## 820 Letters to the Editor

articles, and the pooled results of these reports, which suggested improved short-term outcomes after endovascular repair of rDTAA. Currently, we are performing a comparative study regarding the outcomes of endovascular and open repair of rDTAA at seven large referral centers in Europe and the United States, to further investigate if endovascular repair of rDTAA results in improved outcomes. In the absence of the results of this multicenter study, or any other large comparative studies,

we believe that our meta-analysis provides more insights, and perhaps better evidence than the small case series that are

Frederik H.W. Jonker, MD Bauer E. Sumpio, MD, PhD Bart E. Muhs, MD, PhD

available at this moment.

Department of Surgery and Radiology Sections of Vascular Surgery and Interventional Radiology Yale University School of Medicine New Haven, Conn

Santi Trimarchi, MD

Department of Surgery Section of Vascular Surgery Policlinico San Donato I.R.C.C.S. Cardiovascular Center "E. Malan" University of Milano Milan, Italy

Hence J.M. Verhagen, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery Section of Vascular Surgery Erasmus University Medical Center Rotterdam, The Netherlands

Frans L. Moll, MD, PhD

Department of Surgery Section of Vascular Surgery University Medical Center Utrecht Utrecht, The Netherlands

doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2010.05.097

## Regarding "Preoperative statin therapy is associated with improved outcomes and resource utilization in patients undergoing aortic aneurysm repair"

McNally et al1 demonstrated, in their retrospective analysis of 401 patients undergoing elective abdominal aortic aneurysm repair, that postoperative mortality rate was significantly decreased in the open repair statin cohort compared with the nonstatin open repair cohort and trended to be decreased in the endovascular repair statin group. Previous meta-analyses,<sup>2,3</sup> which combined

Table. Study and patient characteristics

Reference  urg 200;39:967-76  J Med 2009;361:980-9  Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006;10:25-31  erontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20  005;36:2072-6  Analg 2007;104:1326-33	No.  100 497  511 1693 1252 2031 178	Age, y $67 \pm 10$ $66 \pm 11$ $64 \pm 11$ $73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control)  Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	Male, %  79 75  75 76 65 67 88
J Med 2009;361:980-9 Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006;10:25-31 crontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 (005;36:2072-6 (005;	511 1693 1252 2031 178	$66 \pm 11$ $64 \pm 11$ $73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	75 76 65 67
J Med 2009;361:980-9 Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006;10:25-31 crontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 (005;36:2072-6 (005;	511 1693 1252 2031 178	$66 \pm 11$ $64 \pm 11$ $73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	75 76 65 67
Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2006;10:25-31 rontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 :005;36:2072-6 :005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	511 1693 1252 2031 178	$64 \pm 11$ $73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	75 76 65 67
rontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 1005;36:2072-6 1005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	1693 1252 2031 178	$73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	76 65 67
rontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 1005;36:2072-6 1005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	1693 1252 2031 178	$73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	76 65 67
rontol Geriatr 2009;48:116-20 1005;36:2072-6 1005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	1693 1252 2031 178	$73 \pm 5$ Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	76 65 67
2005;36:2072-6 2005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	1252 2031 178	Median, 71 (statin) 73 (control) Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	65 67
1005;36:2072-6 Analg 2007;104:1326-33	2031 178	Median, 70 (statin) 73 (control) $67 \pm 11$	67
Analg 2007;104:1326-33	178	67 ± 11	
,	-, -	0, - 11	88
Analg 2007;104:1326-33	491	$68 \pm 11$	89
J Vasc Surg 2005;42:829-37		72 ± 10	63
ion 2003;107:1848-51	480	Mean, 70	82
urg 2008·47·774-81	1404	69 + 12	64
ardiol 2008;102:192-6	3371	$66 \pm 12$	73
	ion 2003;107:1848-51 urg 2008;47:774-81	ion 2003;107:1848-51 480 urg 2008;47:774-81 1404	ion 2003;107:1848-51 480 Mean, 70 urg 2008;47:774-81 1404 69 ± 12

Subtotal Total

CI, Confidence interval; MLR, multivariate logistic regression; NR, not reported; OR, odds ratio; PS, propencity score.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>The study by Durazzo et al included 3 patients (3%) undergoing amputation and 10 patients (10%) without surgery.

unadjusted odds ratios (ORs) in nonrandomized studies, also suggested that preoperative statin therapy was associated with lower postoperative mortality after vascular surgery. Since these meta-analyses were conducted, however, results of a number of controlled studies have been reported to date. In the Dutch Echocardiographic Cardiac Risk Evaluation Applying Stress Echocardiography III (DECREASE III) trial,4 a recent large randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial in patients undergoing vascular surgery, perioperative statin therapy reduced not postoperative death but myocardial ischemia or the composite of death from cardiovascular causes and myocardial infarction. Combining not unadjusted but adjusted risk estimates in nonrandomized studies, we performed an updated meta-analysis of controlled studies of preoperative statin therapy for the prevention of postoperative mortality in vascular

Our comprehensive search identified 10 controlled studies of preoperative statin therapy enrolling patients undergoing vascular surgery (Table). We excluded nonrandomized studies reporting only unadjusted risk estimates. Kennedy et al<sup>5</sup> provided an adjusted OR separately in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy. Le Manach et al<sup>6</sup> reported an adjusted OR separately in patients who continued and discontinued preoperative statin therapy after infrarenal aortic surgery. Therefore, from the eight nonrandomized studies, 10 ORs adjusted for potential confounding using appropriate statistical

methods could be abstracted. In total, our meta-analysis included data on 13,574 patients undergoing vascular surgery and receiving statin therapy (4651 patients) or control (placebo or no statin; 8923 patients). Pooled analysis of all the 10 studies demonstrated that statin therapy was statistically significantly associated with lower mortality in fixed effects models (OR, 0.44; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.33-0.57; P < .00001). There was minimal study heterogeneity (P = .11) and, accordingly, little difference in the pooled result from random-effects modeling (OR, 0.43; 95% CI, 0.30-0.62; P < .00001). Exclusion of any single study from the analysis did not substantively alter overall result of our analysis. When data from randomized trials (597 patients) and nonrandomized studies (12,977 patients) were separately pooled using a fixed effects model, statin therapy reduced mortality statistically nonsignificantly (OR, 0.47; 95% CI, 0.18-1.21; P = .12) and was statistically significantly associated with lower mortality (OR, 0.44; 95% CI, 0.33-0.58; P < .00001), respectively. There was no evidence of significant publication bias (P = .63) by an adjusted rank-correlation test.

The results of our analysis suggest that preoperative statin therapy may reduce postoperative mortality in patients undergoing vascular surgery, which was robust in sensitivity analyses. The data from only the two randomized trials, however, are less robust, primarily due to the small number (597) of enrolled patients. More randomized trials are needed to confirm the results of the present meta-analysis

Table. Continued.

Surgery, %						
Carotid	Aortic	Infrainguinal	Statin	Adjustment method	Follow-up	OR (95% CI)
11	56	20	Atorvastatin	Unneeded	30 days	0.33 (0.01-8.21)
14	47	39	Fluvastatin	Unneeded	30 days	0.48 (0.18-1.30) 0.47 (0.18-1.21)
10	46	43	NR	MLR	In hospital	0.07 (0.01-0.53)
21	42	37	NR	PS adjusted MLR	In hospital	0.35 (0.18-0.68)
100	0	0	NR	PS adjusted MLR	In hospital	0.69 (0.14-3.39)
100	0	0	NR	PS adjusted MLR	In hospital	0.23 (0.06-0.91)
0	100	0	Atorvastatin Fluvastatine Pravastatin Simvastatin	PS adjusted MLR	30 days and/or in hospital	0.50 (0.07-3.51)
0	100	0	Atorvastatin Fluvastatine Pravastatin Simvastatin	PS adjusted MLR	30 days and/or in hospital	1.40 (0.50-3.90)
100	0	0	Atorvastatin Fluvastatin Lovastatin Pravastatin Simvastatin	MLR	30 days	0.22 (0.05-0.96)
4	85	11	NR	Unconditional logistic regression	30 days	0.22 (0.10-0.47)
0	0	100	NR	PS weighting	30 days	0.81 (0.41-1.61)
24	35	41	Atorvastatin Fluvastatine Pravastatin Rosuvastatin Simvastatin	MLR	30 days	0.45 (0.27-0.75)
			Sinivastatiii			0.44 (0.33-0.58) 0.44 (0.33-0.57)

Hisato Takagi, MD, PhD Shin-nosuke Goto, MD Masafumi Matsui, MD Hideaki Manabe, MD Takuya Umemoto, MD, PhD

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery Shizuoka Medical Center Shizuoka, Japan

## REFERENCES

- McNally MM, Agle SC, Parker FM, Bogey WM, Powell CS, Stoner MC. Preoperative statin therapy is associated with improved outcomes and resource utilization in patients undergoing aortic aneurysm repair. J Vasc Surg 2010 [Epub ahead of print].
- Hindler K, Shaw AD, Samuels J, Fulton S, Collard CD, Riedel B. Improved postoperative outcomes associated with preoperative statin therapy. Anesthesiology 2006;105:1260-72; quiz 1289-90.
- Biccard BM. A peri-operative statin update for non-cardiac surgery. Part II: Statin therapy for vascular surgery and peri-operative statin trial design. Anaesthesia 2008;63:162-71.
- Schouten O, Boersma E, Hoeks SE, Benner R, van Urk H, van Sambeek MR, et al. Fluvastatin and perioperative events in patients undergoing vascular surgery. N Engl J Med 2009;361:980-9.
- Kennedy J, Quan H, Buchan AM, Ghali WA, Feasby TE. Statins are associated with better outcomes after carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients. Stroke 2005;36:2072-6.
- 6. Le Manach Y, Godet G, Coriat P, Martinon C, Bertrand M, Fléron MH, et al. The impact of postoperative discontinuation or continuation of

chronic statin therapy on cardiac outcome after major vascular surgery. Anesth Analg 2007;104:1326-33.

doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2010.05.111

## Reply

We read the comments from Takagi et al with great interest. Their letter outlines an interesting and thought-provoking metaanalysis demonstrating the protective benefits of preoperative statin
therapy in patients with noncoronary vascular disease. In addition to
the studies cited in our manuscript, this letter cites several other
important sources which add credence to our hypothesis regarding
the influence of statin-class drugs on operative outcomes and resource
utilization after aneurysm surgery. Takagi et al should be commended
for this analysis, and we would urge the authors to consider a robust
publication of the methodology and data.

There exists a considerable body of literature demonstrating a protective benefit attributable to statin therapy in patients with both central and peripheral vascular disease. The data are so compelling, that one might argue that there are ethical considerations to randomized trials as suggested by Takagi and colleagues. Statistical analyses such as this, and comparative effectiveness research should be used to develop evidence-based guidelines regarding the appropriate risk optimization of patients with vascular disease.

Michael C. Stoner, MD

East Carolina University Greenville, NC

doi:10.1016/j.jvs.2010.05.110