

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of Approximation Theory

Journal of Approximation Theory 147 (2007) 196-204

www.elsevier.com/locate/jat

# A pair of orthogonal frames $\stackrel{\text{trans}}{\to}$

Hong Oh Kim<sup>a</sup>, Rae Young Kim<sup>b</sup>, Jae Kun Lim<sup>c,\*</sup>, Zuowei Shen<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Division of Applied Mathematics, KAIST, 373-1 Guseong-dong, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon 305-701, Republic of Korea <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Yeungnam University, 214-1 Dae-dong, Gyeongsan-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do 712-749,

Republic of Korea

<sup>c</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Hankyong National University, 67 Seokjeong-dong, Anseong-si, Gyeonggi-do 456-749, Republic of Korea

<sup>d</sup>Department of Mathematics, National University of Singapore, 10 Kent Ridge Crescent, Singapore 119260, Republic of Singapore

Received 2 August 2006; received in revised form 26 October 2006; accepted 18 January 2007

Communicated by Paul Nevai and Amos Ron Available online 22 February 2007

#### Abstract

We start with a characterization of a pair of frames to be orthogonal in a shift-invariant space and then give a simple construction of a pair of orthogonal shift-invariant frames. This is applied to obtain a construction of a pair of Gabor orthogonal frames as an example. This is also developed further to obtain constructions of a pair of orthogonal wavelet frames.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 42C15; 42C40

Keywords: Orthogonal frames; Frame; Wavelet system; Affine system; Gabor system

# 1. Introduction

Let X be a (countable) Bessel system for a separable Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$  over the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ . The synthesis operator  $T_X : \ell_2(X) \to \mathcal{H}$ , which is well-known to be bounded, is defined by

$$T_X a := \sum_{h \in X} a_h h$$

 $^{\diamond}$  This work was supported by several grants at the National University of Singapore. The first-named author was supported by KOSEF(NC36490).

\* Corresponding author. Fax: +82 31 670 5474.

*E-mail addresses:* hkim@amath.kaist.ac.kr (H.O. Kim), rykim@ynu.ac.kr (R.Y. Kim), jaekun@hknu.ac.kr (J.K. Lim), matzuows@nus.edu.sg (Z. Shen).

0021-9045/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jat.2007.01.005 for  $a = (a_h)_{h \in X}$ . The adjoint operator  $T_X^*$  of  $T_X$ , called the *analysis operator*, is

$$T_X^* : \mathcal{H} \to \ell_2(X); \quad T_X^* f := (\langle f, h \rangle)_{h \in X}.$$

Recall that X is a frame for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only  $S_X := T_X T_X^* : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ , the *frame operator or dual Gramian*, is bounded and has a bounded inverse [4,8] and it is a tight frame (with frame bound 1) if and only if  $S_X$  is the identity operator. The system X is a Riesz system (for span X) if and only if its *Gramian*  $G_X := T_X^* T_X$  is bounded and has a bounded inverse; and it is an orthonormal system of  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $G_X$  is the identity operator.

**Definition 1.1.** Let X and Y = RX, where  $R : h \to Rh$  is a bijection between X and Y, be two frames for  $\mathcal{H}$ . We call X and Y a pair of orthogonal frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  if  $T_Y T_X^* = 0$ , i.e.,  $\sum_{h \in X} \langle f, h \rangle Rh = 0$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{H}$ .

Note that the definition is symmetric with respect to X and Y. Orthogonal frames have been studied in [13] and [1]. Various applications of orthogonal frames are also discussed in both papers. We use one of examples from [13] to illustrate some ideas of applications of orthogonal frames. Let X and Y = RX be a given pair of orthogonal frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  such that both X and Y are also tight frames with frame bound 1 for  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ . Suppose that the data sequence is given as  $(\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, Rh \rangle)_{h \in X}$ , i.e., the data sequence is given as the sum of samples of two different elements f and g of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then, since

$$f = \sum_{h \in X} (\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, Rh \rangle)h$$
 and  $g = \sum_{h \in X} (\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, Rh \rangle)Rh$ 

we can recover both f and g from a single sequence  $(\langle f, h \rangle + \langle g, Rh \rangle)_{h \in X}$ . This idea can be used in multiple access communication systems.

For a pair of frames X and Y = RX in  $\mathcal{H}$ , we have the following simple characterization of orthogonal frames via their Gramians.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let X and Y = RX be frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  with synthesis operators  $T_X$  and  $T_Y$ , respectively. Then, X and Y are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $G_Y G_X = 0$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $T_Y T_X^* = 0$ . Then  $G_Y G_X = T_Y^* T_Y T_X^* T_X = T_Y^* 0 T_X = 0$ . Suppose, on the other hand, that  $T_Y^* T_Y T_X^* T_X = 0$ . Then

$$0 = (T_Y T_Y^*)(T_Y T_X^*)(T_X T_X^*) = S_Y (T_Y T_X^*) S_X.$$

Since  $S_Y$ ,  $T_Y T_X^*$  and  $S_X$  are bounded operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $\mathcal{H}$  and since  $S_X$  and  $S_Y$  are invertible,  $0 = T_Y T_X^*$ .  $\Box$ 

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we discuss orthogonal frames in a general shift-invariant subspace of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and apply the results to construct Gabor orthogonal frames. Section 3 provides a construction of wavelet orthogonal frames.

#### 2. Orthogonal frames in a shift-invariant space

This section is devoted to the orthogonal frames in shift-invariant systems. The major tool used here is the dual Gramian analysis of [9].

# 2.1. Characterizations of shift-invariant orthogonal frames

We consider orthogonal frames in a shift-invariant subspace of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $\Phi$  be a countable subset of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and  $E(\Phi) := \{\phi(\cdot - k) : k \in \mathbb{Z}^d\}$ . Define

$$\mathcal{S}(\Phi) := \overline{\operatorname{span}} E(\Phi),$$

the smallest closed subspace that contains  $E(\Phi)$ . Throughout the rest of this article, we assume that  $E(\Phi)$  is a Bessel sequence for  $S(\Phi)$ . This assumption settles most of the convergence issues. The space  $S(\Phi)$  is called the *shift-invariant space generated by*  $\Phi$  and  $\Phi$  a *generating set* for  $S(\Phi)$ . Shift-invariant spaces have been studied extensively in the literature, e.g., [2,3,7,9].

For  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we define the *pre-Gramian* via

$$J_{\Phi}(\omega) = \left(\widehat{\phi}(\omega+\alpha)\right)_{\alpha\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d, \phi\in\Phi},$$

where  $\widehat{\phi}$  is the Fourier transform of  $\phi$ . Note that the domain of the pre-Gramian matrix as an operator is  $\ell_2(\Phi)$  and its co-domain is  $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . The pre-Gramian can be regarded as the synthesis operator represented in Fourier domain as it was extensively studied in [9]. In particular, we have (see, e.g., [9,3]):

**Proposition 2.1.** The shift-invariant system  $E(\Phi)$  is a frame for  $S(\Phi)$  if and only if  $J_{\Phi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^{*}(\omega)$ is uniformly bounded with uniformly bounded inverse on the range of  $J_{\Phi}(\omega)$  for a.e.  $\omega$  such that ran  $J_{\Phi}(\omega) \neq \{0\}$ . In particularly, when  $S(\Phi) = L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $E(\Phi)$  is a frame for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if there are  $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ , such that  $AI_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq J_{\Phi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^{*}(\omega) \leq BI_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ; and it is a tight frame with frame bound 1 for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $J_{\Phi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^{*}(\omega) = I_{\ell_2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ , for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi = R\Phi$ , where *R* is a bijection satisfying  $R(\phi(\cdot - k)) = (R\phi)(\cdot - k)$ , be countable subsets of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Suppose that  $S(\Phi) = S(\Psi)$  and that both  $E(\Phi)$  and  $E(\Psi)$  are frames for  $S(\Phi)$ . Then, by definition,  $E(\Phi)$  and  $E(\Psi)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $S(\Phi)$  if and only if for all  $f \in S(\Phi)$ ,

$$Sf := T_{E(\Psi)}T^*_{E(\Phi)}f = 0.$$

We define the mixed dual Gramian (cf. [11]) as

$$\widetilde{G}(\omega) = J_{\Psi}(\omega) J_{\Phi}^*(\omega),$$

and Gramians as

$$G_{\Phi}(\omega) = J_{\Phi}^*(\omega) J_{\Phi}(\omega)$$
 and  $G_{\Psi}(\omega) = J_{\Psi}^*(\omega) J_{\Psi}(\omega)$ .

Then, it is proven in [11] that for any  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ 

$$\widehat{(Sf)}_{|_{\omega+\alpha}} = \widetilde{G}(\omega)\widehat{f}_{|_{\omega+\alpha}},$$

where  $\hat{g}_{|_{\omega+\alpha}}$  is the column vector  $(\hat{g}(\omega+\gamma))_{\gamma\in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}^d}^T$ . With this, one can prove easily that Sf = 0 for all  $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $\widetilde{G}(\omega) = 0$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Putting everything together, we have:

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi = R\Phi$  be defined as above. Suppose that  $S(\Phi) = S(\Psi)$  and that  $E(\Phi)$  and  $E(\Psi)$  are frames for  $S(\Phi)$ . Then, the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $E(\Phi)$  and  $E(\Psi)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $S(\Phi)$ ;
- (2)  $J_{\Psi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^{*}(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega) = 0 \ a.e. \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^{d};$
- (3)  $G_{\Psi}(\omega)G_{\Phi}(\omega) = 0 \ a.e. \ \omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

In particular, when  $S(\Phi) = L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $E(\Phi)$  and  $E(\Psi)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames if and only if  $J_{\Psi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^*(\omega) = 0$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

**Proof.** For the equivalence of (1) and (2), one notes that  $f \in S(\Phi)$  if and only if the Fourier transform of f can be written as

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{\phi \in \Phi} \widehat{a}_{\phi} \widehat{\phi}$$

for some  $\widehat{a}_{\phi} \in L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . Moreover,

$$\hat{f}_{|\omega+\alpha} = J_{\Phi}(\omega) (\hat{a}_{\phi}(\omega))_{\phi\in\Phi}^T$$

Hence, Item (1) is equivalent to the statement that for any  $f \in S(\Phi)$ ,

$$\widehat{(Sf)}_{|_{\omega+\alpha}} = \widetilde{G}(\omega)\widehat{f}_{|_{\omega+\alpha}} = J_{\Psi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega)(\widehat{a}_{\phi}(\omega))_{\phi\in\Phi}^T = 0,$$

which is equivalent to Item (2), i.e.,  $J_{\Psi}(\omega) J_{\Phi}^*(\omega) J_{\Phi}(\omega) = 0$  a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Finally, the equivalence of Item (2) and Item (3) follows from the fact that  $J_{\Psi}^*(\omega)$  has bounded inverse on the range of  $J_{\Psi}(\omega)$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if  $E(\Psi)$  is a frame for  $S(\Psi)$  by Proposition 2.1 (see [9]).  $\Box$ 

2.2. Construction of a pair of orthogonal shift-invariant frames from a given shift-invariant frame

Theorem 2.2 can be applied to construct a pair of shift-invariant orthogonal frames from a given shift-invariant frame as stated below.

**Theorem 2.3.** Suppose that  $\Phi := \{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_r\} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  where r can be  $\infty$ , and that  $E(\Phi)$  is a frame for  $S(\Phi)$ . Let  $U := (U_1; U_2)$  be a  $2r \times 2r$  matrix with  $L_2(\mathbb{T}^d)$  entries satisfying  $U^*(\omega)U(\omega) = I_{2r}$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , where  $U_1$  is the submatrix of the first r columns and  $U_2$  the remaining r columns. Define  $\widehat{\Phi}_1 := U_1 \widehat{\Phi}$ , and  $\widehat{\Phi}_2 := U_2 \widehat{\Phi}$ . Then  $E(\Phi_1)$  and  $E(\Phi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $S(\Phi)$ .

**Proof.** It is easy to check by the Bessel property of  $E(\Phi)$  that  $S(\Phi) = S(\Phi_1) = S(\Phi_2)$  with each of  $\Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  consists of 2r elements of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Furthermore, it is direct to check that

$$J_{\Phi_1}(\omega) = J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_1^T(\omega)$$
 and  $J_{\Phi_2}(\omega) = J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_2^T(\omega)$ .

Moreover, ran  $J_{\Phi_1}(\omega) = \operatorname{ran} J_{\Phi}(\omega)$  a.e., since  $U_1^T(\omega) : \ell_2(\Phi_1) \to \ell_2(\Phi)$  is onto by  $U^T(\omega)(U^T(\omega))^* = I_{2r}$  for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . Moreover,

$$J_{\Phi_1}(\omega)J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega) = J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_1^T(\omega)(J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_1^T(\omega))^* = J_{\Phi}(\omega)(U_1^*(\omega)U_1(\omega))^T J_{\Phi}^*(\omega)$$
$$= J_{\Phi}(\omega)I_r J_{\Phi}^*(\omega) = J_{\Phi}(\omega)J_{\Phi}^*(\omega).$$

Hence,  $E(\Phi_1)$  is a frame for  $S(\Phi_1) = S(\Phi)$  by Proposition 2.1. Similarly,  $E(\Phi_2)$  forms a frame for  $S(\Phi_2) = S(\Phi)$  as well. It remains to show that  $E(\Phi_1)$  and  $E(\Phi_2)$  form a pair of orthogonal frames for  $S(\Phi)$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that, for a.e.  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$G_{\Phi_1}(\omega)G_{\Phi_2}(\omega) = J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_1}(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}(\omega)$$
  

$$= J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_1^T(\omega)(U_2^T(\omega))^*J_{\Phi}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}(\omega)$$
  

$$= J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega)U_1^T(\omega)(U_2^*(\omega))^TJ_{\Phi}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}(\omega)$$
  

$$= J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega)(U_2^*(\omega)U_1(\omega))^TJ_{\Phi}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}(\omega)$$
  

$$= J_{\Phi_1}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi}(\omega)O_{\Phi}^*(\omega)J_{\Phi_2}(\omega) = 0$$

and Theorem 2.2.  $\Box$ 

Finally, we note that there are many choices of U. One of the easiest choices of U is a constant  $2r \times 2r$  unitary matrix.

# 2.3. Construction of a pair of Gabor orthogonal frames

The constructions given above can be applied to the Gabor system to obtain a pair of orthogonal Gabor frames, since it is shift-invariant. Let  $G := \{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_{\gamma}\} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\gamma$  is a positive integer, and

$$\Phi := \{ M^l g_j : l \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq j \leq \gamma \},\$$

where  $M^t f(x) := e^{it \cdot x} f(x)$  is the *modulation operator* for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then  $E(\Phi)$  is equivalent to a Gabor system generated by G [12]. Note that, in general, the shift operator and modulation operator can be chosen to be any *d*-dimensional lattice instead of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . For simplicity, we assume that both the shift lattice and the modulation lattice are  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . However, the discussion here can be carried out similarly for more general shift and modulation lattices.

Suppose that  $E(\Phi)$  is a frame for its closed linear span. Let  $V := (V_1; V_2)$  be a  $2\gamma \times 2\gamma$  constant unitary matrix, where  $V_1$  is the submatrix formed by the first  $\gamma$  columns of V and  $V_2$  by the remaining  $\gamma$  columns of V. We show that the Gabor systems generated by  $G_1 := V_1G$  and  $G_2 := V_2G$  are orthogonal frames by Theorem 2.3.

Let  $U_1$  be the block diagonal (infinite) matrix of size  $(\mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1, 2, ..., 2\gamma\}) \times (\mathbb{Z}^d \times \{1, 2, ..., \gamma\})$  such that

the 
$$(l, j)(l', j')$$
th entry of  $U_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \neq l', \\ (V_1)_{j,j'} & \text{if } l = l'. \end{cases}$ 

Similarly, one can define a block diagonal matrix  $U_2$  by  $V_2$ . Then, the matrix  $U := (U_1; U_2)$  is unitary. Furthermore, the Gabor system generated by  $V_1G$  is  $E(\Phi_1)$  satisfying  $\Phi_1 := U_1\Phi$  and the Gabor system generated by  $V_2G$  is  $E(\Phi_2)$  satisfying  $\Phi_2 := U_2\Phi$ . Since U is a constant matrix,  $\widehat{\Phi}_i = U_i \widehat{\Phi}_i$  for i = 1, 2. Hence  $E(\Phi_1)$  and  $E(\Phi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal Gabor frames by Theorem 2.3.

#### 3. Orthogonal wavelet frames

This section is devoted to construction of a pair of orthogonal wavelet frames. Let  $\Psi := \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r\} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where r is a positive integer, and s an integer-valued invertible

 $d \times d$  matrix such that  $s^{-1}$  is contractive. Define a unitary dilation operator D on  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  via

$$D: L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}^d): f \mapsto |\det s|^{1/2} f(s \cdot).$$

Then, the following collection is called a *wavelet* (or affine) system generated by  $\Psi$ :

$$X(\Psi) := \{ D^j E^k \psi_l : j \in \mathbb{Z}, k \in \mathbb{Z}^d, 1 \leq l \leq r \},$$

$$(3.1)$$

where  $E^k f := f(\cdot - k)$ .

The wavelet system is not shift-invariant. To apply Theorem 2.3, one needs to use the quasiaffine system  $X^q(\Psi)$ , i.e., the smallest shift-invariant system containing  $X(\Psi)$ . Then, applying an approach similar to that in [11], one can obtain that two wavelet frame systems  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames if and only if the mixed dual Gramian of the corresponding quasi-affine systems  $X^q(\Psi)$  and  $X^q(\Psi_2)$  are zero almost everywhere. This is exactly what has been obtained by Weber in [13], with a different approach, as given below:

**Proposition 3.1** (Weber [13]). Let  $\Psi_1 := \{\psi_1^{(1)}, \psi_2^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_r^{(1)}\}$  and  $\Psi_2 := \{\psi_1^{(2)}, \psi_2^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_r^{(2)}\}$ . Suppose that  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are frames for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if the following two equations are satisfied a.e.:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j \ge 0} \overline{\psi_i^{(2)}}(s^{*j}\omega) \widehat{\psi_i^{(1)}}\left(s^{*j}(\omega+q)\right) = 0, \quad q \in 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus 2\pi s^* \mathbb{Z}^d;$$
(3.2)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \overline{\widehat{\psi_i^{(2)}}}(s^{*j}\omega) \widehat{\psi_i^{(1)}}(s^{*j}\omega) = 0.$$
(3.3)

We remark here that the double sums in Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3) are the entries of the mixed dual Gramian of the affine systems generated by  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  [11].

Applying the above result of Weber, one can construct a pair of orthogonal wavelet frames easily.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\Psi := \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r\} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for some positive integer r. Suppose that  $X(\Psi)$  is a frame for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Let  $V := (V_1; V_2)$  be a  $2r \times 2r$  constant unitary matrix, where  $V_1$  denotes the submatrix formed by the first r columns of V and  $V_2$  the last r columns of V. Then  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , where  $\Psi_1 := V_1 \Psi$  and  $\Psi_2 := V_2 \Psi$ .

**Proof.** Note that  $\widehat{\Psi}_1 := V_1 \widehat{\Psi}$  and  $\widehat{\Psi}_2 := V_2 \widehat{\Psi}$  since *V* is a constant matrix. Direct calculations of the dual Gramians of  $X^q(\Psi_1)$  and  $X^q(\Psi_2)$ , similar to what we do in the remaining part of the proof, show that  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are frames for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by using the dual Gramian characterization of frames in [10, Corollary 5.7].

We now show that the wavelet systems generated by  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are a pair of orthogonal frames for  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Since  $X(\Psi)$  is assumed to be a frame, the double sums converge absolutely a.e. We apply Theorem 3.1 to  $\Psi_1 := \{\psi_1^{(1)}, \psi_2^{(1)}, \dots, \psi_{2r}^{(1)}\}$  and  $\Psi_2 := \{\psi_1^{(2)}, \psi_2^{(2)}, \dots, \psi_{2r}^{(2)}\}$ .

Let  $V = (v_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2r}$ . For a fixed  $q \in 2\pi \mathbb{Z}^d \setminus 2\pi s^* \mathbb{Z}^d$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2r} \sum_{j \ge 0} \overline{\widehat{\psi_i^{(1)}}}(s^{*j}\omega) \widehat{\psi_i^{(2)}}\left(s^{*j}(\omega+q)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{l=1}^{r} \overline{\overline{\psi_l}}(s^{*j}\omega) \sum_{l'=1}^{r} \overline{v_{i,r+l'}} \widehat{\psi_{l'}}\left(s^{*j}(\omega+q)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{l=1}^{r} \overline{\widehat{\psi_l}}(s^{*j}\omega) \sum_{l'=1}^{r} \widehat{\psi_{l'}}\left(s^{*j}(\omega+q)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \overline{v_{i,l}} v_{i,r+l'}$$

$$= \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{l=1}^{r} \overline{\widehat{\psi_l}}(s^{*j}\omega) \sum_{l'=1}^{r} \widehat{\psi_{l'}}\left(s^{*j}(\omega+q)\right) 0 = 0,$$
(3.4)

where we used the orthogonality of the columns of V. A similar calculation shows that Eq. (3.3) also holds. Hence  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  generate a pair of orthogonal frames by Proposition 3.1.  $\Box$ 

When the wavelet tight frame system  $X(\Psi)$  is constructed from a multiresolution analysis based on the *unitary extension principle* (UEP) of [10], one can construct a pair of orthogonal tight frames from the same multiresolution analysis as we describe below.

We first give a brief discussion here on the UEP for the one variable case with trigonometric polynomial masks, while the more general version and comprehensive discussions of the UEP can be found in [5] and [10].

Let  $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  be a refinable function, i.e.,  $\widehat{\phi}(2\xi) = \widehat{a}_0(\xi)\widehat{\phi}(\xi)$ , where  $\widehat{a}_0$  is a trigonometric polynomial called the *refinement mask* of  $\phi \in L_2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $\widehat{a}_0(0) = 1$ , and let  $\widehat{a}_j$ , j = 1, 2, ..., r, be a set of trigonometric polynomials called the *wavelet masks*. The column vector  $\widehat{a} = (\widehat{a}_0, \widehat{a}_1, ..., \widehat{a}_r)^T$  is called the *refinement–wavelet mask*. Let

$$A(\omega) = \begin{pmatrix} \widehat{a}_0(\omega) \ \widehat{a}_0(\omega + \pi) \\ \widehat{a}_1(\omega) \ \widehat{a}_1(\omega + \pi) \\ \vdots \\ \widehat{a}_r(\omega) \ \widehat{a}_r(\omega + \pi) \end{pmatrix} = (\vec{\widehat{a}}(\omega), \vec{\widehat{a}}(\omega + \pi)).$$

Suppose that

 $A^*(\omega)A(\omega) = I$ 

for a.e.  $\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . If we define  $\Psi := \{\psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_r\} \subset L_2(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\psi_l(2\xi) := \widehat{a}_j(\xi)\phi(\xi), \quad l = 1, 2, \dots, r,$$

then the UEP asserts that  $X(\Psi)$  is a tight frame for  $L_2(\mathbb{R})$ .

By using the UEP the construction of compactly supported tight wavelet frames becomes painless. For example, it is easy to obtain compactly supported symmetric spline tight wavelet frames as shown in [10] and [5].

Next, we briefly describe how to obtain a pair of compactly supported orthogonal tight frames from a given compactly supported tight frame system  $X(\Psi)$  constructed via the UEP. The main idea of this construction is from a paper by Bhatt et al. [1] where orthogonal wavelet tight frames are constructed from orthogonal wavelets.

Let  $V(\omega) := (V_1(\omega); V_2(\omega)) = (v_{i,j}(\omega))$  be a  $2r \times 2r$  unitary matrix with  $\pi$  periodic trigonometric polynomial entries, where  $V_1$  denotes the submatrix formed by the first r columns of V and  $V_2$  the last r columns of V. Let

$$U_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad U_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define two new sets of the refinement–wavelet masks from  $\vec{a}$  by

$$\vec{\hat{a}}_1 = U_1 \vec{\hat{a}}; \quad \vec{\hat{a}}_2 = U_2 \vec{\hat{a}}.$$

The corresponding wavelets are defined via its Fourier transform as  $\widehat{\Psi}_1 := V_1 \widehat{\Psi}$  and  $\widehat{\Psi}_2 := V_2 \widehat{\Psi}$  with their wavelet masks given above. It is easy to check that both entries in the column vectors  $\Psi_1$  and  $\Psi_2$  are compactly supported. Let

$$A_1(\omega) = (\hat{a}_1(\omega); \hat{a}_1(\omega + \pi)); \quad A_2(\omega) = (\hat{a}_2(\omega); \hat{a}_2(\omega + \pi)).$$

Then, it is easy to see

 $A_1 = U_1 A; \quad A_2 = U_2 A,$ 

since each entry of  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  is  $\pi$  periodic. This leads to

$$A_1^*(\omega)A_1(\omega) = I; \quad A_2^*(\omega)A_2(\omega) = I$$

for all  $\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Hence, both  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are tight frames by the UEP (see also e.g., [6]).

Let  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  be the matrices generated by  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , respectively, by removing the first rows of them. Then, it is clear that

$$B_1^*(\omega)B_2(\omega)=0,$$

for all  $\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . This asserts that  $X(\Psi_1)$  and  $X(\Psi_2)$  are a pair of orthogonal frames by Theorem 2.1.1 of [1] whose proof was obtained by a computation similar to Eq. (3.4). In fact, Theorem 2.1.1 of [1] can also be proved via a method similar to the proof of the mixed UEP in [11]. Finally, we remark that this construction can be modified to more general cases, e.g., one may start with two different tight frames instead of starting with one tight frame  $X(\Psi)$ .

# Acknowledgment

The authors thank two anonymous referees for their careful and valuable suggestions which greatly helped to improve this article.

# References

- [1] C. Bhatt, B. D. Johnson, E. Weber, Orthogonal wavelet frames and vector valued wavelet transforms, preprint.
- [2] C. de Boor, R. DeVore, A. Ron, The structure of finitely generated shift-invariant spaces in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , J. Funct. Anal. 119 (1994) 37–78.
- [3] M. Bownik, The structure of shift-invariant subspaces of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , J. Funct. Anal. 177 (2000) 283–309.
- [4] O. Christensen, Frames and pseudo-inverses, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 195 (1995) 401-414.

- [5] I. Daubechies, B. Han, A. Ron, Z. Shen, Framelets: MRA-based constructions of wavelet frames, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 14 (2003) 1–46.
- [6] S.S. Goh, Z.Y. Lim, Z. Shen, Symmetric and antisymmetric tight wavelet frames, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 20 (2006) 411–421.
- [7] H. Helson, Lectures on Invariant Subspaces, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [8] J.R. Holub, Pre-frame operators, Besselian frames and near-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 122 (1994) 779–785.
- [9] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Frames and stable bases for shift-invariant subspaces of  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , Canad. J. Math. 47 (1995) 1051–1094.
- [10] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Affine systems in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ : the analysis of the analysis operator, J. Funct. Anal. 148 (1997) 408–447.
- [11] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Affine systems in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  II: dual systems, J. Fourier Anal. Appl. 3 (1997) 617–637.
- [12] A. Ron, Z. Shen, Weyl–Heisenberg frames and Riesz bases in  $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , Duke Math. J. 89 (1997) 237–282.
- [13] E. Weber, Orthogonal frames of translates, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 17 (2004) 69-90.