# ON BALANCED COMPLEMENTATION FOR REGULAR t-WISE BALANCED DESIGNS\*

R. FUJI-HARA<sup>†</sup>, S. KURIKI<sup>‡</sup> and M. JIMBO<sup>†</sup>

†Inst. of Socio Economic Planning, Univ. of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan 305 ‡Dept. of Applied Mathematics, Science Univ. of Tokyo, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo, Japan 162

Received 28 April 1986 Revised 14 August 1987

Vanstone has shown a procedure, called r-complementation, to construct a regular pairwise balanced design from an existing regular pairwise balanced design. In this paper, we give a generalization of r-complementation, called balanced complementation. Necessary and sufficient conditions for balanced complementation which gives a regular t-wise balanced design from an existing regular t-wise balanced design are shown. We characterize those aspects of designs which permit balanced complementation. Results obtained here will be applied to construct regular t-wise balanced designs which are useful in Statistics.

## 1. Introduction

A *t*-wise balanced design (denoted by t-BD) is a pair (V,  $\mathcal{B}$ ), where V is a v-set (called points) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of V (called blocks), satisfying the following condition:

For any *t*-subset T of V, the number of blocks containing T is  $\lambda_t$ , which is independent of the *t*-subset T chosen.

If, for any s-subset S ( $s \le t$ ), the number of blocks containing S is  $\lambda_s$  which is independent of the s-subset S chosen, then the design is called a *regular t-wise* balanced design. When t=2, the design is called a *regular pairwise balanced* design (regular PBD) or an  $(r, \lambda)$ -design  $(r = \lambda_1, \lambda = \lambda_2)$ .

Vanstone [4] has shown a procedure, called *r*-complementation, to construct a regular PBD from an existing regular PBD. The *r*-complementation is the procedure defined as follows:

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular *PBD*. For any point  $x \in V$ , let  $\mathcal{B}_x$  be a collection of blocks containing x. Consider

and

$$V^* = V - \{x\}$$

$$\mathfrak{O}^* = \{ V - B : B \in \mathfrak{B}_x \} \cup (\mathfrak{B} - \mathfrak{B}_x).$$

Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathscr{B}^*)$  is also a regular *PBD* with new parameters  $v^* = v - 1$ ,  $r^* = 2(r - \lambda)$  and  $\lambda^* = r - \lambda$ .

\* The research of the second author was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research of the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture under Contract Number 403-8003-60740126.

0012-365X/89/\$3.50 (C) 1989, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland)

The r-complementation is useful to construct new  $(r, \lambda)$ -designs (see e.g. [3]).

In this paper, we give a generalization of r-complementation in Sections 2 and 3, called balanced complementation. Its definition is given in Section 2 for regular *PBD*'s and in Section 3 for regular *t-BD*'s  $(t \ge 3)$ , respectively. Necessary and sufficient conditions for balanced complementation which gives a regular *t-BD* from an existing regular *t-BD* are shown in Section 2 for t = 2 and in Section 3 for  $t \ge 3$ , respectively. In Section 3 we characterize those aspects of designs which permit balanced complementation. Results obtained here will be applied to construct regular *t-BD*'s which are useful in Statistics (see e.g. [2]).

#### 2. Balanced complementation for a regular PBD

We generalize *r*-complementation by the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular PBD. Consider  $V^* = V$  and  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{V - B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\} \cup (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}')$ , where  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular PBD if and only if each point of V is contained in exactly the same number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}'$ .

**Proof.** Assume that each point of V is contained in exactly r' blocks in  $\mathscr{B}'$ . Let  $|\mathscr{B}'| = b'$ . It is easy to see that each point of  $V^*$  is contained in exactly r + b' - 2r' blocks in  $\mathscr{B}^*$ . For any pair  $\{x, y\}$  of V, let  $b_1$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}'$  containing x and y and let  $b_2$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}'$  containing neither x nor y, and let  $b_3$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B} - \mathscr{B}'$  containing x and y. Then we have

and

$$b_2 - b_1 = b' - 2r'.$$

 $b_1 + b_3 = \lambda$ 

From these equations, we can show that each pair of  $V^*$  is contained in exactly  $\lambda + b' - 2r'$  blocks in  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . Therefore, the above pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is a regular *PBD*.

Let  $(V^*, \mathscr{B}^*)$  be a regular *PBD*. For some  $x \in V$ , let  $c_x$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}'$  containing x and let  $d_x$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B} - \mathscr{B}'$  containing x. Since  $(V, \mathscr{B})$  is a regular *PBD*,  $c_x + d_x$  is independent of the chosen x. The number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}^*$  containing x is  $b' - c_x + d_x$ , which is also independent of the chosen x, since  $(V^*, \mathscr{B}^*)$  is a regular *PBD*. Hence, each point of V is contained in exactly the same number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}'$ .  $\Box$ 

In this paper we call this procedure balanced complementation. A spread (or resolution class) of a PBD is a set of blocks, in which each point appears in exactly one block of the set. If the blocks of the design are partitioned into spreads, then the partition is called a resolution and the design is said to be

*resolvable*. There are many examples of resolvable designs. We can apply Theorem 2.1 to designs with spreads.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular PBD with m disjoint spreads. Then there exists a regular PBD  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  with parameters  $v^* = v$ ,  $r^* = r + b' - 2m$  and  $\lambda^* = \lambda + b' - 2m$ , where b' is the total number of blocks in the m spreads. (If block size of the design is a constant k, then b' = mv/k.)

In a regular *PBD* (V,  $\mathscr{B}$ ),  $r - \lambda$  is called *order* and denoted by *n*. From the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.3.** The order  $n = r - \lambda$  is invariant under any balanced complementation.

# 3. Balanced complementation for a regular t-BD

Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a pair, where V is a finite set (called points) and  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of subsets of V (called blocks). For subsets T and S of V such that  $S \subseteq T$ , let  $\lambda(T, S)$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathcal{B}$  which contain S but do not contain any point of T - S. The following lemma is used throughout this section.

**Lemma 3.1** (Basic Lemma). Let T and S be subsets of V such that  $S \subseteq T$ . Then, for a point e of V - T,  $\lambda(T, S) = \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S \cup \{e\}) + \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S)$  holds.

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{B}'$  be a collection of blocks which contain S but do not contain any point of T - S.  $\mathscr{B}'$  will be partitioned into  $\mathscr{B}_1$  and  $\mathscr{B}_2$ , where each block of  $\mathscr{B}_1$  contains e and each of  $\mathscr{B}_2$  does not contain e. The number of blocks of  $\mathscr{B}'$  is  $\lambda(T, S)$ , the number of blocks of  $\mathscr{B}_1$  is  $\lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S \cup \{e\})$  and the number of blocks of  $\mathscr{B}_2$  is  $\lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S)$ .  $\Box$ 

We consider two properties which will be useful in our study of balanced complementation.

**Definition.** A pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is said to have the property L(t, s) if for every *t*-subset T and *s*-subset S of V with  $S \subseteq T$ ,  $\lambda(T, S)$  is independent of T and S. We denote this constant by  $\lambda_{t,s}$ .

If a pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  has the properties L(i, i)'s for  $i \leq t$ , then it is a regular t-BD.

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the Basic Lemma.

**Lemma 3.2.** If two of the properties L(t, s), L(t + 1, s + 1) and L(t + 1, s) are satisfied, then the rest of the properties is also satisfied.

Note that, from Lemma 3.2, if the properties L(i, i)'s are satisfied for every  $i \le t$ , then the properties L(i, j)'s are also satisfied for every  $j \le i \le t$ .

**Definition.** A pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is said to have the property M(t, s) if for every *t*-subset *T* and *s*-subset *S* of *V* with  $S \subseteq T$ ,  $\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T - S)$  is independent of *T* and *S*. We denote this constant by  $\delta_{t,s}$ .

If a pair  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is a regular t-BD, then it has the properties M(i, j)'s for  $j \le i \le t$ .

On the property M(t, s), we will show some results.

**Lemma 3.3.** If two of the properties M(t, s), M(t + 1, s + 1) and M(t + 1, s) are satisfied, then the rest of the properties is also satisfied.

**Proof.** This is clear from the Basic Lemma.  $\Box$ 

Note that  $\delta_{t,s} = \delta_{t+1,s+1} + \delta_{t+1,s}$ , when two of the properties M(t, s), M(t + 1, s + 1) and M(t + 1, s) are satisfied.

**Lemma 3.4.** If the property M(t, s) is satisfied, then the property M(t, t - s) is also satisfied.

**Proof.** This is also clear from the definition of the property M(t, s).

Note that  $\delta_{t,s} + \delta_{t,t-s} = 0$ , when the property M(t, s) is satisfied.

**Lemma 3.5.** If the properties M(i, i)'s are satisfied for every  $i \le t$ , then  $\delta_{2d,d} = 0$ , for  $d = 0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \frac{1}{2}t \rfloor$ , where [a] denotes the largest integer  $\le a$ .

**Proof.** Since the properties M(i, i)'s are satisfied for every  $i \le t$ , the properties M(i, j)'s are also satisfied for every  $j \le i \le t$ , from Lemma 3.3. Then, from the note of Lemma 3.4, we have  $\delta_{2d,d} = 0$  for  $d \le \lfloor t/2 \rfloor$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 3.6.** If the properties M(t-1, j)'s are satisfied for every  $j \le t-1$  and t is even, then the properties M(t, s)'s are also satisfied for every  $s \le t$ .

**Proof.** Let  $S_0, S_1, \ldots, S_t$  be subsets of V such that  $S_0(=\phi) \subset S_1 \subset \cdots \subset S_t$  with  $|S_j| = j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, t$ , respectively. Define variables  $d_j$  as

$$d_j = \lambda(S_t, S_j) - \lambda(S_t, S_t - S_j).$$

Since the properties M(t-1, j)'s are satisfied for every  $j \le t-1$ , we have, from the Basic Lemma,

$$d_j + d_{j+1} = \delta_{t-1,j},$$

for j = 0, 1, ..., t - 1. Since t is even, from these equations, we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^{j} \delta_{t-1,j} = d_0 - d_t$$
  
= 2{ $\lambda(S_t, \phi) - \lambda(S_t, S_t)$ }

This implies that the property M(t, 0) is satisfied and  $\delta_{t,0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (-1)^j \delta_{t-1,j}$ . Thus, from Lemma 3.3, the properties M(t, s)'s are satisfied for every  $s \le t$ .  $\Box$ 

When block size is constant, it is well known that, if the property L(t, t) is satisfied, then the properties L(i, j)'s are also satisfied for every  $j \le i \le t$ . But, for the property M(i, j), such a result is unknown. We can only make the following statement.

**Lemma 3.7.** If the property M(t, s) is satisfied and block size is  $k = \frac{1}{2}v (\ge s)$ , then the property M(t-1, s-1) is also satisfied.

**Proof.** Let T and S be a (t-1)-subset and an (s-1)-subset of V, respectively, such that  $S \subseteq T$ . Since M(t, s) is satisfied, we have

$$\lambda(T \cup \{e\}, S \cup \{e\}) - \lambda(T \cup \{e\}, T - S) = \delta_{t,s},$$

for any point e of V - T. Let  $\mathbb{B}_e$  and  $\mathbb{C}_e$  be a collection of blocks counted in the first term and in the second term of the above equation, respectively. Since block size is a constant k, we have |B - T| = k - (s - 1) for a block B which contains S but does not contain any point of T - S. Such a block appears in exactly k - (s - 1) collections of  $\mathbb{B}_{e_1}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}_{e_2}$ , ...,  $\mathbb{B}_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ , where  $V - T = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_{v-(t-1)}\}$ . Similarly, if a block B appears in one of the collections  $\mathbb{C}_{e_1}, \mathbb{C}_{e_2}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ , then B is contained in exactly v - k - (s - 1) collections of  $\mathbb{C}_{e_1}, \mathbb{C}_{e_2}, \ldots, \mathbb{C}_{e_{v-(t-1)}}$ . Thus we have

$$\{k - (s - 1)\}\lambda(T, S) - \{v - k - (s - 1)\}\lambda(T, T - S) = \{v - (t - 1)\}\delta_{t,s}.$$

Substituting the equation into  $\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T - S)$ , we have

$$\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T-S) = \frac{\{(v-t+1)\delta_{t,s} + (v-2k)\lambda(T, T-S)\}}{k-s+1}$$

So, if v = 2k, then  $\lambda(T, S) - \lambda(T, T - S)$  is independent of the (t-1)-subset T and the (s-1)-subset S chosen. This implies that the property M(t-1, s-1) is satisfied.  $\Box$ 

From Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.7, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.8.** If the property M(t, s) is satisfied and block size is  $k = \frac{1}{2}v$  ( $\geq s$ ), then the properties M(i, j)'s are also satisfied for every  $j \leq i \leq t$ .

Now we consider balanced complementation for a regular *t-BD*.

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  be a regular t-BD. Consider  $V^* = V$  and  $\mathcal{B}^* = \{V - B : B \in \mathcal{B}'\} \cup (\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}')$ , where  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Then the pair  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular t-BD if and only if the pair  $(V, \mathcal{B}')$  has the properties M(t, s)'s for  $s \leq t$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\mathscr{B}_1 = \{V - B : B \in \mathscr{B}'\}$  and  $\mathscr{B}_2 = \mathscr{B} - \mathscr{B}'$ . For subsets T and S of V such that  $S \subseteq T$ , let  $\lambda^{(i)}(T, S)$  be the number of blocks in  $\mathscr{B}_i$  which contain S but do not contain any point of T - S. Since  $(V, \mathscr{B})$  is a regular *t*-BD, it has the properties L(t, s)'s; that is,

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t,s}$$

for  $s \leq t$ , where t = |T| and s = |S|.

If  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is a regular *t-BD*, then it has the properties L(t, s)'s; that is,

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T,S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T,S) = \lambda^*_{t,s},$$

say, for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) - \lambda^{(1)}(T, S) = \lambda_{t,s} - \lambda_{t,s}^*$$

for  $s \le t$ . This implies that the pair  $(V, \mathcal{B}')$  has the properties M(t, s)'s for  $s \le t$ . If  $(V, \mathcal{B}')$  has the properties M(t, s)'s for  $s \le t$ , then we have

 $\lambda^{(1)}(T, T-S) - \lambda^{(1)}(T, S) = \delta^{(1)}_{t,s},$ 

say, for  $s \leq t$ . Therefore, we have

$$\lambda^{(1)}(T, S) + \lambda^{(2)}(T, S) = \lambda_{ts} - \delta^{(1)}_{ts},$$

for  $s \le t$ . This implies that the pair  $(V^*, \mathscr{B}^*)$  has the properties L(t, s)'s for  $s \le t$  and it is a regular *t*-BD.  $\Box$ 

It is easily seen, from the above proof, that  $\lambda_{i,j}^* = \lambda_{i,j} - \delta_{i,j}^{(1)}$  for  $j \le i \le t$ , when  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is a regular *t-BD*. Especially, from Lemma 3.5, we have  $\lambda_{2d,d}^* = \lambda_{2d,d}$  for  $d \le \lfloor \frac{1}{2}t \rfloor$ .

From Theorems 3.6 and 3.9, we have the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.10.** If  $(V, \mathcal{B})$  is a regular t-BD with a subdesign which is a regular (t-1)-BD  $(V, \mathcal{B}')$ ,  $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$ , and t is even, then  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is also a regular t-BD, where  $(V^*, \mathcal{B}^*)$  is defined in Theorem 3.9.

Let Q(v) be the complete design of block size 4 with v points. Lindner [1] has shown that Q(4p) contains at least 3p mutually disjoint Steiner quadruple systems as subdesigns, where  $p \equiv 2$  or 4 (mod 6),  $p \ge 8$ . Therefore, from Theorem 3.10,

there exists a regular 4-BD with parameters

$$r = \frac{1}{3}(2p-1)(4p-1)(4p-3) + \frac{1}{3}l(p-2)(2p-1)(4p-1),$$
  

$$\lambda_2 = (2p-1)(4p-3) + \frac{1}{3}l(p-2)(2p-1)(4p-1),$$
  

$$\lambda_3 = 4p - 3 + \frac{1}{3}l(p-2)(8p^2 - 14p + 9),$$

and

$$\lambda_4 = 1 + \frac{1}{3}l(p-2)(8p^2 - 22p + 17),$$

for  $1 \leq l \leq 3p$ .

## References

- [1] C.C. Lindner, On the construction of pairwise disjoint Steiner quadruple systems, Ars Combinatoria 19 (1985) 153-156.
- [2] B.L. Raktoe, A. Hedayat and W.T. Federer, Factorial Designs (John Wiley & Sons, 1981).

.

- [3] D.R. Stinson and G.H.J. van Rees, The equivalence of certain equidistant binary codes and symmetric BIBDs, Combinatorica 4(4) (1984) 357-362.
- [4] S.A. Vanstone, A bound for  $v_0(r, \lambda)$ , Proc. Fifth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing (1974) 661-673.