

Applied Mathematics Letters 14 (2001) 105-108

Applied Mathematics Letters

www.elsevier.nl/locate/aml

Local Search Structure in the Symmetric Travelling Salesperson Problem under a General Class of Rearrangement Neighborhoods

J. W. BARNES

Graduate Program in Operations Research and Industrial Engineering The University of Texas at Austin Austin, TX 78712, U.S.A. wbarnes@mail.utexas.edu

> B. W. COLLETTI Chief of Military and Industrial Studies SeiCorp, Inc., 13890 Braddock Road, Suite 312 Centreville, VA 20121-2435, U.S.A. www.seicorp-inc.com bcolletti@computerserve.com

(Received October 1999; accepted November 1999)

Abstract—The symmetric travelling salesperson problem with n cities (1-STSP) possesses no arbitrarily poor local optima for search neighborhoods defined by arbitrary unions of conjugacy classes in the symmetric group on n letters, S(n). © 2000 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Keywords-TSP, Symmetric group, Rearrangement neighborhood, Conjugacy class.

Colletti and Barnes [1] detailed how the conjugacy class of n cycles in S(n) may be viewed as the set of all solutions, or tours, to the symmetric travelling salesperson problem with one agent (1-STSP). Large 1-STSPs overwhelm exact solution methods, causing recourse to heuristic methods like the tabu search metaheuristic [2] which iteratively chooses a new solution from among those in the neighborhood of a previously obtained incumbent solution. In this paper, we use group theory to reveal a useful property common to a general class of rearrangement neighborhoods.

In earlier work, Grover [3] and Codenotti and Margara [4,5] showed that four specific elementary 1-STSP neighborhoods—2-city swap, 2+3-new-change, 3-new-change, and 2-opt—satisfy a simple homogeneous linear difference equation

$$\nabla^2 f + \frac{k}{n} f = 0, \tag{1}$$

where n is the number of cities; k > 0 is a constant which depends on the neighborhood; and for tour p, f(p) is the tourlength of p minus the average tourlength of all tours, μ . Finally, $\nabla^2 f(p)$ denotes the average of all f(q) - f(p), where q is a neighbor of p. Grover [3] shows that the tourlength of any local optimum of any duplicative 1-STSP neighborhood (not only the above four) does not exceed μ , i.e., arbitrarily poor local optima cannot exist for such neighborhoods. A duplicative neighborhood is one whose construction method admits duplicate members; and henceforth, we presume such neighborhoods.

Let |C| denote the cardinality of $C \subseteq S(n)$. For any *n*-cycle $p \in S(n)$, define $p^C \equiv \{p^c = c^{-1}pc : c \in C\}$ to be the *C*-rearrangement neighborhood of p. Note, that each element of C contributes a neighbor, and so p^C is a duplicative neighborhood since there may be distinct $x, y \in C$ such that $p^x = p^y$. When C is a conjugacy class of S(n), [1] showed that in the multiple asymmetric TSP (m-ATSP), the summed neighbor tourlengths—denoted weight[tourlength, p^C]—is linear in the tourlengths of p and its inverse. Thus, for the m-STSP and n > 3, this weight is linear in tourlength(p)

weight [tourlength, p^C] = $(2c_2 + (n-4)c_4 + 2c_6)$ Sum $D + [c_1 - 2c_2 + 2c_4 + c_5 - 2c_6]$ tourlength(p), (2)

where SumD is the sum of all elements in the arbitrary symmetric zero-diagonal n by n distance matrix, and c_i is described in [1]. The neighborhood f-weight of p, denoted weight $[f, p^C]$, is the summed f values of p's neighbors.

A conjugacy class of S(n) consists of all permutation having a given cycle structure. Thus, the set of all two-cycles in S(4) is the conjugacy class $C_{(x,x)} \equiv \{(1,2), (1,3), (1.4), (2,3), (2,4), (3,4)\}$, while the conjugacy class of three-cycles is $C_{(x,x,x)} \equiv \{(2,3,4), (2,4,3), (1,2,3), (1,2,4), (1,3,2), (1,3,4), (1,4,2), (1,4,3)\}$. If p is a derangement in S(n), i.e., a permutation that moves all n letters, then the neighborhood of p consisting of all possible two-letter swaps on p is given by $p^{C_{(x,x,x)}}$. Likewise, all possible three-letter swaps are given by $p^{C_{(x,x,x)}}$.

However, the set of all four-letter swaps is given by p^C , where $C = C_{(x,x,x,x)} \cup C_{(x,x)(x,x)}$. In general, p^C are all k-letter rearrangements on derangement p, where C is the union of all conjugacy classes whose cycle structures move k letters. Again, p^C may contain duplicates since each element in C creates a neighbor. Until otherwise stated, C henceforth denotes a single conjugacy class of S(n).

When applied to the *n*-city 1-STSP, equation (2) may be used to prove any C-rearrangement neighborhood satisfies equation (1), and so has no arbitrarily poor local optima. To see this, simply manipulate equation (1) to obtain

$$k = n - \frac{n}{\nu} \frac{\text{weight}\left[f, p^C\right]}{f(p)} > 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\nu = |p^{C}| = |C|$, a constant $\forall p \in S(n)$. Because equation (1) presumes k is constant, then so is

$$\rho = \frac{\text{weight}\left[f, p^C\right]}{f(p)} < \nu.$$
(4)

Since this argument can be reversed, it follows that a constant $\rho < \nu$ implies the 1-STSP *C*-rearrangement neighborhood satisfies equation (1).

To show that any C-rearrangement neighborhood respects equation (1), we need only show its ρ value satisfies equation (4). First, it is well known that SUM $D = (n-1)\mu$, and so

weight
$$[f, p^C]$$
 = weight [tourlength, p^C] - $|C|\mu = [2c_2 + (n-4)c_4 + 2c_6](n-1)\mu$
+ $[c_1 - 2c_2 + 2c_4 + c_5 - 2c_6]$ tourlength $(p) - |C|\mu$, (5)

where as stated earlier

$$f(p) = \text{tourlength}(p) - \mu.$$
(6)

For the reader's convenience, the arc transformation table from [1] is given in Table 1.

Table 1. Arc transformation table.

Transformed arc	τ	$[x, eq x^p]$	$[eq x, x^p]$	$ \begin{array}{c} [\notin \{x, x^p\} \\ \notin \{x, x^p\}] \end{array} $	$[x^p, x]$	$[x^p, \neq x]$	$[eq x^p, x]$
# C-elements	c_1	c_2	$c_3(=c_2)$	<i>c</i> 4	c_5	<i>c</i> ₆	$c_7(=c_6)$
Arc variates	1	n-2	n-2	P(n - 2, 2)	1	n-2	n-2

Table 1 presents the seven transformation of p-arc $\tau = [x, x^p]$ under conjugation by C. c_k elements in C transform τ into a specific column header arc α , and the bottom row gives the number of variants of α . For example, if $\tau = [1, 2]$, then c_2 elements in C change τ into $\alpha = [1, 3]$, one of the n - 2 variants $\{[1, 3], [1, 4], \ldots, [1, n]\}$. P(n, m) denotes the number of permutations on n choose m letters.

Thus, |C| is the summed pairwise products of corresponding elements from the second and third rows of Table 1

$$|C| = 1^{*}c_{1} + (n-2)^{*}c_{2} + (n-2)^{*}c_{3} + P(n-2,2)^{*}c_{4} + 1^{*}c_{5} + (n-2)^{*}c_{6} + (n-2)^{*}c_{7}$$

= $c_{1} + 2(n-2)c_{2} + (n-2)(n-3)c_{4} + c_{5} + 2(n-2)c_{6}.$ (7)

Substituting equations (5)-(7) into equation (4) and simplifying yields the constant

$$\rho = c_1 - 2c_2 + 2c_4 + c_5 - 2c_6 < |C|. \tag{8}$$

Thus, any 1-STSP C-rearrangement neighborhood satisfies equation (1) with an associated k coefficient

$$k = n \left[1 + \frac{2(c_2 - c_4 + c_6) - c_1 - c_5}{|C|} \right] > 0.$$
(9)

In turn, this neighborhood has no arbitrarily poor local optima. Now, if C is the union of arbitrary conjugacy classes $\{C_i\}_{i \in I}$ in S(n), then

$$\frac{\text{weight}\left[f, p^{C}\right]}{f(p)} = \sum_{i \in I} \frac{\text{weight}\left[f, p^{C_{i}}\right]}{f(p)}.$$
(10)

Since each summand in equation (10) is constant and less than its $|C_i|$, their sum must be constant and less than |C|, the sum of all the $|C_i|$. Thus, this *C*-rearrangement neighborhood satisfies equation (1) with k coefficient

$$k = n - n \frac{\operatorname{weight}\left[f, p^{C}\right] / f(p)}{\sum_{i \in I} |C_{i}|} = n \left[1 - \frac{\sum_{i \in I} \left(\operatorname{weight}\left[f, p^{C_{i}}\right] / f(p)\right)}{\sum_{i \in I} |C_{i}|}\right].$$
(11)

This result generalizes upon and proves the (specific) conjecture of [4] that says the 1-STSP $\{2 + \cdots + m\}$ -letter rearrangement move satisfies equation (1).

In closing, results found here are subsumed by more general m-STSP results presented in [6]. For example, equation (2) holds for the m-STSP, i.e., p need not be an n-cycle (a solution to the 1-TSP). All other equations hold for any deranged m-STSP, i.e., p is any derangement (an n-cycle is a special type of derangement). The authors focused upon the more familiar 1-STSP because once understood, this paper's application to the m-STSP becomes clear.

REFERENCES

- 1. B. Colletti and J.W. Barnes, Linearity in the traveling salesman problem, Appl. Math. Lett. 13 (3), 27–32 (2000).
- 2. F. Glover and M. Laguna, Tabu Search, Kluwer Academic, Boston, MA, (1997).

- 3. L. Grover, Local search and the local structure of NP-complete problems, Operations Research Letters 12 (4), 235-243 (1992).
- 4. B. Codenotti and L. Margara, Local properties of some NP-complete problems. TR-92-021, International Computer Science Institute, University of California at Berkeley (1992).
- 5. B. Codenotti and L. Margara, Traveling salesman problem and local search, Appl. Math. Lett. 5 (4), 69-71 (1992).
- 6. B. Colletti, Group Theory and Metaheuristics, Ph.D. Dissertation, The University of Texas at Austin, (1999).