



Available at
WWW.MATHEMATICSWEB.ORG
 POWERED BY SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of
 MATHEMATICAL
 ANALYSIS AND
 APPLICATIONS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 282 (2003) 846–851

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Note

A note on univalent functions starlike with respect to a boundary point

A. Lecko^a and A. Lyzzaik^{b,*}

^a Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Rzeszów, Rzeszów, Poland

^b Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

Received 23 April 2002

Submitted by K.-T. Kim

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Walter Hengartner¹

Abstract

The object of this paper is to prove the sufficiency of a recently established necessary condition for a univalent function to be starlike with respect to a boundary point.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and statement of Main theorem

Let \mathbb{C} be the complex plane and let \mathbf{D} be the open unit disc $\{z: |z| < 1\}$. A complex region Ω with $0 \in \partial\Omega$ is called *starlike with respect to the origin* if for every point $w \in \Omega$ the line segment $(0, w] = \{tw: 0 < t \leq 1\}$ lies in Ω . Also, we call a univalent function f of \mathbf{D} onto Ω *starlike with respect to the (boundary point at the) origin*. Denote by S_0^* the class of all such functions.

Let f be an analytic function of \mathbf{D} and let $\zeta \in \partial\mathbf{D}$. We say that f has the *asymptotic value* $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ at ζ if there exists a Jordan arc Γ that ends at ζ and lies in \mathbf{D} except for ζ such that

$$f(z) \rightarrow a \quad \text{as } z \in \Gamma, z \rightarrow \zeta.$$

Also, we say that f has the *angular limit* $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ at ζ if

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: alecko@prz.rzeszow.pl (A. Lecko), lyzzaik@aub.edu.lb (A. Lyzzaik).

¹ Professor Hengartner, a leader in the mathematical community and a very close friend and co-author, died on April 30, 2003.

$$f(z) \rightarrow a \quad \text{as } z \in A, z \rightarrow \zeta,$$

for every Stolz angle A at ζ , $A = \{z \in \mathbf{D}: |\arg(1 - \bar{\zeta}z)| < \pi/2 - \delta\}$, where $0 < \delta < \pi/2$. For these notions see [4, p. 267].

Since the origin is an accessible point in Ω , there exist infinitely many functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$ whose angular limits at 1 is zero [5, Corollary 2.17].

Univalent functions starlike with respect to a boundary point were first introduced in 1981 by Robertson [6]. In his paper, the following two classes of univalent functions were introduced:

- (i) The class \mathcal{G} of univalent functions f of \mathbf{D} that satisfy $f(0) = 1$ and

$$\Re \left\{ 2z \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right\} > 0 \quad (z \in \mathbf{D});$$

- (ii) The class \mathcal{G}^* of univalent functions f of \mathbf{D} that satisfy $f(0) = 1$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r) = 0$, $f(\mathbf{D})$ is starlike with respect to the origin, and $\Re\{e^{i\alpha} f(z)\} > 0$ for some real α and all $z \in \mathbf{D}$.

Further, Robertson proved that $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}^*$ and conjectured that $\mathcal{G}^* \subset \mathcal{G}$. The conjecture was resolved positively by Lyzzaik in [3] where a short proof of the former set-inclusion was also given.

It is immediate that $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$ if $f \in \mathcal{G}$; conversely if $f(0) = 1$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r) = 0$, and $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$, then $f \in \mathcal{G}$. However, if $f^2 \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$, and $f(0) \neq 1$ or $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} f(r) \neq 0$, then there exists a real β such that $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} f(te^{i\beta}) = 0$ and, consequently, $f(e^{i\beta}z)/f(0) \in \mathcal{G}$. This gives at once a complete analytic definition of the functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$.

Let \mathcal{B} be the class of all analytic functions from \mathbf{D} to itself. For $\alpha > 0$, let $\mathcal{B}(\alpha)$ be the subclass of \mathcal{B} consisting of all functions ω whose angular limits of $(1 - \omega(z))/(1 - z)$ at 1 is α .

In order to establish another analytic definition of the functions $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$, Lecko proved recently the following result [2, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem 1. *Let f be an analytic function of \mathbf{D} with angular limit zero at 1. If $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$, then there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that*

$$-(1-z)^2 \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = 4 \frac{1-\omega(z)}{1+\omega(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}. \tag{1}$$

In an attempt to prove the converse of this theorem, Lecko also proved the following result [2, Theorem 3.3].

Theorem 2. *Let f be an analytic function of \mathbf{D} with angular limit zero at 1. If there exist $\omega \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ such that $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \omega(z) = 1$, $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} (1 - \omega(z))/(1 - z) = \alpha$, and (1) holds, then $f \in \mathcal{S}_0^*$.*

The object of this note is to prove the converse of Theorem 1 stated as follows.

Main theorem. Let f be an analytic function of \mathbf{D} with asymptotic value zero at 1. If there exists $\omega \in \mathcal{B}(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that (1) holds, then $f \in S_0^*$.

Observe that this is a stronger converse of Theorem 1 in view of the weaker conditions on both f and its associated function ω .

2. Proof of Main theorem

Let $g = f^{1/2\alpha}$. For $0 < x < 1$, $(1 - \omega(x))/(1 - x) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $x \rightarrow 1$. Then there exists a sequence (x_n) , $0 < x_n < 1$, such that $x_n \rightarrow 1$, $\omega(x_n) \rightarrow 1$, and $(1 - |\omega(x_n)|)/(1 - x_n) \rightarrow A \leq \alpha$. Then, by the theorem of Carathéodory–Landau–Valiron [1, Theorem 1.5, p. 9],

$$\frac{|1 - \omega(z)|^2}{1 - |\omega(z)|^2} \leq A \frac{|1 - z|^2}{1 - |z|^2}, \quad z \in \mathbf{D}.$$

Thus $A > 0$ and

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{D}} \frac{|1 - \omega(z)|^2}{1 - |\omega(z)|^2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2} \leq \alpha. \quad (2)$$

Using (1) and (2), we obtain

$$(1 - |z|^2) \frac{|g'(z)|}{1 + |g(z)|^2} \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \frac{|g(z)|}{1 + |g(z)|^2} \frac{|1 - \omega(z)|^2}{1 - |\omega(z)|^2} \frac{1 - |z|^2}{|1 - z|^2} \leq 1. \quad (3)$$

Thus g is a normal function. Since g , like f , assumes zero as an asymptotic value at 1, it has the angular limit zero at 1 [4, Theorem 9.3].

For $k > 0$, let $\gamma_k(\theta) = (1 + ke^{i\theta})/(1 + k)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$; this is the positively-oriented circle centered at $1/(1 + k)$ and tangent to the unit circle at 1. By virtue of (1), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\theta} \arg g \circ \gamma_k(\theta) &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \Im[\log g \circ \gamma_k(\theta)] \\ &= \frac{1}{4\alpha} \Re \left[- (1 - \gamma_k(\theta))^2 \frac{f' \circ \gamma_k(\theta)}{f \circ \gamma_k(\theta)} \right] / \Re(1 - \gamma_k(\theta)) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

for $0 < \theta < 2\pi$ and $\arg g \circ \gamma_k(\theta)$ is strictly increasing in $(0, 2\pi)$; see [2].

Fix $z_0 \in \mathbf{D}$. Note that there exists a unique $k > 0$ such that $z_0 \in \gamma_k$. Denote by O_k the horocycle of γ_k ; this is the finite open disc bounded by γ_k . Let $w_0 = g(z_0)$ and let $[0, w_0]$ be the line segment from 0 to w_0 . Since g' is nonvanishing in \mathbf{D} and $\arg g \circ \gamma_k(\theta)$ is strictly increasing, there exists a unique arc σ_0 from z_0 to $z_1 \in \gamma_k$ lying in O_k except for the endpoints such that g maps $\sigma_0 \setminus \{z_1\}$ homeomorphically onto an open–closed line segment $(w_1, w_0] \subset [0, w_0]$. We contend that σ_0 is a cross-cut of O_k . Because g' is nonvanishing, σ_0 admits no self intersections. Furthermore, if $z_0 = z_1$, then in this case one would obtain $w_0 = g(z_0) = g(z_1) = w_1$, which would yield a contradiction. This proves our contention.

Suppose $z_1 \neq 1$. Let δ_k , $1 \notin \delta_k$, be the subarc of γ_k ending in z_0 and z_1 , and let G be the Jordan domain bounded by δ_k and σ_0 . Direct σ_0 so that ∂G is the arc-product $\sigma_0 \delta_k$. In this case the winding number $n(\partial G, z)$, $z \in G$, is one. This yields $n(g(\partial G), 0) > 0$ which,

by the argument principle, implies that g vanishes at some points in \mathbf{D} . Thus we have a contradiction and $z_1 = 1$.

Since g has the angular limit zero at 1, again by [4, Theorem 9.3], $w_1 = 0$ and $g : \sigma_0 \rightarrow [0, w_0]$ is a homeomorphism. It follows that, since $f = g^{2\alpha}$, f belongs to \mathcal{S}_0^* if it is shown to be univalent in \mathbf{D} .

There exists a single-valued analytic branch of $\log g$ in \mathbf{D} . We claim that $\log g$ is a univalent function in \mathbf{D} . Suppose that z_0 and z_1 are two points in \mathbf{D} with $\log g(z_0) = \log g(z_1)$. Then $g(z_0) = g(z_1)$. There exists $k, r > 0$ such that $z_0 \in \gamma_k$ and $z_1 \in \gamma_r$. We may assume that $k \geq r > 0$; then $z_1 \in \bar{O}_k \setminus \{1\}$. If $z_1 \in \gamma_k$, then let $\lambda, 1 \notin \lambda$, be the subarc of γ_k from z_0 to z_1 . Since $g(z_0) = g(z_1)$ and $\arg g \circ \gamma_k(\theta)$ is strictly increasing, $n(g \circ \lambda, 0) = m$, where m is a nonzero integer. Thus $\log g(z_1) - \log g(z_0) = 2m\pi i$ and $\log g(z_0) \neq \log g(z_1)$. Hence $z_1 \in O_k$. In this case, as shown above, we can find a directed cross-cut σ_1 of O_r from 1 to z_1 such that $g : \sigma_1 \rightarrow [0, g(z_1)]$ is a homeomorphism. Since g' is nonvanishing in \mathbf{D} , σ_1 continues through z_1 to a Jordan arc σ in \mathbf{D} that terminates at a point $\zeta \in \partial\mathbf{D}$ and maps under g homeomorphically to a line-segment $[0, w_1]$, with w_1 possibly infinity, containing $g(z_1)$ as an interior point. Since g is a normal function, $\zeta \neq 1$ or else $w_1 = 0$ as zero is the only asymptotic value of g at 1; once again by [4, Theorem 9.3]. Hence σ intersects γ_k at some point, ξ . In this case, let λ be the arc-product of the subarc of γ_k from z_0 to ξ that avoids 1 with the subarc of σ^{-1} from ξ to z_1 . This implies $n(g \circ \lambda, 0) = m$, where m is a nonzero integer, $\log g(z_1) - \log g(z_0) = 2m\pi i$ and $\log g(z_0) \neq \log g(z_1)$. Hence the above claim holds.

Recall that for every $z \in \mathbf{D}$ there exists a Jordan arc σ from 1 to z with $\sigma \setminus \{1\} \subset \mathbf{D}$ such that $g : \sigma \rightarrow [0, g(z)]$ is a homeomorphism. This means that $\log g$ is convex in the direction of the real axis in the sense, referred to henceforth by the *restricted horizontal convexity*, that every horizontal line meets $\log g(\mathbf{D})$, if at all, in an interval $s + it_0, s < s_0$ for some s_0 .

Observe that g satisfies the Visser–Ostrowski condition at 1 [5, p. 81]; namely,

$$(z - 1) \frac{g'(z)}{g(z)} = \frac{1}{2\alpha} (z - 1) \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{4}{2\alpha} \frac{1 - \omega(z)}{1 - z} \frac{1}{1 + \omega(z)} \rightarrow 1 \tag{4}$$

as $z \rightarrow 1$ in every Stolz angle of 1. This gives

$$(r - 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \log |g(r)| \rightarrow 1$$

as $r \rightarrow 1^-$; hence $\log |g(r)|$ is strictly decreasing in some interval $[\rho, 1), 0 < \rho < 1$.

Through every point $\log g(r), \rho \leq r < 1$, there exists a unique maximal vertical interval $\log |g(r)| + it, a_r \leq t \leq b_r$, which lies in $\log g(\mathbf{D})$ except for its endpoints; a_r or b_r could possibly be $-\infty$ or ∞ , respectively. We claim that a_r and b_r are monotone decreasing and increasing functions of r in $\rho \leq r < 1$, respectively. For $\rho \leq r < 1$, consider the horizontal semi-strip

$$S_r = \{s + it: s < \log |g(r)|, a_r < t < b_r\}.$$

The restricted horizontal convexity of $\log g(\mathbf{D})$ yields $S_r \subset \log g(\mathbf{D})$. Fix $r_1, \rho \leq r_1 < 1$. There exists $r'_1, r_1 < r'_1 < 1$, such that for every $r_1 < r < r'_1, \log g(r) \in S_{r_1}$ and, consequently, $a_r \leq a_{r_1}$ and $b_r \geq b_{r_1}$. By appealing to the same argument for any $r, r_1 < r < r'_1$, instead of r_1 , we infer that a_r and b_r are monotone decreasing and increasing functions

of r in (r_1, r_1') , respectively. This together with the uniform continuity of $\log g(r)$ on any compact subinterval $[r_1, r_2]$ of $[\rho, 1)$ yield $a_{r_2} \leq a_{r_1}$ and $b_{r_1} \leq b_{r_2}$ which proves our claim.

For $0 < r < 1$, let

$$g_r(z) = g\left(\frac{z+r}{1+rz}\right) / g(r). \quad (5)$$

Then $\log g_r$, with $\log 1 = 0$, is a univalent function in \mathbf{D} . With $s = (z+r)/(1+rz)$, and once again by (4), we have,

$$\frac{d}{dz} \log \left\{ \frac{1+z}{1-z} g_r(z) \right\} = \frac{2}{1-z^2} + \frac{1+r}{(1+rz)(1-z)} (1-s) \frac{g'(s)}{g(s)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $r \rightarrow 1^-$ locally uniformly in \mathbf{D} ; hence, likewise is the convergence

$$\log g_r \rightarrow \log \left\{ \frac{1-z}{1+z} \right\}.$$

Let $G_r = \log g_r(\mathbf{D})$, $\rho \leq r < 1$. By the Carathéodory kernel theorem, we conclude that G_r converges to the horizontal strip $S = \{s + it: |t| < \pi/2\}$ with respect to the origin in the sense of the Carathéodory kernel convergence [5, p. 14]. Fix $0 < \epsilon < 1$. It follows that there exist a sequence $\{r_n\}$, $r_n \rightarrow 1^-$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and points $\tau_n, \tau'_n \in \partial \log g_{r_n}(\mathbf{D})$ such that $|\tau_n - (-1 + i\pi/2)| < \epsilon/2$ and $|\tau'_n - (-1 - i\pi/2)| < \epsilon/2$. Observe that, by (5), each $\log g_{r_n}(\mathbf{D})$ contains the translate of S_{r_n} by $-\log g(r_n)$; namely, the horizontal semi-strip $\{u + iv: u < 0, a_{r_n} - \arg g(r_n) < v < b_{r_n} - \arg g(r_n)\}$, where $a_{r_n} < \arg g(r_n) < b_{r_n}$. We infer that each $b_{r_n} - a_{r_n} < \pi + \epsilon$, or else either $|\tau_n - (-1 + i\pi/2)| \geq \epsilon/2$ or $|\tau'_n - (-1 - i\pi/2)| \geq \epsilon/2$ and we have a contradiction.

It follows that for $\rho \leq r < 1$, $b_r - a_r \leq \pi + \epsilon$, and consequently $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} (b_r - a_r) \leq \pi$ since ϵ is arbitrary. Let $a = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} a_r$ and $b = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} b_r$; then a and b exist and satisfy $b - a \leq \pi$. With $T = \{u + iv: -\infty < u < \infty, a < v < b\}$, we show that $\log g(\mathbf{D}) \subset T$. Obviously, $\log g(\mathbf{D}) \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Suppose $\tau, \tau' \in \log g(\mathbf{D})$, say $\Im \tau < \Im \tau'$, $\tau \in T$ and $\tau' \notin \bar{T}$; \bar{T} is the closure of T . Then there exists a Jordan arc in $\log g(\mathbf{D})$ connecting τ and τ' . Using the restricted horizontal convexity of $\log g(\mathbf{D})$ once again, we can find a horizontal semi-strip $\{s + it: s < s_0, \Im \tau < t < \Im \tau'\}$ for some s_0 , that lies in $\log g(\mathbf{D})$. This yields a contradiction and $\log g(\mathbf{D}) \subset T$.

Therefore, g is a univalent function with $\Re(e^{i\beta}g) > 0$ for some real β . Since $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $f = g^{2\alpha}$, the function f is univalent in \mathbf{D} .

Therefore $f \in S_0^*$. This completes the proof of Main theorem. \square

We combine Main theorem and Theorem 1 [2, Theorem 3.2] as follows.

Theorem 3. *Let f be an analytic function of \mathbf{D} with angular limit zero at 1. Then $f \in S_0^*$ if and only if there exists $\omega \in B(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, such that (1) holds.*

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the referee for his useful remarks in revising the paper; particularly in pointing out an error in the original proof of Main theorem.

References

- [1] L.V. Ahlfors, *Conformal Invariants*, McGraw–Hill, 1973.
- [2] A. Lecko, On the class of functions starlike with respect to a boundary point, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 261 (2001) 649–664.
- [3] A. Lyzzaik, On a conjecture of M.S. Robertson, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 91 (1984) 108–110.
- [4] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.
- [5] Ch. Pommerenke, *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [6] M.S. Robertson, Univalent functions starlike with respect to a boundary point, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 81 (1981) 327–345.