Three-dimensional Nuclear Telomere Organization in Multiple Myeloma

Abstract

Multiple myeloma (MM) is preceded by monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS). Up to date, it is difficult to predict an individual’s time to disease progression and the treatment response. To examine whether the nuclear telomeric architecture will unravel some of these questions, we carried out three-dimensional (3D) telomere analysis on samples from patients diagnosed with MGUS and MM, as well as from patients who went into relapse. Telomere signal intensity, number of telomere aggregates, nuclear volume, and the overall nuclear telomere distribution (a/c ratio) were analyzed. The telomeric profiles allowed for the differentiation of the disease stages. The telomeric profiles of myeloma cells obtained from blood and bone marrow aspirates were identical. Based on this study, we discuss the use of 3D telomere profiling as a potential future tool for risk stratification and personalized treatment decisions.

Introduction

Monoclonal gammopathies are a heterogeneous group of plasma cell disorders characterized by the proliferation of a single B-cell clone, encompassing malignancies such as multiple myeloma (MM) and monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS), smoldering MM, solitary plasmacytoma, Waldenström macroglobulinemia, and osteosclerotic myeloma [1,2]. MM accounts for 13% of all hematological cancers [3,4] and for nearly 2% of deaths from cancer [5]. The incidence rate of MM in the United States is 5.9 of 100,000 [6], whereas in other developed countries, it is 4 of 100,000 [7].

Address all correspondence to: Sabine Mai, PhD, Manitoba Institute of Cell Biology, University of Manitoba, CancerCare Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada. E-mail: smai@cc.umanitoba.ca

1This study was supported by Myeloma Canada and CancerCare Manitoba, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Province of Manitoba, and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

2This article refers to supplementary materials, which are designated by Table W1 and Figures W1 to W3 and are available online at www.transonc.com.

Received 18 September 2013; Revised 19 November 2013; Accepted 22 November 2013

Copyright © 2013 Neoplasia Press, Inc. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

For unknown reasons, myeloma is more common among men than women, and the prevalence in African Americans is two-fold higher than in Caucasian Americans [8–10]. MGUS is the precursor state of MM [11,12]; the rate of transformation from MGUS to MM is 1% per annum [13]. The cause of progression to MM has remained elusive, although reports have suggested that secondary genetic changes such as N-ras and K-ras mutations [14], altered cytokine profiles, and increased bone marrow (BM) neovascularization based on median microvascular density [15] may play a role.

Genetic changes frequently occur in MM, which include gross chromosomal rearrangements such as translocations, deletions, duplications, and amplifications of parts of a chromosome or entire chromosomes [16–18]. Furthermore, whole genome sequencing of 38 MM tumor genomes revealed changes in histone methylation patterns and mutations in genes involved in the nuclear factor kappa light chain enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB) pathway [19]. Recently, the Multiple Myeloma Research Foundation launched the CoMMpassSM study to identify molecular motifs and variations associated with MM [20].

The International Staging System [21] and the Durie Salmon Staging System [18] have been used in staging myeloma; the International Staging System and Durie Salmon Staging System are based on serum markers such as β2-microglobulin, albumin, hemoglobin, calcium, monoclonal proteins, and creatinine, coupled with conventional radiography, computerized tomography, magnetic resonance imaging, and fluoroxyglucose (18F) positron emission tomography/computed tomography [22]. However, the reliability of these systems is currently under scrutiny [23]. The International Myeloma Working Group has issued guidelines for the clinical risk stratification of patients, which consist of factors such as the type and size of the M protein [24], number of BM plasma cells (BMPCs), constitutional symptoms, anemia, hyperviscosity, lymphadenopathy, and hepatosplenomegaly [25]. On the other hand, the European System relies on the quantification of plasma cells based on their cluster of differentiation (CD) markers [26–32] using flow cytometry [31–34]. However, both guidelines are geared toward detecting existing symptoms and thus do not address the unmet need for a reliable method for risk evaluation of patients.

Telomeres, the highly repetitive (TTAGGG), nucleotide sequences situated at the ends of chromosomes, have been strongly associated with tumorigenesis [35–40]. Changes in telomere structure result in the formation of aggregates [41], and studies assessing the three-dimensional (3D) nuclear architecture have facilitated monitoring the formation of aggregates [41], and studies assessing the three-dimensional (3D) nuclear architecture have facilitated monitoring the formation of aggregates. The telomere structure result in the formation of aggregates [41], and studies assessing the three-dimensional (3D) nuclear architecture have facilitated monitoring the formation of aggregates. The telomere structure result in the formation of aggregates [41], and studies assessing the three-dimensional (3D) nuclear architecture have facilitated monitoring the formation of aggregates.

Materials and Methods

Study Population

The study population consisted of a total of 86 patients, which were subdivided into three groups, namely, MM (N = 44), MGUS (N = 27), and MMrel (N = 15). Patient characteristics are presented as Supplementary materials (Table W1). Informed consent was obtained from all patients after a presentation and discussion with a collaborating research nurse from CancerCare Manitoba and Estonia, respectively. This study was approved by the Research Ethics Review Board on Human Studies of the University of Manitoba (Ethics Reference No. H2010:170) and the Ethics Review Committee on Human Research of the University of Tartu (Protocol No. 194T-11).

Isolation of Lymphocytes and Plasma Cells

Lymphocytes from blood samples and BM aspirates were overlaid in Ficoll-Paque (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, Baie d’Urfé, Quebec) and separated by centrifugation at 200g for 30 minutes. The cells were washed with 10 ml of RPMI (Gibco Life Technologies Inc, Burlington, Ontario) supplemented with 10% FBS (Gibco Life Technologies Inc) and collected by centrifugation, and the cell pellet was resuspended in 100 μl of RPMI with 10% FBS.

CD138 Immunostaining

Cell preparation for immunostaining is described elsewhere [50]. Briefly, 10 μl of the cell suspension was laid onto poly-l-lysine pre-coated slides and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes in a humidified chamber. After incubation, the slides were incubated in 0.3× phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) for 40 seconds, fixed with 3.7% formaldehyde/1× PBS for 20 minutes, washed three times with 1× PBS for 5 minutes, and blocked with 4% BSA in 4× sodium chloride/sodium citrate (SSC) for 15 minutes.

For immunostaining, the cells were incubated with mouse anti-CD138 antibodies (fluorescein isothiocyanate–mouse anti-human CD138 antibody; BD Pharmingen, San Diego, CA), diluted in 1/20 in blocking buffer, for 60 minutes. After three 5-minute washes with PBS, the cells were subjected to post-fixation using 3.7% formaldehyde/1× PBS for 20 minutes. The nuclei were counterstained with 25 μl of 0.1 μg/ml 4′,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 minutes, followed by a brief rinse with distilled water. The slides were dehydrated through an ethanol series (75%, 95%, and 100%), air-dried, and mounted using VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories, Burlington, Ontario).

Three-dimensional quantitative fluorescence in situ hybridization was carried out as described elsewhere [38]. The isolated lymphocytes and plasma cells were incubated in 5 ml of a 75-mM KCl solution for 15 minutes and fixed in 3:1 methanol/acetic acid [51]. Approximately 10 μl of the fixed cells was loaded onto each slide, air-dried, and fixed in 3.7% formaldehyde/PBS for 20 minutes, followed by three washes with PBS for 5 minutes. The slides were then incubated in 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 10 minutes, then in 20% ethanol and separated by centrifugation at 2000 g for 30 minutes. The cells were subjected to a series of four freeze-thaw cycles [50], followed by three washes with PBS, one 5-minute incubation in 0.1 N HCl, and two washes with PBS. The slides were again dehydrated through the ethanol series.

For hybridization, approximately 5 μl of cyanine 3 (Cy3)–labeled peptide nucleic acid probe (DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark) was applied to the target nuclei. Nuclear DNA was denatured using a HYBrite Denaturation and Hybridization System (Vysis; Abbott Diagnostics, Des Plains, IL) using the following conditions: denaturation at 80°C for 3 minutes, followed by probe annealing at 30°C for 120 minutes. The slides were subjected to a series of washes including two 15-minute washes in 70% formamide (Fluka; Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO), 10 mM Tris (pH 7.4), a 5-minute wash in 0.1× SSC at 55°C, and two washes in 2× SSC/0.05% Tween-20 for 5 minutes. Finally, the nuclei were counterstained with 25 μl of 0.1 μg/ml DAPI, dehydrated
through an ethanol series, and mounted in VECTASHIELD (Vector Laboratories). The slides were stored at \(-20\)°C until analysis.

**Fluorescence Imaging**

Fluorescence microscopy was performed using a Zeiss AxioImager Z1 microscope (Carl Zeiss, Toronto, Ontario), equipped with an AxioCam HRm camera and 63×/1.4 oil Plan apochromat objective. The data acquisition was performed using the AXIOVISION 4.8 software (Carl Zeiss). For 3D imaging, each cell was imaged using a series of 80 \(z\)-stacks at \(x\), \(y\) and \(z\) steps of 102 and 200 nm, respectively. Approximately 40 interphase nuclei were imaged for analysis. The exposure time for Cy3 was 343 milliseconds, whereas for DAPI it was 2 milliseconds. Thirty nuclei per patient sample were deconvolved using a constrained iterative algorithm [52]. The deconvolved images were converted into TIFF files and exported for the analysis using the TeloView software [41], which is embedded in MATLAB (MathWorks, Torrance, CA).

**Results**

The average age of the patient cohort was 67.8 ± 10.9 years; the majority of the patients belonged to the IgG group (Table 1). The percentile of BMPCs and the amount of secreted M-protein increased with disease progression. Additional patient characteristics are presented in Table W1.

![Figure 1](image_url). Telomere signals in CD138\(^+\) plasma cells (A–D). (A) Myeloma cells fluoresce green, whereas normal cells remained unstained (see arrows). (B) The telomeres, hybridized with Cy3-labeled PNA probes, appear as red signals. The nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). (C) Identification of 3D fixed nuclei in myeloma cells and normal lymphocytes based on size and intensity of the counterstain DAPI (see arrows). (D) Cy3-labeled PNA telomeres in 3D fixed lymphocytes.
analysis of the telomere length of the CD138⁺ lymphocytes and plasmocytes and its CD138⁻ counterpart revealed distinct differences. The telomeres of CD138⁺ cells are shorter, as indicated by lower telomere signal intensities (Figure W1A). No differences in telomere numbers were observed between CD138⁻ and CD138⁺ lymphocytes and plasma cells. However, the CD138⁺ cells showed an increase in the number of TAs, a larger nuclear volume, as well as changes in the overall nuclear telomere organization that is expressed by the $a/c$ ratio (Table 2) [41].

In our previous studies involving Hodgkin lymphoma, we demonstrated an association between disease progression and the increase in the nuclear volume of tumor cells [53,54]. To determine whether this correlation also occurred in myeloma cells independent of the fixation methodology, we examined the telomeric features of malignant plasma cells and normal lymphocytes based on the size of the nuclei (Figure 1 C). The nuclei of malignant plasma cells were larger than those of normal lymphocytes. Additionally, the former nuclei showed a lower intensity for the DAPI counterstain than the normal lymphocytes.

The comparison of normal plasma cells and neoplastic lymphocytes showed differences between CD138⁺ and CD138⁻ cells with respect to the signal numbers within defined intensity bins (Figure W1A). The malignant plasma cells exhibited a higher number of smaller telomeric signals compared to those signals observed in the controls (Figure W1B). The ANV and $a/c$ ratio were higher in myeloma cells compared to normal lymphocytes (Table 2, A and B). A graphical overlay of intensity readings of CD138⁺ cells and of 3D fixed large cells as well as the overlay of the intensity profiles of CD138⁻ cells and 3D fixed small cells showed virtually identical results (Figure W2, A and B). We repeated this experiment using myeloma cells from blood and BM specimens (Figure W3, A–D). In either case, we obtained the same results when comparing the myeloma cells as described before.

Comparison of the telomeric and nuclear architecture of B-cells in MM, MGUS, and MMrel revealed a distinct pattern involving an increase in the number of low-intensity signals and a decrease in the number of higher intensity range signals as the disease progressed (Figure 2 A). For statistical analysis, the intensity range was subdivided into four groups based on signal intensities, <5000 (short telomeres), 5000 to 10,000 (short-to-medium-sized telomeres), 10,001 to 19,000 (medium-sized telomeres), and >19,000 (large telomeres), as well as into two groups ($\leq$19,000 and >19,000). Chi-square analysis of the intensity ranges of BM-derived plasma cells showed a significant difference between MGUS and MM ($P < .0001$), MM and MMrel ($P < .0001$), and MGUS and MMrel ($P < .0064$) based on the four-level and two-level intensities. A significant increase in the number of telomeric signals during MGUS to MM progression was also observed ($P = .045$).

The comparison of telomeric profiles of neoplastic lymphocytes from BM and blood specimens showed identical patterns (Figure 2, A and B). Differences in signal intensities were observed among the MGUS, MM, and MMrel groups ($P < .0001$). The Breslow-Day analysis did not detect any statistical differences in the telomere length between BM and blood samples (MGUS: $P = .13$, MM: $P = .08$, MMrel: $P = .67$).

The analysis of the 3D nuclear architecture of the telomeres in MGUS, MM, and MMrel nuclei showed two distinct sizes of telomeres: very short telomeres, described as t-stumps [55] and often observed in normal cells, and very large telomeres or TAs (Figure 3) [39]. During disease progression from MGUS (Figure 3, A and E) to MM (Figure 3, B and F) and MMrel (Figure 3, C and G), the number of TAs as well as the number of t-stumps increased, accompanied by an increase in the nuclear volume. These features were also observed in the neoplastic lymphocytes from blood (Figure 3, A–C) as well as in BM (Figure 3, D–F).

Table 2 shows the changes in the ANT in both BM and blood samples. We also detected an increase in ANV in MM compared to that in MGUS. Furthermore, we observed a statistically significant

---

**Table 2. Comparison of 3D Nuclear Architecture.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CD138⁺</th>
<th>CD138⁻</th>
<th>Fold Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANV</td>
<td>$23.93 \pm 1.33$</td>
<td>$23.37 \pm 0.51$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTA</td>
<td>$2.6 \pm 0.38$</td>
<td>$1.93 \pm 0.24$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANV ($\mu$m³)</td>
<td>$340.86 \pm 27.57$</td>
<td>$219.91 \pm 5.03$</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a/c$ ratio</td>
<td>$1.97 \pm 0.23$</td>
<td>$1.49 \pm 0.06$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Large</th>
<th>Small</th>
<th>Fold Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANV</td>
<td>$25.27 \pm 1.16$</td>
<td>$23.77 \pm 0.56$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTA</td>
<td>$2.6 \pm 0.87$</td>
<td>$1.93 \pm 0.27$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANV ($\mu$m³)</td>
<td>$479.5 \pm 42.48$</td>
<td>$253.39 \pm 5.52$</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a/c$ ratio</td>
<td>$4.55 \pm 0.3$</td>
<td>$3.16 \pm 0.11$</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were placed on slides before fixation and subsequently labeled with anti-CD138 antibodies (A) or fixed with methanol/acetic acid after hypotonic treatment (B). Parameters such as ANV, ANTA, and $a/c$ ratio were analyzed for CD138⁺, CD138⁻ (A), and size (i.e., large and small cells) (B).

---

**Figure 2.** Telomere intensities change with disease progression. (A and B). MGUS (blue), MM (red), and MMrel (green) show distinct profiles with respect to the telomere intensities and number of telomeres in the low-intensity range. The profiles obtained with BM aspirates (A) are identical to the profiles obtained with blood samples (B).
increase in the ANV in both blood \( (P = .0463) \) and BM \( (P = .0449) \) from MGUS with MM samples, although this was not observed between MM and MMrel.

Comparison of MGUS with MM and MGUS with MMrel revealed statistically significant changes in the ANTA in blood samples \( (P = .039 \) and \( .045 \), respectively). However, we did not observe any statistically significant differences between MM and MMrel. Although we detected a tendency toward an increase in ANV, these differences were not significant among MGUS, MM, and MMrel in blood and BM samples. Finally, we compared the nuclear and telomeric features of cells among all groups. We observed statistically significant differences between MGUS and MM as well as between MGUS and MMrel with respect to ANT (.045 and .042, respectively) and ANTA (.045 and .011, respectively). In terms of the ANV, statistically significant differences were observed between MGUS and MMrel \( (P = .017) \). The results of this study thus indicate a correlation between changes in the 3D nuclear architecture and telomeric length of blood- and BM-derived lymphocytes and plasmocytes and disease progression, as supported by the measurements of the ANT, ANTA, and ANV.

**Discussion**

This study examined the 3D nuclear architecture and telomeric profile of plasma cells from patients with MGUS, MM, and MMrel. Recently, we have also classified patients with myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS) and AML into subgroups using their 3D telomeric architecture [48]. Other tumors that display alterations in their 3D telomeric architecture have been studied, including thyroid cancer [56], endometrial cancer [57], circulating tumor cells [58], chronic myeloid leukemia [43], plasmacytoma [59], cervical cancer [60], Burkitt lymphoma [61,62], head and neck cancer [35], Hodgkin lymphoma [49,53,54,63], and glioblastoma [42].

We identified the myeloma cells based on CD138, a transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycan, also called syndecan-1, that is overexpressed as soluble CD138 in myeloma cells [64] as well as on the cell surface [65]. CD138 expression has also been detected

**Figure 3.** Evolution of 3D nuclear architecture in blood (A–C) and BM (D–F). The left side of the panel shows the telomere distribution (red) within the counterstained nucleus (blue). During evolution, the 3D nuclear architecture is undergoing changes (right-hand panels). The number of t-stumps and TAs and the nuclear volume are increasing (see scale).

**Table 3.** Comparison of Telomeric Features of Myeloma Cells from Blood and BM Specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Blood</th>
<th>BM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ANT</td>
<td>ANTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MGUS</td>
<td>29.56</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>36.08</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMrel</td>
<td>34.71</td>
<td>3.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We analyzed ANT, ANTA, ANV, and a/c ratio.
on circulating myeloma cells [66]. In our study, we observed that the telomere length of synecean-1–overexpressing cells was shorter than that of CD138+ lymphocytes, as reflected by the detected lower signal intensities, indicating accelerated telomere attrition in myeloma cells. Furthermore, we observed an increase in the ANTA, which is considered a hallmark of cancer cells [39]. We also detected an increase in the ANV, a characteristic feature of tumor cells [67], and changes in the spatial organization of the telomeres, which are reflected in changes of the a/e ratio [35,38,41]. These changes (Table 2) were also observed when comparing small nuclei of normal cells with larger nuclei of myeloma cells, confirming that both methods generated similar results.

This study showed changes in 3D nuclear architecture during disease progression from MGUS to MM, based on our findings of increased telomere attrition, resulting in shorter telomeres in MM, as well as in MMrel, compared to MGUS (Figure 2). Telomere dysfunction and disease progression has been associated with telomere attrition in various hematological malignancies [68] such as MDS/AML [47,48,69–71], chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) [44,45, 72–74], accompanied by telomeric deletions, chromosomal aberrations, and resistance to treatment, thus providing a novel method for differentiating disease stages and potentially serving as a reliable tool for disease monitoring and treatment response [42,44,45,48,53,72].

We also observed the presence of larger TAs, the occurrence of very short t-stumps (Figure 5), and an increase in nuclear volume (Table 3 and Figure 5). TAs initiate breakage-bridge-fusion cycles [38] and are considered a hallmark of cancer cells [39] and have been described for solid tumors [35,57,75] and various hematological malignancies [43,46,48,50,58]. We observed an increase in the ANTA as the disease progressed from MGUS to MM, as well as in myeloma cells that survived treatment, thus suggesting an association with relapsed myeloma. However, statistical analysis of MM and MMrel did not reveal significant differences, indicating that myeloma cells in MMrel are selected survivors that undergo cell expansion. Aggregate formation has been described for squamous cell carcinoma, basal cell carcinoma, keratoacanthoma, and MM [75]. These observations of telomere reorganization during disease progression are similar to that described in earlier studies on Hodgkin lymphoma [65] and MDS/AML [50]. We also detected very short t-stumps [55], which have been associated by previous studies as an indication of the role of telomerase in protecting this distinct class of extremely short telomeres [53,55]. Previous reports have shown that t-stumps accumulate in telomerase-containing cells that lack checkpoint pathways involving p53 and/or pRb, possibly circumventing the DNA damage checkpoint response [76,77] and protecting these nuclear substructures [55]. As previously mentioned, we did not detect any statistical differences between MM and MMrel based on the ANTA. However, we observed an increase in the number of t-stumps in MMrel (Figure 5), suggesting that the myeloma cells in MMrel may have escaped apoptosis. Furthermore, we observed an increase in the number of myeloma cells in blood and BM samples (data not shown). The occurrence of a higher number of t-stumps in relation to tumor aggressiveness [78] also supports this notion. Telomerase inhibition could lead to the loss of protection of t-stumps, resulting in the apoptosis of malignant cells.

The results of this study showed a correlation between an increase in the ANV and disease progression from MGUS to MM and from MM to MMrel. Changes in the nuclear volume have been described for other hematological cancers, such as MDS/AML [48] and Hodgkin lymphoma [53,54], also coinciding with disease progression. However, we did not find any statistical significance between changes in nuclear volume in MM and MMrel, suggesting that myeloma cells do not undergo an evolutionary process as described for cells in Hodgkin lymphoma. As anticipated, the nuclear architecture of myeloma cells derived from BM resembled that of myeloma cells in blood, confirming earlier observations [79–81].

MM is a highly heterogeneous disease at presentation [1,2], often impacting the treatment response of homogeneously treated patients. On the basis of the nature of disease heterogeneity, patients diagnosed with MGUS often remain untreated until additional symptoms develop, such as an increase in the level of M-protein and changes in free light chains. Furthermore, BM aspiration and biopsy are also performed to confirm the initial diagnosis and to assist in the design of an effective treatment regimen. The identification of patients who are at high-risk for a relapse after treatment has long been a dilemma to hematologists and oncologists. Recently, minor clones that could serve as a reservoir for MMrel have been identified using whole-genome analysis [82], thus confirming the multi/subclonal nature of myeloma cells and the high heterogeneity of this malignancy. Telomere-based analysis of the 3D nuclear architecture of myeloma cells thus facilitates a patient classification based on their telomere profile.

The analysis of the 3D nuclear architecture and telomeric profile of myeloma cells has established a classification scheme for the disease stages of MGUS, MM, and MMrel. We have also differentiated MGUS from MM based on the ANT and TAs. In the future, a blood test may be used for the prognosis of disease progression and treatment response that may potentially alleviate the need for BM aspirations for analysis. On the basis of the studies involving circulating tumor cells [58] in conjunction with the automated analysis of telomeric features [83], it may also be possible to detect minimal residual disease, which has remained an elusive issue in myeloma [84]. We have recently initiated a longitudinal study that examines the correlation between changes in the 3D nuclear architecture and the risk of progression and relapse.
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Figure W1. Telomere intensities of normal and neoplastic lymphocytes isolated from BM aspirates. Squares (▪) represent neoplastic cells, and triangles (▴) represent normal cells. CD138⁺ cells (Figure 1A) show the same profile as 3D fixed cells (Figure 1B).

Figure W2. Comparison of the telomere profiles of myeloma cells. The profiles obtained from CD138-labeled cells (▪) and that of 3D fixed large cells (▴) are identical (A) as well as that of CD138⁻ cells (▪) and the 3D fixed small cells (▴) as shown in B.
Figure W3. (A–C) Analysis of the telomeric profiles of blood samples and BM samples after CD138 labeling (■) and 3D fixation (▲). The profiles are from MB0133 (BM), MB0144 (blood), and MB0157 (BM).