JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 24, 173-177 (1977)

A Conjecture of Glazman

JOHN PIEPENBRINK

Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508 Received March 17, 1975; revised October 7, 1975

1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this note is to show that by combining some previous work of the author [5, 6] with a recent theorem of Allegretto [2] a problem posed by Glazman may be solved.

In [3, pp. 158–159], Glazman outlined a connection between the oscillation of solutions of the differential equation

$$-\Delta u + q(x) u = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

and the finiteness of the negative spectrum of the associated selfadjoint operator $-\Delta + q$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$. He showed that if the negative spectrum of $-\Delta + q$ is finite, then (1.1) is nonoscillatory.

In [5] the author strengthened the idea of nonoscillation for (1.1). The main result was that if (1.1) is *strongly* nonoscillatory it follows that the negative spectrum of $-\Delta + q$ is finite. This idea was used in [6] to sharpen specific criteria due to F. Brownell and M. Birman for the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $-\Delta + q$.

The theorem of Allegretto [2] shows that the two definitions for nonoscillation are equivalent provided q(x) is sufficiently smooth near infinity. The question of their equivalence had been posed but not answered by the author in [5].

With certain restrictions on the degree of local singularity of q it follows that the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $-\Delta + q$ to the left of the first point of its essential spectrum depends solely on the behavior of q near infinity.

This in turn solves a problem posed by Glazman [3, pp. 69-70]. Let Γ be a smooth closed hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^m and let Ω denote its exterior. Let $L = L(B, \Gamma)$ be a selfadjoint realization of $-\Delta + q$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ with the boundary condition

$$Bu = \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + \rho(x) u = 0$$
 (1.2)

on Γ . Here $(\partial u)/(\partial n)$ is the outward (from Ω) directed normal derivative of u and $0 \le \rho(x) \le +\infty$. The problem was to show that the finiteness of the spectrum

Copyright (© 1977 by Academic Press, Inc. All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. 173

ISSN 0022-0396

of L to the left of the essential spectrum is invariant under certain distortions of Γ , perturbations of ρ , and perturbations of q over compact subsets of $\Omega \cup \Gamma$. But if the finiteness of the lower discrete spectrum only depends on the behavior, fq for large |x|, the conjectured invariance is obvious.

The results of [5, 6] are easily extended to the case where a boundary condition (1.2) is present. Allegretto's theorem need be applied only in a neighborhood of infinity. To simplify matters, and without fear of a loss of generality, we will only discuss the case where $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^m$ and $m \ge 3$.

We will consider the more general symmetric differential operator

$$Lu = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) + q(x) u,$$

where q(x) is real and $\{a_{ij}(x)\}$ is real symmetric with positive eigenvalues. In Theorem 2.1 local degeneracy of ellipticity is permitted for the sake of completeness. But for the final result of the paper we assume that $\{a_{ij}(x)\}$ is uniformly positive definite as x varies over any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^m .

2. The Selfadjoint Realization of L and Criterion for Finite Negative Spectrum

In this section we will give a precise definition of the selfadjoint realization of the differential operator L. No proofs will be given since they are straightforward extensions of the proofs of the analogous results in [6] for $L = -\Delta + q(x)$.

First let $\lambda(x)$ be a nonnegative function so that

$$\lambda(x)\sum_{j=1}^{m} |\xi_j|^2 \leqslant \sum_{j,k=1}^{m} a_{jk}(x) \xi_j \tilde{\xi}_k$$
(2.1)

for each x and each vector $(\xi_1, ..., \xi_m)$ in C^m . Also $q_1(x)$ will be a nonnegative function in $L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $q_2(x)$ is defined by setting

$$q(\mathbf{x}) = q_1(\mathbf{x}) + q_2(\mathbf{x}).$$

We denote by $L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the usual Hilbert space of square-integrable functions. W will be the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact supports, relative to the norm $\|\phi\|_W$,

$$\|\phi\|_{W}^{2} = \int \left[\sum_{i,j=1}^{m} a_{ij}\phi_{i}\bar{\phi}_{j} + (q_{1}+1) |\phi|^{2}\right] dx$$

where $\phi_l = (\partial \phi)/(\partial_x)$, l = 1, ..., m. We finally define the quadratic form b by setting

$$b(\phi,\psi) = \int \left(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} \phi_i ar{\psi}_j + q \phi ar{\psi}\right) dx.$$

Now suppose that inequalities of the following form hold:

$$| b(\phi, \psi) | \leq c_1 \| \phi \|_{W} \| \psi \|_{W}, \qquad (2.2)$$

$$b(\phi, \phi) \geqslant c_2 \|\phi\|_{W^2} - c_3 \|\phi\|^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where c_j are positive constants, and ϕ and ψ are arbitrary in W. Then it is well known, see, e.g., [7], that the form b defines a selfadjoint operator Λ which is bounded from below. Λ is characterized by specifying that u be in the domain of Λ and $v = \Lambda u$ if and only if

$$b(w, u) = (w, v)$$
 for each w in W .

This Λ will be used throughout the rest of the paper.

A fairly simple sufficient condition for the above construction is provided by the following theorem. Here $||f||_{p,K}$ denotes the L^p -norm of f over the set K.

THEOREM 2.1. Let t be fixed in the interval $m/2 < t \leq +\infty$. Let $B_r(y)$ denote the open ball of radius r and with center at y. Suppose the following conditions hold:

$$\sup_{u} \| q_2 \|_{mt/(2t-m), B_r(y)} < +\infty$$
(2.4)

$$\lim_{r\to 0} \sup_{y} \left\{ \left\| \frac{1}{\lambda} \right\|_{t, B_{r}(y)} \| q_{2} \|_{mt/(2t-m), B_{r}(y)} \right\} = 0.$$
 (2.5)

Then inequalities (2.2) and (2.3) hold.

The special case of

$$a_{ij}(x) = 1$$
 if $i = j$
= 0 if $i \neq j$

is proved in detail in [6]. The same method yields the above theorem when proper account is taken of the variable modulus of ellipticity $\lambda(x)$. The conclusion still holds when the limit in (2.5) is less than a specific positive constant depending on t and m.

For simplicity we will assume from here on that $a_j(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $\lambda(x) > 0$ for each x. Local degeneracy could still be permitted at the expense of more complicated definitions of strong nonoscillation.

DEFINITION. We say that the equation,

$$Lu=0,$$

JOHN PIEPENBRINK

is strongly nonoscillatory at infinity if there is a function v(x) defined for |x| > Rand satisfying the following conditions

- (i) v is in the local Sobolev space $H^{2,\frac{2}{3}m}_{loc}(|x| > R)$;
- (ii) v(x) > 0 and 1/v is in $L_{loc}^{\infty}(|x| > R)$;
- (iii) $Lv(x) \ge 0$ for, a.e., x with |x| > R.

The relevant result is

THEOREM 2.2. If the equation Lu = 0 is strongly nonoscillatory at infinity, it follows that the negative spectrum of Λ is finite.

Some results in [4] are necessary for the proof of Theorem 2.2.

3. Allegretto's Theorem

In this section and Section 4 we will require considerably more smoothness on the coefficients near infinity. Let [a] denote the greatest integer not exceeding a. We assume that

 $\begin{aligned} a_{ij}(x) \text{ is in } C^{2n+1}(|x| > R) \\ q(x) \text{ is in } C^{2n}(|x| > R), \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$

where

and

$$n=\Big[\frac{1}{2}\Big[\frac{m+6}{2}\Big]\Big].$$

We should point out that Allegretto proves the theorem under the stronger conditions that $a_{ij} \in C^{3n+1}$ and $q \in C^{3n}$. A slight change in his proof and an application of local regularity results for solutions of elli₊tic equations contained in [1] yield the more general conditions (3.1).

First we need another definition.

DEFINITION. The equation Lu = 0 is nonoscillatory at infinity if for some R > 0 and any bounded smooth domain B in $\{x \mid |x| > R\}$, the Dirichlet problem

$$Lu = 0 \quad \text{in } B$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial B \qquad (3.2)$$

has no nontrivial solution.

Then in our terminology Allegretto's theorem is as follows.

THEOREM 3.1. If the smoothness conditions (3.1) are satisfied, and L is uniformly elliptic over compact sets, then Lu = 0 is strongly nonoscillatory whenever it is oscillatory.

4. THE MAIN RESULT

We assume in this concluding section that the operators $L^{(\alpha)}$,

$$L^{(\alpha)}u = -\sum \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{jk}^{(\alpha)}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} \right) + q^{(\alpha)}(x) u, \qquad \alpha = 1, 2, \qquad (4.1)$$

satisfy the conditions of both Sections 2 and 3. Let $\Lambda^{(\alpha)}$ denote their respective selfadjoint realizations.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose for the operators $L^{(\alpha)}$ in (4.1) that

$$a_{jk}^{(1)}(x) = a_{jk}^{(2)}(x)$$
 and $q^{(1)}(x) = q^{(2)}(x)$

for all sufficiently large |x|. Then it follows that $\Lambda^{(2)}$ has finite negative spectrum if $\Lambda^{(1)}$ has.

The proof is clear since the finiteness of the negative spectrum of $\Lambda^{(1)}$ implies that $L^{(1)}u = 0$ is nonoscillatory at infinity, see [3, p. 159] or [5] Now Theorem 3.1 tells us that $L^{(1)}u = 0$, and hence $L^{(2)}u = 0$, is *strongly* nonoscillatory at infinity. Finally by Theorem 2.2 the negative spectrum of $\Lambda^{(2)}$ is finite.

References

- 1. S. AGMON, "Lectures on Elliptic Boundary Value Problems," Mathematical Studies, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1965.
- W. ALLEGRETTO, On the equivalence of two types of nonoscillation for elliptic operators, Pacific J. Math. 55 (1974), 319-328.
- 3. I. M. GLAZMAN, "Direct Methods of Qualitative Spectral Analysis of Singular Differential Operators," Israel Program for Scientific Translations, Davey, Hartford, Conn., 1965.
- 4. M. MARCUS AND V. J. MIZEL, Absolute continuity on tracks and mappings of Sobolev spaces, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 45 (1972), 294-320.
- 5. J. PIEPENBRINK, Nonoscillatory elliptic equations, J. Differential Equations 15 (1974), 541-550.
- 6. J. PIEPENBRINK, Finiteness of the lower spectrum of Schoedinger operators, *Math. Z.* 140 (1974), 29-40.
- 7. M. SCHECHTER, "The Spectra of Partial Differential Operators," North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1971.