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#### Abstract

In this note we show, by counterexamples, that various results in two papers of Ayoub (On diagrams for abelian groups, J. Number Theory 2 (1970), 442-458; On the group of units of certain rings, J. Number Theory 4 (1972), 383-403) fail. In essence, if $A$ is a bounded $p$-group, an incomplete $j$-diagram for $A$ will not, in general, suffice to determine the structure of $A$. © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.


One of the major results in Ayoub [1] is Theorem 4 (p. 456). This, in essence, states that if an abelian group $A$ admits an incomplete $j$-diagram (see Definition 2), then $A$ is a bounded $p$-group and its structure is determined by the said diagram. In this note we show that the latter assertion is false, for essentially identical (incomplete) $j$-diagrams can be defined on non-isomorphic finite abelian p-groups. As a consequence of this, Corollary [1, p. 458] fails. Furthermore, this error propagated to Theorem 3 of [2, p. 402], in that the one-groups of Ayoub's exceptional rings [2, Definition 1, p. 397] do not necessarily have the structure dictated by this theorem. Surprisingly, these errors appear to have been unnoticed for over 18 years, ever since the time of publication of [1] and [2].
Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring with $1 \neq 0$. We recall that $R$ is said to be a chain ring iff the lattice of ideals of $R$ is a chain. Chain rings are the same thing as local principal ideal rings [3, Theorem 1.1]. We use the following notation regarding such rings:
(i) $M$ is the maximal ideal of $R$, i.e., the unique maximal ideal of $R$.
(ii) $K$ is the residue field of $R$; i.e., $K=R / M$.
(iii) $R^{*}$ is the group of units of $R$; it should be clear that $R^{*}=R \backslash M$
(iv) Ring parameters:
$p^{d}=|K|, p$ a prime, $d$ is called the residual degree of $R$;
$e$ is the nilpotency index of $M$;
$r$ is the ramification index of $R$, i.e., $p 1_{R} \in M^{r} \backslash M^{r+1}(R$ is assumed not to have prime char).

With regard to the ramification index, we note that if we choose a generator $\pi$ for $M$, then $p 1_{R}=\varepsilon \pi^{r}\left(\varepsilon \in R^{*}\right)$. The subgroup $1+M$ of $R^{*}$ is called the one-group of $R$. The cardinality of the powers of the maximal ideal $M$ is given by $\left|M^{s}\right|=|K|^{e-s}, 0 \leqslant s \leqslant e$, where $M^{0}$ is, by convention, $R$ [3, Lemma 1.2].

We recall Ayoub's definitions of admissible function [1, p.445] and incomplete $j$-diagram [1, pp. 449-450].

Definition 1. Let $n$ be a positive integer, $j:\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \rightarrow\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ is said to be admisible iff (1) $s<j(s)$, for $1 \leqslant s<n ; j(n)=n,(2) j(s)=j\left(s^{\prime}\right)<$ $n \Rightarrow s=s^{\prime}$.

Definition 2. Let $A$ be an abelian group. The series $A=A_{1} \supset$ $A_{2} \supset \cdots \supset A_{n}=\{1\}$ is said to be an incomplete $j$-diagram at $s=u$ (with respect to the prime $p$ ) for $A$ iff (a) $j$ is an admissible function from $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ to $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. (b) $j(s)=n \Rightarrow A_{s}^{p}=\{1\}$. (c) For $j(s)<n$, the prescriptions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma_{s}: A_{s} / A_{s+1} & \rightarrow A_{j(s)} / A_{j(s)+1} \\
x A_{s+1} & \mapsto x^{p} A_{j(s)+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

define maps, such that $\gamma_{s}$ is an isomorphism for $s \neq u$, and $\gamma_{u}$ is a homomorphism.

An Incomplete $j$-Diagram. Let $R$ be a finite commutative chain ring, with parameters $p=2, d=1, r=2, e=6$. Note that these parameter values force $R^{*}=1+M\left(1+M \subseteq R^{*}\right.$, and $\left|M^{s}\right|=|K|^{e-s}$; hence $\left|R^{*}\right|=|R|$ -$|M|=|K|^{e}-|K|^{e-1}=64-32=32=|M|=|1+M|$ ). We shall specify an incomplete $j$-diagram, in fact a special case of the $j$-diagram in Theorem 2 of [2, p. 401$]$.

Take the series $H_{s}=1+M^{s}, \quad 1 \leqslant s \leqslant 6$. Define $j:\{1,2, \ldots, 6\} \rightarrow$ $\{1,2, \ldots, 6\}$ by

$$
j(s)= \begin{cases}\min (2 \mathrm{~s}, 6), & 1 \leqslant s \leqslant 2 \\ \min (2+s, 6), & 2 \leqslant s \leqslant 6\end{cases}
$$

We can depict $j$ as

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccc} 
& 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6  \tag{1}\\
& \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
& 2 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 6 & 6 .
\end{array}
$$

Theorem 2 of [2, p. 401] tells us that the series $\left(H_{s}\right)$ is an incomplete $j$-diagram. However, we shall check this directly. Condition (a) of

Definition 2 is clear, on inspection of (1). For condition (b), note that if we fix a generator $\pi$ for $M: x \in H_{s} \Leftrightarrow x=1+\alpha \pi^{s}\left(\alpha \in R^{*}\right)$. Then,

$$
\left(1+\alpha \pi^{s}\right)^{2}=1+2 \alpha \pi^{s}+\alpha^{2} \pi^{2 s}=1+\varepsilon \alpha \pi^{2+s}+\alpha^{2} \pi^{2 s}
$$

where $2=\varepsilon \pi^{2}\left(\varepsilon \in R^{*}\right)$. As the nilpotency index of $\pi$ is 6 , it is clear that for $s \geqslant 4$ (i.e., $j(s)=6$; see (1)), $\left(1+\alpha \pi^{s}\right)^{2}=1$. A simple check gives that $x \in H_{s} \Rightarrow x^{2} \in H_{j(s)}$ and $x \in H_{s+1} \Rightarrow x^{2} \in H_{j(s)+1}$, for $1 \leqslant s \leqslant 3$ (i.e., for $j(s)<6$ ). Therefore the prescriptions in Definition 2(c) do define maps. It then follows immediately that they are homomorphisms.

Finally, we claim that $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{3}$ are onto, whereas $\gamma_{2}$ is trivial. Note that the factor groups $H_{s} / H_{s+1}$ are cyclic with order 2. This follows from the formula $\left|M^{s}\right|=|K|^{e-s}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|H_{s} / H_{s+1}\right|=\frac{\left|H_{s}\right|}{\left|H_{s+1}\right|}=\frac{\left|1+M^{s}\right|}{\left|1+M^{s+1}\right|}=\frac{\left|M^{s}\right|}{\left|M^{s+1}\right|}=\frac{|K|^{e-s}}{|K|^{e-s-1}}=|K|=p^{d}=2 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $H_{s} / H_{s+1}=\left\{H_{s+1}, H_{s} \backslash H_{s+1}\right\}$. Now to obtain the image under $\gamma_{s}$ of the coset $H_{s} \backslash H_{s+1}$, note that $x \in H_{s} \backslash H_{s+1} \Leftrightarrow x=1+\alpha \pi^{s} \quad\left(\alpha \in R^{*}\right)$. In particular, $1+\pi^{s}$ is a representative for the coset $H_{s} \backslash H_{s+1}$. As $\pi$ is a generator for $M$, the definition of ramification index gives $21_{R}=\varepsilon \pi^{2}$ ( $\varepsilon \in R^{*}$ ). Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
(1+\pi)^{2} & =1+2 \pi+\pi^{2}=1+\varepsilon \pi^{3}+\pi^{2}=1+\pi^{2}(\varepsilon \pi+1) \in H_{2} \backslash H_{3}, \\
\left(1+\pi^{2}\right)^{2} & =1+2 \pi^{2}+\pi^{4}=1+\varepsilon \pi^{4}+\pi^{4}=1+\pi^{4}(\varepsilon+1),  \tag{3}\\
\left(1+\pi^{3}\right)^{2} & =1+2 \pi^{3}+\pi^{6}=1+\varepsilon \pi^{5}+\pi^{6}=1+\pi^{5}(\varepsilon+\pi) \in H_{5} \backslash H_{6} .
\end{align*}
$$

From the first and last of these $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{3}$ are isomorphisms. Now note that as $R^{*}=1+M$, if $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in R^{*}$, then $\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \in M$. But $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}=\alpha_{1}-\left(-\alpha_{2}\right)$; and as $-\alpha_{2} \in R^{*}, \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \in M$. Hence $1+\varepsilon \in M$, and thus by (3), $\gamma_{2}$ is trivial. In conclusion, the series

$$
R^{*}=1+M \supset 1+M^{2} \supset \cdots \supset 1+M^{6}=\{1\}
$$

is an incomplete $j$-diagram at $s=2$ (with respect to the prime 2 ) for $R^{*}$.
Theorem 4 of [1, p. 456] tells us how to retrieve the structure of $R^{*}$ from the above $j$-diagram. We observe that $H_{s} / H_{s+1}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$, as $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-vector spaces, are 1-dimensional, since $\left|H_{s} / H_{s+1}\right|=2$ (by (2)) and $\gamma_{2}$ is trivial. As the various other parameters in the statement of Theorem 4 of [1] are
readily computed, on inspection of (1), it follows that if $R$ is a finite commutative chain ring with parameter values $p=2, d=1, r=2, e=6$; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{*} \cong C_{4} \otimes C_{2} \otimes C_{4} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $C_{n}$ denotes a cyclic group of order $n$ ).
However, we shall construct two such rings $R_{1}, R_{2}$, of which $R_{2}^{*}$ is as in (4), whereas $R_{1}^{*}$ is not.

We need the following elementary observation.

Lemma 1. Let $D$ be a finite residue principal ideal domain, q a prime such that $q 1_{D}=\pi^{\prime} \alpha$ ( $\pi$ an irreducible; $\alpha, \pi$ coprime), $n$ a positive integer. Then $R=D /\left(\pi^{n}\right)$ is a finite commutative chain ring; and if $n>t$, the ring parameters of $R$ are $p=q, p^{d}=|D /(\pi)|, r=t, e=n$.

Remark. The condition $n>t$ is due to our usage of the term "ramification index," which requires the ring not to have prime char.

## Proof. Easy check.

The Counterexamples. Choose the quadratic number fields $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3})$. Their rings of integers are $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}], \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, respectively, and these are principal ideal domains [4, Theorem 4.2, p. 60, Theorem 4.20, p. 45]. It is clear that $\sqrt{2}$ is an irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ and $1+\sqrt{3}$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$, because their norms are prime $(N(\sqrt{2})=-2, N(1+\sqrt{3})=$ $(1+\sqrt{3})(1-\sqrt{3})=-2)$. Also, $2=(\sqrt{2})^{2}$ and $2=(2-\sqrt{3})(1+\sqrt{3})^{2}$, with $2-\sqrt{3}$ a unit in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$; for $N(2-\sqrt{3})=(2-\sqrt{3})(2+\sqrt{3})=1$. Finally, the size of the fields $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] /(\sqrt{2})$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}] /(1+\sqrt{3})$ is clearly 2, i.e., the absolute value of the norms of $\sqrt{2}$ and $1+\sqrt{3}$ [4, Corollary 5.9, p. 121].

Let $R_{1}=\mathbb{Z} \mathrm{L} \sqrt{2} \mathrm{~J} /\left((\sqrt{2})^{6}\right)=\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{2} \mathrm{~J} /(8)\right.$ and $R_{2}=\mathbb{Z}\left[\sqrt{3} \mathrm{~J} /\left((1+\sqrt{3})^{6}\right)=\right.$ $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}] /(8)$. Lemma 1 gives that both these rings are finite commutative chain rings with parameter values $p=2, d=1, r=2, e=6$, i.e., as in the construction of the above $j$-diagram.

We shall determine the structure of $R_{i}^{*}(i=1,2)$, by specifying a basis in each case. Recall that for a finite chain ring $R,\left|R^{*}\right|=|R|-|M|=$ $|K|^{e}-|K|^{e-1}\left(|K|=p^{d}\right)$; thus our groups $R_{i}^{*}(i=1,2)$ both have order 32 . The following elementary fact is useful for order computations in $R_{i}^{*}$ $(i=1,2)$. Let $d$ be a square-free rational integer, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
a+b \sqrt{d} \equiv a^{\prime}+b^{\prime} \sqrt{d}(\bmod m) \quad \text { iff } \quad a \equiv a^{\prime}(\bmod m) \\
\text { and } \quad b \equiv b^{\prime}(\bmod m) \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

In the determination of the group structures below, if $G$ is a group and $g \in G$, then $o(g)$ denotes the order of $g$ and $\langle g\rangle$ the subgroup generated by $g$.

The Structure of $R_{1}^{*}$. Choose $[-1],[5],[1+\sqrt{2}]$, where the square brackets mean class $\bmod 8$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$. It is clear that $o([-1])=o([5])=2$, i.e., as in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$. Squaring successively $1+\sqrt{2}$ and using (5) for mod 8 reduction, we obtain $o([1+\sqrt{2}])=8$. Also, the element of order 2 in $\langle[1+\sqrt{2}]\rangle$ is $[1+4 \sqrt{2}]$. We assert that $R_{1}^{*}=\langle[-1]\rangle \oplus\langle[5]\rangle \oplus\langle[1+\sqrt{2}]\rangle$. Note that $\langle[-1]\rangle \cap\langle[5]\rangle=$ $\{[1]\}$, because $-1 \not \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and both subgroups have order 2 . Next, any element in $\langle[-1]\rangle\langle[5]\rangle$ has a rational integer representative, whereas for no $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ is $m \equiv 1+4 \sqrt{2}(\bmod 8)$. Then the product $\langle[-1]\rangle\langle[5]\rangle\langle[1+\sqrt{2}]\rangle$ is direct; hence $|\langle[-1]\rangle\langle[5]\rangle\langle[1+\sqrt{2}]\rangle|$ $=2 \cdot 2 \cdot 8=32$, i.e., the order of $R_{1}^{*}$. Therefore $R_{1}^{*} \cong C_{2} \otimes C_{2} \otimes C_{8}$, contradicting (4).

It is then clear that Theorem 4 of [1, p. 456] fails.
The Structure of $R_{2}^{*}$. Choose $[-1],[1+2 \sqrt{3}],[2+\sqrt{3}]$, where the square brackets mean class $\bmod 8$ in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{3}]$. Computations similar to those for $R_{1}^{*}$ above yield $o([1+2 \sqrt{3}]=o([2+\sqrt{3}])=4$. The elements of order 2 in $\langle[1+2 \sqrt{3}]\rangle$ and $\langle[2+\sqrt{3}]\rangle$ are respectively $[5+4 \sqrt{3}]$, $[7+4 \sqrt{3}]$. As these are different (by (5)), it follows that $\langle[1+2 \sqrt{3}]\rangle \cap$ $\langle[2+\sqrt{3}]\rangle=\{[1]\}$. Furthermore $\langle[-1]\rangle \cap\langle[1+2 \sqrt{3}]\rangle\langle 2+\sqrt{3}]\rangle=$ $\{[1]\}$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \not \equiv 5+4 \sqrt{3}(\bmod 8), \quad-1 \not \equiv 7+4 \sqrt{3}(\bmod 8), \\
& -1 \not \equiv(5+4 \sqrt{3})(7+4 \sqrt{3})(\bmod 8)
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two are obvious (by (5)). As to the third, note that $5+4 \sqrt{3}$ is self-inverse $\bmod 8$; hence it reduces to $-5-4 \sqrt{3} \not \equiv 7+4 \sqrt{3}(\bmod 8)$, which is obviously true. Consequently, the product $\langle[-1]\rangle\langle 1+2 \sqrt{3}]\rangle$ $\langle[2+\sqrt{3}]\rangle$ is direct and thus $|\langle[-1]\rangle\langle[1+2 \sqrt{3}]\rangle\langle[2+\sqrt{3}]\rangle|=$ $2 \cdot 4 \cdot 4=32$, i.e., the order of $R_{2}^{*}$. Therefore, $R_{2}^{*} \cong C_{2} \otimes C_{4} \otimes C_{4}$, which agrees with (4).

Although $R_{2}^{*}$ has the structure demanded by Theorem 4 of [ 1, p. 456], when taken together with $R_{1}^{*}$, they contradict the Corollary to Theorem 4 in [1, p. 458].

Finally, as $R_{1}$ is a commutative chain 2-ring and the nilpotency index of its maximal ideal is 6 , it is clear that it satisfies the definition of exceptional ring in [2, Definition 1, p. 397]. A simple application of Theorem 3 of [2, p. 402] gives that $R_{1}^{*} \cong C_{4} \otimes C_{2} \otimes C_{4}$, which as we have seen earlier is
not the case. Thus, the said theorem fails to give the correct structure for the one-group of Ayoub's exceptional rings (recall that the parameter values of $R_{1}$ force $R_{1}^{*}=1+M$ ).

Remark. There are numerous, in fact infinitely many, counterexamples similar to those just given. The crucial thing is to select quadratic number fields $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{d_{i}}\right)(i=1,2)$ with a ring of integers $D_{i}$ such that $\langle 2\rangle=P_{i}^{2}$ (where $\langle 2\rangle$ denotes the ideal generated by 2 and $P_{i}$ a prime ideal of $D_{i}$ ). In addition, the $d_{i}$ 's have to be chosen appropriately.

In conclusion, contrary to Ayoub's assertion, in the introduction to [1], the structure of the unit group of $D / P^{n}$ (where $D$ is the ring of integers of some number field and $P$ a prime ideal of $D$ ) cannot be read off from the theorems in that paper.
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