

A Beck–Fiala-type Theorem for Euclidean Norms

WOJCIECH BANASZCZYK

Let D be an ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n with centre at 0 and principal semi-axes $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Let $u_1, \dots, u_m \in D$. It is proved that there exist signs $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m = \pm 1$ such that $\|\sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i u_i\| \leq \lambda := (\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Furthermore, to each $k = 1, \dots, m$ there corresponds a subset I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ consisting of exactly k elements, such that $\|\sum_{i \in I} u_i - (k/m) \sum_{i=1}^m u_i\| \leq \lambda$.

Let $\|\cdot\|$ be the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n . The aim of this paper is to prove the following result:

THEOREM 1. *Let D be an n -dimensional ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n with centre at 0 and principal semi-axes $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$. Choose any $u_1, \dots, u_m \in D$ (m is arbitrary, independent of n). Then:*

(a) *there exist signs $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m = \pm 1$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i u_i \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}};$$

(b) *to each $k = 1, \dots, m$ there corresponds a subset I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ consisting of exactly k elements, such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} u_i - \frac{k}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The inequality in (a) is naturally the best possible.

Theorem 1(a) is connected with questions such as the Beck–Fiala theorem or the Komlós conjecture (see [2], [3] and [7]). Combinatorial motivations are presented exhaustively in [8] (see also [6]). In a slightly different form, Theorem 1(a) was used in [1] in the proof that nuclear Fréchet spaces satisfy the Lévy–Steinitz theorem on rearrangement of series (or, more precisely, that a nuclear operator acting between Hilbert spaces is a Steinitz operator). If D is the euclidean unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n , the right-hand side in (a) is equal to \sqrt{n} ; this special case was obtained by S. Sevastyanov [5] and, independently, by I. Bárány (unpublished).

Theorem 1(b) is connected with the so-called Steinitz lemma (see [4], especially Theorem 2). It confirms the hypothesis that the Steinitz constant of the n -dimensional euclidean space is of order \sqrt{n} as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (or, more generally, that Hilbert–Schmidt operators are Steinitz operators); see [1, Remarks 8 and 7]. Another consequence of Theorem 1(b) is Theorem 2, given at the end of the paper.

The author is indebted to I. Bárány and S. Sevastyanov for their comments on the subject.

LEMMA 1. *Let P be an m -dimensional parallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^m with one-dimensional edges not longer than 2. Let C be an m -dimensional ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^m with principal semi-axes $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ such that $\lambda_1^{-2} + \dots + \lambda_m^{-2} \leq 1$. If P contains the centre of C , then C contains some vertex of P .*

The proof is an almost literal repetition of the proof of Lemma 3 from [1].

Let p be a norm on a real vector space E . We write $B_p = \{u \in E: p(u) \leq 1\}$. We say that p is a unitary norm if it is defined by an inner product. If q is another norm on E and $q \leq cp$ for some $c > 0$, then by $d_j(B_p, B_q)$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we denote the j th Kolmogorov diameter of B_p relative to B_q :

$$d_j(B_p, B_q) = \inf_M \inf\{r > 0: B_p \subset M + rB_q\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all linear subspaces M of E with $\dim M < j$. The euclidean closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by U_n . Thus, if D is an n -dimensional ellipsoid in \mathbb{R}^n with centre at 0 and principal semi-axes $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, then $d_j(D, U_n) = \lambda_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$.

LEMMA 2. Let p, q be two norms on a vector space E , such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} d_j^2(B_p, B_q) \leq 1. \tag{1}$$

Let u_1, \dots, u_m be some vectors belonging to B_p and let

$$Q = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m t_i u_i: 0 \leq t_1, \dots, t_m \leq 1 \right\}. \tag{2}$$

Then to each $s \in Q$ there corresponds a subset I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$s - \sum_{i \in I} u_i \in \frac{1}{2} B_q. \tag{3}$$

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume that u_1, \dots, u_m are linearly independent, $E = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $B_p = U_m$. We may write

$$P := 2Q = \sum_{i=1}^m u_i + \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m t_i u_i: -1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_m \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let μ_1, \dots, μ_m be the principal semi-axes of the ellipsoid $C = 2s + B_q$. Then (1) says that $\mu_1^{-2} + \dots + \mu_m^{-2} \leq 1$. So, according to Lemma 1, C contains some vertex v of P . Then $2s - v \in B_q$, and it remains for us to observe that $v = 2 \sum_{i \in I} u_i$ for some $I \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$. □

Lemma 2 is a strengthening of [4, Theorem 2] in the case of euclidean norms.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. (a) We may obviously assume that $\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2 = 1$ and $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. Let q be the euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n and p the Minkowski functional of D . Then $\lambda_j = d_j(B_p, B_q)$ for $j = 1, \dots, n$, so that $\sum_{j=1}^n d_j^2(B_p, B_q) \leq 1$. Let Q be defined as in (2) and let $s = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i$; then $s \in Q$. In virtue of Lemma 2, there is a subset I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ satisfying (3). Putting $\theta_i = 1$ for $i \notin I$ and $\theta_i = -1$ for $i \in I$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i u_i = s - \sum_{i \in I} u_i \in \frac{1}{2} B_q,$$

i.e.

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^m \theta_i u_i \right\| \leq 1.$$

(b) We may naturally assume that $u_1, \dots, u_m \in \text{Int } D$. Then $u_1, \dots, u_m \in cD$ for a certain $c < 1$. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and let $e_{n+1} = (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Set

$$v_i = u_i + \lambda e_{n+1}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

We may assume that

$$D = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{x_1^2}{\lambda_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{\lambda_n^2} \leq 1 \right\}.$$

Let μ_1, \dots, μ_{n+1} be the principal semi-axes of the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional ellipsoid

$$C = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} : \frac{x_1^2}{c^2 \lambda_1^2} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{c^2 \lambda_n^2} + \frac{x_{n+1}^2}{\lambda^2} \leq 2 \right\}.$$

Since, clearly, $v_1, \dots, v_m \in C$, from Lemma 2 we infer that there is a subset I of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$w := \sum_{i \in I} v_i - \frac{k}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m v_i \in \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} B_q.$$

It is clear that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mu_j^2 = 2c^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2 \right) + 2\lambda^2 = 2c^2 \lambda^2 + 2\lambda^2 < 4\lambda^2,$$

which implies that $\|w\| < \lambda$. If $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is the natural projection, then

$$\left\| \sum_{i \in I} u_i - \frac{k}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m u_i \right\| = \|\pi(w)\| \leq \|w\| < \lambda.$$

Let $|I|$ denote the number of elements in I and let ρ be the projection onto the last co-ordinate in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . We have

$$|\lambda(k - |I|)| = |\rho(w)| \leq \|w\| < \lambda,$$

which is possible iff $k - |I| = 0$. □

A standard reasoning allows us to infer the following fact from Theorem 1(b):

THEOREM 2. *There exists a universal constant C with the following property: to each finite sequence $u_1, \dots, u_m \in U_n$ there correspond a sequence $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m = \pm 1$ and a permutation σ of $\{1, \dots, m\}$, such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i u_{\sigma(i)} \right\| \leq C(n \log \log n)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

The details of the proof will be given in a separate paper.

REFERENCES

1. W. Banaszczyk, The Steinitz theorem on rearrangement of series for nuclear spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **403** (1990), 187–200.
2. J. Beck and T. Fiala, Integer-making theorems, *Discr. Appl. Math.*, **3** (1981), 1–8.
3. J. Beck and J. Spencer, Integral approximation sequences, *Math. Programm.*, **30** (1984), 88–98.
4. V. S. Grinberg and S. V. Sevastyanov, Value of the Steinitz constant, *Funkt. Anal. Prilozhen.*, **14** (1980), 56–57 (in Russian); translated as *Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **14** (1980), 125–126.

5. S. V. Sevastyanov, On the approximate solution of the problem of calendar planning, *Upravlyaemye Systemy* No. 20 (1980), pp. 49–63 (in Russian).
6. S. V. Sevastyanov, Geometry in theory of scheduling. *Models and methods of optimization, Trudy Inst. Math.* No. 10, Nauka, Sibirsk. Otdel., Novosibirsk, 1988, pp. 226–261 (in Russian).
7. J. Spencer, Six standard deviations suffice, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.*, **289** (1985), 679–705.
8. J. Spencer, *Ten Lectures on the Probabilistic Method*, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 1987.

Received 10 April 1988 and accepted in revised form 25 June 1990

WOJCIECH BANASZCZYK
*Institute of Mathematics,
Łódź University,
Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland*