ERRATA

Female-to-Male Breeding Ratio in Modern Humans—an Analysis **Based on Historical Recombinations**

Damian Labuda,* Jean-Francois Lefebvre, Phillipe Nadeau, and Marie-Helene Roy-Gagnon

(The American Journal of Human Genetics 86, 353–363; March 12, 2010)

Lohmueller et al. have recently brought to our attention in a Letter to the Editor that we made an error in the way we corrected for unequal male-female population sizes in our original manuscript. This error affected many of our equations, figures, and tables. We are thankful to Lohmueller et al. for identifying this mistake, and we have supplied corrected versions of our equations, tables and figures with our Response to the Letter to the Editor.

The authors regret these errors.

*Correspondence: damian.labuda@umontreal.ca

DOI 10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.05.009. ©2010 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.

Pooled Association Tests for Rare Variants in Exon-Resequencing Studies

Alkes L. Price, Gregory V. Kryukov, Paul I.W. de Bakker, Shaun M. Purcell, Jeff Staples, Lee-Jen Wei, and Shamil R. Sunyaev*

(The American Journal of Human Genetics 86, 832–838; June 11, 2010)

As a result of a coding error affecting permutation randomization, Tables 1–3 and Tables S1–S4 in the version of this article published online on May 12 contained slight inaccuracies, which have been corrected in the current version both online and in print. In addition, the text "p value increases from 0.002 to 0.008" on the bottom of p. 5 has been changed to "p value increases from 0.002 to 0.009." The authors regret this error, and are grateful to Adam Kiezun for correcting it.

*Correspondence: ssunyaev@rics.bwh.harvard.edu

DOI 10.1016/j.ajhg.2010.05.014. ©2010 by The American Society of Human Genetics. All rights reserved.