ACADEMIC PRESS

Available at WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG POWERED BY SCIENCE DIRECT®

Journal of Number Theory 100 (2003) 203–216

JOURNAL OF Number Theory

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

p^q-Catalan numbers and squarefree binomial coefficients

Pantelimon Stănică[1]

Department of Mathematics, Auburn University Montgomery, Montgomery, AL 36124-4023, USA

Received 19 July 2000; revised 24 July 2002
Communicated by A. Granville

Abstract

In this paper, we consider the generalized Catalan numbers $F(s,n)=\frac{1}{(s-1)n+1}\binom{sn}{n}$, which we call s-Catalan numbers. For p prime, we find all positive integers n such that p^q divides $F(p^q,n)$, and also determine all distinct residues of $F(p^q,n)(\mathrm{mod}\, p^q)$, $q\geqslant 1$. As a byproduct we settle a question of Hough and the late Simion on the divisibility of the 4-Catalan numbers by 4. In the second part of the paper we prove that if $p^q\leqslant 99\,999$, then $\binom{p^qn+1}{n}$ is not squarefree for $n\geqslant\tau_1(p^q)$ sufficiently large ($\tau_1(p^q)$ computable). Moreover, using the results of the first part, we find $n<\tau_1(p^q)$ (in base p), for which $\binom{p^qn+1}{n}$ may be squarefree. As consequences, we obtain that $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ is squarefree only for $n=1,3,45$, and $\binom{9n+1}{n}$ is squarefree only for $n=1,4,10$.

Keywords: Binomial coefficients; Catalan numbers; Congruences; Squarefree numbers

1. Introduction

Problems involving binomial coefficients were considered by many mathematicians for over two centuries. Guy [6] mentions several problems on divisibility of binomial coefficients (see B31, B33). Erdös conjectured that for $n>4$, $\binom{2n}{n}$ is never squarefree. This was proved by Sárközy [13], for sufficiently large n, and by Granville and Ramaré [5] for any $n>4$ (see also [17] for another proof).

Many people (see, for instance, [1,2,7–9,12,15]) proposed and studied the following generalization of classical Catalan numbers $\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n}$, which we will call s-

E-mail address: stanica@sciences.aum.edu.
[1] Associated to the Institute of Mathematics of Romanian Academy, Bucharest, Romania.

0022-314X/03/$ - see front matter © 2003 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/S0022-314X(02)00125-7

Catalan numbers, namely $F(s,n)=\frac{1}{(s-1)n+1}\binom{sn}{n}$. There are many interpretations of this sequence (see [2,7,9,12,15]), for instance: the number of s-ary trees with n source-nodes, the number of ways of associating n applications of a given s-ary operator, the number of ways of dividing a convex polygon into n disjoint $(s+1)$-gons with nonintersecting diagonals, and the number of s-good paths (below the line $y=sx$) from $(0,-1)$ to $(n,(s-1)n-1)$.

Naturally, some of the questions proposed by Erdös on the classical Catalan numbers, may be asked here as well, as Hough and the late Simion proposed [8]: (a) When p is prime, for what values of n is $F(p,n)$ divisible by p? (b)* For what values of n is $F(4,n)$ divisible by 4? (c)* What can you say when s takes on the other composite values? There are no answers yet known for (b) and (c). In this paper we give a simple proof to (a), and we show that $F(p^2,n)$ is divisible by p^2, unless $(p^2-1)n+1$ is an even power of p, or a p-term sum of odd powers of p, thereby answering (b), and (c) for $s=p^2$. We generalize this result describing all integers n, for which p^q divides $F(p^q,n)$, $q\geqslant 3$. In the second part of the paper, we show that if $p^q\leqslant 99\,999$, then $\binom{p^qn+1}{n}$ is not squarefree for $n\geqslant\tau_1(p^q)$ sufficiently large (computable). If $n<\tau_1(p^q)$, we employ the generalized Catalan numbers to find the set of integers n, where $\binom{p^qn+1}{n}$ might be squarefree. As consequences, we obtain that $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ is squarefree only for $n=1,3,45$, and $\binom{9n+1}{n}$ is squarefree only for $n=1,4,10$.

2. Preliminary results

Let $[x]$ be the largest integer smaller than or equal to x. In this section we state a few results which will be needed later. Lucas (1878) (see [3]) found a simple method to determine $\binom{m}{n}\pmod p$.

Theorem 1 (Lucas). *If p is prime, then $\binom{m}{n}\equiv\binom{[m/p]}{[n/p]}\binom{m_0}{n_0}\pmod p$, where m_0,n_0 are the least non-negative residues modulo p of m, respectively n.*

In 1808 Legendre showed that the exact power of p dividing $n!$ is

$$[n/p]+[n/p^2]+[n/p^3]+\cdots. \tag{1}$$

We define (see [4]) the *sum of digits function* $\sigma_p(n)=n_0+n_1+\cdots+n_d$, if $n=n_0+n_1p+\cdots+n_dp^d$. Then, using σ, (1) transforms into

$$\frac{n-\sigma_p(n)}{p-1}. \tag{2}$$

Kummer found a way to determine the power to which a prime p divides a binomial coefficient.

Theorem 2 (Kummer). *The power to which the prime p divides the binomial coefficient* $\binom{m}{n}$, *say* $v_p(\binom{m}{n})$, *is given by the number of carries when we add n and* $m-n$ *in base p.*

Define $n!_p$ to be the product of all integers $\leqslant n$, that are not divisible by p. We see that $n!_p = \frac{n!}{[n/p]!p^{[n/p]}}$. Granville [4] proves the following beautiful generalization of both Lucas and Kummer's Theorems.

Theorem 3 (Granville). *Suppose that the prime power* p^q *and positive integers* $m = n+r$ *are given. Let* N_j *be the least positive residue of* $[n/p^j] \pmod{p^q}$ *for each* $j \geqslant 0$ (*that is*, $N_j = n_j + n_{j+1}p + \cdots + n_{j+q-1}p^{q-1}$, *where* $n = n_0 + n_1 p + \cdots + n_d p^d$): *also make the corresponding definitions for* m_j, M_j, r_j, R_j. *Let* e_j *be the number of indices* $i \geqslant j$ *for which* $m_i < n_i$ (*that is, the number of* carries, *when adding n and r in base p, on or beyond the jth digit*). *Then*

$$\frac{1}{p^{e_0}}\binom{m}{n} \equiv (\pm 1)^{e_{q-1}} \frac{M_0!_p}{N_0!_p\, R_0!_p}\frac{M_1!_p}{N_1!_p\, R_1!_p}\cdots\frac{M_d!_p}{N_d!_p\, R_d!_p} \pmod{p^q},$$

where $(\pm)$ *is* (-1) *except if* $p=2$ *and* $q \geqslant 3$.

Our first result gives a complete answer to the first posed question (a), generalizing the well-known result on Catalan numbers, or equivalently, on middle binomial coefficients (see [6]), which states that $4 \mid \binom{2n}{n}$, unless $n = 2^k$, for some k. Denote by $\mathbf{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers.

Theorem 4. *Let p be a prime. Then, p divides* $F(p,n)$, *unless n is of the form* $\frac{p^k-1}{p-1}$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, *in which case* $F(p,n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$.

Proof. We rewrite $F(p,n) = \frac{1}{(p-1)n+1}\binom{pn}{n} = \frac{1}{pn+1}\binom{pn+1}{n}$. Since $p \nmid F(p,0)$, we assume $n>0$. Applying Lucas' Theorem repeatedly for the base p representations $(0 \leqslant m_i, n_i \leqslant p-1)$, $m = m_0 + m_1 p + \cdots + m_d p^d$ and $n = n_0 + n_1 p + \cdots + n_d p^d$, we obtain $\binom{m}{n} \equiv \binom{m_0}{n_0}\binom{m_1}{n_1}\cdots\binom{m_d}{n_d} \pmod{p}$. For $m = pn+1 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we get

$$F(p,n) \equiv \binom{pn+1}{n} \equiv \binom{1}{n_0}\binom{n_0}{n_1}\cdots\binom{n_{d-1}}{n_d} \pmod{p}, \quad n_d \neq 0.$$

If $F(p,n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, we must have $1 \geqslant n_0 \geqslant n_1 \geqslant \cdots \geqslant n_d > 0$, therefore $n_j = 1$, $0 \leqslant j \leqslant d$. In that case, $n = 1 + p + \cdots + p^d = \frac{p^{d+1}-1}{p-1}$ and $F(p,n) \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. □

The following lemma will be extensively used throughout the paper.

Lemma 5. *We have*

$$v_p(F(p^q,n))=\frac{\sigma_p((p^q-1)n+1)-1}{p-1}.$$

Proof. Using (2) we get that the power of p dividing $\binom{m}{n}$ is

$$v_p\left(\binom{m}{n}\right)=\frac{\sigma_p(n)+\sigma_p(m-n)-\sigma_p(m)}{p-1}. \tag{3}$$

If $m=p^qn+1$, by using the identity $F(p^q,n)=\frac{1}{p^qn+1}\binom{p^qn+1}{n}$, (3) becomes

$$v_p(F(p^q,n))=\frac{\sigma_p(n)+\sigma_p((p^q-1)n+1)-\sigma_p(p^qn+1)}{p-1}=\frac{\sigma_p((p^q-1)n+1)-1}{p-1},$$

since $\sigma_p(p^qn+1)=\sigma_p(n)+1$. □

3. Scarce squarefree p^2-Catalan numbers

Denote by $n=(ab\ldots)_p$ the base p representation of n, a being the most significant digit. Our next result refers to the third question of Hough and Simion, if $s=p^2$.

Theorem 6. *Let p be a prime. Then, p^2 divides $F(p^2,n)$, unless n is of the form $\frac{p^{2t}-1}{p^2-1}$, $t\in\mathbf{N}$, in which case $F(p^2,n)\equiv 1\pmod{p^2}$, or of the form $\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s}c_jp^{2i_j+1}-1}{p^2-1}$, $i_1<\cdots<i_s$, where $c_j>0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{s}c_j=p$, $s\geqslant 2$, in which case $F(p^2,n)\equiv\binom{p}{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_s}\pmod{p^2}$ (the multinomial coefficient).*

Proof. By Lemma 5, if $F(p^2,n)\not\equiv 0\pmod{p^2}$, then

$$v_p(F(p^2,n))=\frac{\sigma_p((p^2-1)n+1)-1}{p-1}\leqslant 1, \tag{4}$$

which implies that $\sigma_p((p^2-1)n+1)$ is 1 or p.

If $\sigma_p((p^2-1)n+1)=1$, then $(p^2-1)n+1=p^k\equiv(-1)^k\pmod{(p+1)}$, therefore k must be even, say $k=2t$, and $n=\frac{p^{2t}-1}{p^2-1}$.

If $\sigma_p((p^2-1)n+1)=p$, then $(p^2-1)n+1=\sum_{k=1}^{p} p^{\alpha_k}$, $\alpha_1\leqslant\alpha_2\leqslant\cdots\leqslant\alpha_p$, with $\alpha_1<\alpha_p$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(p^2-1)n &\equiv -1+p\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ odd}} 1+\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1\\ &\equiv -1+p^2-(p-1)\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1\\ &\equiv -(p-1)\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1 \pmod{(p^2-1)},\end{aligned}$$

so $\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1$ must be divisible by $p+1$. Since $0\leqslant\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1\leqslant p$, we see that $\sum_{\alpha_i \text{ even}} 1$ must be an empty sum. Therefore, all $\alpha_j=2i_j+1$. We obtain $n=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j p^{2i_j+1}-1}{p^2-1}$, $i_1<i_2<\cdots<i_s$, $\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j=p$ and the first claim is proved.

For the second part of our theorem, we use the congruence $F(p^2,n)\equiv\binom{p^2n+1}{n} \pmod{p^2}$. Let $n_{-1}=0$. Consider $n=\frac{p^{2t}-1}{p^2-1}$. It follows that $n=(1010\cdots101)_p$, and since p^2n+1 attaches to this string the block 01 to the right, it is of the same form. Moreover, $M_i=N_{i-2}$ and $R_i!_p=1$, except for $R_{2t-1}!_p=(p-1)!$. By Granville's theorem, we get

$$F(p^2,n)\equiv p^{e_0}(-1)^{e_1}\frac{M_0!_p M_1!_p}{R_{2t-1}!_p} \pmod{p^2}. \tag{5}$$

Now, $M_0!_p=1, M_1!_p=(p-1)!$. Thus, (5) becomes $F(p^2,n)\equiv p^{e_0}(-1)^{e_1}\equiv 1 \pmod{p^2}$, since $e_0=e_1=0$.

Consider $n=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j p^{2i_j+1}-1}{p^2-1}$, $i_1<\cdots<i_s$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i=p$, with $s\geqslant 2$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}n&=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j(p^{2i_j+1}-p)+p^2-1}{p^2-1}=\sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j\sum_{k=1}^{i_j} p^{2i_j-2k+1}+1\\ &=c_s p^{2i_s-1}+c_s p^{2i_s-3}+\cdots+(c_s+c_{s-1})p^{2i_{s-1}-1}+\cdots+1.\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

By Kummer's theorem, there is a carry in this case, so $e_0=e_1=1$. Also, $n_0=1$, $M_0!_p=1, M_1!_p=(p-1)!$, $R_i!_p=1$ except for $R_{2i_k}!_p=(c_kp)!_p=\frac{(c_kp)!}{c_k!p^{c_k}}$ and $R_{2i_k+1}!_p=(c_k)!_p=c_k!$, for $k=1,2,\ldots,s$. Applying Granville's theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned}F(p^2,n)&\equiv p^{e_0}(-1)^{e_1}\frac{M_0!_p M_1!_p}{R_0!_p\cdots R_{2i_s+1}!_p}\equiv(-1)p\frac{(p-1)!}{\prod_k (c_kp)!_p c_k!}\\ &\equiv\binom{p}{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_s}\frac{(-1)}{\prod_k (c_kp)!_p}\equiv\binom{p}{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_s} \pmod{p^2},\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

since $(c_kp)!_p\equiv(-1)^{c_k} \pmod{p}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k=p$. □

The following corollary gives a complete answer to the second question of Hough and Simion.

Corollary 7. *$F(4,n)$ is divisible by 4, unless n is of the form $\frac{2^{2t}-1}{3}$, in which case $F(4,n)\equiv 1 \pmod 4$, or of the form $\frac{2^{2t+1}+2^{2j+1}-1}{3}$, for $t>j$, in which case $F(4,n)\equiv 2 \pmod 4$.*

In [10] we study products of factorials modulo p, and a consequence of one of our results is that the multinomial coefficients appearing in our previous theorem cover all residues of the form pk modulo p^2, where $0\leqslant k\leqslant p-1$ (except for $p=5$). Therefore, the residues of $F(p^2,n)$ modulo p^2 will be $\{1\}\cup\{p\,k|\ 0\leqslant k\leqslant p-1\}$ (except for $p=5$, in which case the residues are $\{0,1,5,10,20\}$).

4. Divisibility of p^q-Catalan numbers

Let p prime and $q\geqslant 2$ fixed. For easy writing we denote by $\mathscr{S}$ the set of all positive integers of the form $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k p^{qt_k+j_k}-1}{p^q-1}$, $t_k\in\mathbf{N}$, $1\leqslant c_k<p$, $0\leqslant m<q$, $l=\sum_k c_k = m(p-1)+1$, $d=\frac{\sum_k c_k p^{j_k}-1}{p^q-1}\in\mathbf{N}$, $qt_k+j_k\neq qt_i+j_i$ and $0\leqslant j_1\leqslant j_2\leqslant\cdots\leqslant j_s<q$.

Concerning arbitrary powers of an odd prime, we prove

Theorem 8. *If p is a prime and $q\geqslant 3$, then p^q divides $F(p^q,n)$, unless n is of the form $\frac{p^{tq}-1}{p^q-1}$, for some $t\in\mathbf{N}$, in which case $F(p^q,n)\equiv 1 \pmod{p^q}$, or n is in $\mathscr{S}$, in which case, $F(p^q,n)\equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0+m(p^{q-1}-1)}\frac{p^{q-1}!}{(lp^{q-1})!}\binom{l}{c_1,c_2,\ldots,c_s} \pmod{p^q}$, if $j_k\geqslant 1$, $t_k\geqslant 1$, where $\varepsilon=1$ for $p=2$ and $\varepsilon=(-1)^{e_{q-1}}$ for $p\geqslant 3$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5, if $p^q\nmid F(p^q,n)$, then $v_p(F(p^q,n))=\frac{\sigma_p((p^q-1)n+1)-1}{p-1}\leqslant q-1$, so $\sigma_p((p^q-1)n+1)=m(p-1)+1$, for some $0\leqslant m\leqslant(q-1)$. If $m=0$, then $(p^q-1)n+1=p^{tq+i}$, for some $0\leqslant i\leqslant q-1$. Working modulo p^q-1 implies $i=0$. Thus, $n=\frac{p^{tq}-1}{p^q-1}$. Next, assume $0<m\leqslant q-1$. We obtain, for $l=m(p-1)+1$, $(p^q-1)n+1=p^{\alpha_1}+\cdots+p^{\alpha_l}, \alpha_1\leqslant\cdots\leqslant\alpha_l$, where no p of the α_i's can be equal. Thus, $n=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k p^{qt_k+j_k}-1}{p^q-1}$, $1\leqslant c_k<q, 0\leqslant j_1\leqslant j_2\leqslant\cdots j_s<q$, $\sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k=l$ and $\sum_k c_k p^{j_k}\equiv 1 \pmod{p^q-1}$, therefore, n is in $\mathscr{S}$.

To find the residues of the generalized Catalan numbers modulo p^q, we use the congruence $F(p^q,n)\equiv\binom{p^n n+1}{n} \pmod{p^q}$, Granville's theorem and the proof of Theorem 6. If $n=\frac{p^{tq}-1}{p^q-1}$, $m=p^q n+1$ and $r=p^{tq}$. We observe that $M_{i+q}=N_i$. Moreover, $M_{iq}=1$, $M_{iq+1}=p^{q-1}$, $M_{iq+2}=p^{q-2},\ldots,M_{iq+q-1}=p$, $M_{(i+1)q}=1$, for any $0\leqslant i\leqslant t-1$; $R_i!_p=1$ except for $R_{qt-q+1}=p^{q-1}$, $R_{qt-q+2}=p^{q-2},\ldots,R_{qt-1}=p$.

Since $e_0 = e_1 = 0$, we get

$$F(p^q, n) \equiv p^{e_0} \frac{M_0!_p \cdot M_1!_p \cdots M_{q-1}!_p}{R_{qt-q+1}!_p \cdots R_{qt-1}!_p} \pmod{p^q}$$
$$\equiv \frac{(p^{q-1})!_p \cdots (p^2)!_p \cdot p!_p}{(p^{q-1})!_p \cdots (p^2)!_p \cdot p!_p} \pmod{p^q} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^q}.$$

We find the residues of $F(p^q, n)$ modulo p^q when $j_k \geqslant 1$, $t_k \geqslant 1$, for any k. In base p, using $j_k \geqslant 1, t_k \geqslant 1$, we get

$$n = \sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k p^{j_k} (p^{q(t_k-1)} + \cdots + 1) + d$$
$$= \cdots + d(p^q - 1) + 1 + d = 1 + dp^q + \cdots = 1 + d'p^q + \cdots$$

($0 \leqslant d' < p$ and the power of p in the missing terms is at least $q+1$). Moreover, $p^q n + 1 = 1 + p^q + d'p^{2q} + \cdots$. Thus, $M_0 = 1, M_1 = p^{q-1}, M_2 = p^{q-2}, \ldots, M_{q-1} = p$. Since $R = \sum_{k=1}^{s} c_k p^{qt_k + j_k}$, then $R_k!_p = 1$, except for $R_{q(t_i-1)+j_i+1} = c_i p^{q-1}$, $R_{q(t_i-1)+j_i+2} = c_i p^{q-2}, \ldots, R_{qt_i+j_i+1} = c_i$, for any i. By Granville's theorem, using $\sum_{i=1}^{s} c_i = l = m(p-1)+1$ and $(c_i p^k)!_p = \frac{(c_i p^k)!}{(c_i p^{k-1})! p^{c_i p^{k-1}}}$, we obtain

$$F(p^q, n) \equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{q-1} (p^k)!_p}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} (c_i p^k)!_p} \equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0} \frac{\prod_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{(p^k)!}{(p^{k-1})!\, p^{p^{k-1}}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} c_i! \prod_{k=1}^{q-1} \frac{(c_i p^k)!}{(c_i p^{k-1})!\, p^{c_i p^{k-1}}}}$$
$$\equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0} \frac{\frac{p^{q-1}!}{p^{(p^{q-1}-1)/(p-1)}}}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} \frac{(c_i p^{q-1})!}{p^{c_i\,(p^{q-1}-1)/(p-1)}}} \equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0 + m(p^{q-1}-1)} \frac{p^{q-1}!}{\prod_{i=1}^{s} (c_i p^{q-1})!}$$
$$\equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0 + m(p^{q-1}-1)} \frac{p^{q-1}!}{(lp^{q-1})!} \binom{lp^{q-1}}{c_1 p^{q-1}, \ldots, c_s p^{q-1}}$$
$$\equiv \varepsilon p^{e_0 + m(p^{q-1}-1)} \frac{p^{q-1}!}{(lp^{q-1})!} \binom{l}{c_1, c_2, \ldots, c_s} \pmod{p^q}, \tag{8}$$

where $\varepsilon = 1$ for $p = 2$ and $\varepsilon = (-1)^{e_{q-1}}$ for $p \geqslant 3$. □

We use in the next section the following

Corollary 9. *p^q divides $\frac{1}{(p^q-1)n+1}\binom{p^q n}{n}$ if and only if p^q divides $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$.*

5. Squarefree binomial coefficients

In this section, we study squarefree binomial coefficients of the form $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$, by employing the previous results on the generalized Catalan numbers.

Granville and Ramaré [5], proved that if $\binom{n}{k}$ is squarefree, then n or $n-k$ must be small. Finding explicit bounds is a much more difficult task. They showed that $\binom{2n}{n}$ is squarefree for $n>2^{1617}$, and used some clever arguments to simplify the computer's work, in checking the possible exceptions $n=2^r$ up to 2^{1617}. In this section of the paper we rely on [5] and use some estimates on the Chebyshev's function $\sum_{d\leqslant x}\Lambda(d)$, where $\Lambda(d)$ is the Von Mangoldt's function, $\Lambda(d)=\log r$, if $d=r^s$, r prime and $\Lambda(d)=0$, otherwise, to show our results. Define $e(x)=e^x$ and $\psi(x)=0$, if x is an integer, and $\psi(x)=\{x\}-\frac{1}{2}$, otherwise, where $\{x\}$ is the fractional part of x.

The following lemma proves to be very useful

Lemma 10. *If $p^q\leqslant 99\,999$, the inequality*

$$0.9999975\sqrt{p^q n+1}-1.0000025\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}$$
$$>21.683p^{\frac{23q}{48}}n^{\frac{23}{48}}(\log(256((p^q-1)n+1))))^{\frac{11}{4}}+\tfrac{11}{8}(3\log n+2q\log p) \qquad (9)$$

is true for $n\geqslant\tau_0$ sufficiently large.

Proof. First, $(\sqrt{x+1}+\sqrt{x-1})^2=2x+2\sqrt{x^2-1}\leqslant 4x$, since $2\sqrt{x^2-1}\leqslant 2x$. Thus, $\sqrt{1+x}+\sqrt{1+x-n}\leqslant\sqrt{x+1}+\sqrt{x-1}\leqslant 2\sqrt{x}$, for $2\leqslant n\leqslant x+1$. Now, let $x'=1+x$. We evaluate

$$(1-\alpha)\sqrt{1+x}-(1+\alpha)\sqrt{1+x-n}=\frac{(1-\alpha)^2x'-(1+\alpha)^2(x'-n)}{(1-\alpha)\sqrt{x'}+(1+\alpha)\sqrt{x'-n}}$$
$$=\frac{n(1+\alpha)^2-4\alpha x'}{(1-\alpha)\sqrt{x'}+(1+\alpha)\sqrt{x'-n}}\geqslant\frac{n(1+\alpha)^2-4\alpha x'}{(1+\alpha)(\sqrt{x'}+\sqrt{x'-n})}\geqslant\frac{n(\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{1+\alpha}-\frac{4\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)}x)}{2\sqrt{x}}.$$

Therefore,

$$(1-\alpha)\sqrt{1+x}-(1+\alpha)\sqrt{1+x-n}\geqslant\left(\frac{(1-\alpha)^2}{1+\alpha}-\frac{4\alpha}{1+\alpha}\frac{x}{n}\right)\frac{n}{2\sqrt{x}}. \qquad (10)$$

Taking $x=p^q n$, $\alpha=\frac{1}{4\cdot 10^5}$, in (10), we get

$$0.9999975\sqrt{p^q n+1}-1.0000025\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}$$
$$\geqslant\left(\frac{0.9999975^2}{1.0000025}-\frac{1}{100000.25}p^q\right)\frac{1}{2\sqrt{p^q}}n^{\frac{1}{2}}. \qquad (11)$$

If $p^q \leqslant 99\,999$, then (11) implies our claim that the inequality (9) is true for $n \geqslant \tau_0$ sufficiently large, since, by (11), the left side of (9) is $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ and the right side is $O(n^{\frac{23}{48}})$. □

As before, p is a prime and $q \geqslant 2$ is an integer. Also, τ_0 is the bound obtained in Lemma 10 and $\tau_1(p^q) = \max(\frac{e^{60}-1}{p^q-1}, 5^{10}p^{5q}, \tau_0)$. Our main result of this section is stated in the next

Theorem 11. *Assume* $p^q \leqslant 99\,999$. *Then,* $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ *is not squarefree for* $n \geqslant \tau_1(p^q)$. *Moreover, if* $n < \tau_1(p^q)$, $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ *may be squarefree only for n of the form occurring in Theorem* 8 $(q \geqslant 3)$ *or Theorem* 6 $(q = 2)$.

We proceed to the proof of the theorem. Let $P = n(p^q n - n + 1)(p^q n + 1)$. Corollary 3.2 of [5, p. 82] implies:

Lemma 12. *Suppose that* $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ *is squarefree. Then,*

$$\left|\sum_{d\in I} \psi\left(\frac{p^q n+1}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| + \left|\sum_{d\in I} \psi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| + \left|\sum_{d\in I} \psi\left(\frac{(p^q-1)n+1}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2}\sum_{d\in I,\,(d,P)=1} \Lambda(d), \tag{12}$$

where I is the set of integers d in the range $\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1} < d \leqslant \sqrt{p^q n+1}$.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 7.1 of [5] (see also [16]) is

$$\left|\sum_{d\in I} \psi\left(\frac{X}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{2R+2}\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d) + \left(\sum_{0<|r|\leqslant R} |a_r^{\pm}|\right) \max_{X\leqslant x\leqslant XR}\left|\sum_{d\in I} e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|,$$

where $a_r^{\pm} = \frac{i}{2\pi(R+1)}(\pi(1-\frac{|r|}{R+1})\cot(\frac{\pi r}{R+1}) + \frac{|r|}{r}) \pm \frac{1}{2R+2}(1-\frac{|r|}{R+1})$.

Taking $R = 10$ and using *Mathematica*[2] we obtained $\sum_{0<|r|\leqslant 10} |a_r^{\pm}| \sim 0.868 \leqslant \frac{86}{99}$, which implies

Lemma 13.

$$\left|\sum_{d\in I} \psi\left(\frac{X}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{22}\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d) + \frac{86}{99}\max_{X\leqslant x\leqslant 10X}\left|\sum_{d\in I} e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|.$$

[2] A Trademark of Wolfram Research.

Using (12) and the previous lemma we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{d\in I,\,(d,P)=1}\Lambda(d) &\leqslant \left|\sum_{d\in I}\psi\left(\frac{p^q n+1}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| + \left|\sum_{d\in I}\psi\left(\frac{n}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \\ &\quad + \left|\sum_{d\in I}\psi\left(\frac{(p^q-1)n+1}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{3}{22}\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d) + \frac{86}{99}\max_{p^q n+1\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^q n+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \\ &\quad + \frac{86}{99}\max_{(p^q-1)n+1\leqslant x\leqslant 10((p^q-1)n+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \\ &\quad + \frac{86}{99}\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10n}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{3}{22}\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d) + \frac{86}{33}\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^q n+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|.\end{aligned}$$

Since, $\sum_{d\in I,\,(d,P)>1}\Lambda(d)\leqslant \log n+\log((p^q-1)n+1)+\log(p^q n+1)\leqslant 3\log n+2q\log p$, for $n\geqslant 2$, we obtain

$$\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d)\leqslant\frac{43}{6}\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^q n+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right| + \frac{11}{8}(3\log n+2q\log p). \tag{13}$$

Schoenfeld [14], obtained, for $x\geqslant e^{30}$ (see also [11]),

$$\left|\sum_{d\leqslant x}\Lambda(d)-x\right|<\frac{1}{4\times 10^5}x.$$

Since $\sum_{d\in I}\Lambda(d)=\sum_{d\leqslant\sqrt{p^q n+1}}\Lambda(d)-\sum_{d\leqslant\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}}\Lambda(d)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\sqrt{p^q n+1}-\frac{1}{4\times 10^5}\sqrt{p^q n+1}-\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}-\frac{1}{4\times 10^5}\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1} \\ &\quad-\frac{11}{8}(3\log n+2q\log p)=0.9999975\sqrt{p^q n+1} \\ &\quad-1.0000025\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}-\frac{11}{8}(3\log n+2q\log p) \\ &\quad<\frac{43}{6}\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^q n+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I}e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|,\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

for $n\geqslant\frac{e^{60}-1}{p^q-1}$.

Now, we apply Theorem 9 of [5], a consequence of some very important bounds on exponential sums.

Theorem 14 (Granville–Ramaré). *If $k>0$ integer and $y\leqslant\frac{1}{5}x^{3/5}$, then*

$$\left|\sum_{y\leqslant d\leqslant y'} e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|\leqslant\frac{50}{3}y\left(\frac{x}{y^{\frac{k+3}{2}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}(\log 16y)^{\frac{11}{4}}$$

for any $y\leqslant y'\leqslant 2y$.

Since $\sqrt{p^qn+1}\leqslant 2\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}$, the above theorem of Granville and Ramaré applies, and we get for $n>5^{10}p^{5q}$ (to have the bound $y\leqslant\frac{1}{5}x^{3/5}$),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^qn+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I} e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|\\
&\leqslant \max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^qn+1)}\frac{50}{3}\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}\\
&\quad\times\left(\frac{x}{((p^q-1)n+1)^{\frac{k+3}{4}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}(\log(16\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}))^{\frac{11}{4}}\\
&=\frac{50}{3}\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}\left(\frac{10(p^qn+1)}{((p^q-1)n+1)^{\frac{k+3}{4}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}\\
&\quad\times(\log(16\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}))^{\frac{11}{4}}\leqslant\frac{50}{3}11^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}p^{\frac{q}{4(2^k-1)}}\\
&\quad\times n^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}((p^q-1)n+1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k+3}{4^2(2^k-1)}}2^{-\frac{11}{4}}(\log(256(p^q-1)n+1))^{\frac{11}{4}}\\
&\leqslant\frac{50}{3}2^{-\frac{11}{4}}11^{\frac{1}{4(2^k-1)}}p^{q(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k-1}{4^2(2^k-1)})}n^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{k-1}{4^2(2^k-1)}}(\log(256((p^q-1)n+1)))^{\frac{11}{4}}.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain (by taking $k=2$—that will suffice for our purpose)

$$\max_{n\leqslant x\leqslant 10(p^qn+1)}\left|\sum_{d\in I} e\left(\frac{x}{d}\right)\Lambda(d)\right|\leqslant\frac{50}{3}2^{-\frac{11}{4}}11^{\frac{1}{12}}p^{\frac{23q}{48}}n^{\frac{23}{48}}(\log(256((p^q-1)n+1)))^{\frac{11}{4}}.$$

By combining (14) and the previous inequality, we get (by Lemma 12) that if $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ is squarefree, and $n \geqslant \max(\frac{e^{60}-1}{p^q-1}, 5^{10}p^{5q})$, then

$$0.9999975\sqrt{p^q n+1} - 1.0000025\sqrt{(p^q-1)n+1}$$

$$\leqslant 21.683 p^{\frac{23q}{48}} n^{\frac{23}{48}} (\log(256((p^q-1)n+1)))^{\frac{11}{4}} + \tfrac{11}{8}(3\log n + 2q\log p), \quad (15)$$

which is false for $n \geqslant \tau_0$ by Lemma 10. By taking $\tau_1(p^q) = \max(\frac{e^{60}-1}{p^q-1}, 5^{10}p^{5q}, \tau_0)$, the first claim of Theorem 11 follows. If $n < \tau_1(p^q)$, then we use the fact that p^q divides $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ (therefore it is not squarefree, since $q \geqslant 2$), unless n is of the form $\frac{p^{tq}-1}{p^q-1}$, for any $t \in \mathbf{N}$, or of the form $\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m(p-1)+1} p^{qt_k+j_k}-1}{p^q-1}$, for any $t_i \in \mathbf{N}$, $1 \leqslant m \leqslant q-1$, $0 \leqslant j_i \leqslant q-1$, and $\sum_i p^{j_i} \equiv 1 \pmod{p^q-1}$. The proof of Theorem 11 is done. □

Remark 15. Inequality (9) provides explicit bounds for n, for any choice of p and q, with $p^q \leqslant 99999$. We can increase the bound for p^q, by using a weaker result of Schoenfeld [14]. However, in doing that we increase the bound on n as well, so we preferred a better bound on n.

If $q = 2$, using Theorem 6, we get better results for the number of exceptions up to the bound $\tau_1(p^2)$. We give here two samples in our next theorem.

Theorem 16. *Except for* 1, 3 *and* 45, $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ *is not squarefree. Except for* 1, 4 *and* 10, $\binom{9n+1}{n}$ *is not squarefree.*

Proof. If $(p,q) = (2,2)$, inequality (9) changes into

$$0.9999975\sqrt{4n+1} - 1.0000025\sqrt{3n+1}$$

$$> 42.1311 n^{\frac{23}{48}} (\log(768n+1))^{\frac{11}{4}} + \tfrac{33}{8}\log n + 1.65566, \quad (16)$$

which is true for $n \geqslant 2^{1518} = \tau_0$. Observe that $\tau_1 = \tau_0 = \max(\frac{e^{60}-1}{3}, 5^{10}2^{10}, \tau_0)$. Theorem 6 and Corollary 9 imply that the exceptions for $n < 2^{1518}$ (if they exist) are of the form $\frac{2^{2t+1}+2^{2j+1}-1}{3}$, $j \leqslant t$ (we include $j = t$ in the count). Observe that the number of pairs (j,t), giving different numbers of the above form, is less than $\binom{761}{2} \sim 2^{18.2}$.

If $(p,q) = (3,2)$, inequality (9) changes into

$$0.9999975\sqrt{9n+1} - 1.0000025\sqrt{8n+1}$$

$$> 26.04 n^{\frac{23}{48}} (\log(2048n+1))^{\frac{11}{4}} + \tfrac{33}{8}\log n + 2.62417, \quad (17)$$

which is true for $n \geqslant 3^{956} = \tau_0$. Observe that $\tau_1 = \tau_0 = \max(\frac{e^{60}-1}{8}, 5^{10}3^{10}, \tau_0)$. As in the previous case, we get that the exceptions for $n < 3^{956}$ (if they exist) are of the form $\frac{3^{2t+1}+3^{2j+1}+3^{2i+1}-1}{8}$, $i \leqslant j \leqslant t$ (we include $i = j = t$ in the count). Observe that the number of triples (i,j,t), giving different numbers of the above form, is less than $\binom{478}{3}$.

To check divisibility by squares up to τ_1, we need only concern ourselves with integers of the described forms. First, take the binomial $\binom{4n+1}{n}$. We used Granville's theorem (or Kummer's theorem) to find the power to which $p = 3$ divides $\binom{m}{n}$, $m = 4n + 1$. We expanded n, m in base 3 and then compared their digits. The computation took about 6 hours on our PC (850 Mhz with 256 Mb of RAM). After the first run of the algorithm, we obtained that all binomial coefficients $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ (for n among the above values) are divisible by 3^2, except for the following integers $n = 1$, 3, 13, 45, 85, 171, 181, 2731, 2733, 10965, 13653, 43861, 44741973, 181753173. For $n = 1$, we get $\binom{5}{1} = 5$. For $n = 3$, we get $\binom{10}{3} = 2 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$. For $n = 45$, we get $\binom{181}{45} = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 23 \cdot 29 \cdot 47 \cdot 53 \cdot 59 \cdot 71 \cdot 73 \cdot 79 \cdot 83 \cdot 89 \cdot 137 \cdot 139 \cdot 149 \cdot 151 \cdot 157 \cdot 163 \cdot 167 \cdot 173 \cdot 179 \cdot 181$. For the remaining values of n, the binomial coefficient $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ is divisible by 5^2 for $n = 171$, 181, 2731, 2733, 10965, 13653, 43861, 44741973, 181753173; divisible by 7^2 for $n = 13$; divisible by 13^2 for $n = 85$. The first claim follows.

We ran a similar program for $\binom{9n+1}{n}$, which unfortunately stopped (because of integer overflow) after a few days. Meanwhile, we wrote another program in Python (which we ran on a PC with the same features), based on the known fact that the number of carries is $\sum_{t=1}^{[\log_2 m]+1}(\lfloor \frac{m}{2^t} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{n}{2^t} \rfloor - \lfloor \frac{m-n}{2^t} \rfloor)$. For each t, we checked if the number of carries is greater than or equal to 2, and if it is, we stopped the summation (the expression inside the sum is either 0 or 1). The output of our program (which ran for 9 days) is that the binomial coefficient $\binom{9n+1}{n}$ (for n among the above values) is divisible by 2^2, except for the following integers: 1, 4, 10, 34, 64, 274, 277, 280, 304, 334, 550, 5194, 24604, 199297, 199324, 201754, 202024, 145285144. Among these, for $n = 34$, 64, 274, 280, 304, 334, 24604, 199324, 201754, 202024, 145285144, we have divisibility by 5^2; for $n = 277, 550, 199297$, we have divisibility by 7^2; for $n = 5194$, we have divisibility by 11^2. For $n = 1, 4, 10$, we get $\binom{10}{1} = 2 \cdot 5$, $\binom{17}{4} = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 17 \cdot 37$, respectively, $\binom{91}{10} = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 29 \cdot 41 \cdot 43 \cdot 83 \cdot 89$. The second claim follows. □

Remark 17. Since we were successful in getting the computation done in Python, we experimented with a large set of integers n for divisibility of $\binom{4n+1}{n}$ and $\binom{9n+1}{n}$ by squares of various other primes r. It might be worth mentioning that the output in each case was a very short list of integers for which we have no divisibility by r^2 (or 2^2, respectively 3^2). Further investigation is needed to find a bound for the number of binomial coefficients of the form $\binom{p^q n+1}{n}$ (or more general $\binom{m}{n}$), which are not divisible by either p^2 or r^2 (p, r primes).

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the anonymous referee for his helpful comments, which improved significantly the presentation of the paper. Special thanks are due to the editor for his constructive comments and his patience.

References

[1] M. Bernstein, N.J.A. Sloane, Some canonical sequences of integers, Linear Algebra Appl. 226–228 (1995) 57–72.
[2] W. Chu, A new combinatorial interpretation for generalized Catalan numbers, Discrete Math. 65 (1987) 91–94.
[3] L.E. Dickson, History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. I, Chelsea, New York, 1919 (Chapter XI).
[4] A. Granville, Binomial coefficients modulo prime powers, Canad. Math. Soc. Conf. Proc. 20 (1997) 253–275.
[5] A. Granville, O. Ramaré, Explicit bounds on the exponential sums and the scarcity of squarefree binomial coefficients, Mathematika 43 (1996) 73–107.
[6] R.K. Guy, Unsolved Problems in Number Theory, Springer, New York, 1981, pp. 47–50.
[7] P. Hilton, J. Pederson, Catalan numbers, their generalization, and their uses, Math. Intelli. 13 (1991) 64–75.
[8] D.S. Hough, R.E. Simion, Problem P10794, The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 107, Number 3, March 2000.
[9] D.A. Klarner, Correspondences between plane trees and binary sequences, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 9 (1970) 401–411.
[10] F. Luca, P. Stănică, Products of factorials modulo p, Colloquium Mathematicum, to appear.
[11] N.C. Pereira, Estimates for the Chebyshev function $\psi(x) - \theta(x)$, Math. Comput. 440 (1985) 211–221.
[12] A.D. Sands, On generalized Catalan numbers, Discrete Math. 22 (1978) 218–221.
[13] A. Sàrközy, On divisors of binomial coefficients I, J. Number Theory 20 (1985) 70–80.
[14] L. Schoenfeld, Sharper bounds for the Chebyshev functions $\theta(x)$ and $\psi(x)$, Math. Comput. 30 (1976) 337–360.
[15] R. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
[16] J.D. Vaaler, Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1985) 183–216.
[17] G. Velammal, Is the binomial coefficient $\binom{2n}{n}$ squarefree?, Hardy–Ramanujan J. 18 (1995) 23–45.