

Materials and Methods: The system to check the mechanical isocenter consists of a standard high-resolution photography camera, remote triggering of camera images, flash module, modified front pointer and a 3D software (patent of Delfin Technologies Ltd, Kuopio, Finland). The linac manufacturer delivered frontpointer was modified by adding a sphere with a radius of 10 mm into the tip of the pointer. This sphere was used as an image object. Its center at all gantry, collimator and couch angles was calculated by the developed software. To start the measurement, the isocenter was first pointed by the laser system. Next, the variation of the sphere center at all gantry, collimator and couch locations was calculated. The map of the center points produces a 3D map of the mechanical isocenter. The weighted point of the isocenter map defines the place of the isocenter and the diameter of the accuracy of the isocenter.

Results: Feasibility tests of the developed system have been performed using Varian 600C and 2100 C/D linear accelerators. The results on the sub-millimeter accuracy with these old linacs indicate that the diameter of the isocenter with our Varian 600C has exceeded the IEC acceptance limit of 2.5 mm for mechanical isocenter.

Conclusions: The new sub-millimeter mechanical isocenter test should be included in the routine tests of the QA procedure. Until these days, the physicists have mostly skipped the test due to its difficulty. The new radiation delivery techniques such as VMAT require mechanically accurate treatment units. The current system could serve a feasible QA tool for all radiotherapy centers who want to fulfill the suggested requirements of IEC quality assurance recommendations.

EP-1295

Geometric accuracy of TomoTherapy Hi-Art system in target localization

M. Bucciolini¹, S. Pallotta¹, V. Reggioli¹, G. Simontacchi², G. Biti³

¹University of Florence, Medical Physics Unit - Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche Sperimentali e Cliniche, Firenze, Italy

²AOU Careggi, Radiotherapy Unit, Firenze, Italy

³University of Florence, Radiotherapy Unit - Dipartimento di Scienze Biomediche Sperimentali e Cliniche, Firenze, Italy

Purpose/Objective: Geometrical accuracy is required in Tomotherapy treatments, especially when a single fraction of a very high radiation dose is delivered to a small target. This study focuses on image guidance using MVCT feature of TomoTherapy Hi-Art System. The purpose of this study was to assess the global accuracy of target localisation procedure evaluating the contribution of registration algorithm and MVCT slice thickness.

Materials and Methods: The accuracy in target localisation was estimated using an ad hoc designed plastic phantom with 8 glass spheres (GSs) inserted in known positions. The contribution of slice thickness and registration algorithm (manual and automatic) were tested by acquiring 24 MVCTscans of the phantom to which known shifts had been applied with respect to the planning image set. Coarse medium and fine resolution were used. Registration results were compared against applied shifts. In order to test the global geometrical accuracy a Tomotherapy plan was prepared using 6 GSs as targets. The phantom was positioned on the Tomotherapy couch, with a gafchromic film inside, and the treatment was delivered. The gafchromic film was digitalized and the dose distribution centroids relative to each GS were then evaluated and compared with correspondent GS known positions.

Results: The accuracy in target localization depends on MVCT image resolution and results to be comparable to voxel size. Better results were obtained when manual registration was used. Differences between automatic registration algorithms were also observed. Mean difference between dose distribution centroids and GS positions was less than 1mm.

Conclusions: Image guidance using MVCT feature of TomoTherapy Hi-Art System confirms that the system is capable to localize targets with voxel accuracy.

EP-1296

Kilovoltage cone beam CT and planar megavoltage images in radiotherapy: dose and quality image

A. Sardo¹, F. Boniello¹, E. Riciputo¹, M.R. Lucido², M. Bertocchi², S. Giudici², F. Maggio², M. Porzio¹, F. Vallerga², E. Zucchi¹

¹Azienda USL 1 Regione Liguria, Medical Physics, San Remo, Italy

²Azienda USL 1 Regione Liguria, Radiotherapy, San Remo, Italy

Purpose/Objective: This study aims to evaluate two patient position verification techniques used in radiotherapy (kV cone beam CT - Elekta XVI and planar MV electronic portal imaging - Elekta Iview-GT) comparing the absorbed dose, effective dose and image quality obtained from each imaging modality.

Materials and Methods: The dose evaluation for the XVI system was performed using the CT Dose Profiler CT-SD16 diode (RTI Electronics AB) and two couples of head and body phantom, usually dedicated for CT dose measurements, attached together in order to obtain a 30 cm phantom length. Dose points in the longitudinal axis were acquired: 1) in air by positioning the detector at different distances off the isocenter, and 2) in water by placing the detector in the five different inserts and locations within the two modified phantoms. The CTDI_{air} and CTDI_w were calculated for a range of various clinical acquisition protocols. Dose to organs and the effective dose was derived for anatomical regions from several sites (head, head and neck, chest, abdomen, and pelvis) using the ImpACT calculator with ICRP 103 tissue-weighting factors. Estimated doses for Iview-GT images were obtained from Philips Pinnacle³ TPS. CT dose points from the modified head and body phantom were calculated, at the same positions as the XVI measurements, by planning in the TPS two orthogonal fields at 0° and 90° gantry angles with a 4 MV double exposure beam (10x10 and 20x20 cm²) of 3 MU/exposure. The organs and the effective dose were evaluated in the TPS simulating patient treatment verification with the two orthogonal fields for the anatomical regions mentioned above. The mean dose received by each organ was derived from the DVH. The image quality was studied in terms of spatial resolution and percentage of noise to contrast ratio (NCR%). Catphan-600 (Phantom Laboratory) and QC-3 (SeeDos Ltd) phantoms were used for the cone beam and planar images respectively. The spatial resolution was examined for the both imaging techniques comparing the lp/mm at MTF 10%, whereas NCR% was derived from the functions that relate the grey level and the linear attenuation coefficients from the various inserts present within the two phantoms.

Results: The results are shown in Table 1. The acquisition parameters, mean dose points evaluated in the head and body phantoms at the centre and periphery, the effective dose, resolution and NCR% are reported for the two imaging techniques.

	kV Cone Beam CT - Elekta XVI				Planar MV - Elekta Iview-GT					
	HEAD	HEAD & NECK	CHEST	ABDOMEN	HEAD	HEAD & NECK	CHEST	ABDOMEN	PELVIS	
kV	100		120	120	4 MV					
mA per Frame	10		40	64	3 MU for each field					
ms per Frame	10		40	40	Fields at each gantry angle: 10x10 and 20x20 cm ²					
Collimator	330		330	330						
Filter	F0		F1	F1						
Start and Stop Acquisition Angle (°)	-130 = 70		-130 = 70	-180 = 180	Gantry angle: 0° and 90°					
Frames (5.5 frames/s)	366		660	660						
Reconstruction Preset	S20 - Med Res		M20 - Med Res	L20 - Med Res						
Matrix	1024x1024									
Phantom	Head				Body					
	Mean central points (mGy)	0.94 ± 0.11		14.99 ± 1.79	19.34 ± 2.31	82.5 ± 22.12		57.25 ± 15.58		
Mean peripheral points (mGy)	1.04 ± 0.36		20.61 ± 2.66	24.32 ± 3.28	71.56 ± 19.41		40.38 ± 31.65			
Effective dose (mSv)	0.05	0.08	7	7.5	9.14	11.6	10.81	28.98	14.63	18.43
lp/mm (MTF 10%)	0.6		0.64		0.68		0.8			
NCR%	6.22		1.54		1.2		0.58			

Conclusions: Evaluation of the mean dose shows central dose sparing with cone beam acquisition for all the clinical protocols, while there is not statistical difference between the two techniques for the peripheral dose of the body phantom. The effective dose varies for all the anatomical regions considered with the XVI imaging technique giving the lowest values. The quality image parameters are comparable with the exception of the two XVI head protocols. The NCR% value shows to be higher than Iview-GT images due to the different acquisition parameters.

EP-1297

CT metal artifact reduction in the pelvic area: clinical evaluation of a commercial product

G. Hilgers¹, T. Nuvér¹, A. Minken¹

¹RISO, Medical Physics, Deventer, The Netherlands

Purpose/Objective: To report on the CT number accuracy of the Metal Artifact Reduction for Orthopedic Implants (O-MAR), which is installed in our Brilliance Big Bore CT scanner (Philips Medical Systems, Cleveland, OH). The CT numbers in a phantom study of the pelvic area are evaluated in the presence of large metal objects, since accurate CT numbers are needed for adequate dose calculation in external beam radiotherapy treatment planning.

Materials and Methods: A TomoPhantom (TomoTherapy Inc., Madison, WI) was used to represent the pelvic area. This phantom (d = 300 mm) consists entirely of Solid Water (Gammex RMI, Middleton, WI) and contains 20 interchangeable rods (d = 28.5 mm, l = 70 mm), which allow for the introduction of inhomogeneities (see figure). Metallic hip prostheses were simulated with titanium rods ($\rho = 4.51 \text{ g/cm}^3$). Three