

Long Noncoding RNAs in Development: Solidifying the Lncs to *Hox* Gene Regulation

Jeremy S. Dasen^{1,*}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, NYU Neuroscience Institute, Department of Neuroscience and Physiology, NYU School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016, USA *Correspondence: jeremy.dasen@nyumc.org

http://du.doi.org/10.1010/j.cashar.0010.00.000

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2013.09.033

Long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) are pervasively expressed in mammals, although their functions during development remain poorly understood. In this issue of *Cell Reports*, Delpretti et al. and Li et al. suggest essential roles for IncRNAs in coordinating *Hox* gene expression.

It is estimated that up to three quarters of the human genome is transcribed, although the vast majority of expressed RNAs do not encode proteins (Djebali et al., 2012). A major class of noncoding transcript comprises a functionally diverse class of molecules collectively referred to as long noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs). LncRNAs have been implicated in a wide variety of biological processes, including X chromosome inactivation, genomic imprinting, embryonic development, and metastasis. Many IncRNAs display highly restricted expression profiles during development, suggesting key roles in controlling gene expression in specific cellular contexts (Ponting et al., 2009). One of the most well characterized IncRNAs in mammals is encoded by the Xist gene, which is essential for X chromosome inactivation (Lee and Bartolomei, 2013). Xist accomplishes this, in part, through recruitment of Polycomb repressive complexes, which transcriptionally silence genes through chromatin modifications. From studies of Xist and other IncRNAs, it has been suggested that one mechanism of IncRNA action is to provide recruitment platforms for transcriptional complexes that would otherwise have limited selectivity in target gene recognition.

The contribution of IncRNAs to embryonic development is potentially significant, given that gene expression must be finely tuned to ensure proper tissue patterning and differentiation. A global picture of IncRNAs function has emerged from analyses of their roles in embryonic stem cells (ESCs). An assessment of over 200 IncRNAs in ESCs demonstrated that the vast majority of targeted genes are regulated in *trans*, with apparently no systematic relationship between the locus of lncRNA expression and the gene target (Guttman et al., 2011). Considering that there are likely to be thousands of lncRNAs expressed during embryonic development, resolving the regulatory logic of lncRNA function will be a challenging task.

One family of transcription factors that is critical in embryonic development, and that appears to be regulated by IncRNAs, is encoded by genes within the Hox clusters (Figure 1A). During development, Hox genes are regulated through modifications of histones and chromatin structure (Noordermeer and Duboule, 2013). A seminal study by Chang and colleagues demonstrated that a IncRNA transcribed from an intergenic region within the human HOXC cluster, termed HOTAIR, regulates Hox genes in trans, specifically by repressing genes in the HOXD cluster (Rinn et al., 2007). HOTAIR appears to accomplish this by recruiting Polycomb repressive complexes, an activity reminiscent of the role of Xist in X chromosome inactivation. Subsequent studies from Chang and colleagues demonstrated that HOTAIR recruits both Polycomb repressive and LSD1 complexes (Figure 1B) (Tsai et al., 2010). Additionally, the function of IncRNAs is not limited to gene repression, as a IncRNA expressed from the distal end of the HoxA cluster appears to facilitate the activation of HoxA genes in cis (Wang et al., 2011).

A follow-up study analyzing the function of *Hotair* raised significant questions about its role in Hox-dependent developmental patterning. As a surrogate for Hotair mutation, mice in which the entire HoxC gene cluster was deleted, including the Hotair gene (Schorderet and Duboule, 2011), were analyzed by the Duboule group. Deletion of the HoxC cluster led to a modest 2-fold increase in HoxD expression in embryos, while the chromatin landscape at derepressed HoxD genes was not appreciably affected. Notably, removal of the HoxC cluster did not appear to cause major skeletal transformation, which would be predicted from altered Hox expression. However, the HoxC cluster produces dozens of noncoding RNAs, and removal of the entire gene cluster may remove additional IncRNAs that could oppose Hotair. Thus, the analysis of HoxC cluster mutants left open the possibility that compensatory interactions may have masked the true function of Hotair during development.

In a study reported in this issue, Li, Liu, and colleagues engineered a specific deletion of the Hotair gene (Li et al., 2013). They found that targeted disruption of Hotair led to the derepression of hundreds of genes, including genes within the HoxD cluster, consistent with the group's previous findings in human fibroblast cells. As a consequence Hotair mutants are characterized by homeotic transformation of spinal vertebrae and defects in the limb. The authors also found that removal of Hotair causes a gain of chromatin marks associated with gene activation (H3K4me3) and a loss of Polycomb repressive marks at HoxD gene loci. Importantly, the authors did not observe changes in the neighboring Hoxc11 and Hoxc12 genes, indicating



Figure 1. Regulatory Interactions Between LncRNAs and Hox Genes

(A) LncRNAs implicated in the regulation of *Hox* gene expression are indicated in purple. *Hox* loci also produce many additional lncRNAs, but for simplicity, these are not shown.

(B) *Hotair* represses posterior *HoxD* genes in *trans* through the recruitment of PRC2 and LSD complexes. In *Hotair* mutants, *HoxD* are derepressed, and mice display skeletal deformalities.

(C) The locus that produces *Hog* and *Tog* physically associates in *cis* with genes active in the *HoxD* cluster. These *HoxD* genes are expressed in the caecum (indicated in green), where *Hog* is specifically expressed. Other enhancer contacts are not shown.

that the defects are not due to removal of *cis* regulatory sequences, supporting the idea that *Hotair* functions in *trans*. Although the phenotypes of *Hotair* mutants are somewhat subtle, they nevertheless provide compelling evidence that *Hotair* is indeed essential in regulating the patterns of *Hox* and other genes during development.

Targeting of *Hotair* to *HoxD* genes relies on its specific profile of expression, raising the question of how the patterns of IncRNAs are regulated during development. The study by Delpretti et al. sheds light onto this question, through a comparative analysis of the function of *Hox* genes in the development of the caecum, a part of the digestive system required for the metabolism of cellulose in herbivores and omnivores (Delpretti et al., 2013). The authors identified two novel IncRNAs, which they named *Hotdog* (*Hog*) and *Twin of Hotdog* (*Tog*), which are located in a gene desert in proximity to the *HoxD* gene locus. *Hog* and *Tog* share a common transcription start region and are transcribed from opposite DNA strands.

Interestingly, expression of Hog is specific to the caecum, and its locus physically associates with genes in the HoxD cluster (Figure 1C). When Hog and Tog are displaced from their normal position, HoxD genes are no longer expressed in the caecum. Conversely, when Hoxd9-Hoxd11 genes are deleted, Hog and Tog are no longer expressed. These observations suggest that the physical contacts between the Hog and Tog genes and the HoxD locus are critical in regulating the profile of HoxD genes in caecum. While the study does not address the specific functions of these IncRNAs, it is tempting to speculate that, like Hotair, Hog and Tog play a direct role in defining a chromatin landscape that confers tissue specific

gene expression and are not simply byproducts of enhancer activity.

Although there remain significant questions concerning the biological functions of most IncRNAs, the two studies in this issue of Cell Reports collectively provide important insights into their roles in controlling gene regulation during development. While the study by the Chang group closes an important chapter on Hotair function, the work by the Duboule group opens up the possibility that additional Hox-associated IncRNAs have selective roles in regulating Hox gene expression in tissue-specific contexts. It will be interesting to see whether the associations between IncRNAs and Hox genes are conserved in other developmentally regulated noncoding RNAs.

REFERENCES

Delpretti, S., Montavon, T., Leleu, M., Joye, E., Tzika, A., Milinkovitch, M., and Duboule, D. (2013). Cell Rep. 5, this issue, 137–150.

Djebali, S., Davis, C.A., Merkel, A., Dobin, A., Lassmann, T., Mortazavi, A., Tanzer, A., Lagarde, J., Lin, W., Schlesinger, F., et al. (2012). Nature 489, 101–108.

Guttman, M., Donaghey, J., Carey, B.W., Garber, M., Grenier, J.K., Munson, G., Young, G., Lucas, A.B., Ach, R., Bruhn, L., et al. (2011). Nature 477, 295–300.

Lee, J.T., and Bartolomei, M.S. (2013). Cell *152*, 1308–1323.

Li, L., Liu, B., Wapinski, O.L., Tsai, M., Qu, K., Zhang, J., Carlson, J.C., Lin, M., Fang, F., Gupta, R.A., et al. (2013). Cell Rep. 5, this issue, 3–12.

Noordermeer, D., and Duboule, D. (2013). Curr. Top. Dev. Biol. *104*, 113–148.

Ponting, C.P., Oliver, P.L., and Reik, W. (2009). Cell 136, 629–641.

Rinn, J.L., Kertesz, M., Wang, J.K., Squazzo, S.L., Xu, X., Brugmann, S.A., Goodnough, L.H., Helms, J.A., Farnham, P.J., Segal, E., and Chang, H.Y. (2007). Cell *129*, 1311–1323.

Schorderet, P., and Duboule, D. (2011). PLoS Genet. 7, e1002071.

Tsai, M.C., Manor, O., Wan, Y., Mosammaparast, N., Wang, J.K., Lan, F., Shi, Y., Segal, E., and Chang, H.Y. (2010). Science *329*, 689–693.

Wang, K.C., Yang, Y.W., Liu, B., Sanyal, A., Corces-Zimmerman, R., Chen, Y., Lajoie, B.R., Protacio, A., Flynn, R.A., Gupta, R.A., et al. (2011). Nature 472, 120–124.