

## NOTE

# A Characterization of Graphs Having All $(g, f)$ -Factors

Thomas Niessen

*Institut für Statistik, RWTH Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany*

Received March 21, 1996

Let  $G$  be a graph with vertex set  $V$  and let  $g, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ . We say that  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors if  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor for every  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V$  and at least one such  $h$  exists. In this note, we derive from Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem a similar characterization for the property of having all  $(g, f)$ -factors. An analogous result for parity-factors is presented also. © 1998 Academic Press

Let  $G$  be a finite graph with possible multiple edges and loops and let  $g, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where  $V = V(G)$  is the vertex set of  $G$  and  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes the set of nonnegative integers. For a vertex  $v \in V$  we let  $d_G(v)$  denote the degree of  $v$  in  $G$ . A  $(g, f)$ -factor of  $G$  is a spanning subgraph  $F$  such that  $g(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq f(v)$  for all  $v \in V$ . An  $(f, f)$ -factor is called an  $f$ -factor. Let  $U, W \subseteq V$  be disjoint sets. We write  $f(U)$  instead of  $\sum_{v \in U} f(v)$  and  $d_G(U)$  instead of  $\sum_{v \in U} d_G(v)$ . By  $e_G(U, W)$  we denote the number of edges of  $G$  joining a vertex of  $U$  to a vertex of  $W$ .

Lovász [6] gave a characterization of graphs having a  $(g, f)$ -factor and thereby he generalized Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem [8]. In [9] Tutte showed that the  $(g, f)$ -factor theorem can be derived from the  $f$ -factor theorem. Given positive integers  $a$  and  $b$ , the  $f$ -factor theorem has been applied in [4] and [5] to obtain conditions implying the existence of  $h$ -factors for every  $h: V \rightarrow \{a, a+1, \dots, b\}$  with  $h(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . More generally, one can ask for the existence of  $h$ -factors, where  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  is any function such that  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V$  and  $h(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . The aim of this note is to present a characterization of graphs having these factors. The result will be proved using Tutte's theorem, and so the  $f$ -factor theorem is also self-refining in this direction.

In the following let  $g, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that there exists a function  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  with  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  for every vertex  $v \in V$  and  $h(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . We will say that  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors if and only if  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor for every  $h$  described above.

**THEOREM 1.**  *$G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors if and only if*

$$g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G^*(D, S, g, f) \geq \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } f \neq g \\ 0, & \text{if } f = g \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ , where  $q_G^*(D, S, g, f)$  denotes the number of components  $C$  of  $G - (D \cup S)$  such that there exists a vertex  $v \in V(C)$  with  $g(v) < f(v)$  or  $e_G(V(C), S) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

We excluded above the particular situation in which  $g = f$  and  $f(V) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , that is, a situation where no  $(g, f)$ -factor exists. By means of Lovász' result we can see the necessity for that.

**THEOREM 2** ( $(g, f)$ -factor theorem [6]).  *$G$  has a  $(g, f)$ -factor if and only if*

$$f(D) - g(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - \hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ , where  $\hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f)$  denotes the number of components  $C$  of  $G - (D \cup S)$  with  $g(v) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in V(C)$  and  $e_G(V(C), S) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Note that every component counted by  $\hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f)$  is also counted by  $q_G^*(D, S, g, f)$ , and hence it holds that  $q_G^*(D, S, g, f) \geq \hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f)$  for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ . Moreover, it holds that  $q_G^*(D, S, g, f) = \hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f)$  if and only if  $g(v) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in V - (D \cup S)$ . So, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G^*(D, S, g, f) \\ \leq f(D) - g(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - \hat{q}_G(D, S, g, f), \end{aligned}$$

with equality if and only if  $f = g$ . Therefore, a graph satisfying (1) for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$  has a  $(g, f)$ -factor by Theorem 2, but if  $g = f$  and  $f(V) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , no  $(g, f)$ -factor exists.

**THEOREM 3.** ( $f$ -Factor Theorem [8].)  *$G$  has an  $f$ -factor if and only if*

$$\Theta_G(D, S, f) := f(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, f) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ , where  $q_G(D, S, f)$  denotes the number of components  $C$  of  $G - (D \cup S)$  such that  $e_G(V(C), S) + f(V(C)) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ . Moreover,  $\Theta_G(D, S, f) \equiv f(V) \pmod{2}$  for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We first verify that (1) guarantees that  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors. Therefore let  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  be such that  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  for every  $v \in V$  and  $h(V) \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Then we have for disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_G(D, S, h) &= h(D) - h(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, h) \\ &\geq g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G^*(D, S, g, f) \\ &\geq -1.\end{aligned}$$

So,  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor by Theorem 3.

Next we show that (1) is satisfied if  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors. Let  $D, S \subseteq V$  be disjoint sets. We define  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  as follows. For all  $v \in D$ , we let  $h(v) = g(v)$ , and for all  $v \in S$ , we let  $h(v) = f(v)$ . Now let  $C$  be a component of  $G - (D \cup S)$ . If  $f(v) = g(v)$  for all  $v \in V(C)$ , then we let  $h(v) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in V(C)$ . Otherwise there exists a vertex  $u \in V(C)$  such that  $g(u) < f(u)$ . Then we let  $h(v) = f(v)$  for all  $v \in V(C) - \{u\}$  and choose  $h(u)$  to be  $f(u)$  or  $f(u) - 1$  such that  $e_G(V(C), S) + h(C)$  becomes odd. Thereby, we have  $q_G^*(D, S, g, f) = q_G(D, S, h)$ , and so

$$g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G^*(D, S, g, f) = \Theta_G(D, S, h).$$

Hence (1) is satisfied for  $D$  and  $S$  by Theorem 3, if  $h(V)$  is even, since  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor. Finally, if  $h(V)$  is odd, we have  $f \neq g$ , since  $G$  has a  $(g, f)$ -factor. So there exists a vertex  $u \in V$  such that  $g(u) < h(u)$  or  $h(u) < f(u)$ . Now we define  $h^*: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  by  $h^*(v) = h(v)$  for all  $v \in V - \{u\}$  and

$$h^*(u) = \begin{cases} h(u) - 1, & \text{if } h(u) = f(u) \\ h(u) + 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore,  $h^*(V)$  is even and  $g(v) \leq h^*(v) \leq f(v)$  holds for all  $v \in V$ . So,  $G$  has an  $h^*$ -factor and thus  $\Theta_G(D, S, h^*) \geq 0$ . Moreover, it holds that

$$\Theta_G(D, S, h) - \Theta_G(D, S, h^*) = \begin{cases} h(u) - h^*(u), & \text{if } u \in D \\ h^*(u) - h(u), & \text{if } u \in S \\ q_G(D, S, h^*) - q_G(D, S, h), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and so we have  $\Theta_G(D, S, h) - \Theta_G(D, S, h^*) \geq -1$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G^*(D, S, g, f) &= \Theta_G(D, S, h) \\ &\geq \Theta_G(D, S, h^*) - 1 \geq -1.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $f \neq g$ , this shows that (1) is also satisfied, if  $h(V)$  is odd.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ■

It is quite natural to proceed similarly in related situations. As a simple example, we consider parity-factors. Let therefore  $g, f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that

$$g(v) \leq f(v) \quad \text{and} \quad g(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod{2} \quad (2)$$

for every  $v \in V$ . Then a spanning subgraph  $F$  of  $G$  is called a  $(g, f)$ -parity-factor, if  $g(v) \leq d_F(v) \leq f(v)$  and  $d_F(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod{2}$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**THEOREM 4** [2, 7].  *$G$  has a  $(g, f)$ -parity-factor if and only if*

$$f(D) - g(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, f) \geq 0$$

for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ .

We say that  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -parity-factors, if  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor for every  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$ , such that  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  and  $h(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod{2}$  for all  $v \in V$ .

**THEOREM 5.**  *$G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -parity-factors if and only if*

$$g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, f) \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -parity-factors, if (3) is satisfied. Therefore let  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  be such that  $g(v) \leq h(v) \leq f(v)$  and  $h(v) \equiv f(v) \pmod{2}$  for all  $v \in V$ . Then it holds that  $q_G(D, S, h) = q_G(D, S, f)$  for all disjoint sets  $D, S \subseteq V$ , and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_G(D, S, h) &= h(D) - h(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, h) \\ &\geq g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, f) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor by Theorem 3.

Next we verify (3), if  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -parity-factors. Let  $D, S \subseteq V$  be disjoint sets. We define  $h: V \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^+$  as follows. For all  $v \in D$ , we let  $h(v) = f(v)$ , and for all  $v \notin D$ , we let  $h(v) = g(v)$ . Then we have  $q_G(D, S, h) = q_G(D, S, g) = q_G(D, S, f)$  by (2), and since  $G$  has an  $h$ -factor, we get

$$g(D) - f(S) + d_{G-D}(S) - q_G(D, S, f) = \Theta_G(D, S, h) \geq 0,$$

as desired. ■

*Remarks.* Both referees pointed out that our results are related to a more general factor problem. Suppose that for every vertex  $v \in V$  of a graph  $G$  a set  $B_v \subset \mathbb{Z}^+$  is given. The *general factor problem* asks whether there exists a factor  $F$  of  $G$  such that  $d_F(v) \in B_v$  for every  $v \in V$ . A set  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}$  is said to have a *gap of length*  $p \geq 1$  if there exists an integer  $k \in B$  such that  $k+1, \dots, k+p \notin B$  and  $k+p+1 \in B$ . The general factor problem is well understood, if every  $B_v$  has no gap of length greater than 1 (or, using the terminology of [1], every  $B_v$  is a one-dimensional jump system). Characterizations in the spirit of Tutte's  $f$ -factor theorem are presented in [7, 3]. Note that Theorems 2–4 are special cases thereof. It seems however that

there is no obvious generalization of Theorems 1 and 5 for the property of having all  $(B_v: v \in V)$ -factors.

Another problem posed by one of the referees remains open also: Is there a polynomial algorithm for testing whether a graph  $G$  has all  $(g, f)$ -factors?

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank both referees for their helpful comments and suggestions.

### REFERENCES

1. A. Bouchet and W. H. Cunningham, Delta-matroids, jump systems, and bisubmodular polyhedra, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* **8** (1995), 17–32.
2. C. Chen and M. Kano, Odd and even factors with given properties, *Chinese Quart. J. Math.* **7** (1992), 65–71.
3. G. Cornuéjols, General factors of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **45** (1988), 185–198.
4. P. Katerinis, Toughness of graphs and the existence of factors, *Discrete Math.* **80** (1990), 81–92.
5. M. Kano and N. Tokushige, Binding numbers and  $f$ -factors of graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **54** (1992), 213–221.
6. L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, *J. Combin. Theory* **8** (1970), 391–416.
7. L. Lovász, The factorization of graphs, II, *Acta Math. Sci. Hungar.* **23** (1972), 223–246.
8. W. T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* **4** (1952), 314–328.
9. W. T. Tutte, Graph factors, *Combinatorica* **1** (1981), 79–97.