes small for large $m$. Indeed, assume that the variable $|X|_{2}$ possesses a finite moment of order $p+\varepsilon$ for some positive $\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\kappa_{p, m}(X) \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p+\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / p+\varepsilon} \leqslant m^{1 / p+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{p+\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / p+\varepsilon},
$$

so the quantity

$$
\frac{(\log m)^{2 / q^{*}}}{m} \cdot \kappa_{p, m}^{p}(X)
$$

tends to 0 when $m$ goes to $\infty$. Moreover, in most cases, $\kappa_{p, m}(X)$ may be bounded by a simpler quantity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa_{p, m}(X) \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant e\left(\mathbb{E}|X|^{s}\right)^{1 / s}=: e M_{s} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s=\max (p, \log m)$.
Theorem 1 improves the results of [8] in two ways. First, it contains an almost isometric approximation of the $L_{p}$-moments of the random vector by empirical samples (see Theorem 2 below). Second, the assumption on the norm of a random vector $X$ used in Theorem 1 is weaker than the $\psi_{\alpha}$-assumption on the scalar products, appearing in [8]. This allows to handle the situations, where the $\psi_{\alpha}$-estimate does not hold (see, e.g., approximate Lewis decompositions, discussed in Section 3).

While Theorem 1 combined with Chebychev's inequality provides a bound for $V_{p}(K)$, which holds with high probability, it is often useful to have this probability exponentially close to 1 . Using a measure concentration result of Talagrand [14, Theorem 6.21], we obtain such probability estimate in Theorem 4.

We apply Theorem 1 to isotropic log-concave random vectors. This class includes many naturally arising types of random vectors, in particular a vector uniformly distributed in an isotropic convex body (see Section 4 for exact definitions). The empirical moments of log-concave vectors have been extensively studied in the last years $[3,7,8,12,24]$. We will prove the following

Theorem 2. For any $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $p \geqslant 2$ there exists $n_{0}(\varepsilon, p)$ such that for any $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, p)$, the following holds: Let $X$ be a log-concave isotropic random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent copies of $X$. If

$$
m=\left\lfloor C_{p} \varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log n\right\rfloor
$$

then for any $t>\varepsilon$, with probability greater than $1-C \exp \left(-\left(t / C_{p}^{\prime} \varepsilon\right)^{1 / p}\right)$, for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
(1-t) \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \leqslant \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p} \leqslant(1+t) \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} .
$$

The constants $C_{p}$ and $C_{p}^{\prime}$ are positive real numbers depending only on $p$.
Theorem 2 provides an almost isometric approximation of the exact moments, instead of the isomorphic estimates of [8], and achieves it with fewer sample vectors. In the case $p=2$, it also improves the estimate of [24], and extends to the general setting the estimate obtained by Giannopoulos, Hartzoulaki and Tsolomitis [7] for a random vector uniformly distributed in a 1-unconditional isotropic convex body.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we formulate and prove the main results for abstract random vectors. The key step of the proof of Theorem 1 is the estimate of the Gaussian random process

$$
Z_{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} g_{j}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p},
$$

where $g_{j}$ are independent standard Gaussian random variables $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$. To obtain such estimate we construct an appropriate majorizing measure and apply the Majorizing Measure Theorem of Talagrand [26]. In Sections 3 and 4, we provide applications of Theorem 1. Since we require only the existence of high-order moments of the norm of $X$ we can apply Theorem 1 to the measures supported by the contact points of a convex body, like in [22,23], as well as to finding a short Lewis-type decomposition, as described in Section 3. In Section 4, we study in detail the case of log-concave random vectors $X$. In the last part of this paper, we extend the results obtained in [8] for a uniform distribution on a discrete cube to a general random vector $X$, which satisfies a $\psi_{2}$ estimate for the scalar products $\langle X, y\rangle, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

## 2. Maximal deviation of the empirical $\boldsymbol{p}$-moment

### 2.1. Statement of the results

Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a convex symmetric body. The modulus of convexity of $K$ is defined for any $\varepsilon \in(0,2)$ by

$$
\delta_{K}(\varepsilon)=\inf \left\{1-\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_{K},\|x\|_{K}=1,\|y\|_{K}=1,\|x-y\|_{K}>\varepsilon\right\} .
$$

We say that $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q \geqslant 2$ if $\delta_{K}(\varepsilon) \geqslant c \varepsilon^{q}$ for every $\varepsilon \in(0,2)$. It is known (see, e.g., [21, Proposition 2.4] or [6]) that this property is equivalent to the fact that the inequality

$$
\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q}+\lambda^{-q}\left\|\frac{x-y}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\|x\|_{K}^{q}+\|y\|_{K}^{q}\right)
$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Here $\lambda>0$ is a constant depending only on $c$ and $q$. Referring to this inequality below, we shall say that $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q$ with constant $\lambda$.

Our main result is the following theorem, which implies Theorem 1 from the Introduction.
Theorem 3. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a symmetric convex body of radius $D$. Assume that $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q$ with constant $\lambda$ for some $q \geqslant 2$, and let $q^{*}$ be the conjugate of $q$. Let $X$ be a random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent copies of $X$. For $p \geqslant q$ set

$$
A=C^{p} \lambda^{p} \frac{(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}}{\sqrt{m}}\left(D \kappa_{p, m}(X)\right)^{p / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}
$$

Then

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\, \leqslant A^{2}+A \sqrt{B}
$$

The assumption of Theorem 1 reads $A^{2} \leqslant \delta^{2} \cdot B$, hence $A^{2}+A \sqrt{B} \leqslant 2 \delta B$. Thus, Theorem 1 follows immediately from Theorem 3.

Remark. In fact we shall prove a slightly better inequality. Define

$$
\kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)=\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{2} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left\|X_{j}\right\|_{K^{o}}^{p-2}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

then Theorem 3 holds, if the quantity $\left(D \kappa_{p, m}(X)\right)^{p / 2}$ is replaced by $D \kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)^{p / 2}$. Since $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$, it is clear that

$$
\kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)^{p / 2} \leqslant D^{p / 2-1} \kappa_{p, m}(X)^{p / 2}
$$

The proof of this theorem is based on the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be a symmetric convex body of radius $D$. Assume that $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q$ with constant $\lambda$ for some $q \geqslant 2, \lambda>0$. Let $q^{*}$ be the conjugate of $q$. Then for every $p \geqslant q$, and every deterministic vectors $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\left|\leqslant C^{p} \lambda^{p}(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}} D \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\right| X_{j}\right|_{2} \sup _{y \in K}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}\right)^{1 / 2},
$$

where expectation is taken over the Bernoulli random variables $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}$.

The proof of the lemma uses a specific construction of a majorizing measure. It will be presented in Section 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof is based on a standard symmetrization argument. We denote by $X_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{m}^{\prime}$ independent copies of $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$. Let $\left(\varepsilon_{j}\right)_{j=1}^{m}$ be independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables, which are independent of all others. Then the expectation of

$$
\left.V_{p}(K)=\left.\sup _{y \in K}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\,
$$

can be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
m \mathbb{E} V_{p}(K) & =\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-m \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \mid \\
& =\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}\left|\left\langle X_{j}^{\prime}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{X} \mathbb{E}_{X^{\prime}} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\left|\left\langle X_{j}^{\prime}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)\right| \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{X} \mathbb{E}_{X^{\prime}} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j}\left(\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\left|\left\langle X_{j}^{\prime}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)\right| \\
& \leqslant\left. 2 \mathbb{E}_{X} \mathbb{E}_{\varepsilon} \sup _{y \in K}\left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p} \mid .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, Lemma 1 implies

$$
\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K) \leqslant C^{p} \lambda^{p} D \frac{(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}}{\sqrt{m}} \mathbb{E}_{X} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2} \sup _{y \in K}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Since $p \geqslant 2$, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{X} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2} \sup _{y \in K}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \mathbb{E}_{X} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left\|X_{j}\right\|_{K^{o}}^{p / 2-1} \sup _{y \in K}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \quad \leqslant \kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)^{p / 2}\left(\mathbb{E}_{X} \sup _{y \in K} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leqslant \kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)^{p / 2}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)+\sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We get that $\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K) \leqslant A^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)+B\right)^{1 / 2}$ where

$$
A^{\prime}=C^{p} \lambda^{p} D \frac{(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}}{\sqrt{m}} \kappa_{p, m}^{\prime}(X, K)^{p / 2} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}
$$

which proves the announced result.
We present now a deviation inequality for the positive random variable $V_{p}(K)$ under the assumption that $|X|_{2}$ satisfies some $\psi_{\alpha}$ estimate. Mendelson and Pajor [16] studied the same deviation inequality in the case $p=2$ and $K=B_{2}^{n}$ using a symmetrization argument. Our approach is based on a concentration result of Talagrand (Theorem 6.21 in [14]).

Theorem 4. With the same notation as in Theorem 3, let $V_{p}(K)$ be the random variable

$$
\left.V_{p}(K)=\left.\sup _{y \in K}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\, .
$$

Assume that $\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}<\infty$ for some $0<\alpha \leqslant p$. Then there exists a positive constant $c_{\alpha, p}$ depending only on $\alpha$ and $p$ such that

$$
\forall t>0, \quad \mathbb{P}\left(V_{p}(K) \geqslant t\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-(t / Q)^{\alpha / p}\right)
$$

where

$$
Q=c_{\alpha, p}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)+\frac{(\log m)^{p / \alpha}}{m} D^{p}\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}^{p}\right) .
$$

Remark. Observe that in the typical case, $Q$ is of the order $\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)$ for which we may use Theorem 3. By Lemma 2 (see below),

$$
\kappa_{p, m}(X) \leqslant C(p \log m)^{1 / \alpha}\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}
$$

therefore, using Theorem 3,

$$
Q \leqslant C_{\alpha, p}\left(2 A_{1}^{2}+A_{1} \sqrt{B}\right)
$$

where

$$
A_{1}=\lambda^{p} D^{p / 2} \frac{(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}+p / 2 \alpha}}{\sqrt{m}}\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} .
$$

For the proof of this theorem, we need an elementary lemma.

Lemma 2. Let $\delta>0$ and let $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{m}$ be independent copies of a random variable $Z$. Then

$$
\left\|\max _{j=1, \ldots, m}\left|Z_{j}\right|\right\|_{\psi_{\delta}} \leqslant C \log ^{1 / \delta} m \cdot\|Z\|_{\psi_{\delta}} .
$$

Proof. Note that for any random variable $Y$ the inequality $\|Y\|_{\psi_{\delta}} \leqslant A$ is equivalent to

$$
\|Y\|_{r} \leqslant C A r^{1 / \delta}
$$

for all $r>1$. Assume that $r<\log m$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\max _{j=1, \ldots, m}\left|Z_{j}\right|\right\|_{r} & \leqslant\left\|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|Z_{j}\right|^{\log m}\right)^{1 / \log m}\right\|_{r} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|Z_{j}\right|^{\log m}\right)^{r / \log m}\right)^{1 / r} \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left|Z_{j}\right|^{\log m}\right)^{1 / \log m} \leqslant C \log ^{1 / \delta} m \cdot\|Z\|_{\psi_{\delta}}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $r>\log m$, then using $\max _{j=1, \ldots, m} a_{j} \leqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{j}^{r}\right)^{1 / r}$, we get

$$
\left\|\max _{j=1, \ldots, m}\left|Z_{j}\right|\right\|_{r} \leqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{E}\left|Z_{j}\right|^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \leqslant m^{1 / r}\|Z\|_{r} \leqslant C r^{1 / \delta} \cdot\|Z\|_{\psi_{\delta}}
$$

These two inequalities imply the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4. To any vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ we associate the function $f_{x}$ defined on $K$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x}: K & \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
y & \mapsto \frac{1}{m}\left(|\langle x, y\rangle|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $f_{X}$ be the random vector of $L_{\infty}(K)$ associated to $X$. Now we apply Theorem 6.21 of Ledoux-Talagrand [14] to $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{X_{j}}\right\|$ where the $X_{j}$ 's are independent copies of $X$. By definition,

$$
\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} f_{X_{j}}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(K)}=V_{p}(K)
$$

and

$$
\left\|f_{X}\right\|_{L_{\infty}(K)} \leqslant \frac{1}{m}\left(\sup _{y \in K}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}+\sup _{y \in K} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right) \leqslant \frac{D^{p}}{m}\left(|X|_{2}^{p}+\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{p}\right)
$$

Theorem 6.21 of Ledoux-Talagrand [14] states that if $\alpha / p \leqslant 1$, there exists a constant $c_{\alpha, p}$ depending only on $\alpha / p$ such that

$$
\left\|V_{p}(K)\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha / p}} \leqslant c_{\alpha, p}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)+\left\|\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\right\| f_{X_{j}}\left\|_{L_{\infty}(K)}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha / p}}\right) .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\right\| f_{X_{j}}\left\|_{L_{\infty}(K)}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha / p}} & \leqslant \frac{2 D^{p}}{m}\left\|_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha / p}} \\
& =\frac{2 D^{p}}{m} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2} \|_{\psi_{\alpha}}^{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}} \leqslant C(\log m)^{1 / \alpha}\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This proves that

$$
\left\|V_{p}(K)\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha / p}} \leqslant c_{\alpha, p}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}(K)+\frac{(\log m)^{p / \alpha}}{m} D^{p}\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{\alpha}}^{p}\right)
$$

The deviation inequality follows from the Chebychev inequality.

### 2.2. Construction of majorizing measures

Let us recall the assumptions of Lemma 1. The ambient space is $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with a Euclidean structure and we denote by $|\cdot|_{2}$ the norm associated. The symmetric convex body $K$ has a modulus of convexity of power type $q \geqslant 2$ with a constant $\lambda$, which means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad\left\|\frac{x+y}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q}+\lambda^{-q}\left\|\frac{x-y}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\|x\|_{K}^{q}+\|y\|_{K}^{q}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies also the inclusion $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$, which means that

$$
\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad|x|_{2} \leqslant D\|x\|_{K} .
$$

Let $p \geqslant q \geqslant 2$, and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be $m$ fixed vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the random process $V_{y}$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
V_{y}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \varepsilon_{j}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p},
$$

where $\varepsilon_{j}$ are independent symmetric Bernoulli random variables. It is well known that this process satisfies a sub-Gaussian tail estimate: $\forall y, \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \forall t>0$,

$$
P\left(\left|V_{y}-V_{\bar{y}}\right| \geqslant t\right) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(-\frac{c t^{2}}{\tilde{d}^{2}(y, \bar{y})}\right)
$$

where

$$
\tilde{d}^{2}(y, \bar{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\left|\left\langle X_{j}, \bar{y}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{2} .
$$

Instead of working with this function which is not a metric, it will be preferable to consider the following quasi-metric

$$
d^{2}(y, \bar{y})=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y-\bar{y}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left(\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+\left|\left\langle X_{j}, \bar{y}\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}\right) .
$$

The following propositions state inequalities that we will need to prove Lemma 1. Proposition 1 gives some information concerning the geometry of the balls associated to the metric $d$ and Proposition 2 explains relation between metric $d$, new Euclidean norm and the following norm defined by

$$
\|x\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, x\right\rangle\right|
$$

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(x)$ the ball of center $x$ with radius $\rho$ for the quasi-metric $d$.
Proposition 1. For all $y, \bar{y} \in K$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{d}(y, \bar{y}) \leqslant p d(y, \bar{y}),  \tag{6}\\
d(y, \bar{y}) \leqslant \sqrt{2}\|y-\bar{y}\|_{\infty} \sup _{y \in K}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}\right)^{1 / 2},  \tag{7}\\
\|y-\bar{y}\|_{\infty} \leqslant D \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}\|y-\bar{y}\|_{K} . \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

Moreover, the quasi-metric $d$ satisfies the generalized triangle inequality, and for any point $x$, the ball $\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(x)$ is a convex set: for all $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{N} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and all $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d\left(u_{1}, u_{N}\right) \leqslant 2 p \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} d\left(u_{i}, u_{i+1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad d^{2}\left(x, \frac{y+z}{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(d^{2}(x, y)+d^{2}(x, z)\right) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove it, we will need the following basic inequalities on real numbers.
Lemma 3. For every $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$and $p \geqslant 2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x^{p}-y^{p}\right| \leqslant p|x-y| \sqrt{x^{2 p-2}+y^{2 p-2}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $f(s, t)=|s-t| \sqrt{|s|^{2 p-2}+|t|^{2 p-2}}$ then for all $r_{1}, \ldots, r_{N} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f\left(r_{1}, r_{N}\right) \leqslant 2 p \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} f\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)
$$

and for all $r, s, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(r,(s+t) / 2)^{2} \leqslant\left(f(r, s)^{2}+f(r, t)^{2}\right) / 2
$$

Proof. The first inequality is straightforward. To prove the second one, consider two cases. When $r_{1} r_{N} \geqslant 0$, since

$$
\left|r_{1}-r_{N}\right| \sqrt{\left|r_{1}\right|^{2 p-2}+\left|r_{N}\right|^{2 p-2}} \leqslant\left.\sqrt{2}| | r_{1}\right|^{p}-\left|r_{N}\right|^{p} \mid
$$

the conclusion follows from the triangle inequality and inequality (10). When $r_{1} r_{N} \leqslant 0$, we can assume without loss of generality that $r_{1} \geqslant 0$ and $r_{N} \leqslant 0$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(r_{1}, r_{N}\right) & =\left(r_{1}+\left|r_{N}\right|\right) \sqrt{r_{1}^{2 p-2}+\left|r_{N}\right|^{2 p-2}} \leqslant\left(r_{1}+\left|r_{N}\right|\right)\left(r_{1}^{p-1}+\left|r_{N}\right|^{p-1}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2\left(r_{1}^{p}+\left|r_{N}\right|^{p}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $m<N$ be a number such that $r_{m} \geqslant 0$ and $r_{m+1} \leqslant 0$. Then

$$
r_{1}^{p}+\left|r_{N}\right|^{p} \leqslant\left.\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}| | r_{i}\right|^{p}-\left|r_{i+1}\right|^{p}\left|+r_{m}^{p}+\left|r_{m+1}\right|^{p}+\sum_{i=m+1}^{N-1}\right|\left|r_{i}\right|^{p}-\left|r_{i+1}\right|^{p} \mid .
$$

Combining the previous inequalities with (10), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(r_{1}, r_{N}\right) & \leqslant\left. 2 \sum_{i=1}^{m-1}| | r_{i}\right|^{p}-\left|r_{i+1}\right|^{p}\left|+2\left(r_{m}^{p}+\left|r_{m+1}\right|^{p}\right)+2 \sum_{i=m+1}^{N-1}\right|\left|r_{i}\right|^{p}-\left|r_{i+1}\right|^{p} \mid \\
& \leqslant 2 p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)+2\left(r_{m}-r_{m+1}\right)\left(r_{m}^{p-1}+\left|r_{m+1}\right|^{p-1}\right)+2 p \sum_{i=m+1}^{N-1} f\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 p \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} f\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)+2 \sqrt{2} f\left(r_{m}, r_{m+1}\right)+2 p \sum_{i=m+1}^{N-1} f\left(r_{i}, r_{i+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the announced result. The last inequality follows from the fact that for $p \geqslant 2$, the function $v \mapsto(1-v)^{2}\left(1+v^{2 p-2}\right)$ is convex on $\mathbb{R}$, which can be checked by computing the second derivative.

Proof of Proposition 1. Inequalities (6) and (9) clearly follow from the three inequalities proved in Lemma 3. Inequalities (7) and (8) follow from simple observations about $d$ and the fact that $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$.

Proposition 2. Let $M=\sup _{y \in K} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}$. For a fixed $u \in K$, we define the Euclidean norm $|\cdot| \mathcal{E}_{u}$ associated to $u$ by

$$
|z|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Then the following inequality holds for all $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ :

$$
d^{2}(z, \bar{z}) \leqslant 2 \cdot 4^{p-1}\left(|z-\bar{z}|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}+M\|z-\bar{z}\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(\|z-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}+\|\bar{z}-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof. By homogeneity of the statement, we can assume that

$$
M=\sup _{y \in K} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}=1 .
$$

For any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, let $L_{z}=\left\{\ell \in\{1, \ldots, m\}| |\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle|\geqslant 2|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle \mid\right\}$. Then by convexity of the function $t \mapsto t^{2 p-2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} & \leqslant 2^{2 p-3} \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+2^{2 p-3} \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \\
& \leqslant 2^{2 p-3} \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)},
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (since $M=1$ ) that for any $z \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \leqslant 4^{p-1}\|z-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}
$$

Hence, for any $z, \bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} & \leqslant\|z-\bar{z}\|_{\infty}^{2} \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \\
& \leqslant 4^{p-1}\|z-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}\|z-\bar{z}\|_{\infty}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $l \notin L_{z}$ we have $\left|\left\langle X_{l}, z\right\rangle\right| \leqslant 2\left|\left\langle X_{l}, u\right\rangle\right|$, so

$$
\sum_{\ell \notin L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \leqslant 4^{p-1} \sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} .
$$

The same inequalities hold if we exchange the roles of $z$ and $\bar{z}$. To compute $d^{2}\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)$, we split the sum in four parts and apply the inequalities above:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^{2}(z, \bar{z})= & \sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, \bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \\
= & \sum_{\ell \in L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+\sum_{\ell \notin L_{z}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \\
& +\sum_{\ell \in L_{\bar{z}}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, \bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}+\sum_{\ell \notin L_{\bar{z}}}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, z-\bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, \bar{z}\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leqslant 2 \cdot 4^{p-1}\left(|z-\bar{z}|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}+\|z-\bar{z}\|_{\infty}^{2}\left(\|z-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}+\|z-u\|_{K}^{2 p-2}\right)\right) .
$$

Proof of Lemma 1. By inequality (6), we may treat $V_{y}$ as a sub-Gaussian process with the quasi-metric $p \cdot d$. By homogeneity of the statement, we can assume that

$$
\sup _{y \in K} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)}=1 .
$$

Denote $Q=\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}$. We want to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|V_{y}\right| \leqslant C^{p} \lambda^{p} Q(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}} D \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Proposition 1, the diameter of the set $K$ with respect to the metric $d$ is bounded by $2 \sqrt{2} Q D$. Let $r$ be a fixed number chosen such that $r=c p^{2}$ for a large universal constant $c$ and $k_{0}$ be the largest integer such that $r^{-k_{0}} \geqslant 2 \sqrt{2} Q D$.

The proof of inequality (11) is based on the majorizing measure theory of Talagrand [26]. The following theorem is a combination of Proposition 2.3, Theorem 4.1 and Proposition 4.5 of [26]. Note that assuming that $r \geqslant 2$, one can set $K(r)=C$ in Proposition 2.3, and $K(2,1, r)=C$ in Proposition 4.5.

Theorem. [26] Let $r \geqslant 2$. Let $\phi_{k}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$for $k \geqslant k_{0}$ be a family of maps satisfying the following assumption: There exists $A>0$ such that for any point $x \in K$, for any $k \geqslant k_{0}$ and any $N \in \mathbb{N}$,
(H) for any points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{r^{-k}}(x)$ with $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geqslant r^{-k-1}, i \neq j$, we have

$$
\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} \phi_{k+2}\left(x_{i}\right) \geqslant \phi_{k}(x)+\frac{1}{A} r^{-k} \sqrt{\log N} .
$$

Then for any fixed $y_{0} \in K$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in K}\left|V_{y}-V_{y_{0}}\right| \leqslant c A \cdot \sup _{k \geqslant k_{0}, x \in K} \phi_{k}(x) .
$$

To obtain the conclusion of Lemma 1 , set $y_{0}=0$.
To complete the proof, we have to define the functionals $\phi_{k}: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Let $k_{1}$ be the smallest integer such that $r^{-k_{1}} \leqslant Q D / \sqrt{n}$. For $k \geqslant k_{1}+1$, set

$$
\phi_{k}(x)=1+\frac{1}{2 \log r}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{Q D(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}} \sum_{l=k_{1}}^{k} r^{-l} \sqrt{\log \left(1+4 Q D r^{l}\right)}
$$

Note that in this range of $k$ the functionals $\phi_{k}$ do not depend on $x$. We shall show that with this choice of $\phi_{k}$, the condition $(\mathrm{H})$ follows from the classical volumetric estimate of the covering numbers.

For $k_{0} \leqslant k \leqslant k_{1}$, the functionals $\phi_{k}$ are defined by

$$
\phi_{k}(x)=\min \left\{\|y\|_{K}^{q}, y \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k}}(x)\right\}+\frac{k-k_{0}}{\log m} .
$$

Since $q \geqslant 2$ then $1 \leqslant q^{*} \leqslant 2$ and $(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}} \geqslant \sqrt{\log m}$. It is easy to see using definitions of $k_{0}$ and $k_{1}$ that

$$
\sup _{x \in K, k \geqslant k_{0}} \phi_{k}(x) \leqslant c .
$$

We shall prove that our functionals satisfy condition (H) for

$$
A=(C \lambda)^{p} Q D(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}
$$

where $C$ is a large numerical constant. That will conclude the proof of Lemma 1 with a new constant $C$.

Proof of condition (H). Let $N \in \mathbb{N}, x \in K, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N} \in \mathcal{B}_{r^{-k}}(x)$ with $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geqslant r^{-k-1}$. We have to prove that

$$
\max _{i=1, \ldots, N} \phi_{k+2}\left(x_{i}\right)-\phi_{k}(x) \geqslant \frac{r^{-k} \sqrt{\log N}}{(C \lambda)^{p} Q D(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}} .
$$

For $k \geqslant k_{1}-1$, we always have

$$
\phi_{k+2}\left(x_{i}\right)-\phi_{k}(x) \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{n \log \left(1+4 Q D r^{k+2}\right)}}{Q D(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}} r^{-k-2} .
$$

Since the points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}$ are well separated in the metric $d$, they are also well separated in the norm $\|\cdot\|_{K}$. Indeed, by (7) and (8), we have

$$
\left\|x_{i}-x_{j}\right\|_{K} \geqslant r^{-k-1} / Q D \sqrt{2} .
$$

By the classical volumetric estimate, the maximal cardinality of a $t$-net in a convex symmetric body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|_{K}$ does not exceed $(1+2 / t)^{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\sqrt{\log N} \leqslant \sqrt{n \log \left(1+2 \sqrt{2} Q D r^{k+1}\right)}
$$

which proves the desired inequality.
The case $k_{0} \leqslant k \leqslant k_{1}-2$ is much more difficult. Our proof uses estimates of the covering numbers, in particular, the dual Sudakov inequality [20]. Recall that the covering number $N\left(W,\|\cdot\|_{X}, t\right)$ is the minimal cardinality of $\|\cdot\|_{X}$-balls of radius $t$ needed to cover the $W$.

For $j=1, \ldots, N$ denote by $z_{j} \in K$ the points which satisfy

$$
\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{K}^{q}=\min \left\{\|y\|_{K}^{q}, \quad y \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k-2}}\left(x_{j}\right)\right\} .
$$

Denote by $u \in K$ a point such that $\|u\|_{K}^{q}=\min \left\{\|y\|_{K}^{q}, y \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k}}(x)\right\}$. Set

$$
\theta=\max _{j}\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{K}^{q}-\|u\|_{K}^{q}
$$

Then we have $\max _{j} \phi_{k+2}\left(x_{j}\right)-\phi_{k}(x)=\theta+2 /(\log m)$. We shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta+\frac{2}{\log m} \geqslant r^{-k} \sqrt{\log N} / A \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geqslant r^{-k-1}, z_{l} \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k-2}}\left(x_{l}\right)$, and $d$ satisfies a generalized triangle inequality, the points $\left(z_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant N}$ remain well separated. Indeed,

$$
r^{-k-1} \leqslant d\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \leqslant 2 p\left(d\left(x_{i}, z_{i}\right)+d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)+d\left(z_{j}, x_{j}\right)\right) \leqslant 2 p d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)+16 p^{2} r^{-k-2}
$$

and since $r=c p^{2}$, we have

$$
d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geqslant r^{-k-1} / c p
$$

for all $i \neq j$. Recall that $r=c p^{2}$. Using again the generalized triangle inequality, we get that

$$
d\left(x, z_{j}\right) \leqslant 2 p\left(d\left(x, x_{j}\right)+d\left(x_{j}, z_{j}\right)\right) \leqslant 2 p\left(r^{-k}+4 p r^{-k-2}\right) \leqslant 4 p r^{-k}
$$

It means that $z_{j} \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k}}(x), u \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k}}(x)$, and the convexity of the balls for the quasi-metric $d$ proved in Proposition 1 implies $\left(u+z_{j}\right) / 2 \in \mathcal{B}_{4 p r^{-k}}(x)$. Since $K$ has modulus of convexity of power type $q$, inequality (5) holds. By the definition of $u$, we get that for all $j=1, \ldots, N$,

$$
\lambda^{-q}\left\|\frac{z_{j}-u}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{K}^{q}+\|u\|_{K}^{q}\right)-\left\|\frac{z_{j}+u}{2}\right\|_{K}^{q} \leqslant \frac{\left\|z_{j}\right\|_{K}^{q}-\|u\|_{K}^{q}}{2} \leqslant \frac{\theta}{2} .
$$

This proves that $\forall j=1, \ldots, N,\left\|z_{j}-u\right\|_{K} \leqslant 2 \lambda \theta^{1 / q}$. Let $\delta>0$. Consider the set

$$
U=u+2 \lambda \theta^{1 / q} K
$$

which contains all the $z_{j}$ 's and let $S$ be the maximal number of points in $U$ that are $2 \delta$ separated in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$. Then $U$ is covered by $S$ subsets of diameter smaller than $2 \delta$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ metric, and so $S \leqslant N\left(U,\|\cdot\|_{\infty}, 2 \delta\right)$. Set

$$
\delta=\tilde{c}^{p} \lambda^{1-p} r^{-k} \theta^{1 / q-1}
$$

where the constant $\tilde{c}$ will be chosen later. Since $U=u+2 \lambda \theta^{1 / q} K$ and $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$, the dual Sudakov inequality [20] implies

$$
\sqrt{\log S} \leqslant \sqrt{\log N\left(B_{2}^{n},\|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \delta / D \lambda \theta^{1 / q}\right)} \leqslant c D \lambda \theta^{1 / q} \mathbb{E}\|G\|_{\infty} / \delta
$$

Here $G$ denotes a standard Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. It is well known that

$$
\mathbb{E}\|G\|_{\infty}=\mathbb{E} \max _{j=1, \ldots, m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, G\right\rangle\right| \leqslant c Q \sqrt{\log m}
$$

We consider now two cases.
First, assume that $S \geqslant \sqrt{N}$. Then by previous estimate and the definition of $\delta$, we get

$$
\sqrt{\log N} \leqslant c Q \lambda D \sqrt{\log m} \theta^{1 / q} / \delta \leqslant \theta \tilde{c}^{p} r^{k} Q \lambda^{p} D \sqrt{\log m}
$$

which easily proves (12) (since $q^{*} \leqslant 2$ ).
The second case is when $S \leqslant \sqrt{N}$. Since $U$ is covered by $S$ balls of diameter smaller than $2 \delta$ in $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$, there exists a subset $J$ of $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ with $\# J \geqslant \sqrt{N}$ such that

$$
\forall i, j \in J, \quad\left\|z_{i}-z_{j}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant 2 \delta .
$$

By Proposition 2 applied to the Euclidean norm defined by

$$
|y|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)},
$$

we get that

$$
d^{2}\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \leqslant 2 \cdot 4^{p-1}\left(\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}+4^{p} \lambda^{2 p-2} \theta^{(2 p-2) / q} \delta^{2}\right)
$$

Since $\theta \leqslant 1$ and $q \leqslant p$, the definition of $\delta$ implies

$$
4^{2 p} \lambda^{2 p-2} \theta^{(2 p-2) / q} \delta^{2} \leqslant(4 \tilde{c})^{2 p} r^{-2 k} \theta^{2(p / q-1)} \leqslant(4 \tilde{c})^{2 p} r^{-2 k} .
$$

Recall that $d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right) \geqslant r^{-k-1} / c p$ and $r=c p^{2}$. Hence,

$$
r^{-2 k} / c p^{6} \leqslant d\left(z_{i}, z_{j}\right)^{2} \leqslant 2 \cdot 4^{p-1}\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}+2(4 \tilde{c})^{2 p} r^{-2 k}
$$

Choosing $\tilde{c}$ small enough, we get that for all $i, j \in J$,

$$
\left|z_{i}-z_{j}\right| \mathcal{E}_{u} \geqslant r^{-k-1} c^{p}
$$

Since $K \subset D B_{2}^{n}$, we have the following estimate for the covering numbers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\# J \leqslant N\left(U,|\cdot| \mathcal{E}_{u}, c^{p} r^{-k-1}\right) & =N\left(K,|\cdot| \mathcal{E}_{u}, c^{p} r^{-k-1} / 2 \lambda \theta^{1 / q}\right) \\
& \leqslant N\left(B_{2}^{n},|\cdot| \mathcal{E}_{u}, c^{p} r^{-k-1} / 2 \lambda \theta^{1 / q} D\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $G$ denotes a standard Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By the dual Sudakov inequality [20], we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{\log N\left(B_{2}^{n},|\cdot| \mathcal{E}_{u}, \frac{c^{p} r^{-k-1}}{2 \lambda D \theta^{1 / q}}\right)} & \leqslant C^{p} r^{k+1} \theta^{1 / q} \lambda D \mathbb{E}|G|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}} \\
& \leqslant C^{p} r^{k+1} \theta^{1 / q} \lambda D\left(\mathbb{E}|G|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since for all $y \in K, \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \leqslant 1$, we obtain

$$
\mathbb{E}|G|_{\mathcal{E}_{u}}^{2}=\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left|X_{\ell}\right|_{2}^{2}\left|\left\langle X_{\ell}, u\right\rangle\right|^{2(p-1)} \leqslant Q^{2}
$$

Since $\# J \geqslant \sqrt{N}$, we have $\sqrt{\log N} \leqslant C^{p} r^{k+1} \lambda D Q \theta^{1 / q}$ with a universal constant $C$. Moreover, by Young's inequality

$$
\theta^{1 / q} \leqslant(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}\left(\theta / q+1 /\left(q^{*} \log m\right)\right)
$$

and since $q^{*} \leqslant 2 \leqslant q$ and $\lambda \geqslant 1$, we get

$$
\sqrt{\log N} \leqslant(C \lambda)^{p} r^{k+1} D Q(\log m)^{1 / q^{*}}\left(\theta+\frac{2}{\log m}\right)
$$

This completes the proof of (12) and the proof of condition (H) for the functionals $\phi_{k}$.

## 3. Approximate Lewis decomposition

It is well known that if $E$ is an $n$-dimensional subspace of $L_{p}$, then $E$ is $(1+\varepsilon)$-isomorphic to an $n$-dimensional subspace of $\ell_{p}^{N}$ with $N$ depending on $n, p$ and $\varepsilon$. Lewis [15] proved that any linear subspace $E$ of $\ell_{p}^{N}$ possesses a special decomposition of the identity. More precisely, there exists a Euclidean structure on $E$ with the scalar product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$, vectors $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{N} \in E$ and scalars $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{N}>0$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall i, \quad\left\langle y_{i}, y_{i}\right\rangle=1 \\
\|x\|_{E}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i}\left|\left\langle x, y_{i}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}, \quad \forall x \in E, \\
\mathrm{Id}_{E}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} c_{i} y_{i} \otimes y_{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Denote by $\left(H,|\cdot|_{H}\right)$ the linear space $E$ equipped with this Euclidean structure. Recall that $p^{*}$ denotes the conjugate of $p$. In the following theorem, we prove that both spaces $E$ and $H$ can be $(1+\varepsilon)$-embedded in $\ell_{p}^{m}$ and $\ell_{2}^{m}$ respectively via the same linear operator

$$
T: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}
$$

whenever $m$ is of the order of $\varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log ^{2 / p^{*}}\left(n / \varepsilon^{4 / p}\right)$. This extends a classical result of Bourgain, Lindenstrauss and Milman [4] (and [14] for a better dependance on $\varepsilon$ ) and some results in [22] concerning the number of contact points of a convex body needed to approximate the identity decomposition.

Theorem 5. Let $E$ be an n-dimensional subspace of $L_{p}$ for some $p \geqslant 2$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a Euclidean structure $H=(E,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle)$ on $E$ and $m$ points $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ in $E$ with

$$
m \leqslant \frac{C^{p}}{\varepsilon^{2}} n^{p / 2} \log ^{2 / p^{*}}\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon^{4 / p}}\right) \leqslant \frac{C^{p}}{\varepsilon^{2}} n^{p / 2} \log ^{2}\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon^{4 / p}}\right)
$$

such that $\forall j,\left|x_{j}\right|_{H}=1$ and for all $y \in E$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(1-\varepsilon)\|y\|_{E} \leqslant\left(\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle y, x_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant(1+\varepsilon)\|y\|_{E}, \\
(1-\varepsilon)|y|_{H} \leqslant\left(\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle y, x_{j}\right\rangle\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant(1+\varepsilon)|y|_{H}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Let $X$ be the random vector taking values $y_{i}$ with probability $c_{i} / n$. Then for all $y \in E$,

$$
\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}=\|y\|_{E}^{p} / n \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{2}=|y|_{H}^{2} / n \quad \text { and } \quad|X|_{H}=1 .
$$

We will apply Theorem 1 twice: first time for the unit ball of $E$, and then for the unit ball of $H$.

Since $E$ is a subspace of $L_{p}$, by Clarkson's inequality [5], $B_{E}$ has modulus of convexity of power type $p$ with constant $\lambda=1$. From Lewis decomposition, we get $\|y\|_{E} \leqslant|y|_{H} \leqslant$ $n^{1 / 2-1 / p}\|y\|_{E}$ which means that for $D=n^{1 / 2-1 / p}$,

$$
B_{H} \subset B_{E} \subset D B_{H}
$$

Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent copies of $X$, then

$$
\sup _{y \in B_{E}} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}=1 / n \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa_{p, m}(X)=\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{H}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}=1 .
$$

Applying Theorem 1 with $\delta=\varepsilon$, we get that if $m \geqslant C^{p} n^{p / 2}(\log m)^{2 / p^{*}} / \varepsilon^{2}$, then

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in B_{E}}\left|\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\|y\|_{E}^{p} \right\rvert\, \leqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Now, we apply Theorem 1 for $K=B_{H}$ which clearly has modulus of convexity of power type 2 (i.e. satisfies inequality (5) for $q=2$ ). In that case, $D=1$, and

$$
\sup _{|y|_{H} \leqslant 1} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{2}=1 / n \quad \text { and } \quad \kappa_{2, m}(X)=\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=1
$$

Applying Theorem 1 for $q=p=2$ and $\delta=\varepsilon$, we get that if $m \geqslant C^{2} n \log m / \varepsilon^{2}$,

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{|y|_{H} \leqslant 1}\left|\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2}-|y|_{H}^{2} \right\rvert\, \leqslant \varepsilon .
$$

Choosing the smallest integer $m$ such that, for a new constant $\tilde{C}$,

$$
m \geqslant \frac{\tilde{C}^{p}}{\varepsilon^{2}} n^{p / 2}\left(\log n / \varepsilon^{4 / p}\right)^{2 / p^{*}}
$$

we get by Chebychev's inequality that there exist $m$ vectors $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ of Euclidean norm 1 such that for all $y \in E$,

$$
\left.\left.\left|\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle x_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\|y\|_{E}^{p} \right\rvert\, \leqslant \varepsilon\|y\|_{E}^{p}
$$

and

$$
\left.\left|\frac{n}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle x_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{2}-\left.|y|_{H}^{2}|\leqslant \varepsilon| y\right|_{H} ^{2}
$$

which gives the desired result.

## 4. Isotropic log-concave vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$

We investigate the case of $X$ being an isotropic log-concave vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or also a vector uniformly distributed in an isotropic convex body). Let us recall some definitions and classical facts about log-concave measures. A probability measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be log-concave if for every compact sets $A, B$, and every $\lambda \in[0,1], \mu(\lambda A+(1-\lambda) B) \geqslant \mu(A)^{\lambda} \mu(B)^{1-\lambda}$. There is always a Euclidean structure $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ for which this measure is isotropic, i.e. for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\langle x, y\rangle^{2} d \mu(x)=|y|_{2}^{2}
$$

A particular case of a log-concave probability measure is the normalized uniform (Lebesgue) measure on a convex body. Borell's inequality [2] (see also [17,18]) implies that the linear functionals $x \mapsto\langle x, y\rangle$ satisfy Khintchine type inequalities with respect to log-concave probability measures. Namely, if $p \geqslant 2$, then for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C p\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in other words

$$
\|\langle\cdot, y\rangle\|_{\psi_{1}} \leqslant C\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We have stated in (3) that it is easy to deduce some information about the parameter $\kappa_{p, m}(X)$ from the behavior of the moment $M_{s}$ of order $s=\max (p, \log m)$ of the Euclidean norm of the random vector $X$. These moments were studied for a random vector uniformly distributed in an isotropic 1-unconditional convex body in [1], and for a vector uniformly distributed in the unit ball of a Schatten trace class in [11], where it was proved that when $s \leqslant c \sqrt{n}, M_{s}$ is of the same order as $M_{2}$ (up to constant not depending on $s$ ). Very recently, Paouris [19] proved that the same statement is valid for any log-concave isotropic random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. We state precisely his result.

Theorem. [19] There exist constants $c, C>0$ such that for any log-concave isotropic random vector $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, for any $p \leqslant c \sqrt{n}$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

From this sharp estimate, we will deduce the following
Lemma 4. Let $X$ be an isotropic log-concave random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $\left(X_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}$ be independent copies of $X$. If $m \leqslant e^{c \sqrt{n}}$, then for any $p \geqslant 2$,

$$
\kappa_{p, m}(X)=\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \begin{cases}C \sqrt{n} & \text { if } p \leqslant \log m \\ C p \sqrt{n} & \text { if } p \geqslant \log m\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $X$ is isotropic, and for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}=|y|_{2}^{2}$, we get $\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{2}=n$. By Borell's inequality [2], $\forall q \geqslant 2,\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{q}\right)^{1 / q} \leqslant C q \sqrt{n}$. Therefore if $p \geqslant \log m$,

$$
\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E} \sum_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C p m^{1 / p} \sqrt{n} \leqslant C p \sqrt{n} .
$$

If $p \leqslant \log m$, by (3),

$$
\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant e\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{\log m}\right)^{1 / \log m}
$$

Since $m \leqslant e^{c \sqrt{n}}, \log m \leqslant c \sqrt{n}$, the theorem of Paouris implies

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}|X|_{2}^{\log m}\right)^{1 / \log m} \leqslant C \sqrt{n}
$$

which concludes the proof of the lemma.
Corollary 1. Let $X$ be an isotropic log-concave random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $\left(X_{j}\right)_{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}$ be independent copies of $X$. Then for every $m \leqslant e^{c \sqrt{n}}$,

$$
\left\|\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leqslant C \sqrt{n}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4, we know that

$$
\forall r \geqslant 2, \quad\left(\mathbb{E} \max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}\left|X_{j}\right|_{2}^{r}\right)^{1 / r} \leqslant C r \sqrt{n}
$$

which proves the claimed estimate for the $\psi_{1}$-norm.
Remark. Recall that for a random isotropic log-concave vector, Borell's inequality implies that

$$
\left\||X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leqslant C \sqrt{n}
$$

Therefore, a direct application of Lemma 2 is not enough to obtain the desired estimate.

We are now able to give a proof of Theorem 2. It is based on the estimates of $\kappa_{p, m}(X)$ proved above.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ and $p \geqslant 2$ and set $n_{0}(\varepsilon, p)=c_{p}+\varepsilon^{-4 / p}$ where $c_{p}$ depends only on $p$. For any $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, p)$, for any log-concave isotropic random vector $X$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, set

$$
\left.V_{p}=\left.\sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\,,
$$

where $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ are independent copies of $X$. Assume that $p \leqslant \log m$ and $m \leqslant e^{c \sqrt{n}}$ then by Lemma 4, we know that

$$
\kappa_{p, m}(X)^{p} \leqslant c_{1}^{p} n^{p / 2}
$$

We shall use Theorem 1 with $K=B_{2}^{n}$ which is uniformly convex of power type 2 with constant 1 and for which $D=1$. By (13),

$$
1 \leqslant \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}} \mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \leqslant p^{p}
$$

therefore Theorem 1 implies that for every $\delta \in(0,1)$, satisfying $C^{p}{ }_{n}{ }^{p / 2}(\log m) \leqslant \delta^{2} m$, we have

$$
\left.\left.\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}}\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p} \right\rvert\, \leqslant 2 \delta p^{p}
$$

By taking $\delta$ such that $2 \delta p^{p}=\varepsilon$, we deduce that

$$
\text { if } m \geqslant C_{p}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log \left(n \varepsilon^{-4 / p}\right) \text { then } \mathbb{E} V_{p} \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

Since $n \geqslant n_{0}(\varepsilon, p)$, it is easy to see that if

$$
m=\left\lfloor C_{p} \varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log n\right\rfloor,
$$

then $m \geqslant C_{p}^{\prime} \varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log \left(n \varepsilon^{-4 / p}\right), m \leqslant e^{c \sqrt{n}}$ and $p \leqslant \log m$ which allows us to use the estimate $\mathbb{E} V_{p} \leqslant \varepsilon$.

To get a deviation inequality for $V_{p}$, we will apply a result similar to Theorem 4. We know by Corollary 1 that

$$
\left\|\max _{1 \leqslant j \leqslant m}|X|_{2}\right\|_{\psi_{1}} \leqslant C \sqrt{n}
$$

Following the proof of Theorem 4 and replacing inequality (4) by the previous estimate, we easily see that

$$
\left\|V_{p}\right\|_{\psi_{1 / p}} \leqslant C_{p}\left(\mathbb{E} V_{p}+\frac{2 n^{p / 2}}{m}\right)
$$

Since $\left\lfloor C_{p} \varepsilon^{-2} n^{p / 2} \log n\right\rfloor=m$ then

$$
\mathbb{E} V_{p} \leqslant \varepsilon \quad \text { and } \quad 2 n^{p / 2} / m \leqslant \varepsilon
$$

and we deduce from the Chebychev inequality that for any $t>0$,

$$
\mathbb{P}\left(V_{p} \geqslant t\right) \leqslant C \exp \left(-\left(t / C_{p}^{\prime} \varepsilon\right)^{1 / p}\right)
$$

Therefore, for any $t \geqslant \varepsilon$, with probability greater than $1-C \exp \left(-\left(t / C_{p}^{\prime} \varepsilon\right)^{1 / p}\right), V_{p} \leqslant t$ which means that

$$
\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n},\left.\quad\left|\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\right|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}-\left.\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}|\leqslant t| y\right|_{2} ^{p}
$$

Since $|y|_{2}=\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$, we get the claimed result of Theorem 2.
Remark. Since by Borell's inequality (13), for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
|y|_{2}=\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant\left(\mathbb{E}|\langle X, y\rangle|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant C p\left(\mathbb{E}\langle X, y\rangle^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=C p|y|_{2},
$$

it is clear that Theorem 2 improves the results of Giannopoulos and Milman [8].

## 5. When the linear functionals associated to the random vector $X$ satisfy a $\psi_{2}$-condition

Let start this section considering the case when $X$ is a Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $X_{j}, j=$ $1, \ldots, m$, be independent copies of $X$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ denote by $X_{t, y}$ the Gaussian random variable

$$
X_{t, y}=\sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{j}\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle
$$

Observe that if $p^{*}$ denotes the conjugate of $p$, then

$$
\sup _{t \in B_{p^{*}}^{m}} X_{t, y}=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Let $Z$ and $Y$ be Gaussian vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ respectively. Using Gordon's inequalities [9], it is easy to show that whenever $\mathbb{E}|Z|_{p} \geqslant \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbb{E}|Y|_{2}$ (i.e. for a universal constant $c$, $m \geqslant c^{p} p^{p / 2} \varepsilon^{-p} n^{p / 2}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}|Z|_{p}-\mathbb{E}|Y|_{2} & \leqslant \mathbb{E} \inf _{y \in S^{n-1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant \mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in S^{n-1}}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \leqslant \mathbb{E}|Z|_{p}+\mathbb{E}|Y|_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\mathbb{E}|Z|_{p}+\mathbb{E}|Y|_{2}\right) /\left(\mathbb{E}|Z|_{p}-\mathbb{E}|Y|_{2}\right) \leqslant(1+\varepsilon) /(1-\varepsilon)$. It is therefore possible to get (with high probability with respect to the dimension $n$, see [10]) a family of $m$ random vectors $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ such that for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
A|y|_{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant A \frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}|y|_{2} .
$$

This argument significantly improves the bound on $m$ in Theorem 2 for Gaussian random vectors.
In this part we will be interested in isomorphic moment estimates (instead of almost isometric as in Theorem 2). We will be able to extend the estimate for the Gaussian random vector to random vector $X$ satisfying the $\psi_{2}$ condition for linear functionals $y \mapsto\langle X, y\rangle$ with the same dependance on $m$.

Recall that a random variable $Z$ satisfies the $\psi_{2}$ condition if and only if for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp (\lambda Z) \leqslant 2 \exp \left(c \lambda^{2} \cdot\|Z\|_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

We prove the following
Theorem 6. Let $X$ be an isotropic random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that all functionals $y \mapsto\langle X, y\rangle$ satisfy the $\psi_{2}$ condition. Let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}$ be independent copies of $X$. Then for every $p \geqslant 2$ and every $m \geqslant n^{p / 2}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c \sqrt{p}
$$

Note that the results of Part 3 of [8] follow immediately from Theorem 6, since the random vector with independent $\pm 1$ coordinates satisfies the $\psi_{2}$ condition for scalar products.

Proof. Since $X$ is isotropic,

$$
\|\langle X, y\rangle\|_{\psi_{2}} \leqslant c\|\langle X, y\rangle\|_{2}=c|y| .
$$

Hence, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp \lambda\langle X, y\rangle \leqslant 2 e^{c \lambda^{2}|y|_{2}^{2}}
$$

Writing

$$
\Delta=X_{t, y}-X_{t^{\prime}, y^{\prime}}=\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left(\left(t_{j}-t_{j}^{\prime}\right)\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle+t_{j}^{\prime}\left\langle X_{j}, y-y^{\prime}\right\rangle\right)
$$

it is easy to find a new constant $c \geqslant 1$ such that for every $t, t^{\prime} \in B_{p^{*}}^{m}, y, y^{\prime} \in B_{2}^{n}$ and every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

$$
\mathbb{E} \exp (\lambda \Delta) \leqslant 2 e^{c \lambda^{2}\left(\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)}
$$

This means that $\|\Delta\|_{\psi_{2}} \leqslant c\left(\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$, and so $X_{t, y}$ is a sub-Gaussian random process with respect to the distance

$$
d\left((t, y) ;\left(t^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left(\left|t-t^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}+\left|y-y^{\prime}\right|_{2}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Let $G_{t, y}=\langle Z, t\rangle+\langle Y, y\rangle$, where $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are two independent Gaussian vectors. Then

$$
\left(\mathbb{E}\left|G_{t, y}-G_{t^{\prime}, y^{\prime}}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=d\left((t, y) ;\left(t^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

The natural metric for the random process $X_{t, y}$ is bounded by the metric of the process $G_{t, y}$. The Majorizing Measure Theorem of Talagrand [26] implies that

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{(t, y) \in V} X_{t, y} \leqslant C \sup _{(t, y) \in V} G_{t, y}
$$

for any compact set $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{m^{1 / p}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{t \in B_{p^{*}}^{m}} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{m} t_{j}\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle \leqslant \frac{C}{m^{1 / p}} \mathbb{E} \sup _{t \in B_{p^{*}}^{m}} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}} G_{t, y} \\
& \quad=\frac{C}{m^{1 / p}}\left(\mathbb{E}|Z|_{p}+\mathbb{E}|Y|_{2}\right) \leqslant C\left(\sqrt{p}+\frac{\sqrt{n}}{m^{1 / p}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that if $m \geqslant n^{p / 2}$, then

$$
\mathbb{E} \sup _{y \in B_{2}^{n}}\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c \sqrt{p}
$$

as claimed.
Remark. Let $X$ be an isotropic random vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ satisfying the $\psi_{2}$ estimate for the scalar products. It is not difficult to see, using Corollary 2.7 in [8], that if $m \geqslant C n$, then with probability greater than $3 / 4$

$$
c_{2}|y|_{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$

for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Therefore, using Theorem 6 , it is easy to deduce that if $m \geqslant n^{p / 2}$, then with probability greater than $1 / 2$

$$
\forall y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \quad c_{2}|y|_{2} \leqslant\left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|\left\langle X_{j}, y\right\rangle\right|^{p}\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c_{1} \sqrt{p}|y|_{2}
$$

with universal constants $c_{1}, c_{2} \geqslant 1$. This generalizes results of [8] and gives an isomorphic version of the result of Klartag and Mendelson [13] valid for every $p \geqslant 2$.
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