



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Symbolic Computation

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/jsc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jsc)

## The invariants of the binary nonic

Andries E. Brouwer<sup>a</sup>, Mihaela Popoviciu<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Techn. Univ. Eindhoven, P.O. Box 513, 5600MB Eindhoven, Netherlands<sup>b</sup> Mathematisches Institut, Universität Basel, Rheinsprung 21, CH-4051 Basel, Switzerland

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 18 July 2009

Accepted 1 March 2010

Available online 12 March 2010

## Keywords:

Classical invariant theory

Homogeneous system of parameters (hsop)

## ABSTRACT

We consider the algebra of invariants of binary forms of degree 9 with complex coefficients, find the 92 basic invariants, give an explicit system of parameters and show the existence of four more systems of parameters with different sets of degrees.

© 2010 Published by Elsevier Ltd

## 1. Introduction

## Invariants

Let  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  denote the algebra of invariants of binary forms (forms in two variables) of degree  $n$  with complex coefficients. This algebra was extensively studied in the nineteenth century, and for  $n \leq 6$  the structure was clear and a finite basis (minimal set of generators) was known. While Cayley (1856)<sup>1</sup> states that for  $n = 7$  there is no such finite basis, Gordan (1868) proved that  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  has a finite basis for all  $n$ . After the initial work by von Gall (1880, 1888), the degrees of the basic invariants in the cases  $n = 7$  and  $n = 8$  were found by Dixmier and Lazard (1986) and Shioda (1967), respectively. Bedratyuk (2007) gave an explicit basis in the case  $n = 7$ . Here we consider the case  $n = 9$ , and settle a 130-year-old question by showing that  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  is generated by 92 basic invariants. The degrees are given in Proposition 3.1. The rather large computation needed is discussed in Section 3.1 below. Earlier work on the case  $n = 9$  was done by Sylvester and Franklin (1879) and by Cröni (2002).

## Systems of parameters

A (homogeneous) system of parameters for a graded algebra  $A$  is an algebraically independent set  $S$  of homogeneous elements of  $A$  such that  $A$  is module-finite over the subalgebra generated by the set  $S$ . Hilbert (1893) showed the existence of a system of parameters for algebras of invariants, cf. Proposition 5.1 below.

E-mail addresses: [aeb@cwi.nl](mailto:aeb@cwi.nl) (A.E. Brouwer), [mihaela.popoviciu@unibas.ch](mailto:mihaela.popoviciu@unibas.ch) (M. Popoviciu).

<sup>1</sup> See the references at the end of this paper.

In the case  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  considered here, Dixmier (1985) proved the following.

**Proposition 1.1.**  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  has a homogeneous system of parameters of degrees 4, 8, 10, 12, 12, 14, 16.

Dixmier was unable to give an explicit such system. Here we find an explicit system of parameters for  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  with these degrees (Theorem 4.1), and show the existence of systems of parameters for certain further sequences of degrees (Proposition 7.2).

## Contents

Section 2 gives the Poincaré series of the invariant ring. Its coefficients are the dimensions of the graded parts, and tell us how many independent invariants we need in each degree. Section 3 gives the (degrees of) the basic invariants, the main result of this paper. This result follows by a large computation based on the knowledge of (the degrees of) a system of parameters. An explicit such system is given in Section 4, and the proof that this indeed is a system of parameters follows in Section 5. Other possible sets of degrees for a system of parameters are discussed in Section 6, and all such sets for the nonic are determined in Section 7.

## 2. Invariants and Poincaré series

Let  $V_n = \mathbb{C}[x, y]_n$  be the  $\text{SL}_2$ -module of binary forms (homogeneous polynomials in  $x$  and  $y$ ) of degree  $n$ , on which  $\text{SL}_2$  acts via

$$g \cdot f(v) = f(g^{-1}v),$$

for  $g \in \text{SL}_2, f \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]$  and  $v \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . The coordinate ring of  $V_n$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)$ , is isomorphic to the polynomial ring  $\mathbb{C}[a_0, \dots, a_n]$ . The group  $\text{SL}_2$  acts on the coordinate ring  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)$  via the action

$$g \cdot j(f) = j(g^{-1} \cdot f),$$

for  $g \in \text{SL}_2, j \in \mathcal{O}(V_n)$  and  $f \in V_n$ . An *invariant* of  $V_n$  is an element  $j \in \mathcal{O}(V_n)$  such that  $g \cdot j = j$  for all  $g \in \text{SL}_2$ . The set of elements of  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)$  invariant under the action of  $\text{SL}_2$  forms the *ring of invariants*  $I := \mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$ .

This ring of invariants  $I$  is graded by degree, so that  $I = \bigoplus_m I_m$ , where  $I_m$  is the subspace of  $I$  consisting of the invariants that are homogeneous of degree  $m$ . The Poincaré series (or Hilbert series) of  $I$  is the series  $P(t) = \sum_m \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_m)t^m$ . Already Cayley and Sylvester (Cayley, 1856; Sylvester, 1878) knew how to compute this Poincaré series. For a modern account, see, e.g., Springer (Springer, 1977). In our case ( $n = 9$ ) the series is given by

$$P(t) = \frac{a(t)}{(1-t^4)(1-t^8)(1-t^{10})(1-t^{12})^2(1-t^{14})(1-t^{16})}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} a(t) = & 1 + t^4 + 5t^8 + 4t^{10} + 17t^{12} + 20t^{14} + 47t^{16} + 61t^{18} + 97t^{20} \\ & + 120t^{22} + 165t^{24} + 189t^{26} + 223t^{28} + 241t^{30} + 254t^{32} + 254t^{34} \\ & + 241t^{36} + 223t^{38} + 189t^{40} + 165t^{42} + 120t^{44} + 97t^{46} + 61t^{48} \\ & + 47t^{50} + 20t^{52} + 17t^{54} + 4t^{56} + 5t^{58} + t^{62} + t^{66}, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} P(t) = & 1 + 2t^4 + 8t^8 + 5t^{10} + 28t^{12} + 27t^{14} + 84t^{16} + 99t^{18} + 217t^{20} \\ & + 273t^{22} + 506t^{24} + 647t^{26} + 1066t^{28} + 1367t^{30} + 2082t^{32} + 2649t^{34} \\ & + 3811t^{36} + 4796t^{38} + 6612t^{40} + 8228t^{42} + 10960t^{44} + 13483t^{46} \\ & + 17487t^{48} + 21274t^{50} + 26979t^{52} + 32490t^{54} + 40443t^{56} + 48242t^{58} \\ & + 59107t^{60} + 69885t^{62} + 84470t^{64} + 99074t^{66} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

### 3. The basic invariants

A minimal set of homogeneous generators for the algebra  $I$  is called a set of ‘basic invariants’ or basis. Such a set is not unique, but whenever there is a reference to a basic invariant we mean a member of such a set, fixed in that context. Let  $J_m$  be the subspace of  $I_m$  generated by products of invariants of smaller degree, that is, in  $\bigcup_{j < m} I_j$ . The number of basic invariants of degree  $m$  is  $d_m := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_m/J_m)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *The algebra  $I$  of invariants for the binary nonic (form of degree 9) is generated by 92 invariants. The nonzero numbers  $d_m$  of basic invariants of degree  $m$  are*

$m$	4	8	10	12	14	16	18	20	22
$d_m$	2	5	5	14	17	21	25	2	1

Finding a basis for the invariants is a simple but boring procedure: For each degree  $m$ , multiply invariants of lower degrees to see what part of  $I_m$  is known already. The Poincaré series tells us how large  $I_m$  is, and if the known invariants do not yet span it, one finds in some way some more invariants, until they do span.

This procedure terminates. [Gordan \(1868\)](#) shows that the algebra  $I$  is generated by finitely many of its elements. Better, we know when to stop. By [Proposition 1.1](#),  $I$  has a system of parameters of degrees 4, 8, 10, 12, 12, 14, 16. Let  $H$  be the ideal in  $I$  generated by such a system of parameters. Now the Poincaré series tells us that if  $a(t) = \sum a_i t^i$  then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_i/(I_i \cap H)) = a_i$ , and, in particular, that  $I_i \subseteq H$  for  $i > 66$ . This means that  $d_m = 0$  for  $m > 66$ . We followed this procedure, and found the stated values for  $d_m$ . These values agree with those given in [Cröni \(2002\)](#) for  $m \leq 20$ . The existence of a basic invariant of degree 22 was new.

This ‘finding more invariants in some way’ was done by generating random bracket monomials.<sup>2</sup> Explicit bracket monomials for a set of basic invariants are listed in [Brouwer \(2009\)](#). Checking whether the invariants known span  $I_m$  required computing a basis for vector spaces of dimension at most  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{66}) = 99\,074$ . That is large but doable. The entire computation can be done in less than a month.

#### 3.1. Remarks on the computation

People usually describe invariants in terms of repeated transvectants. An advantage of working with bracket monomials is that one can simplify the computations by substituting small constants for a few variables. This does not work in the approach using transvectants since there one needs derivatives with respect to the variables.

Given a candidate set for the basic invariants one wants to find  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_m)$  monomials in these basic invariants that span  $I_m$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_m)$  is known, this amounts to the computation of a rank. The elements involved are far too large to write down. Instead, the computation is done lazily, and enough coefficients are written down to find the desired lower bound on the rank.

Also the integer coefficients are far too large, but it suffices to consider the reduction mod  $p$  for some smallish prime  $p$ , say with  $100 < p < 255$ . Now the rank computation of matrices with sizes like  $100\,000 \times 160\,000$  just fits within 16 GB of memory. The generators took a few TB of disk space. Since this problem is still too large for the standard computer algebra systems, we implemented our own software (in C, on a Linux system). Advantage was taken of the presence of multiple CPUs.

This was about the nonics, the case  $n = 9$ . The difficulty of this problem grows very quickly with  $n$  (and moreover, this computation cannot be done in a realistic time when the matrices involved are much larger than main memory). However, the case  $n = 2 \pmod{4}$  is easier, and  $n = 0 \pmod{4}$  is much easier than the cases of nearby odd  $n$ . And indeed, we were able to do the case of decimics ( $n = 10$ ) as well. For the time being, the case  $n = 12$  is still far too large.

<sup>2</sup> For the classical concept of bracket monomial, cf. [Olver \(1999\)](#).

#### 4. A system of parameters for $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$

Dixmier (1985) proved that the invariant ring of  $V_9$  has a system of parameters of degrees 4, 8, 10, 12, 12, 14, and 16. We compute an explicit system of parameters of  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  having these degrees.

A covariant of order  $m$  and degree  $d$  of  $V_n$  is an  $\text{SL}_2$ -equivariant homogeneous polynomial map  $\phi : V_n \rightarrow V_m$  of degree  $d$  such that  $\phi(g \cdot f) = g \cdot \phi(f)$  for all  $g \in \text{SL}_2$  and  $f \in V_n$ . The invariants of  $V_n$  are the covariants of order 0. The identity map is a covariant of order  $n$  and degree 1. Customarily, one indicates such a covariant  $\phi$  by giving its image of a generic element  $f \in V_n$ . (In particular, the identity map is noted  $f$ .) Let  $V_{m,d}$  be the space of covariants of order  $m$  and degree  $d$ .

The simplest examples of covariants are obtained using *transvectants*: given  $g \in V_m$  and  $h \in V_n$  the expression

$$(g, h) \mapsto (g, h)_p := \frac{(m-p)!(n-p)!}{m!n!} \sum_{i=0}^p (-1)^i \binom{p}{i} \frac{\partial^p g}{\partial x^{p-i} \partial y^i} \frac{\partial^p h}{\partial x^i \partial y^{p-i}}$$

defines a linear and  $\text{SL}_2$ -equivariant map  $V_m \otimes V_n \rightarrow V_{m+n-2p}$ , which is classically called the  $p$ -th *transvectant* (Überschiebung) (cf. Olver, 1999). We have  $(g, h)_0 = gh$  and  $(g, g)_{2i+1} = 0$  for all integers  $i \geq 0$ . These maps are the components of the Clebsch–Gordan isomorphism (for  $m \geq n$ )

$$V_m \otimes V_n \simeq V_{m+n} \oplus V_{m+n-2} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{m-n}.$$

These maps induce maps  $V_{m,d} \otimes V_{n,e} \rightarrow V_{m+n-2p,d+e}$ .

For  $f \in V_9$ , consider the following covariants

$$\begin{aligned} l &= (f, f)_8 \in V_{2,2}, & r &= (q, f)_6 \in V_{3,3}, \\ q &= (f, f)_6 \in V_{6,2}, & p &= (f, l)_2 \in V_{7,3}, \\ u &= (f, f)_2 \in V_{14,2}, & k_q &= (q, q)_4 \in V_{4,4}, \end{aligned}$$

and invariants (the suffix indicates the degree)

$$\begin{aligned} j_4 &= (l, l)_2, & B_8 &= (q, r^2)_6, \\ j_{12} &= ((k_q, k_q)_2, k_q)_4, & B_{12} &= ((p, p)_4, l^3)_6, \\ j_{14} &= (q, (r^3, r)_3)_6, & D_{10} &= (((u, u)_{10}, f)_6, (q, f)_2)_5, q)_6, \\ j_{16} &= ((p, p)_2, l^5)_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.1.** *The seven invariants  $j_4, B_8, D_{10}, B_{12}, j_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}$  form a homogeneous system of parameters for the ring  $\mathcal{O}(V_9)^{\text{SL}_2}$  of invariants of the binary nonic.*

This is proved below (Section 5.1) by invoking Hilbert’s characterization of homogeneous systems of parameters as sets that define the nullcone.

#### 5. The nullcone

The *nullcone* of  $V_n$ , denoted  $\mathcal{N}(V_n)$ , is the set of binary forms of degree  $n$  on which all invariants of positive degree vanish. It turns out (Hilbert, 1893) that this is precisely the set of binary forms of degree  $n$  with a root of multiplicity  $> \frac{n}{2}$ . The elements of  $\mathcal{N}(V_n)$  are called *nullforms*. The nullcone  $\mathcal{N}(V_n \oplus V_m)$  is the set of pairs  $(g, h) \in V_n \oplus V_m$  such that  $g$  and  $h$  have a common root of multiplicity  $> \frac{n}{2}$  in  $g$  and of multiplicity  $> \frac{m}{2}$  in  $h$ . (In this note, this result can be taken as the definition of the symbol  $\mathcal{N}(V_n \oplus V_m)$ .)

We have the following result, due to Hilbert (1893), formulated for the particular case of binary forms:

**Proposition 5.1.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , consider  $i_1, \dots, i_{n-2} \in \mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  homogeneous non-constant invariants of  $V_n$ . The following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{N}(V_n) = \mathcal{V}(i_1, \dots, i_{n-2})$ ,
- (ii)  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}\}$  is a homogeneous system of parameters of  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$ .

(Here  $\mathcal{V}(J)$  stands for the vanishing locus of  $J$ .)

In other words, if  $i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}$  are homogeneous invariants such that  $\mathcal{N}(V_n) = \mathcal{V}(i_1, \dots, i_{n-2})$ , then the ring  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  is a finitely generated module over  $\mathbb{C}[i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}]$ . But invariant rings of binary forms are Cohen-Macaulay (Hochster and Roberts, 1974), which implies that  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  is a free  $\mathbb{C}[i_1, \dots, i_{n-2}]$ -module. Hence the description of the algebra of invariants of  $V_n$  is partly reduced to finding a system of parameters of  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$ .

We prove Theorem 4.1 by first finding a defining set for the nullcone that is still too large, and then showing that some elements are superfluous.

We need information on the invariants of  $V_n$  for  $n = 2, 3, 6, 7$ :

**Lemma 5.2.** *The following are systems of parameters of  $\mathcal{O}(V_n)^{\text{SL}_2}$  for  $n = 2, 3, 6, 7$ .*

- (i) If  $n = 2$ :  $(f, f)_2$  of degree 2.
- (ii) If  $n = 3$ :  $((f, f)_2, (f, f)_2)_2$  of degree 4.
- (iii) If  $n = 6$ :  $(f, f)_6, (k, k)_4, ((k, k)_2, k)_4$ , and  $(m^2, (k, k)_2)_4$  of degrees 2, 4, 6, and 10, where  $k = (f, f)_4$  and  $m = (f, k)_4$ .
- (iv) If  $n = 7$ :  $(l, l)_2, ((p, p)_4, l)_2, ((k_q, k_q)_2, k_q)_4, ((p, p)_2, l^3)_6, (m_q^2, (k_q, k_q)_2)_4$  of degrees 4, 8, 12, 12, and 20, where  $l = (f, f)_6, p = (f, l)_2, q = (f, f)_4, k_q = (q, q)_4, m_q = (q, k_q)_4$ .

**Proof.** This is classical for  $n = 2, 3, 6$ , see, e.g., (Clebsch, 1872; Grace and Young, 1903; Schur, 1968). Systems of parameters for  $n = 7$  were given by Dixmier (1982) and Bedratyuk (2007). The above system was constructed by the second author (unpublished). That it is a system of parameters can be easily verified using the methods of this section.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.3 (Weyman (1993)).** *Let  $f \in V_d$ . If  $d > 4k - 4$  and all  $(f, f)_{2k}, (f, f)_{2k+2}, \dots$  vanish, then  $f$  has a root of multiplicity  $d - k + 1$ . If  $d = 4k - 4$  and  $((f, f)_{2k-2}, f)_d, (f, f)_{2k}, (f, f)_{2k+2}, \dots$  vanish, then  $f$  has a root of multiplicity  $d - k + 1$ .  $\square$*

**Lemma 5.4.** *Let  $f \in V_9$  and consider its covariants  $l = (f, f)_8, q = (f, f)_6, p = (f, l)_2$ , and  $r = (f, q)_6$ .*

- (i) If  $l \neq 0$  and  $(l, p) \in \mathcal{N}(V_2 \oplus V_7)$ , then  $f$  has a root of multiplicity 5.
- (ii) If  $l = 0, q \neq 0$  and  $(q, r) \in \mathcal{N}(V_6 \oplus V_3)$  then  $f$  has a root of multiplicity 6.
- (iii) If  $l = q = 0$ , then  $f$  has a root of multiplicity 7.

**Proof.** Let  $f = \sum_{i=0}^9 \binom{9}{i} a_i x^{9-i} y^i$ .

(i). From  $(l, p) \in \mathcal{N}(V_2 \oplus V_7)$  it follows that both  $l$  and  $p$  are nullforms and have a common root of multiplicity 2 in  $l$  and 4 in  $p$ . Without loss of generality we suppose  $l = x^2$ . Then:

$$p = (f, x^2)_2 = \frac{1}{72} \sum_{i=2}^9 \binom{9}{i} i(i-1) a_i x^{9-i} y^{i-2},$$

and  $x^4$  must divide  $p$ , which implies  $a_6 = a_7 = a_8 = a_9 = 0$ . Now

$$l = (f, f)_8 = 70a_5^2 y^2 + 28a_4 a_5 xy + (70a_4^2 - 112a_3 a_5) x^2,$$

and as we suppose  $l = x^2$  we also obtain  $a_5 = 0$  and then it follows that  $x^5 \mid f$ , so  $f$  will have a root of multiplicity 5.

(ii). From  $(q, r) \in \mathcal{N}(V_6 \oplus V_3)$  it follows that both  $q$  and  $r$  are nullforms and have a common root of multiplicity 4 in  $q$  and 2 in  $r$ . Without loss of generality we consider the following 3 cases:  $q = x^6, q = x^5 y$ , and  $q = x^4 y(x + y)$ .

Case 1:  $q = x^6$ . Then

$$r = (f, x^6)_6 = a_9y^3 + 3a_8xy^2 + 3a_7x^2y + a_6x^3,$$

and  $x^2$  must divide  $r$ . We obtain  $a_9 = a_8 = 0$  and substitute that in  $q$  and  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q = (f, f)_6 &= (-20a_6^2 + 30a_5a_7)y^6 + (-30a_5a_6 + 54a_4a_7)xy^5 \\ &\quad + (-90a_5^2 + 114a_4a_6 - 12a_3a_7)x^2y^4 + (-72a_4a_5 + 124a_3a_6 - 60a_2a_7)x^3y^3 \\ &\quad + (-90a_4^2 + 114a_3a_5 - 12a_2a_6 - 18a_1a_7)x^4y^2 \\ &\quad + (-30a_3a_4 + 54a_2a_5 - 30a_1a_6 + 6a_0a_7)x^5y \\ &\quad + (-20a_3^2 + 30a_2a_4 - 12a_1a_5 + 2a_0a_6)x^6, \\ l = (f, f)_8 &= (70a_5^2 - 112a_4a_6 + 56a_3a_7)y^2 + (28a_4a_5 - 56a_3a_6 + 40a_2a_7)xy \\ &\quad + (70a_4^2 - 112a_3a_5 + 56a_2a_6 - 16a_1a_7)x^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since we suppose  $q = x^6$  and  $l = 0$ , the coefficients of  $x^i y^{6-i}$  in  $q$  and of  $x^j y^{2-j}$  in  $l$  are 0 for  $0 \leq i \leq 5$  and  $0 \leq j \leq 2$ .

If  $a_7 = 0$  then it follows that  $a_6 = a_5 = a_4 = 0$  and then  $x^6 \mid f$ , so  $f$  will have a root of multiplicity 6. If  $a_7 \neq 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} a_5 &= \frac{2a_6^2}{3a_7}, & a_4 &= \frac{10a_6^3}{27a_7^2}, & a_3 &= \frac{5a_6^4}{27a_7^3}, \\ a_2 &= \frac{7a_6^5}{81a_7^4}, & a_1 &= \frac{28a_6^6}{729a_7^5}, & a_0 &= \frac{4a_6^7}{243a_7^6}, \end{aligned}$$

but then we have  $q = 0$ , contrary to the assumption.

Case 2:  $q = x^5y$ . Then

$$r = (f, x^5y)_6 = -a_8y^3 - 3a_7xy^2 - 3a_6x^2y - a_5x^3$$

and  $x^2$  must divide  $r$ . We obtain  $a_8 = a_7 = 0$  and substitute this in  $q$  and  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned} q = (f, f)_6 &= (-20a_6^2 + 2a_3a_9)y^6 + (-30a_5a_6 + 6a_2a_9)xy^5 \\ &\quad + (-90a_5^2 + 114a_4a_6 + 6a_1a_9)x^2y^4 + \dots + (-90a_4^2 + 114a_3a_5 - 12a_2a_6)x^4y^2 \\ &\quad + (-30a_3a_4 + 54a_2a_5 - 30a_1a_6)x^5y + \dots \\ l = (f, f)_8 &= (70a_5^2 - 112a_4a_6 + 2a_1a_9)y^2 + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since we supposed  $q = x^5y$  and  $l = 0$ , the coefficient  $c$  of  $y^2$  in  $l$ , and the coefficients  $d_i$  of  $x^i y^{6-i}$  in  $q$  vanish for  $0 \leq i \leq 4$ , while  $d_5 \neq 0$ . Now

$$5d_5a_9 = -75a_4d_0 + 45a_5d_1 - a_6(9c + 22d_2) = 0$$

so that  $a_9 = 0$ , and then also  $a_6 = a_5 = a_4 = 0$ ,  $d_5 = 0$ , contradicting  $d_5 \neq 0$ .

Case 3:  $q = x^4y(x+y)$ . Then:

$$r = (f, x^4y(x+y))_6 = (a_7 - a_8)y^3 + 3(a_6 - a_7)xy^2 + 3(a_5 - a_6)x^2y + (a_4 - a_5)x^3$$

and  $x^2$  must divide  $r$ . We obtain  $a_8 = a_7 = a_6$  which we replace in  $q$  and  $l$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 q = (f, f)_6 &= -2(6a_4a_6 - 15a_5a_6 + 10a_6^2 - a_3a_9)y^6 - \\
 &\quad - 6(5a_3a_6 - 9a_4a_6 + 5a_5a_6 - a_2a_9)xy^5 - \\
 &\quad - 6(15a_5^2 + 3a_2a_6 + 2a_3a_6 - 19a_4a_6 - a_1a_9)x^2y^4 - \\
 &\quad - 2(36a_4a_5 - 3a_1a_6 + 30a_2a_6 - 62a_3a_6 - a_0a_9)x^3y^3 - \\
 &\quad - 6(15a_4^2 - 19a_3a_5 - a_0a_6 + 3a_1a_6 + 2a_2a_6)x^4y^2 - \\
 &\quad - 6(5a_3a_4 - 9a_2a_5 - a_0a_6 + 5a_1a_6)x^5y - \\
 &\quad - 2(10a_3^2 - 15a_2a_4 + 6a_1a_5 - a_0a_6)x^6, \\
 l = (f, f)_8 &= 2(35a_5^2 - 8a_2a_6 + 28a_3a_6 - 56a_4a_6 + a_1a_9)y^2 \\
 &\quad + 2(14a_4a_5 - 7a_1a_6 + 20a_2a_6 - 28a_3a_6 + a_0a_9)xy \\
 &\quad + 2(35a_4^2 - 56a_3a_5 + a_0a_6 - 8a_1a_6 + 28a_2a_6)x^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

As we supposed  $q = x^4y(x+y)$  and  $l = 0$ , the coefficients of  $y^6, xy^5, x^2y^4, x^3y^3, x^6$  in  $q$  and all coefficients of  $l$  must vanish. We denote by  $I$  the ideal generated by these coefficients. Also, we denote by  $p_1, p_2$  the coefficients of  $x^4y^2$  and  $x^5y$  in  $q$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_1 &= 15a_4^2 - 19a_3a_5 - a_0a_6 + 3a_1a_6 + 2a_2a_6, \\
 p_2 &= 5a_3a_4 - 9a_2a_5 - a_0a_6 + 5a_1a_6.
 \end{aligned}$$

A Gröbner basis computation shows that  $p_1^4, p_2^2 \in I$  so that  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  vanish, contradicting the assumption  $q = x^4y(x+y)$ .

(iii). This is a consequence of Lemma 5.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.5.** *Let  $g \in V_2$  and  $h \in V_7$  be two non-zero binary forms. If both  $g$  and  $h$  are nullforms and if*

$$((h, h)_6, g)_2 = ((h, h)_4, g^3)_6 = ((h, h)_2, g^5)_{10} = (h^2, g^7)_{14} = 0,$$

then  $(g, h) \in \mathcal{N}(V_2 \oplus V_7)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(g, h) \notin \mathcal{N}(V_2 \oplus V_7)$ . This means that  $g$  and  $h$  have no common root which has multiplicity 2 in  $g$  and multiplicity 4 in  $h$ . Without loss of generality we suppose

$$\begin{aligned}
 g &= x^2, \\
 h &= y^4(b_1x^3 + b_2x^2y + b_3xy^2 + b_4y^3).
 \end{aligned}$$

We have then

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= ((h, h)_6, g)_2 = -\frac{4}{245}b_1^2, \\
 0 &= ((h, h)_4, g^3)_6 = \frac{2}{735}(5b_2^2 - 12b_1b_3), \\
 0 &= ((h, h)_2, g^5)_{10} = -\frac{2}{147}(3b_3^2 - 7b_2b_4), \\
 0 &= (h^2, g^7)_{14} = b_4^2
 \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$ , which implies  $h = 0$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $h \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.6.** *Let  $g \in V_6, h \in V_3$  be two non-zero binary forms. If both  $g$  and  $h$  are nullforms and if*

$$((g^2, g)_6, h^2)_6 = (((g, g)_2, g)_1, h^4)_{12} = (g, h^2)_6 = (g, (h, h)_2^3)_6 = (g, (h^3, h)_3)_6 = 0$$

then  $(g, h) \in \mathcal{N}(V_6 \oplus V_3)$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that  $(g, h) \notin \mathcal{N}(V_6 \oplus V_3)$ . This means that  $g$  and  $h$  have no common root which has multiplicity 4 in  $g$  and multiplicity 2 in  $h$ . Without loss of generality we consider two cases:

$$g = x^4(b_1x^2 + b_2xy + b_3y^2),$$

$$h = y^3$$

and

$$g = x^4(b_1x^2 + b_2xy + b_3y^2),$$

$$h = xy^2.$$

Case 1:  $h = y^3$ . Then we have:

$$0 = ((g^2, g)_6, h^2)_6 = \frac{1}{495}b_3^3,$$

$$0 = (((g, g)_2, g)_1, h^4)_{12} = -\frac{1}{540}b_2(5b_2^2 - 18b_1b_3),$$

$$0 = (g, h^2)_6 = b_1$$

and it follows that  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$ , which implies  $g = 0$ , contradicting the assumption  $g \neq 0$ .

Case 2:  $h = xy^2$ . Then we have:

$$0 = (g, h^2)_6 = \frac{1}{15}b_3,$$

$$0 = (g, (h, h)_2^3)_6 = -\frac{8}{729}b_1,$$

$$0 = (g, (h^3, h)_3)_6 = \frac{1}{84}b_2$$

and it follows that  $b_1 = b_2 = b_3 = 0$ , which implies  $g = 0$ , contradicting the assumption  $g \neq 0$ .  $\square$

### 5.1. Proof of Theorem 4.1

We consider the following covariants of  $V_9$ :

$$l_p = (p, p)_6 \in V_{2,6}, \quad q_p = (p, p)_4 \in V_{6,6},$$

$$p_p = (p, l_p)_2 \in V_{5,9}, \quad k_{qp} = (q_p, q_p)_4 \in V_{4,12},$$

$$k_q = (q, q)_4 \in V_{4,4}, \quad m_{qp} = (q_p, k_{qp})_4 \in V_{2,18},$$

$$m_q = (q, k_q)_4 \in V_{2,6},$$

and the following invariants of  $V_9$ :

$$j_4 = (l, l)_2, \quad A_4 = (q, q)_6,$$

$$j_8 = (k_q, k_q)_4, \quad A_8 = ((p, p)_6, l)_2,$$

$$j_{12} = ((k_q, k_q)_2, k_q)_4, \quad A_{12} = (l_p, l_p)_2,$$

$$j_{14} = (q, (r^3, r)_3)_6, \quad A_{20} = (p^2, l^7)_{14},$$

$$j_{16} = ((p, p)_2, l^5)_{10}, \quad A_{36} = ((p_p, p_p)_2, l_p^3)_6,$$

$$j_{18} = (((q, q)_2, q)_1, r^4)_{12}, \quad B_8 = (q, r^2)_6,$$

$$j_{20} = (m_q^2, (k_q, k_q)_2)_4, \quad B_{12} = ((p, p)_4, l^3)_6,$$

$$j_{24} = ((p_p, p_p)_4, l_p)_2, \quad B_{20} = (q, (r, r)_2^3)_6,$$

$$j_{36} = ((k_{qp}, k_{qp})_2, k_{qp})_4, \quad C_{12} = ((r, r)_2, (r, r)_2)_2,$$

$$j_{60} = (m_{qp}^2, (k_{qp}, k_{qp})_2)_4, \quad D_{12} = ((q^2, q)_6, r^2)_6.$$

Apply Lemma 5.2 to  $l \in V_2, r \in V_3, q \in V_6$  and  $p \in V_7$ . It follows that if  $j_4 = 0$  then  $l$  is a nullform, if  $C_{12} = 0$  then  $r$  is a nullform, if  $A_4 = j_8 = j_{12} = j_{20} = 0$  then  $q$  is a nullform, and



if  $A_{12} = j_{24} = j_{36} = A_{36} = j_{60} = 0$ , then  $p$  is a nullform. If we combine this information with Lemmas 5.4–5.6 we obtain that

$$\mathcal{N}(V_9) = \mathcal{V}(j_4, A_4, j_8, A_8, B_8, j_{12}, A_{12}, B_{12}, C_{12}, D_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}, j_{18}, j_{20}, A_{20}, B_{20}, j_{24}, j_{36}, A_{36}, j_{60}).$$

This can be improved to the following result:

**Proposition 5.7.** *The nullcone  $\mathcal{N}(V_9)$  is the zero set of the following invariants:*

$$\mathcal{N}(V_9) = \mathcal{V}(j_4, A_4, j_8, A_8, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}, j_{20}, A_{20}).$$

**Proof.** If  $j_4 = 0$  then  $l$  is a nullform.

Case 1:  $l = 0$ .

If  $A_4 = j_8 = j_{12} = j_{20} = 0$  then  $q$  is a nullform. Without loss of generality we suppose  $x^4 \mid q$ . Modulo the ideal generated by the coefficients of  $l$  and the coefficients of  $x^3y^3, x^2y^4, xy^5, y^6$  in  $q$  we have

$$B_8 = C_{12} = D_{12} = j_{18} = B_{20} = 0.$$

(This was an easy computation in Mathematica.) From Lemma 5.4 it follows then that if  $l = 0$  and

$$A_4 = j_8 = j_{12} = j_{14} = j_{20} = 0,$$

then  $f$  is a nullform.

Case 2:  $l = x^2$  (without loss of generality).

Here we have:

$$\begin{aligned} A_{20} &= a_9^2, \\ j_{16} &= -2(a_8^2 - a_7a_9), \\ B_{12} &= 2(3a_7^2 - 4a_6a_8 + a_5a_9), \\ A_8 &= -2(10a_6^2 - 15a_5a_7 + 6a_4a_8 - a_3a_9). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $A_{20} = j_{16} = B_{12} = A_8 = 0$ , then  $a_9 = a_8 = a_7 = a_6 = 0$ , and if we combine this with  $l = x^2$  we get  $a_5 = 0$  too, hence  $f$  is a nullform.  $\square$

But we are still not in the position to apply Proposition 5.1. For that we have to refine our result even more.

We introduce the covariant  $s = (f, f)_4 \in V_{10,2}$  and the following invariants:

$$\begin{aligned} C_8 &= ((q, q)_4, l^2)_4, \\ D_8 &= ((q, q)_4, (q, s)_6)_4, \\ j_{10} &= ((p, (f, q)_6)_3, (q, q)_4)_4, \\ A_{10} &= ((p, (f, q)_6)_3, l^2)_4, \\ B_{10} &= (((f, q)_6, (f, s)_6)_3, (s, s)_8)_4, \\ C_{10} &= (((s, s)_6, f)_6, (l, f)_2)_3, q)_6, \\ D_{10} &= (((u, u)_{10}, f)_6, (q, f)_2)_5, q)_6. \end{aligned}$$

The invariants  $j_8, A_8, B_8, C_8$ , and  $D_8$  are linearly independent and together with  $j_4^2, A_4^2, A_4j_4$  generate the vector space of invariants of degree 8 which is of dimension 8. (This can be seen, e.g., by a small computation in Mathematica.) In a similar way it can be seen that the vector space of invariants of degree 10 is generated by  $j_{10}, A_{10}, B_{10}, C_{10}$ , and  $D_{10}$ .

Using invariants of degree  $\leq 16$  we built a list of 219 monomials of degree 20, each of them dividing one of the invariants  $j_4, A_4, j_8, A_8, B_8, C_8, D_8, C_{10}$  or  $D_{10}$ , to which we added

$$\begin{aligned} B_{20} &= ((r, r)_2^3, q)_6, \\ C_{20} &= (((r^3, r)_3, q)_4, ((f, u)_8, (f, s)_8)_3)_4. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $I$  be the ring of invariants, and  $I_i$  its  $i$ -th graded part. We evaluated the monomials at  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{20}) = 217$  random points in  $V_9$ , giving as result a matrix of (full) rank 217. Adding  $j_{20}, A_{20}, j_{10}^2, A_{10}^2$ , and  $B_{10}^2$  to the list of monomials and repeating the evaluation step gave (of course) again matrices of rank 217. From the nullspaces of these matrices we obtained the relations

$$j_{20}, A_{20}, j_{10}^2, A_{10}^2, B_{10}^2 \in \langle j_4, A_4, j_8, A_8, B_8, C_8, D_8, C_{10}, D_{10} \rangle$$

(that is,  $B_{20}$  and  $C_{20}$  are not needed to span the elements mentioned).

Using invariants of degree  $\leq 20$  we built a list of 3561 monomials of degree 32, each of them dividing one of the invariants  $j_4, B_8, D_8, C_{10}, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14},$  or  $j_{16}$ . We evaluated the monomials at  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{32}) = 2082$  random points in  $V_9$ , and this resulted in a matrix of rank 2082. The rank computations were made modulo 32003, but as we obtained the maximal rank, these monomials must generate  $I_{32}$ . It follows that

$$j_8, A_8, C_8, A_4 \in \sqrt{\langle j_4, B_8, D_8, C_{10}, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16} \rangle}$$

and then, combining it with Proposition 5.7, we get

$$\mathcal{N}(V_9) = \mathcal{V}(j_4, B_8, D_8, C_{10}, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}).$$

In the same way one can show that

$$\mathcal{N}(V_9) = \mathcal{V}(A_4, B_8, D_8, C_{10}, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}).$$

It remains to remove two elements from one of these two sets of generators. Since this did not seem easy to do by hand, we reverted to the boring approach, as follows. Let  $H = \langle j_4, B_8, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16} \rangle$ . We computed  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_i \cap H)$  for  $i \leq 60$  and found  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{60} \cap H) = 59\,107 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{60})$ , so that  $I_{60} \subseteq H$ . But then  $H$  contains powers of all invariants of degrees 4, 10, 20, so that in particular  $A_4, C_{10} \in \sqrt{H}$ . Now let  $H' = \langle j_4, A_4, B_8, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16} \rangle$ . We computed  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_i \cap H')$  for  $i \leq 40$  and found  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{40} \cap H') = 6612 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(I_{40})$ , so that  $I_{40} \subseteq H'$ . But then  $H'$  contains powers of all invariants of degree 8, so that in particular  $D_8 \in \sqrt{H'}$ . But then  $\sqrt{H} = \sqrt{H'} = I$ . Thus,

$$\mathcal{N}(V_9) = \mathcal{V}(j_4, B_8, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}),$$

and from Proposition 5.1 it follows that  $\{j_4, B_8, D_{10}, j_{12}, B_{12}, j_{14}, j_{16}\}$  is a homogeneous system of parameters of  $I$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** As a consequence of this result, the proof of Proposition 3.1 no longer requires Proposition 1.1. On the other hand, since the end of the proof of the theorem needs computer work anyway, one can avoid all discussion of the nullcone following Proposition 5.1 and show directly that  $\sqrt{H} = I$ . From Proposition 3.1 we learn that  $I$  is generated by invariants of degrees 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 20, 22. Now one can verify that  $I_m \subseteq H'$  for  $36 \leq m \leq 44$  and  $m = 48$ , hence  $\sqrt{H} = \sqrt{H'} = I$ . Thus, Theorem 4.1 also follows from Dixmier (1985) and computer work.

### 6. The degrees in a system of parameters

We give some restrictions on the set of degrees for the forms in a homogeneous system of parameters (hsop). Assume  $n \geq 3$ .

**Lemma 6.1.** Fix integers  $j, t$  with  $t > 0$ . If an invariant of degree  $d$  is nonzero on a form  $\sum a_i x^{n-i} y^i$  with the property that all nonzero  $a_i$  have  $i \equiv j \pmod{t}$ , then  $d(n - 2j)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ .

**Proof.** For an invariant of degree  $d$  with nonzero term  $\prod a_i^{m_i}$  we have  $\sum m_i = d$  and  $\sum im_i = nd/2$ . If  $i \equiv j \pmod{t}$  when  $a_i \neq 0$ , then  $nd/2 = \sum im_i \equiv j \sum m_i = jd \pmod{t}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.2.** Fix integers  $j, t$  with  $t > 1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n$ . Among the degrees  $d$  of a hsop, at least  $\lfloor (n - j)/t \rfloor$  satisfy  $d(n - 2j)/2 \equiv 0 \pmod{t}$ .

**Proof.** We may suppose  $0 \leq j < t$ . There are  $1 + \lfloor (n-j)/t \rfloor$  coefficients  $a_i$  with  $i \equiv j \pmod{t}$ , so that the subvariety of  $V_n$  defined by  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{t}$  has dimension at least  $\lfloor (n-j)/t \rfloor$ . If this is zero, there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, adding the conditions that the elements of a hsop vanish reduces this subvariety to a subset of the nullcone. But the part of this subvariety defined by  $a_i \neq 0$  for  $i \equiv j \pmod{t}$  is disjoint from the nullcone. Indeed, consider the form  $a_j x^{n-j} y^j + \dots + a_{n-k} x^k y^{n-k}$ , where  $0 \leq j < t$  and  $0 \leq k < t$  and  $j+k \leq n-t$  and  $a_j, a_{n-k}$  are nonzero but  $a_i = 0$  when  $i \not\equiv j \pmod{t}$ . The nullcone consists of the forms with a zero of multiplicity more than  $n/2$ , but  $x = 0$  and  $y = 0$  are zeros of multiplicity  $j$  and  $k$ , respectively, and if e.g.  $j > n/2$ , then  $k \leq n-t-j < n-2j < 0$ , impossible. This means that a zero of multiplicity more than  $n/2$  also is a zero of  $a_j x^{n-j-k} + \dots + a_{n-k}$ , but this is a polynomial in  $x^t$  and has no roots of multiplicity more than  $n/t$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 6.3.** Let  $t$  be an integer with  $t > 1$ .

(i) If  $n$  is odd, and  $j$  is minimal such that  $0 \leq j \leq n$  and  $(n-2j, t) = 1$ , then among the degrees of any hsop at least  $\lfloor (n-j)/t \rfloor$  are divisible by  $2t$ .

(ii) If  $n$  is even, and  $j$  is minimal with  $0 \leq j \leq \frac{1}{2}n$  and  $(\frac{1}{2}n-j, t) = 1$ , then among the degrees of any hsop at least  $\lfloor (n-j)/t \rfloor$  are divisible by  $t$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.4.** Let  $t = p^e$  be a power of a prime  $p$ , where  $e > 0$ .

(i) Suppose  $p = 2$ . If  $n$  is odd, then among the degrees of any hsop at least  $\lfloor n/t \rfloor$  are divisible by  $2t$ . If  $n/2$  is odd, then at least  $\lfloor n/t \rfloor$  degrees are divisible by  $t$ . If  $4|n$ , then at least  $\lfloor (n-2)/t \rfloor$  degrees are divisible by  $t$ .

(ii) Suppose  $p > 2$ . Among the degrees of any hsop at least  $\lfloor (n-1)/t \rfloor$  are divisible by  $t$ .  $\square$

For example, there exist homogeneous systems of parameters with degree sequences  $4$  ( $n = 3$ );  $2, 3$  ( $n = 4$ );  $4, 8, 12$  ( $n = 5$ );  $2, 4, 6, 10$  ( $n = 6$ );  $4, 8, 12, 12, 20$  and  $4, 8, 8, 12, 30$  ( $n = 7$ );  $2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7$  ( $n = 8$ ).

## 7. Écritures minimales

Dixmier (1982) defines an *écriture minimale* of the Poincaré series as an expression  $P(t) = a(t) / \prod (t^{d_i} - 1)$  with minimal  $a(1)$  (or, equivalently, with minimal  $\prod d_i$ ; indeed,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} (t-1)^{n-2} P(t) = a(1) / \prod d_i$ ). He gives the example of  $V_7$  where  $P(t) = a(t) / \prod (t^{d_i} - 1) = b(t) / \prod (t^{e_i} - 1)$  with  $d_i = 4, 8, 12, 12, 20$  and  $e_i = 4, 8, 8, 12, 30$ , and there exist systems of parameters of degrees  $4, 8, 12, 12, 20$  and of degrees  $4, 8, 8, 12, 30$ .

In our case  $n = 9$ , in view of the restrictions given in the previous section, the Poincaré series can be written in precisely five minimal ways:

degree $a(t)$	degrees of factors in denominator
66	4, 8, 10, 12, 12, 14, 16
74	4, 4, 10, 12, 14, 16, 24
78	4, 4, 8, 12, 14, 16, 30
86	4, 4, 8, 10, 12, 16, 42
90	4, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 48

and we saw that the first corresponds to a system of parameters. In fact all five do, as one can show by following the approach of Dixmier (1985).

**Proposition 7.1** (Dixmier (1985)). Let  $G$  be a reductive group over  $\mathbb{C}$ , with a rational representation in a vector space  $R$  of finite dimension over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\mathbb{C}[R]$  be the algebra of complex polynomials on  $R$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[R]^G$  the subalgebra of  $G$ -invariants, and  $\mathbb{C}[R]^G_d$  the subset of homogeneous polynomials of degree  $d$  in  $\mathbb{C}[R]^G$ . Let  $V$  be the affine variety such that  $\mathbb{C}[V] = \mathbb{C}[R]^G$ . Let  $\delta = \dim V$ . Let  $(q_1, \dots, q_\delta)$  be a sequence of positive integers. Assume that for each subsequence  $(j_1, \dots, j_p)$  of  $(q_1, \dots, q_\delta)$  the subset of points of  $V$  where all elements of all  $\mathbb{C}[R]^G_{j_j}$  with  $j \in \{j_1, \dots, j_p\}$  vanish has codimension not less than  $p$  in  $V$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}[R]^G$  has a system of parameters of degrees  $q_1, \dots, q_\delta$ .

Dixmier gives the covariant  $l := (f, f)_8$  and invariants  $q_j$  of degree  $j$  ( $j = 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16$ ) such that if  $l = 0$  and all  $q_j$  vanish then  $f$  belongs to the nullcone. It follows that the set of elements in  $V$  where  $l = 0$  and  $p$  of the invariants  $q_j$  vanish has codimension not less than  $p + 1$ .

Note that when all invariants of degree  $3j$  vanish then also all invariants of degree  $j$  vanish. Therefore, each of the above five sequences has the property that a subsequence  $\sigma$  of length  $p + 1$  contains at least  $p$  distinct elements, and the set of elements in  $V$  where  $l = 0$  and all invariants of the degrees in  $\sigma$  vanish has codimension not less than  $p + 1$ .

Let  $[j_1, \dots, j_p]'$  be the codimension in  $V$  of the set of elements where  $l \neq 0$  and all invariants of degrees in  $\{j_1, \dots, j_p\}$  vanish. In order to show that each of the five sequences above is the sequence of degrees of a system of parameters it suffices to show that  $[4, 14]' \geq 3$ ,  $[4, 10, 14]' \geq 4$ ,  $[4, 8, 10, 14]' \geq 5$ ,  $[4, 8, 14, 16, 30]' \geq 6$ ,  $[4, 8, 10, 16, 42]' \geq 6$ , given that Dixmier already proved the requirements of the proposition for the first sequence.

We did this, using instead of ‘all invariants of degree  $j$ ’ the invariants  $p_4, q_4, p_8, p_{10}, p_{12}, p_{14}, p_{16}$  defined by Dixmier, and moreover  $p_{30}$  and  $p_{42}$  found by putting  $\tau_1 := (\psi_8, \psi_{10})_0 \in V_{6,10}$ ,  $\tau_2 := (\psi_8, \psi_{10})_1 \in V_{4,10}$ ,  $\tau_3 := (\psi_9, \psi_{10})_0 \in V_{6,14}$ ,  $\tau_4 := (\psi_9, \psi_{10})_1 \in V_{4,14}$ ,  $p_{30} := ((\tau_1, \tau_1)_4, \tau_2)_4$ ,  $p_{42} := ((\tau_3, \tau_3)_4, \tau_4)_4$ . The details are very similar to the computation made by Dixmier. The only less trivial part was to show that  $[4, 10, 14]' \geq 4$ , which was done using the computer algebra system Singular. Thus:

**Proposition 7.2.** *The ring of invariants of  $V_9$  has systems of parameters with each of the five sequences of degrees 4, 8, 10, 12, 12, 14, 16 and 4, 4, 10, 12, 14, 16, 24 and 4, 4, 8, 12, 14, 16, 30 and 4, 4, 8, 10, 12, 16, 42 and 4, 4, 8, 10, 12, 14, 48.  $\square$*

## Acknowledgements

The second author thanks Hanspeter Kraft for the many inspiring and supporting discussions on the topic of this article. The second author is partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

## References

- Brouwer, A.E., 2009. <http://www.win.tue.nl/~aeb/math/invar.html#nonics>.
- Bedratyuk, L., 2007. On complete system of invariants for the binary form of degree 7. *J. Symb. Comput.* 42, 935–947.
- Cayley, A., 1856. A second memoir upon quantics. *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London* 146, 101–126.
- Clebsch, A., 1872. *Theorie der binären algebraischen Formen*. Teubner, Leipzig.
- Cröni, H., 2002. *Zur Berechnung von Kovarianten von Quantiken*. Dissertation. Univ. des Saarlandes, Saarbrücken.
- Dixmier, J., 1982. Série de Poincaré et systèmes de paramètres pour les invariants des formes binaires de degré 7. *Bull. SMF* 110, 303–318.
- Dixmier, J., 1985. Quelques résultats et conjectures concernant les séries de Poincaré des invariants de formes binaires. In: *Séminaire d’algèbre Paul Dubreil et Marie-Paule Malliavin 1983–1984*. In: Springer LNM, vol. 1146. Berlin, pp. 127–160.
- Dixmier, J., Lazard, D., 1986. Le nombre minimum d’invariants fondamentaux pour les formes binaires de degré 7. *Portug. Math.* 43, 377–392. Also *J. Symb. Comput.* 6 (1988) 113–115.
- Gordan, P., 1868. Beweis, dass jede Covariante und Invariante einer binären Form eine ganze Funktion mit numerischen Coefficienten einer endlichen Anzahl solcher Formen ist. *J. Math.* 69, 323–354.
- Grace, J.H., Young, A., 1903. *The Algebra of Invariants*. Cambridge.
- Hilbert, D., 1893. Über die vollen Invariantensysteme. *Math. Ann.* 42, 313–373.
- Hochster, M., Roberts, J.L., 1974. Rings of invariants of reductive groups acting on regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay. *Adv. Math.* 13, 115–175.
- Olver, P.J., 1999. *Classical Invariant Theory*. Cambridge.
- Schur, I., 1968. *Vorlesungen über Invariantentheorie*. Springer.
- Shioda, T., 1967. On the graded ring of invariants of binary octavics. *Amer. J. Math.* 89, 1022–1046.
- Springer, T.A., 1977. *Invariant Theory*. In: Springer LNM, vol. 585. Berlin.
- Sylvester, J.J., 1878. Proof of the hitherto undemonstrated fundamental theorem of invariants. *Philos. Mag.* 5, 178–188.
- Sylvester, J.J., Franklin, F., 1879. Tables of generating functions and groundforms for the binary quantics of the first ten orders. *Amer. J. Math.* 2, 223–251.
- von Gall, F., 1888. Das vollständige Formensystem der binären Form 7ter Ordnung. *Math. Ann.* 31, 318–336.
- von Gall, F., 1880. Das vollständige Formensystem einer binären Form achter Ordnung. *Math. Ann.* 17, 31–51, 139–152, 456.
- Weyman, J., 1993. Gordan ideals in the theory of binary forms. *J. Algebra* 161, 370–391.