JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 24, 173-177 (1977)

A Conjecture of Glazman

JOHN PIEPENBRINK

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508 Received March 17, 1975; revised October 7, 1975

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to show that by combining some previous work of the author [5, 61 with a recent theorem of Allegretto [2] a problem posed by Glazman may be solved.

In [3, pp. 158-159], Glazman outlined a connection between the oscillation of solutions of the differential equation

$$
-\Delta u + q(x) u = 0 \qquad (1.1)
$$

and the finiteness of the negative spectrum of the associated selfadjoint operator $-A + q$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$. He showed that if the negative spectrum of $-A + q$ is finite, then (1.1) is nonoscillatory.

In [5] the author strengthened the idea of nonoscillation for (1.1) . The main result was that if (1.1) is strongly nonoscillatory it follows that the negative spectrum of $-4 + q$ is finite. This idea was used in [6] to sharpen specific criteria due to F. Brownell and M. Birman for the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $-\Delta + q$.

The theorem of Allegretto [2] shows that the two definitions for nonoscillation are equivalent provided $q(x)$ is sufficiently smooth near infinity. The question of their equivalence had been posed but not answered by the author in [5].

With certain restrictions on the degree of local singularity of q it follows that the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $-A + q$ to the left of the first point of its essential spectrum depends solely on the behavior of q near infinity.

This in turn solves a problem posed by Glazman [3, pp. 69-70]. Let Γ be a smooth closed hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^m and let Ω denote its exterior. Let $L = L(B, T)$ be a selfadjoint realization of $-A + q$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ with the boundary condition

$$
Bu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \rho(x) u = 0 \qquad (1.2)
$$

on Γ . Here $(\partial u)/(\partial n)$ is the outward (from Ω) directed normal derivative of u and $0 \leq \rho(x) \leq +\infty$. The problem was to show that the finiteness of the spectrum

Copyright $@$ 1977 by Academic Press. Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. ISSN 0022-0396

of L to the left of the essential spectrum is invariant under certain distortions of Γ , perturbations of ρ , and perturbations of q over compact subsets of $\Omega \cup \Gamma$. But if the finiteness of the lower discrete spectrum only depends on the behavior, fq for large $|x|$, the conjectured invariance is obvious.

The results of [5,6] are easily extended to the case where a boundary condition (1.2) is present. Allegretto's theorem need be applied only in a neighborhood of infinity. To simplify matters, and without fear of a loss of generality, we will only discuss the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $m \geq 3$.

We will consider the more general symmetric differential operator

$$
Lu = -\sum_{i,j=1}^m \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + q(x) u,
$$

where $q(x)$ is real and $\{a_{ij}(x)\}\$ is real symmetric with positive eigenvalues. In Theorem 2.1 local degeneracy of ellipticity is permitted for the sake of completeness. But for the final result of the paper we assume that $\{a_{ii}(x)\}\$ is uniformly positive definite as x varies over any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m .

2. THE SELFADJOINT REALIZATION OF L AND CRITERION FOR FINITE NEGATIVE SPECTRUM

In this section we will give a precise definition of the selfadjoint realization of the differential operator L . No proofs will be given since they are straightforward extensions of the proofs of the analogous results in [6] for $L = -4 + q(x)$.

First let $\lambda(x)$ be a nonnegative function so that

$$
\lambda(x)\sum_{j=1}^m|\xi_j|^2\leqslant \sum_{j,k=1}^m a_{jk}(x)\xi_j\tilde{\xi}_k\qquad \qquad (2.1)
$$

for each x and each vector $(\xi_1, ..., \xi_m)$ in C^m . Also $q_1(x)$ will be a nonnegative function in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $q_2(x)$ is defined by setting

$$
q(x) = q_1(x) + q_2(x).
$$

We denote by $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the usual Hilbert space of square-integrable functions. W will be the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports, relative to the norm $\|\phi\|_{W}$,

$$
\|\phi\|_{W}^{2}=\int\left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}a_{ij}\phi_{i}\bar{\phi}_{j}+(q_{1}+1)|\phi|^{2}\right]dx
$$

where $\phi_i = (\partial \phi)/(\partial_x, 0, i = 1,..., m$. We finally define the quadratic form b by setting

$$
b(\phi,\psi)=\int\left(\sum_{i,j}a_{ij}\phi_i\bar{\psi}_j+q\phi\bar{\psi}\right)dx.
$$

Now suppose that inequalities of the following form hold:

$$
|b(\phi,\psi)| \leq c_1 \|\phi\|_W \|\psi\|_W,
$$
\n(2.2)

$$
b(\phi, \phi) \geqslant c_2 \|\phi\|_{W}^2 - c_3 \|\phi\|^2, \tag{2.3}
$$

where c_i are positive constants, and ϕ and ψ are arbitrary in W. Then it is well known, see, e.g., [7], that the form b defines a selfadjoint operator Λ which is bounded from below. Λ is characterized by specifying that u be in the domain of Λ and $v = \Lambda u$ if and only if

$$
b(w, u) = (w, v) \quad \text{for each} \quad w \text{ in } W.
$$

This Λ will be used throughout the rest of the paper.

A fairly simple sufficient condition for the above construction is provided by the following theorem. Here $||f||_{p,K}$ denotes the L^p -norm of f over the set K.

THEOREM 2.1. Let t be fixed in the interval $m/2 < t \leq +\infty$. Let $B_r(v)$ denote the open ball of radius r and with center at v . Suppose the following conditions hold:

$$
\sup_{y} \|q_2\|_{m t/(2t-m), B_r(y)} < +\infty \tag{2.4}
$$

$$
\lim_{r\to 0}\sup_{y}\left\|\frac{1}{\lambda}\right\|_{t,B_{r}(y)}\|\,q_{2}\|_{mt/(2t-m),B_{r}(y)}\right\}=0.\tag{2.5}
$$

Then inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) hold.

The special case of

$$
a_{ij}(x) = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad i = j
$$

= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j

is proved in detail in [6]. The same method yields the above theorem when proper account is taken of the variable modulus of ellipticity $\lambda(x)$. The conclusion still holds when the limit in (2.5) is less than a specific positive constant depending on t and m .

For simplicity we will assume from here on that $a_j(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lambda(x) > 0$ for each x. Local degeneracy could still be permitted at the expense of more complicated definitions of strong nonoscillation.

DEFINITION. We say that the equation,

$$
Lu=0,
$$

JOHN PIEPENBRINK

is strongly nonoscillatory at infinity if there is a function $v(x)$ defined for $|x| > R$ and satisfying the following conditions

- (i) v is in the local Sobolev space $H^{2, \frac{2}{3}m}_{\text{loc}}(|x| > R);$
- (ii) $v(x) > 0$ and $1/v$ is in $L_{loc}^{\infty}(|x| > R);$
- (iii) $Lv(x) \geq 0$ for, a.e., x with $|x| > R$.

The relevant result is

THEOREM 2.2. If the equation $Lu = 0$ is strongly nonoscillatory at infinity, it follows that the negative spectrum of Λ is finite.

Some results in [4] are necessary for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3. ALLEGRETTO'S THEOREM

In this section and Section 4 we will require considerably more smoothness on the coefficients near infinity. Let $[a]$ denote the greatest integer not exceeding a. We assume that

> $a_{ij}(x)$ is in $C^{2n+1}(|x| > R)$ $q(x)$ is in $C^{2n}(|x| > R)$, (3.1)

where

and

$$
n=\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{m+6}{2}\Big]\Big].
$$

We should point out that Allegretto proves the theorem under the stronger conditions that $a_{ij} \in C^{3n+1}$ and $q \in C^{3n}$. A slight change in his proof and an application of local regularity results for solutions of ell_+ tic equations contained in [I] yield the more general conditions (3. I).

First we need another definition.

DEFINITION. The equation $Lu = 0$ is nonoscillatory at infinity if for some $R > 0$ and any bounded smooth domain B in $\{x \mid |x| > R\}$, the Dirichlet problem

$$
Lu = 0 \t\t in B u = 0 \t\t on \partial B
$$
 (3.2)

has no nontrivial solution.

Then in our terminology Allegretto's theorem is as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. If the smoothness conditions (3.1) are satisfied, and L is uniformly elliptic over compact sets, then $Lu = 0$ is strongly nonoscillatory whenever it is oscillatory.

4. THE MAIN RESULT

We assume in this concluding section that the operators $L^{(\alpha)}$,

$$
L^{(\alpha)} u = -\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{jk}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) + q^{(\alpha)}(x) u, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, \qquad (4.1)
$$

satisfy the conditions of both Sections 2 and 3. Let $\Lambda^{(\alpha)}$ denote their respective selfadjoint realizations.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose for the operators $L^{(\alpha)}$ in (4.1) that

$$
a_{jk}^{(1)}(x) = a_{jk}^{(2)}(x) \qquad and \qquad q^{(1)}(x) = q^{(2)}(x)
$$

for all sufficiently large $|x|$. Then it follows that $\Lambda^{(2)}$ has finite negative spectrum if $A^{(1)}$ has.

The proof is clear since the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $\Lambda^{(1)}$ implies that $L^{(1)}u = 0$ is nonoscillatory at infinity, see [3, p. 159] or [5] Now Theorem 3.1 tells us that $L^{(1)}u = 0$, and hence $L^{(2)}u = 0$, is *strongly* nonoscillatory at infinity. Finally by Theorem 2.2 the negative spectrum of $\Lambda^{(2)}$ is finite.

REFERENCES

- 1. S. AGMON, "Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems," Mathematical Studies, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1965.
- 2. W. ALLEGRETTO, On the equivalence of two types of nonoscillation for elliptic operators, Pacific J. Math. 55 (1974), 319-328.
- 3. I. M. GLAZMAN, "Direct Methods of Qualitative Spectral Analysis of Singular Differential Operators," Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Davey, Hartford, Conn., 1965.
- 4. M. MARCUS AND V. J. MIZEL, Absolute continuity on tracks and mappings of Sobolev spaces, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 45 (1972), 294-320.
- 5. J. PIEPENBRINK, Nonoscillatory elliptic equations, /. Differential Equations 15 (1974), 541-550.
- 6. J. PIEPENBRINK, Finiteness of the lower spectrum of Schoedinger operators, Math. 2. 140 (1974). 29-40.
- 7. M. SCHECHTER, "The Spectra of Partial Differential Operators," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.