Cryo-EM Structure of the Archaeal 50S Ribosomal Subunit in Complex with Initiation Factor 6 and Implications for Ribosome Evolution
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Translation of mRNA into proteins by the ribosome is universally conserved in all cellular life. The composition and complexity of the translation machinery differ markedly between the three domains of life. Organisms from the domain Archaea show an intermediate level of complexity, sharing several additional components of the translation machinery with eukaryotes that are absent in bacteria. One of these translation factors is initiation factor 6 (IF6), which associates with the large ribosomal subunit. We have reconstructed the 50S ribosomal subunit from the archaeon Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus in complex with archaeal IF6 at 6.6 Å resolution using cryo-electron microscopy (EM). The structure provides detailed architectural insights into the 50S ribosomal subunit from a methanogenic archaeon through identification of the rRNA expansion segments and ribosomal proteins that are shared between this archaeal ribosome and eukaryotic ribosomes but are mostly absent in bacteria and in some archaeal lineages. Furthermore, the structure reveals that, in spite of highly divergent evolutionary trajectories of the ribosomal particle and the acquisition of novel functions of IF6 in eukaryotes, the molecular binding of IF6 on the ribosome is conserved between eukaryotes and archaea. The structure also provides a snapshot of the reductive evolution of the archaeal ribosome and offers new insights into the evolution of the translation system in archaea.
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50 proteins. Even though both archaeal and bacterial ribosomes sediment at 70S, the archaeal translation system is more closely related to its eukaryotic counterpart than to the bacterial one. The comparative similarity of the archaeal and eukaryotic translation systems is reflected in phylogenetic trees based on rRNA sequence comparison.

The ribosomal proteome exhibits considerable diversity across the archaeal domain of life. Ribosomes of species belonging to the phylum Crenarchaeota contain the highest number of ribosomal proteins that are shared between Archaea and Eukaryota. Within the phylum Eurarchaeota, there seems to be a gradual reduction of the number of shared ribosomal proteins, with early-branching lineages, such as Pyrococcus, retaining more ribosomal proteins than late-branching ones, such as Halobacterium. This is an example of domain-scale reductive evolution. Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus, which belongs to the Methanobacteria, is located at an intermediate stage, both phylogenetically and in terms of its ribosomal protein content. The sole high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) structure of an archaeal ribosomal subunit available so far is that of the late-branching halophilic euryarchaeon Halocarcula marismortui, which is among the most strongly reduced archaeal ribosomes in terms of its protein and rRNA content. Many archaeal organisms are extremophiles, such as (hyper)thermophiles, acidophiles, or halophiles. Therefore, some of the proteomic diversity of archaeal ribosomes might reflect adaptations of the biological macromolecules to these extreme lifestyles, highlighting the need for experimental study of archaeal ribosomes to understand how the ribosome may adapt to such conditions.

The archaeal and eukaryotic translation systems share several translation initiation factors that are absent in bacteria, such as initiation factor 6 (IF6). IF6 was initially characterized as a molecular antiassociation factor inhibiting ribosomal subunit joining and a homozygous deletion of IF6 in mice is embryonic lethal, highlighting the importance of IF6 for the eukaryotic protein synthesis machinery. Current data indicate that IF6 has more than one major cellular function in eukaryotes: (1) In mammalian cells, IF6 is required in vivo for the stimulation of translation initiation in response to growth factor signaling, which affects global translation regulation and cell proliferation. In this role, the anti-association activity of IF6 may be required to avoid the sequestration of large ribosomal subunits into unproductive 80S complexes, thereby keeping them available for translation. (2) In both yeast and higher eukaryotes, IF6 is involved in ribosome biogenesis, more specifically in pre-rRNA processing and ribosome export. (3) More recently, IF6 has been shown to aid in vitro ribosome recycling by the ABC family protein ABCE1. The role of IF6 in this process in vivo remains to be determined. The cellular function of the archaeal homolog aIF6 is considerably less well understood. The expression of aIF6 has been reported to be upregulated under stress conditions, such as cold and heat shock. Therefore, it has been suggested that IF6 originally served to regulate translation under unfavorable conditions in organisms ancestral to both eukaryotes and archaea and acquired additional functions after the split of the eukaryotic and archaeal lineages. As in eukaryotes, an ABCE1-dependent ribosome recycling pathway has also been described in archaea, and an involvement of aIF6 in this process has been proposed. Interestingly, the activities of both aIF6 and eIF6 seem to be modulated by posttranslational modifications: Phosphorylation of eIF6 is required for the regulation of the nucleocytoplasmic shuttling of the factor, while an uncharacterized chemical modification seems to accompany release of aIF6 from the 50S subunit.

The high-resolution X-ray structures of aIF6 and eIF6 in isolation revealed a pentenoid, the arrangement of five quasi-identical αβαβα- subdomains around a 5-fold axis of pseudo-symmetry. More recently, the structure of eIF6 bound to the eukaryotic 60S ribosomal subunit has been solved by both cryo-EM and X-ray crystallography. These structures show yeast and Tetrahymena thermophila eIF6 bound to rpl23e in the sarcin–ricin loop region at the edge of the interface side of the 60S subunit. This localization on the 60S ribosomal subunit is inhibitory to intersubunit bridge formation and explains the mechanism of the eIF6-mediated inhibition of subunit joining by steric exclusion of the small ribosomal subunit. No 3D structural information on the archaeal 50S–aIF6 complex is available so far. The binding site of aIF6 has been localized to a similar region of the large ribosomal subunit by protein–protein interaction data and RNA footprinting. However, RNA footprinting data indicating that aIF6 is located in proximity to rRNA helix 69 suggested that aIF6 utilizes a different interaction interface with the 50S ribosomal subunit compared to eIF6.

We have reconstructed the 3D structure of the naturally purified 50S–aIF6 complex from the methanogenic euryarchaeon M. thermautotrophicus using single-particle cryo-EM, revealing that the IF6 binding site on the large ribosomal subunit is highly conserved between the archaeal and eukaryotic domains of life. Furthermore, with the availability of high-resolution crystallographic data for the eukaryotic 60S ribosomal subunit, the molecular interpretation of the M. thermautotrophicus 50S ribosomal subunit has now become possible also for protein and rRNA components not present in H. marismortui, enabling us to gain insight into the
structural changes that accompany the evolution of the archaeal ribosome.

**Results**

The purification and biochemical analysis of *M. thermautotrophicus* ribosomes reveal the isolation of a native 50S–aIF6 complex

To obtain new insights into the conservation of archaeal ribosomes and their interaction with translation factors, we purified ribosomal subunits from *M. thermautotrophicus* for structural analysis. *M. thermautotrophicus* is a halotolerant, thermophilic methanogen, growing ideally at temperatures ranging from 65 °C to 72 °C under anoxic conditions. The preparation of ribosomal particles from *M. thermautotrophicus* resulted in the purification of separated 30S and 50S ribosomal subunits, without formation of a significant fraction of 70S ribosomes even at low monovalent salt and high magnesium concentrations, which strongly favor 70S formation in bacterial systems (Fig. 1a). We therefore concluded that ribosomes were present predominantly as dissociated or only weakly associated subunits in the cell lysate, as observed previously for ribosomal samples from Crenarchaeota. The protein content of the ribosomal preparations obtained under standard conditions was then analyzed by LC-MS/MS (liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry) (Fig. 1b). Consistent with the prevalence of dissociated ribosomal subunits in our preparations of *M. thermautotrophicus* ribosomes, the anti-association conditions...
factor aIF6 was found with several unique peptides (not shown). Therefore, this ribosome preparation provided an opportunity to study a natively purified archaeal 50S–aIF6 complex. Along with aIF6, our mass spectrometry analysis identified approximately 90% of the known *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S ribosomal subunit proteins (Table S1). Co-purification of aIF6 with archaeal ribosomes has also been reported previously for other species.7,33

3D reconstruction of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S ribosomal subunit by single-particle cryo-EM

To determine the structure of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S ribosomal subunit using single-particle cryo-EM, we imaged the specimen in frozen-hydrated state using a FEI Tecnai F20 electron microscope. From 553 exposures on a CCD camera, we extracted a data set of 101,438 particle images. The data set was subjected to alignment onto reference projections, multivariate statistical analysis, and 3D reconstruction using the angular reconstitution approach, followed by higher-resolution refinement by projection matching (see Methods). The resulting cryo-EM map shows clear density for bound aIF6 (Fig. 2a), with density values comparable to ribosomal proteins, suggesting a high occupancy of the 50S subunit with the bound factor. This indicates that the preparation of ribosomal subunits from *M. thermautotrophicus* indeed yielded a native 50S–aIF6 complex. The initial reconstruction indicated that the 50S subunits exhibit preferential orientation on the cryo-EM grid. Therefore, we limited the number of particle images entering the 3D reconstruction step for each reference projection during the refinement of the reconstruction to higher resolution to avoid reconstruction artifacts.34 The resulting cryo-EM map of the 50S–aIF6 complex is at 6.6 Å resolution according to the FSC=0.5 criterion (Fig. 2b; Fig. S1) and shows density features typical for subnanometer cryo-EM reconstructions, such as rRNA major and minor grooves and tubular density for protein α-helices.
major and minor grooves, as well as tubular densities corresponding to protein α-helices (Fig. 2c and d).

The ribosomal binding site of IF6 is conserved across the archaeal and eukaryotic domains of life

The cryo-EM density fitted with the high-resolution structure of the H. marismortui 50S subunit [Protein Data Bank (PDB) ID: 3CC2] and a homology model of the M. thermoautotrophicus IF6 generated from the Methanococcus jannaschii IF6 X-ray crystal structure (PDB ID: 1G61) allowed the unambiguous assignment of the rotational position of IF6 on the archaeal 50S subunit by both visual inspection (Fig. 3a and b) and cross-correlation (Table S2). The rotational orientation of the pseudo-5-fold symmetrical factor on the archaeal large ribosomal subunit is identical with the orientation of eukaryotic eIF6 in 60S–eIF6 complexes. In agreement with protein–protein interaction data, IF6 forms a large contact surface with its main binding partner rpL14p (rpL23e in eukaryotes) (Fig. 3c and d). The IF6 cryo-EM density also shows smaller and weaker connections to ribosomal proteins rpL3p and rpL24e and to the base of the highly conserved sarcin–ricin loop of the 23S rRNA (Fig. 3c and d; Fig. S2). The comparison of the binding surface of IF6 on archaeal rpL14p and eukaryotic rpL23e reveals a conserved patch of interacting amino acids on both interaction partners (Fig. 4a and b). The remainder of the binding surface shows considerable sequence variability, mostly due to variability of the archaeal sequences (Fig. 4c and d).
Analysis of the protein and rRNA content of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit

Apart from the density for the bound aIF6, the cryo-EM reconstruction of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit shows several other areas of additional density compared to the *H. marismortui* X-ray crystal structure, reflecting the presence of extensions of the 23S rRNA and additional proteins. A comparison of the proteins assigned to the *M. thermautotrophicus* and *H. marismortui* 50S ribosomal subunits in the Swiss-Prot database revealed that rpL14e, rpL30e, and rpL34e are present in *M. thermautotrophicus* but not in *H. marismortui* (Table S3). Further, rpL1p, rpLX, and rpL40e are also present in the *H. marismortui* genome but were not included in the X-ray crystal structure of the 50S ribosomal subunit from that organism. Therefore, in addition to the L1 stalk density encompassing rpL1p, unassigned density for five proteins was expected in the cryo-EM map of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit. A comparison of the rRNA sequences of *M. thermautotrophicus* and *H. marismortui* by multiple sequence alignment indicates that the extensions in the *M. thermautotrophicus* 23S rRNA coincide with the location of known rRNA expansion segments (Fig. S4).

A molecular model of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit reveals that eukaryotic-like rRNA structures and ribosomal proteins were lost during archaeal evolution

The recently solved crystal structure of the eukaryotic 60S subunit facilitated the assignment...
of eukaryotic-like rRNA structures and proteins in the reconstruction of the \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} 50S subunit. Superposition of the 5.8S and 26S rRNA coordinates of the \textit{T. thermophila} X-ray crystal structure onto the \textit{H. marismortui} structure allowed the assignment of the rRNA expansion segments in \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}. Inspection of the cryo-EM density revealed that a major fraction of the previously unassigned density in the \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} 50S subunit cryo-EM map is located in the region below the L1 stalk (Figs. 5a and 6a), forming a density feature formerly termed the eocytic lobe.\textsuperscript{38} Expansion segments ES3, ES20, and ES26 as well as an extension of ES5 are located in this region (Fig. 5a). These expansion segments are found in all domains of life, as some bacteria also show corresponding sequence extensions. However, \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} ES20 and ES26 are structurally more closely related to the eukaryotic expansions than to the known bacterial structures.\textsuperscript{39-41} In the immediate vicinity of these rRNA segments, ribosomal proteins rpL34\textsuperscript{27} and rpL30\textsuperscript{42} could be localized in the cryo-EM density (Fig. 6a). Further additional density features are scattered across the solvent side of the 50S subunit. An unoccupied density area near the polypeptide tunnel exit could be assigned to rRNA expansion segment ES24 (Fig. 5b). ES24 is present in both eukaryotes and bacteria but not in \textit{H. marismortui}. In \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, ES24 adopts the eukaryotic-like configuration\textsuperscript{27,43} required to accommodate rpL19\textsuperscript{e}, which is not present in bacteria. Enlarged density for ES41 is present at the edge of the interface side of the subunit (Fig. 5b). Compared to \textit{H. marismortui}, ES7 and ES9 are elongated by a few nucleotides in \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, which is reflected in the cryo-EM density (not shown).

Two density features between the tip of expansion segment ES7 and the L7/L12 stalk base could be assigned to ribosomal proteins rpL14\textsuperscript{e}\textsuperscript{7,27} and rpLX\textsuperscript{27} (Fig. 6b), respectively. The gene coding for rpL14\textsuperscript{e} is missing in the \textit{H. marismortui} genome but present in \textit{M. thermautotrophicus}, while both genomes encode rpLX. However, rpLX was not observed in the \textit{H. marismortui} X-ray crystal structure.\textsuperscript{9} The assignment of rpLX is based on the homology of \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} rpLX (PDB ID: 2JXT) to the C-terminal SH3-like domain of the eukaryotic rpL18A\textsuperscript{e} (Fig. S5), which is a tandem repeat of two SH3-like folds.\textsuperscript{27} Density is present also for the very small protein rpL40\textsuperscript{e}\textsuperscript{27} sitting in a cavity formed by rRNA, located between the L7/L12 stalk base and rpL6p (Fig. 6c). This localization of rpL40\textsuperscript{e} was predicted previously by comparative structural analysis of the binding mode of \textit{Escherichia coli} L36 to 23S rRNA.\textsuperscript{44} The solution structure of \textit{S. solfataricus} rpL40\textsuperscript{e}\textsuperscript{45} (PDB ID: 2AYJ) shows a disordered N-terminus, while, in agreement with the features observed in the \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} cryo-EM map (Fig. S6), this part of the protein adopts an \(\alpha\)-helical conformation when bound to the ribosome.\textsuperscript{27} Therefore, based on our cryo-EM map, we conclude that the disordered N-terminus observed in the solution structure of rpL40\textsuperscript{e} does not reflect an archaea-specific conformation of this protein but that the N-terminus of rpL40\textsuperscript{e} may fold only upon contact with the rRNA, as observed previously for other ribosomal proteins.\textsuperscript{46-48} We did not interpret the highly mobile L1 and L7/L12 stalks, as they showed only very weak or very

![Fig. 5. Expansion segments shared between eukaryotes and early-branching archaea, as seen in the \textit{M. thermautotrophicus} 50S ribosomal subunit. Insets show the 50S subunit in the same orientation as the panels. An asterisk denotes the polypeptide tunnel exit. (a) View of the region below the L1 stalk. ES3 is colored orange, the ES5 extension in pale green, ES20 in gold, and ES26 in purple. (b) View of the bottom of the 50S subunit near the polypeptide exit tunnel. ES24 is colored red, ES40 in deep navy blue, and rpL19\textsuperscript{e} in forest green.](image-url)
Evidence for the presence of two additional ribosomal proteins in the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit

After the completion of the analysis based on homology to known eukaryotic structures, a prominent extra density on the back of the ribosomal subunit in proximity to rpL32e and the base of ES7, corresponding in volume to approximately 15 kDa of protein density, remained unassigned (Fig. 6d). This finding was unexpected, as all proteins annotated to the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S subunit in the SwissProt database had been placed in the density at this point (with the exception of proteins localized to the highly mobile elements of the subunit, the L1 and L7/L12 stalks). The presence of this density has also been reported for the cryo-EM reconstruction of the 50S subunit of *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius*, while there was no such density visible in the cryo-EM map of the *Pyrobaculum aerophilum* 50S subunit and the X-ray crystal structure of the *H. marismortui* 50S subunit. This suggests a heterogeneous distribution of this structural feature throughout both Crenarchaeota and Euryarchaeota. As there is no uninterpreted 23S rRNA sequence of sufficient size in this area (Fig. S7), this additional density most likely corresponds to an undetermined ribosome-associated protein.

A second unassigned density corresponding to a protein of approximately 8 kDa is located near ribosomal proteins rpL30e and rpL34e (Fig. 6a). This site was previously assigned to rpL34e in lower-resolution reconstructions of *P. aerophilum* and
**Discussion**

**Purification of the native 50S–aIF6 complex**

Most 50S subunits in the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S ribosomal subunit sample are associated with aIF6, and only a minor fraction of 50S subunits is present in 70S ribosomes. The presence of large quantities of aIF6 in our ribosomal preparations might originate from cellular stress during growth or harvest of the *M. thermautotrophicus* cells, as aIF6 expression has been shown to be upregulated by heat and cold stress in archaea. In this case, the isolation of the 50S–aIF6 complex and the lack of 70S ribosomes would reflect the physiological state of the *M. thermautotrophicus* cells at the time of ribosome purification. The lack of 70S particles has been observed previously in preparations of archaeal ribosomes. Given that unbound proteins are separated very early from ribosomal complexes in our purification procedure (see Methods), it is likely that the large ribosomal subunits were already present in complex with aIF6 in the *M. thermautotrophicus* cells. Consistent with a physiological stress reaction, aIF6 was present in our *M. thermautotrophicus* cellular samples in significantly higher abundance than the 1:1 stoichiometry relative to 50S subunits observed in *S. solfataricus* during normal growth conditions. This can be inferred from two observations: (i) The analyzed 50S ribosomal subunits are occupied with aIF6 in nearly stoichiometric amounts, and (ii) there is very likely no other pool of 50S subunits, as only very low amounts of 70S ribosomes were present.

**Refinement of the cryo-EM reconstruction of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–aIF6 complex to subnanometer resolution**

Preferential orientation of the ribosomal particles on the cryo-EM grid was suggested to limit the resolution of other recent reconstructions of large ribosomal subunits from both eukaryotic and archaeal organisms. If excessive numbers of particles from preferred views, such as the crown view of the large ribosomal subunit, are included in the 3D reconstruction, the resulting maps may be distorted or show artifacts. Therefore, we limited the number of particle images entering the 3D reconstruction step for each reference projection during the high-resolution refinement of the 50S–aIF6 reconstruction to ensure a more even coverage of all projection directions in the reconstruction process. Employing this correction method, the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–aIF6 complex could be refined to 6.6 Å resolution. The presence of bound ribosome-associated factor may also have contributed to a less pronounced preferential orientation of the particles. To our knowledge, the structure of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–aIF6 complex is the highest-resolution EM reconstruction achieved for an asymmetric particle imaged on a CCD detector and the most highly resolved cryo-EM reconstruction of an isolated ribosomal subunit. The high resolution that was achieved is probably in a large part due to the high structural stability and rigidity of the archaeal 50S subunit isolated from a thermophilic species. Furthermore, we could use fewer particles for the reconstruction because the large ribosomal subunit does not exhibit large-scale conformational flexibility, as is the case for the head–body movements of the small ribosomal subunit or the ratchet movement of the ribosomal subunits relative to each other in the translating ribosome.

**The location of the binding site of IF6 on the large ribosomal subunit is highly conserved**

The structure of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–aIF6 complex reveals that the location of the binding site and the rotational orientation of IF6 on the large ribosomal subunit are highly conserved between the eukaryotic and archaeal domains of life, which is not fully consistent with a recently proposed model based on biochemical data, localizing aIF6 on the face, rather than at the edge, of the interface side of the large ribosomal subunit. Indeed, when we superimposed as a reference rpL23e of the *T. thermophila* X-ray crystal structure with its homolog rpL14p in the *M. thermautotrophicus* cryo-EM model, we obtained a Cα backbone RMSD of 2.2 Å for the ribosome-bound IF6 molecules. In comparison, the Cα backbone RMSD of the directly superposed *M. thermautotrophicus* and *T. thermophila* IF6 molecules is 1.6 Å. This indicates that the binding of aIF6 and eIF6 to the large ribosomal subunit is identical within the experimental error of our cryo-EM map. Given that the physiological mechanism of IF6 loading onto the large ribosomal subunit is not well understood, the high level of similarity of the native archaeal 50S–aIF6 complex to the in vitro reconstituted eukaryotic 60S–eIF6 complexes confirms the functional relevance of the data obtained from these in vitro reconstituted complexes. The structural elements of the ribosome in the vicinity of the IF6 binding site—rpL14p, rpL3p, rpL24e, and the sarcin–ricin loop—are conserved between archaea and eukaryotes. Although the location and the mode of binding of IF6 to the large ribosomal
subunit are conserved, the interaction interface is less conserved on the sequence level and shows significant amino acid sequence variability in the Archaea (Fig. 4c). Possibly, some sequence variation was required to fine-tune the affinity of the alF6–50S interaction to the unusual growth conditions of some archaeal species, such as high temperature or high salinity.

The conservation of the IF6 binding site on the ribosome may be a requirement for its function in conserved molecular pathways

In both eukaryotes and archaea, IF6 blocks the formation of 70S or 80S ribosomes by steric clashes with the small ribosomal subunit. Our results show that archaeal alF6 directly blocks the formation of the intersubunit bridge between rpL14p of the 50S subunit and 16S rRNA helix 14 of the 30S subunit. Thus, the molecular mechanism of the anti-association activity of IF6 is highly conserved across archaea and eukaryotes, indicating that the exact positioning of IF6 on the large ribosomal subunit is critical for its cellular function. Whereas the inhibition of subunit joining could be achieved by IF6 bound in any conformation on the interface side of the large ribosomal subunit, the interaction with other translation factors, or factors regulating the association of IF6 with the large ribosomal subunit, might impose more stringent constraints on IF6 positioning on the ribosomal subunit.

The interaction of IF6 with factors involved in its release very likely requires a highly specific orientation of IF6 on the large ribosomal subunit. In eukaryotes, elf6 is removed from the large ribosomal subunit by the concerted action of the translation elongation factor GTPase EF-2/EFG homolog (Eff118,57,58) and the Shwachman–Bodian–Diamond syndrome (SBDS) protein (Sdo1 in yeast).16,51 Archael genomes encode an SBDS protein53,54 but do not seem to code for an Eff1 homolog distinct from EF-2. Therefore, it has been proposed that the archaeal EF-2 may fulfill dual roles in archaea, both in translation elongation and in the release of alF6 from the 50S subunit. The conservation of the binding site of IF6 in eukaryotes and archaea is consistent with such a mechanistically conserved pathway for the release of IF6 from the large ribosomal subunit. Furthermore, the conservation of the binding site also indicates that the evolution of a specialized release factor in eukaryotes may not be due to a difference in the binding of elf6 to the large ribosomal subunit, but possibly to enable the regulation of IF6 release by modification of Eff1 activity without affecting EF-2 and basal translation.

A nuclear pool of elf6 functions in ribosome biogenesis in eukaryotes, where elf6 is required for normal pre-rRNA processing56 and remains bound to the ribosome until the passage through the nuclear pore has been completed.18,19 Whether alF6 is involved in archaeal ribosome biogenesis remains to be determined. In eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis, there seems to be a functional linkage between the eukaryotic 60S nuclear export receptor Nmd357 and elf6. Nmd3 and elf6 bind to the 60S ribosomal subunit in close proximity to each other,26,27,58 and dissociation of elf6 is a requirement for the release of Nmd3 from the pre-60S particle after nuclear export.19 The archaeal Nmd3 homolog59 lacks the C-terminal nuclear transport signals of eukaryotic Nmd3.57 It may function in an ancient pathway conserved between archaea and eukaryotes, possibly in a step of large ribosomal subunit biogenesis distinct from nuclear export, or in ribosome recycling.57,60 The involvement of alF6 in such a pathway in archaea has not been demonstrated experimentally but might be conceivable given the conservation of the involved protein factors.

The conserved molecular mechanism of the IF6 anti-association activity can give rise to a variety of cellular functions

In archaea, alF6 represses translation under stress conditions.21 In contrast, it has been shown that elf6 stimulates translation initiation in mammalian cells in vivo, possibly by mediating growth factor signaling.14 It is likely that these seemingly contradictory roles are both based on the conserved molecular anti-association activity of IF6, which might be regulated in response to different stimuli to mediate either repression or upregulation of translation in archaea and eukaryotes, respectively.

Additional proteins in the M. thermautotrophicus 50S ribosomal subunit

Two density features in the cryo-EM map of the M. thermautotrophicus 50S–alF6 complex could not be assigned to corresponding proteins. The first density is located near the base of ES7 (Fig. 6d), where density was also observed in the reconstruction of the S. acidocaldarius 50S ribosomal subunit.7 Considering that all predicted proteins of the 50S ribosomal subunit in M. thermautotrophicus have been accounted for in our structure, this density may indicate the presence of a loosely attached and therefore unassigned additional protein in the archaeal large ribosomal subunit. However, our mass spectrometry analysis (Fig. 1; Table S1) detected all known M. thermautotrophicus ribosomal proteins above a molecular mass of approximately 10.5 kDa, and a novel 15-kDa protein would likely have been detected. No hit for an additional ribosomal protein that could convincingly explain the additional density was found in the mass
spectrometric analysis of the S. acidocaldarius 50S ribosomal subunit, either. Therefore, one of the known ribosomal proteins might be present in two copies in the M. thermautotrophicus large ribosomal subunit. The 13.1-kDa protein rpL7Ae has been reported to be chemically modified, which might enable its binding to two different binding sites on the ribosomal subunit, but to interpret this density feature with confidence, further experimental evidence or more highly resolved structural data are required.

The second unassigned density in our M. thermautotrophicus 50S cryo-EM map is located in the location occupied by rpL27e in the eukaryotic 60S ribosomal subunit, adjacent to rpL34e and rpL30e (Fig. 6a). Based on the observation that rpL6e, rpL27e, and rpL14e share an SH3-like fold, it has been suggested that gene duplication, creating separate gene copies that could subsequently evolve to bind to the ribosome in distinct locations, was involved at the origin of these ribosomal proteins. In M. thermautotrophicus, the site occupied by rpL27e in eukaryotes might, therefore, be occupied by an as-yet unidentified protein, possibly as a result of gene duplication, or alternatively by a second molecule of the archaeal SH3-like fold containing protein rpL14e.

Implications for ribosome evolution

Comparison of the H. marismortui and M. thermautotrophicus large ribosomal subunit structures reveals the reduction of mostly eukaryotic-like protein and rRNA structures in the ribosomes of the phylogenetically late-branching H. marismortui. The structural similarity of these features in M. thermautotrophicus to eukaryotic ribosomes suggests that they represent an ancestral state in the common ancestor of archaea and eukaryotes. Indeed, although archaeal ribosomes contain the prokaryotic-type 16S, 23S, and 5S rRNAs, the M. thermautotrophicus large subunit rRNAs show clear structural similarities to eukaryotic rRNAs. It is intriguing that after the split of the eukaryotic and archaeal lineages, the eukaryotic ribosome acquired both novel ribosomal proteins and rRNA expansion segments, while the evolutionary trend for the archaeal ribosome was the opposite, with progressively increasing and apparently uncompensated losses of both protein and rRNA content from the ribosome (Fig. 7). Extensive loss of rRNA has also occurred during the evolution of the mitochondrial ribosome, with particularly large reduction occurring in mammalian mitochondria. In the mitochondrial ribosome, however, the loss of rRNA is structurally compensated by enlargement of already-
present ribosomal proteins and recruitment of novel mitochondria-specific ribosomal proteins. In contrast, the loss of protein components in the archaean ribosome is not compensated by enlargement of rRNA. Instead, rRNA segments were also shortened, sometimes in the immediate vicinity of the proteins that were lost or reduced in size. It will be interesting to investigate the causes and selection pressures—o lick thereof—underlying these highly divergent evolutionary trajectories (see Supplementary Text).

**Methods**

**Cell culture**

*M. thermoaurotrophicus* ΔH cultures were grown at 65 °C in a mineral salts medium supplied with an 80% H2:20% CO2 gas mixture, as previously described (Zeilkus *et al.* 1982).

**Biochemistry**

For ribosome purification, frozen *M. thermoaurotrophicus* cells were thawed and resuspended in lysis buffer [20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 200 mM NH4Cl, 20.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol] and lysed by five passages through a French press at 1.1 kpsi. The lysate was cleared using a Beckman Type 70Ti rotor (2×25 min, 25 krpm). The resulting cleared lysate was centrifuged trough a 40% (w/v) sucrose cushion (in 20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 500 mM NH4Cl, 10.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol) in a Beckman Type 70Ti rotor (17 h, 34 krpm). The ribosomal pellets were resuspended (20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 6.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EDTA, 60 mM NH4Cl, and 2 mM DTT), layered onto 10–40% sucrose gradients (in 20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 60 mM NH4Cl, 6.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 2 mM DTT) and spun in an SW32Ti rotor (17 h, 19 krpm). The ribosomal bands were visualized using light scattering and collected with a syringe. The sample was concentrated to approximately 10 mg/ml, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C. For mass spectrometry analysis of the 50S ribosomal subunit preparation, a sample of *M. thermoaurotrophicus* 50S subunits was separated on a 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPage SDS-PAGE gel. Gel slices were sent for mass spectrometry (PGCZ Cell service, commercial service!) and analyzed by LC-MS/MS. Ribosome profiles of *M. thermoaurotrophicus* were obtained from samples of 100 μl of approximately 200 mM crude ribosomes obtained after purification on a sucrose cushion as described above, with minor modifications (the cells were lysed using a cell cracker (Constant Cell Disruption Systems, UK) at 30 kpsi and the buffer molecule was Hepes–KOH, pH 7.6, instead of Tris–HCl, pH 8.0). Crude ribosome samples were loaded onto 4.8-ml sucrose gradients [10–30% (w/v) sucrose] in a selection of buffers (low Mg2+: 20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 1 mM MgCl2, and 2 mM DTT combined with 100, 500, and 700 mM NH4Cl; high Mg2+: 20 mM Hepes–NaOH, pH 7.6, 10.5 mM MgCl2, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 2 mM DTT combined with 100, 500, and 700 mM NH4Cl). The gradients were spun (SW55Ti, 45 krpm, 90 min), and the ribosome profiles were analyzed by UV absorbance.

**Cryo-EM**

Samples containing 150 nM 50S ribosomal subunit complex in 20 mM Tris–HCl, pH 8.0, 50 mM NH4Cl, and 20 mM MgCl2 were applied to Quantifoil R2/1 Holey Carbon EM grids. The grids were flash frozen in liquid ethane by manual plunging. Image acquisition was performed at a FEI Tecnai T20 (FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) transmission electron microscope at 200 kV acceleration voltage at 83,000× magnification (1.81 Å per pixel on the object scale) and defocus values ranging from 1.2 μm to 3.5 μm. A Gatan US 4000 Special 4 k × 4 k CCD detector (Gatan, Pleasanton, CA, USA) was used for image acquisition. A total of 101,438 particles were windowed semiautomatically using batchboxer (EMAN 1.6) and inspected manually in boxer (EMAN 1.6). After defocus correction, the particle images were coarsened and high- and low-pass filtered (Imagic-5 or SPIDER). The angular reconstitution approach in Imagic-5 was applied during the first refinement rounds using an initial data set of approximately 45,000 particle images. The refinement in Imagic-5 started from a cryo-EM map of the *E. coli* 50S ribosomal subunit filtered to approximately 20 Å resolution as an initial reference. Further refinement to higher resolution was performed in SPIDER using the final data set. The final refinement rounds were carried out using full-sized particle images, employing correction for projection angle distribution, and using Fourier amplitude enhancement based on SAXS data after each refinement cycle. The resolution of the final map computed from 70,364 particles was estimated according to the FSC=0.5 criterion based on subvolumes obtained from the BP 32F command in SPIDER.

**Modeling and interpretation of maps**

*M. thermoaurotrophicus* alf6 was modeled in MODELLER using the *M. jannaschii* alf6 crystal structure (PDB ID: 1G61) as a template. The last two residues of the *M. thermoaurotrophicus* sequence were truncated, as there is no structural information available that would allow the modeling of these residues. The *M. thermoaurotrophicus* ribosomal proteins were modeled using the *H. marismortui* crystal structure (PDB ID: 3CC2) as a template for the proteins shared between the two organisms. The X-ray crystal structure of the *T. thermophila* 60S subunit was used as a template for the modeling of rpL34e, rpL40e, rpL30e, and rpL14e. As the resolution of the data did not allow for detailed model building and refinement, the modeling was kept to a minimum and only included the truncation of the template structures to the length of the corresponding *M. thermoaurotrophicus* sequences followed by the removal of the side chains. The solution structure of the *M. thermoaurotrophicus* rpLX is known (PDB ID: 2JXT), and generation of the model only required the removal of the residues corresponding to the His6-tag. The
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23S rRNA core and the 5S rRNA are based on the *H. marismortui* X-ray crystal structure. The rRNA expansion segments not present in *H. marismortui* are based on the *T. thermophila* 60S–eIF6 X-ray crystal structure (ES2) and used as templates for positioning of all *M. thermautotrophicus* rRNAs and ribosomal proteins. The position of eIF6 was refined according to the cryo-EM density in Chimera. Detailed information on the model components is given in Tables S4 and S5.

### Multiple sequence alignment

The protein sequences were retrieved from UniProt§,36 aligned using ClustalW, and visualized in Jalview¶.80

### Preparation of figures

Depictions of biomolecules were generated in Chimera using POV-Ray (Persistence of Vision Pty. Ltd., 2004¶) for rendering of atomic surfaces.

### Accession numbers

The *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–eIF6 cryo-EM map has been deposited at the EM Data Bank with accession number EMD-2012. The coordinates of the Cα and phosphate backbones of the *M. thermautotrophicus* 50S–eIF6 model have been deposited at the PDB with accession number 4ADX.
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