



BRIEF REPORT

Multiplex Real-Time Polymerase Chain Reaction-Based Method for the Rapid Detection of *gyrA* and *parC* Mutations in Quinolone-Resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp.

Junyoung Kim^a, Semi Jeon^a, Hyungjun Kim^a, Misun Park^a, Soobok Kim^b,
Seonghan Kim^{a,*}

^aDivision of Enteric Bacterial Infections, Korea National Institute of Health, Osong, Korea.

^bKogene Biotech, Seoul, Korea.

Received: December 19, 2011
Revised: January 10, 2012
Accepted: January 16, 2012

KEYWORDS:

fluoroquinolone,
gyrA,
multiplex real-time PCR,
parC

Abstract

Two real-time polymerase chain reaction assays were developed to detect mutations in codons 83 and 87 in *gyrA* and in codons 80 and 91 in *parC*, the main sites that causes quinolone resistance in pathogenic *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp. isolates. These assays can be employed as a useful method for controlling infections caused by quinolone-resistant *E coli* and *Shigella* isolates.

1. Introduction

Fluoroquinolone is highly effective against enteric Gram-negative bacteria [1]. Within a short period of time, however, the widespread use of this drug has resulted in the emergence of fluoroquinolone-resistant, Gram-negative bacteria [2–4].

Resistance to fluoroquinolones is mainly caused by mutations in the quinolone-resistance determining regions (QRDRs) of *gyrA* and *parC*, which encode the GyrA and ParC proteins, respectively [5]. Most quinolone-resistant isolates have at least one *gyrA* mutation, and many have additional *gyrA* and/or *parC* mutations [2,3]. Mutations in the *gyrA* amino acid codons for Ser83 and/or Asp87 are commonly found in

*Corresponding author.

E-mail: kkingsh@chol.com

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

fluoroquinolone-resistant, Gram-negative bacteria [6]. Targeted mutations are also frequently present in *parC* in quinolone-resistant Gram-negative bacteria, including mutations in the codons for Ser80, Glu84, and/or Arg91 [7,8]. Mutations in *gyrA* or *parC* have less of an effect on resistance in Gram-negative bacteria by themselves than they do when found in combination with other mutations in these genes [9].

Currently, nucleotide sequencing is a common method for the identification of mutations in the QRDRs of *gyrA* and *parC*. Despite the widespread use of sequencing, it is slow and expensive [10]. Various alternative methods to replace sequencing have been proposed, including polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) [11], real-time (RT)-based detection [12], and single-stranded conformation polymorphism (SSCP) [13].

Our objective was to develop a quicker method for the detection of quinolone resistance-related mutations at the *gyrA* codons of Ser83 and Asp87 and the *parC* codons of Ser80 and Arg91 in quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp. isolates. This technique is based on the detection of fluorescent emissions from the PCR products of wild-type *gyrA* and *parC*; isolates with mutations at specific sites in *gyrA* and *parC* do not emit detectable fluorescence.

2. Materials and Methods

The isolates used in this study are listed in Table 1. Quinolone-resistant pathogenic *E coli* and *Shigella* spp. isolates were obtained from the National Public Health Network of Korea. Each isolate was identified according to the Giraud method with some modifications [14].

Two multiplex PCR assays were developed to detect mutations in the QRDRs of *gyrA* and *parC*. In the first assay (named Gyr), Taqman MGB probes were designed to detect wild-type nucleotide sequences corresponding to the codons of Ser83 and Asp87 in *gyrA*; in the second assay (named Par), probes were designed to detect wild-type nucleotide sequences corresponding to the codons of Ser80 and Arg87 in *parC*. Selective probes for both assays were designed using Primer Express (version 3.0; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) to detect mutations in the second base of the each targeted codon.

Template DNA was prepared from each isolate by boiling in 100 μ L of 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.0) for 10 minutes. The primers were synthesized by Bioneer (Seoul, Korea), and the probes were synthesized by Applied Biosystems. For each assay set, the probe for one allele was labeled with FAM (6-carboxy-fluorescein) and the other was labeled with VIC (4,7,2'-trichloro-7'-phenyl-6-carboxyfluorescein). The primers and probes used in this study are shown in Table 2.

All of the PCR assays were used in a final volume of 20 μ L that contained 5 μ L of template DNA with

0.2 μ M of each primer, 0.5 μ M of each probe, and 10 μ L of RT-PCR master mix (Kogen Biotech, Seoul, Korea). All of the assays used the same thermocycling parameters. The reactions were performed in a LightCycler 480 system (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) under the following conditions: one cycle of 50°C for 5 minutes followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 seconds and 60°C for 1 minute.

3. Results

After optimizing each assay, 14 *Shigella* spp. strains with known mutations in *gyrA* and/or *parC* were tested using the Gyr and Par assays. All of the known mutations at Ser83 and Asp87 were successfully detected in the *gyrA* mutants using the Gyr assay: 10 strains had mutations at Ser83 and one strain had a mutation at both Ser83 and Asp87. Additionally, all of the known mutations in Ser80 and Arg87 were successfully detected in the *parC* mutants using the Par assays. We assessed PCR amplification in all of the mutant isolates (i.e., those that did not emit fluorescence). Amplification of both the 78-base pair (bp) Gyr assay PCR product and the 98-bp Par assay PCR product were confirmed in all of the tested isolates using electrophoresis (data not shown).

To determine the accuracies of the RT-PCR assays, 48 strains of quinolone-resistant pathogenic *E coli* and 14 *S flexneri* isolates were tested using both the Gyr and Par assays. The fluorescent signals generated from the RT-PCR Gyr and Par assays were analyzed to determine the presence of mutations in *gyrA* and/or *parC*. The PCR results were confirmed by sequencing. All of the PCR results corresponded 100% with the sequencing results (Table 1). Notably, in strain QR24, a fluorescent signal was detected with the Par assay, but sequencing revealed a mutation in *parC* codon Ala81; however, this mutation at codon Ala81 did not affect the PCR results.

4. Discussion

Resistance to quinolone is caused by a variety of mechanisms, such as a mutation in the targeted enzyme's coding sequence, participation of the efflux system, and the actions of *qnr* [15]. Compared with other quinolone-resistance mechanisms, the largest contributing factor to resistance is alteration of the target sequence. Thus, the fast, precise detection of mutations in the QRDRs of the target enzymes is very important for controlling infections caused by quinolone-resistant strains [9].

The RT-PCR assay developed for this study can simultaneously identify mutations in specific regions of the *gyrA* and *parC* genes. Compared with similar PCR

Table 1. MIC and nucleotide changes in the QRDRs of the *gyrA* and *parC* genes of quinolone-resistant strains of *Escherichia coli* and *Shigella* spp

Strain no.	Species	MIC CIP ^b	RT-PCR assay ^a				<i>gyrA</i> amino acid at codon		<i>parC</i> amino acid at codon	
			Gyr		Par		83	87	80	91
			83	87	80	91	TCG (Ser)	GAC (Asp)	TCG (Ser)	CGA (Arg)
982962	<i>S sonnei</i>	1.0	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
994263	<i>S sonnei</i>	0.125	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
990379	<i>S flexneri</i>	0.25	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
990036	<i>S flexneri</i>	0.5	+	+	+	-	—	—	—	-AG(Gln)
000207	<i>S sonnei</i>	0.25	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
000784	<i>S flexneri</i>	0.125	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
013008	<i>S sonnei</i>	0.25	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
020065	<i>S sonnei</i>	0.25	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
021586	<i>S sonnei</i>	0.5	+	+	+	-	—	—	—	-AG(Gln)
020369	<i>S flexneri</i>	0.5	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
021787	<i>S flexneri</i>	16	-	+	-	-	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
021895	<i>S flexneri</i>	8	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
022149	<i>S flexneri</i>	0.5	+	+	+	-	—	—	—	-AG(Gln)
QR01	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR02	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR03	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR04	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG (Gln)
QR05	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR06	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR07	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR08	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR09	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR10	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR11	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR12	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR13	<i>E coli</i>	6	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR14	<i>E coli</i>	6	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR15	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR16	<i>E coli</i>	6	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR17	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR18	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR19	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR20	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR21	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR22	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR23	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR24	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	+	+	-T-(Leu)	—	—	—
QR25	<i>E coli</i>	128	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR26	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR27	<i>E coli</i>	8	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR28	<i>E coli</i>	6	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR29	<i>E coli</i>	256	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR30	<i>E coli</i>	8	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR31	<i>E coli</i>	256	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR32	<i>E coli</i>	128	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR33	<i>E coli</i>	256<	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR34	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR35	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR36	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR37	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR38	<i>E coli</i>	128	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—
QR39	<i>E coli</i>	128	-	-	-	+	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	—

(Continued on next page)

Table 1 (Continued)

Strain no.	Species	MIC	RT-PCR assay ^a				<i>gyrA</i> amino acid at codon		<i>parC</i> amino acid at codon	
			Gyr		Par		83	87	80	91
		CIP ^b	83	87	80	91	TCG (Ser)	GAC (Asp)	TCG (Ser)	CGA (Arg)
QR40	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR41	<i>E coli</i>	64	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
QR42	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR43	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR44	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR45	<i>E coli</i>	6	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR46	<i>E coli</i>	4	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR47	<i>E coli</i>	3	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
QR48	<i>E coli</i>	32	-	+	-	+	-T-(Leu)	—	G-(Ile)	—
100020	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100053	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100054	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100377	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100378	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100379	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	A-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100449	<i>S flexneri</i>	16	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	A-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100781	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100782	<i>S flexneri</i>	16	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
100985	<i>S flexneri</i>	16	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	A-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
101464	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
102724	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
102725	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	-G-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)
102726	<i>S flexneri</i>	4	-	-	-	-	-T-(Leu)	A-(Gly)	G-(Ile)	-AG(Gln)

^a+, emitted fluorescence; ^bCIP, Ciprofloxacin; -, did not emit fluorescence.

detection methods developed for use in other studies that can only identify one or two mutated sites in *gyrA* and *parC*, this RT-PCR assay is relatively simple and fast.

In the accuracy test for quinolone-resistant *S flexneri* isolates, this assay detected different types of mutations at *gyrA* codon 87. In 10 strains, the codon was mutated from aspartic acid (GAC) to glycine (GGC); in the other four strains, the codon was mutated to asparagine (AAC). This assay was designed to detect mutations in the second base pair of each targeted codon, but it also

detected mutations in the first base pair of the targeted codon. Therefore, the Par assay can also be influenced by silent mutations in the target site.

This assay cannot identify mutations outside of the four target sites (codons 83 and 87 in *gyrA* and codons 81 and 90 in *parC*). Also, it cannot identify mutations in *gyrB* or *parE*. However, most mutations that influence quinolone resistance usually include one or more of these four target sites. Identification of mutations at these four target sites is sufficient to explain the resistance mechanism of quinolone-resistant isolates.

Table 2. Primer and probe sequences for the SNPs tests

Target gene	Primer	Sequence (5' → 3')
<i>gyrA</i>	Detection primer F	TGGTGACGTAATCGGTAATACCA
	Detection primer R	GAATGGCTGCGCCATACG
	SNP 83 detection probe (FAM)	FAM-TGACTCGGCGGTTT- MGBNF
	SNP 87 detection probe (VIC)	VIC-ACGATAGTGTCAATAAC-MGBNF
<i>parC</i>	Detection primer F	GACGTACTGGGTAATACCATCCG
	Detection primer R	TCAACCAGCGGATAACGGTAA
	SNP 80 detection probe (FAM)	FAM-GCGATAGCACCTGT-MGBNFQ
	SNP 91 detection probe (VIC)	VIC-AGAACGGTCGCGCC-MGBNFQ

In conclusion, we developed a simple and efficient RT-PCR assay that simultaneously detects the major *gyrA* and *parC* mutations related to quinolone resistance. This assay can be easily applied to laboratory-based surveillance systems as a substitute method for DNA sequencing.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a grant from the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The authors declare that they have no conflicting interests related to this work.

References

1. Hooper DC. New uses for new and old quinolones and the challenge of resistance. *Clin Infect Dis* 2000;30:243–54.
2. Nakamura S. Mechanism of quinolone resistance. *J Infect Chemother* 1997;3:128–38.
3. Lautenbach E, Strom BL, Nachamkin I, et al. Longitudinal Trends in fluoroquinolone resistance among Enterobacteriaceae isolates from inpatients and outpatients, 1989–2000: differences in the emergence and epidemiology of resistance across organisms. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;38:655–62.
4. Uchida Y, Mochimaru T, Morokuma Y, et al. Geographic distribution of fluoroquinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* strains in Asia. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2010;35:358–73.
5. Drlica K, Zhao X. DNA gyrase, topoisomerase IV, and the 4-quinolone. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 1997;61:377–92.
6. Ruiz J, Gomez J, Navia MM, et al. High prevalence of nalidixic acid resistant ciprofloxacin susceptible phenotype among clinical isolates of *Escherichia coli* and other Enterobacteriaceae. *Diag Microbiol Infect Dis* 2002;42:257–61.
7. Jung D, Lee M, Kim J, et al. Isolation of quinolone-resistant *Escherichia coli* found in major rivers in Korea. *J Microbiol* 2006;44:680–4.
8. Kim J, Kim S, Jeon S, et al. Resistance to fluoroquinolones by the combination of target site mutations and enhanced expression of genes for efflux pumps in *Shigella flexneri* and *Shigella sonnei* strains isolated in Korea. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2008;14:760–5.
9. Heaton VJ, Ambler JE, Fisher LM. Potent antipneumococcal activity of gemifloxacin is associated with dual targeting of gyrase and topoisomerase IV, an in vivo target preference for gyrase, and enhanced stabilization of cleavable complexes in vitro. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2000;44:3112–7.
10. Rodrigo A, Estibaliz M, Romon C. Detection of *parC* mutation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae* by real-time PCR and Taqman-MGB probes. *J Microbiol Method* 2007;69:214–7.
11. Lysnyansky I, Mikula I, Gerchman I, Levisohn S. Rapid detection of a point mutation in the *parC* gene associated with decreased susceptibility to fluoroquinolones in *Mycoplasma bovis*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2009;53:4911–4.
12. Page S, Vernel PF, O'Conneor O, et al. Real-time PCR detection of *gyrA* and *parC* mutation in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2008;52:4155–8.
13. Deborah JE, Ernesto L, Martin JW, Laura JP. Detection *gyrA* mutations in quinolone-resistant *Salmonella enteric* by denaturin high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Clin Microbiol* 2002; 40:4121–5.
14. Giraud E, Brisabois A, Martel JL, Chaslus DE. Comparative studies of mutations in animal isolates and experimental in vitro and in vivo-selected mutants of *Salmonella* spp. suggest a counter selection of highly fluoroquinolone-resistant strains in the field. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1999;43:2131–7.