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#### Abstract
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## 1. Introduction

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebras equipped with faithful normal states $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, respectively. The von Neumann algebraic free product $(M, \varphi)$ of $\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ has been seriously investigated so far by utilizing Voiculescu's free probability theory and recently by Popa's deformation/rigidity theory. Some primary questions on $M$ apparently are factoriality, Murray-von Neumann-Connes type classification, and when $M$ becomes a full factor in the sense of Connes [3] under the separability of predual $M_{*}$. Several partial answers to the questions were given in the mid 90 s by Barnett [1], Dykema [5,6,8], and after then by us [24]. In particular, Barnett [1] provided a handy criterion for making $M$ be a full factor and also showed $\left(M_{\varphi}\right)^{\prime} \cap M=\mathbb{C}$ under a slightly weaker assumption than the criterion. At the same time

[^0]Dykema [6] investigated the question of factoriality of $M$ and computed the T-set $T(M)$ under some hypothesis. After then Dykema [8] gave a serious investigation relying on free probability techniques to the questions at least when the given $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are of type I with discrete center. Despite those efforts it seems, to the best of our knowledge, that the questions are not yet settled completely. The main purpose of this paper is to give a complete answer to the questions.

The new idea that we use in the present work is to work with the $\varphi$-preserving conditional expectation from $M$ onto $M_{1}$ (or $M_{2}$ ) instead of the free product state $\varphi$. The idea comes from the formulation of von Neumann algebraic HNN extensions [26]. (Recall that von Neumann algebraic HNN extension ' $M=N \star_{D} \theta$ ' is formulated by using 'the' conditional expectation from $M$ onto $N$ rather than that onto the smallest $D$.) The conditional expectation $E_{1}: M \rightarrow M_{1}$ still 'almost' satisfies the so-called freeness condition, and thus, in many cases, a suitable choice of faithful normal state, say $\psi$, on $M_{1}$ allows to make the new functional $\psi \circ E_{1}$ 'almost' satisfy the freeness condition and have the large centralizer inside $M_{1}$. Based on this observation we will give a convenient partial answer to the questions, that is, we will prove that $M$ always becomes a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ and satisfies $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ if at least one of $M_{i}$ 's is diffuse without any assumption on the $\varphi_{i}$ 's. This will be done in Section 3. Some of the results presented in Section 3 may be regarded as improvements of our previous results [24], and thus we borrow some minor arguments from there without giving their details. In the next Section 4, with the aid of Dykema's ideas in [5] (and also [8]) we will give a complete answer to the questions. Roughly speaking our result tells that Dykema's 'factoriality and type classification results' in [5,8] still hold true for any choice of $\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$. The precise statements are as follows. The resulting $M$ is always of the form $M_{d} \oplus M_{c}$ (possibly with $M=M_{c}$ or other words $M_{d}=0$ ), where $M_{d}$ is a multi-matrix algebra and $M_{c}$ a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. As a simple consequence no type $\mathrm{III}_{0}$ factor arises as any (direct summand of) free product von Neumann algebra. The multi-matrix part $M_{d}$ can be described explicitly by Dykema's algorithm (see [8, pp. 42-44]) and the type of $M_{c}$ is determined by the T-set formula $T\left(M_{c}\right)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$, though our proof cannot conclude $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}=\mathbb{C} 1$ in general. Moreover $M_{c}^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ always holds, and hence the asymptotic centralizer $\left(M_{c}\right)_{\omega}$ is trivial. We would like to emphasize that our proof uses only one easy fact [8, Proposition 5.1] from Dykema's paper [8] (see Lemma 2.2 for its precise statement) and is essentially independent of any free probability technique except the structure result on two freely independent projections (see [29, Example 3.6.7] and [5, Theorem 1.1]). Therefore our proof is self-contained and more remarkably rather short compared to [8], though it does not work for identifying $\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}$ with an (interpolated) free group factor even when both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are of type I with discrete center.

One of the motivations of the present work is a result due to Chifan and Houdayer [2]. In fact, by utilizing Popa's deformation/rigidity techniques Chifan and Houdayer [2] proved, among others, that any non-amenable free product factor is prime. Hence a necessary and sufficient condition of factoriality and 'non-amenability' has been desirable for arbitrary free product von Neumann algebras. More than giving such a condition the main result of the present paper enables us to see, by Chifan and Houdayer's theorem [2, Theorem 5.2], that the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ is always prime. Moreover a very recent work due to Houdayer and Ricard [11] also allows us to see that the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ always has no Cartan subalgebra when $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are hyperfinite (or amenable). In the final Section 5 we give some remarks and questions related to the main theorem.

This paper is written in the following notation rule: $\|-\|_{\infty}$ denotes an operator or $C^{*}$-norm. The projections and the unitaries in a given von Neumann algebra $N$ are denoted by $N^{p}$ and $N^{u}$,
respectively, and the central support projection (in $N$ ) of a given $p \in N^{p}$ is denoted by $c_{N}(p)$. For a given von Neumann algebra $N$, its center is denoted by $\mathcal{Z}(N)$ and the unit of its non-unital von Neumann subalgebra $L$ by $1_{L}$. The GNS (or standard) Hilbert space associated with a given von Neumann algebra $N$ and a faithful normal positive linear functional $\psi$ on $N$ is denoted by $L^{2}(N, \psi)$ with norm $\|-\|_{\psi}$ and inner product $(-\mid-)_{\psi}$. Also the canonical embedding of $N$ into $L^{2}(N, \psi)$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{\psi}$. When no confusion is possible, we will often omit the symbol $\Lambda_{\psi}$ for simplicity; write $\|x\|_{\psi}$ instead of $\left\|\Lambda_{\psi}(x)\right\|_{\psi}$. The notations concerning the socalled modular theory entirely follow [23] with the exception of $\Lambda_{\psi}$ (the symbol $\eta_{\psi}$ is used there instead). The other notations concerning free products and ultraproducts of von Neumann algebras will be summarized in the next Section 2.

## 2. Notations and preliminaries

### 2.1. Free products

For given $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebras $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ equipped with faithful normal states $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, respectively, the (von Neumann algebraic) free product

$$
(M, \varphi)=\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right) \star\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)
$$

is defined to be a unique pair of von Neumann algebra $M$ with two embeddings $M_{i} \hookrightarrow M$ ( $i=1,2$ ) and faithful normal state $\varphi$ satisfying (i) $M=M_{1} \vee M_{2}$, (ii) $\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{i}}=\varphi_{i}(i=1,2)$ and (iii) $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are free in $(M, \varphi)$, i.e., $\varphi\left(x_{1}^{\circ} \cdots x_{\ell}^{\circ}\right)=0$ whenever $x_{j}^{\circ} \in \operatorname{Ker}\left(\varphi_{i_{j}}\right)$ with $i_{j} \neq i_{j+1}$, $j=1, \ldots, \ell-1$. See [29] for further details such as its concrete construction.

Let $N$ be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful normal state $\psi$. For a subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $N$ we write $\mathcal{X}^{\circ}:=\operatorname{Ker}(\psi) \cap \mathcal{X}=\{x \in \mathcal{X} \mid \psi(x)=0\}$. Also, for given subsets $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{n}$ of $N$, the set of all traveling words in subsets $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{n}$ (inside $N$ ) is denoted by $\Lambda^{\circ}\left(\mathcal{X}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{X}_{n}\right)$, where $x_{1} \cdots x_{\ell}$ is said to be a traveling word in the $\mathcal{X}_{i}$ 's if $x_{j} \in \mathcal{X}_{i_{j}}$ with $i_{j} \neq i_{j+1}, j=1, \ldots, \ell-1$. With these notations the above condition (iii) is simply re-written as $\left.\varphi\right|_{\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right)} \equiv 0$.

As shown in [1, Lemma 1], [6, Theorem 1] the modular automorphism $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, is computed as ' $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}} \star \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}$, which means that $\left.\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\right|_{M_{i}}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{i}}(i=1,2)$. This fact immediately implies the next fact, which is a key of the present paper. It is probably well known, but a proof is given for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.1. There is a unique faithful normal conditional expectation $E_{i}: M \rightarrow M_{i}(i=1,2)$ with $\varphi \circ E_{i}=\varphi$, and it satisfies $\left.E_{i}\right|_{\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{i}^{\circ}} \equiv 0$.

Proof. The existence of $E_{i}$ follows from the above-mentioned formula of $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}$ and Takesaki's theorem [23, Theorem IX.4.2]. We claim that $\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(M_{i}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{i}^{\circ}\right)\right)$ are orthogonal in $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$, and also that the map $\Lambda_{\varphi}(x) \mapsto \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(E_{i}(x)\right), x \in M$, is extended to the projection $\bar{E}_{i}$ from $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ onto the closure of $\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(M_{i}\right)$. The first claim follows from the fact that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are free in $(M, \varphi)$, and the latter from the construction of $E_{i}$, see the proof of [23, Theorem IX.4.2]. Hence, for any $x \in \Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{i}^{\circ}$ one has $\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(E_{i}(x)\right)=\bar{E}_{i} \Lambda_{\varphi}(x)=0$ so that $E_{i}(x)=0$.

In Section 4 we will repeatedly use the next 'free etymology' fact due to Dykema. We give a sketch of its proof for the reader's convenience.

Lemma 2.2. (See [8, Proposition 5.1]; also see [5, Theorem 1.2].) Let $p \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{1}\right)^{p}$ be nontrivial and set $N:=\left(\mathbb{C} p+M_{1}(1-p)\right) \vee M_{2}$. Then $M_{1} p$ and $p N p$ generate the whole $p M p$ and are free in $\left(p M p,\left.\left(1 / \varphi_{1}(p)\right) \varphi\right|_{p M p}\right)$. Moreover $c_{M}(p)=c_{N}(p)$.

Proof (Sketch). One can easily confirm that $M_{1} p$ and $p N p$ generate $p M p$. In fact, for example, $p x_{1} y_{1} x_{2} y_{2} p=\left(x_{1} p\right)\left(p y_{1} p\right)\left(x_{2} p\right)\left(p y_{2} p\right)+\left(x_{1} p\right)\left(p y_{1} x_{2}(1-p) y_{2} p\right) \in M_{1} p \cdot p N p \cdot M_{1} p$. $p N p+M_{1} p \cdot p N p$ for $x_{1}, x_{2} \in M_{1}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2} \in M_{2}$. The freeness between $M_{1} p$ and $p N p$ follows from the following fact: Note that $\left(\mathbb{C} p+M_{1}(1-p)\right)+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(\left(\mathbb{C} p+M_{1}(1-p)\right)^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathbb{C} p+M_{1}(1-p)\right)^{\circ}\right)$ forms a dense $*$-subalgebra of $N$ in any von Neumann algebra topology, and thus any element in the kernel of $\left.\varphi\right|_{p N p}$ can be approximated by a bounded net consisting of linear combinations of elements of the form pxp with $x \in \Lambda^{\circ}\left(\left(\mathbb{C} p+M_{1}(1-p)\right)^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ whose leftmost and rightmost words are from $M_{2}^{\circ}$. It remains to see $c_{M}(p)=c_{N}(p)$. Clearly $c_{M}(p) \geqslant c_{N}(p)$ since $M \supseteq N$, and it suffices to see $c_{M}(p) \leqslant c_{N}(p)$. Since $c_{N}(p)$ commutes with $M_{1}(1-p)$ and moreover since $c_{N}(p) \geqslant p, c_{N}(p)$ must commute with $M_{1}=M_{1} p+M_{1}(1-p)$. Also $c_{N}(p)$ commutes with $M_{2}$ too so that $c_{N}(p) \in \mathcal{Z}(M)$. Hence $c_{M}(p) \leqslant c_{N}(p)$.

### 2.2. Ultraproducts

(See [13, Chapter 5], [3, §II, §III] and [25, §2.2] for details.) Let $N$ be a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra. Note that the constructions in [13, §5.1] reviewed below are applicable even for $\sigma$-finite ( $=$ countably decomposable) von Neumann algebras as in [3, §II]. Take a free ultrafilter $\omega \in \beta(\mathbb{N}) \backslash \mathbb{N}$. $\left(\beta(\mathbb{N})\right.$ denotes the Stone-Cech compactification of $\mathbb{N}$.) Define $I_{\omega}(N)$ to be the set of all $x=(x(m))_{m} \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, N)$ such that $\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega} x(m)=0$ in $\sigma$-strong* topology. The ultraproduct $N^{\omega}$ is defined as the quotient $C^{*}$-algebra of the multiplier $\mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right):=$ $\left\{x \in \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, N) \mid x I_{\omega}(N) \subseteq I_{\omega}(N), I_{\omega}(N) x \subseteq I_{\omega}(N)\right\}$ by its $C^{*}$-ideal $I_{\omega}(N)$, which becomes a von Neumann algebra. By sending $x \in N$ to the constant sequence $(x, x, \ldots) \in \mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right)$ the original $N$ is embedded into $N^{\omega}$ as a von Neumann subalgebra. Any (faithful) normal positive linear functional $\psi$ on $N$ induces a (resp. faithful) normal positive linear functional $\psi^{\omega}$ on $N^{\omega}$ defined by $\psi^{\omega}(x)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega} \psi(x(m))$ for $x \in N^{\omega}$ with representative $(x(m))_{m} \in \mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right)$. If $L$ is a von Neumann subalgebra with a faithful normal conditional expectation $E: N \rightarrow L$, then the natural embedding $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, L) \hookrightarrow \ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, N)$ gives a von Neumann algebra embedding $L^{\omega} \hookrightarrow N^{\omega}$ and $E$ can be lifted up to a faithful normal conditional expectation $E^{\omega}: N^{\omega} \rightarrow L^{\omega}$ that is induced from the mapping $(x(m))_{m} \in \mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right) \mapsto(E(x(m)))_{m} \in \mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(L)\right)$.

Define a smaller $C^{*}$-subalgebra $C_{\omega}(N)$ than $\mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right)$ to be the set of all $x=(x(m))_{m} \in$ $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, N)$ with $\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\|[x(m), \chi]\|_{N_{\star}}=0$ for every $\chi \in N_{\star}$, where $[x(m), \chi](y):=$ $\chi(y x(m))-\chi(x(m) y)$ for $y \in N$. Then $C_{\omega}(N)$ still contains $I_{\omega}(N)$, and the asymptotic centralizer $N_{\omega}$ is defined to be the quotient $C^{*}$-algebra of $C_{\omega}(N)$ by $I_{\omega}(N)$ which becomes a von Neumann algebra. The inclusion relation $C_{\omega}(N) \subset \mathcal{M}\left(I_{\omega}(N)\right)$ gives a von Neumann algebra embedding $N_{\omega} \hookrightarrow N^{\omega}$. It is not so hard to see that $N_{\omega} \subseteq N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}$. However the equality does not hold in general. (In fact, such an explicit example exists. We learned it from Professor Masamichi Takesaki some years ago. We thank him for explaining it.) Thus $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ implies that $N_{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. The reverse implication is known to hold only when $N$ is finite (see [3, Corollary 3.8]), and not known in general. Remark that the property of ${ }^{\prime} N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ ' is a stably isomorphic one for factors. Namely, if $(p N p)^{\prime} \cap(p N p)^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ for some non-zero $p \in N^{p}$, then so is the original $N$ when $N$ is known to be a factor. In fact, since $N$ is known to be a factor, $N \cong p N p$ or we can choose a smaller $e \in N^{p}$ than $p$ so that $N \cong(e N e) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{K}$. The first case is trivial. For the latter
case, note first that $N \cong(e N e) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K})$ implies $\left(N \subset N^{\omega}\right) \cong\left(e N e \subset e N^{\omega} e\right) \bar{\otimes} B(\mathcal{K})$ since $N$ sits inside $N^{\omega}$, and hence $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \cong(e N e)^{\prime} \cap\left(e N^{\omega} e\right)$. Clearly $e N^{\omega} e=e(p N p)^{\omega} e$, and then $(e N e)^{\prime} \cap\left(e N^{\omega} e\right)=\mathbb{C} e$ by [30, Lemma 4.1] or [17, Lemma 2.1].

The ultraproduct $\mathcal{H}^{\omega}$ of $\mathcal{H}:=L^{2}(N, \psi)$ is defined to be the quotient of $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{H})$ by the subspace consisting of all $(\xi(m))_{m}$ with $\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\|\xi(m)\|_{\mathcal{H}}=0$. It becomes again a Hilbert space with inner product $(\xi \mid \eta)_{\mathcal{H}^{\omega}}=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}(\xi(m) \mid \eta(m))_{\mathcal{H}}$ for $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{H}^{\omega}$ with representatives $(\xi(m))_{m},(\eta(m))_{m}$, respectively. The GNS Hilbert space $L^{2}\left(N^{\omega}, \psi^{\omega}\right)$ can be embedded into $\mathcal{H}^{\omega}$ as a closed subspace by $\Lambda_{\psi^{\omega}}(x) \mapsto\left[\left(\Lambda_{\psi}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right]$ for $x \in N^{\omega}$ with representative $(x(m))_{m}$.

## 3. Analysis in the diffuse case

Throughout this section let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebras equipped with faithful normal states $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, respectively, and $(M, \varphi)$ be their free product, see Section 2.1.

The next is a slight generalization of [24, Proposition 1].
Proposition 3.1. If A is a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra of the centralizer $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}$ with some faithful normal state $\psi$ on $M_{1}$ and if $x \in M$ satisfies $x A x^{*} \subseteq M_{1}$, then $x$ must be in $M_{1}$.

Proof. Let us make use of the idea in the proof of [18, Lemma 2.5] as in [24, Proposition 1]. The main difference from [24, Proposition 1] is the use of $\psi \circ E_{1}$ instead of the free product state $\varphi$ itself.

Note that any word in $\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{1}^{\circ}$ is of the form $a y^{\circ} b$ with a word $y^{\circ} \in \Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ beginning and ending at $M_{2}^{\circ}$ and $a, b \in M_{1}^{\circ} \cup\{1\}$. In what follows let $a y^{\circ} b$ be such an alternating word. Then for any partition of unity $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{n}$ in $A^{p}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi \circ E_{1}\left(x^{*}\left(a y^{\circ} b\right)\right)\right|^{2} & =\left|\psi \circ E_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} x^{*} a y^{\circ} b\right)\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\psi \circ E_{1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} x^{*} a y^{\circ} b e_{i}\right)\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i} x^{*} a y^{\circ} b e_{i}\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2} \quad(\text { by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality) } \\
& \left.=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi\left(e_{i} b^{*} E_{1}\left(\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right) y^{\circ}\right) b e_{i}\right) \quad \text { (since } e_{i} e_{j}=0 \text { if } i \neq j\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{1}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right) \psi\left(e_{i} b^{*} E_{1}\left(\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*} y^{\circ}\right) b e_{i}\right) \\
& \leqslant \varphi_{1}\left(a^{*} x x^{*} a\right)\left\|b^{*} E_{1}\left(\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*} y^{\circ}\right) b\right\|_{\infty} \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \psi\left(e_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second line follows from the fact that $e_{i} \in A \subset\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi} \subset M_{\psi \circ E_{1}}$ and the fifth line from Lemma 2.1 and $\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right) y^{\circ}=\varphi_{1}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right)\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*} y^{\circ}+\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right)^{\circ} y^{\circ}$
with $\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right)^{\circ}:=a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a-\varphi_{1}\left(a^{*} x e_{i} x^{*} a\right) 1 \in M_{1}^{\circ}$ due to $x e_{i} x^{*} \in M_{1}$. Since $A$ is diffuse, $\max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n} \psi\left(e_{i}\right)$ can be arbitrary small so that $x$ is orthogonal to $a y^{\circ} b$ in $L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$.

Let $a y^{\circ} b$ be as above, and take arbitrary $c \in M_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1 one has $\psi \circ E_{1}\left(c^{*}\left(a y^{\circ} b\right)\right)=$ $\psi\left(c^{*} a E_{1}\left(y^{\circ}\right) b\right)=0$, and thus $M_{1}$ and $\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{1}^{\circ}\right)$ are orthogonal in $L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$. Clearly $M_{1}+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{1}^{\circ}\right)$ is dense in $M$ in any von Neumann algebra topology, and hence we have $\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x)=\bar{E}_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x)=\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(E_{1}(x)\right)$ implying $x=E_{1}(x) \in M_{1}$, where $\bar{E}_{1}$ denotes the projection from $L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$ onto the closure of $\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{1}\right)$ induced from $E_{1}$, see the proof of Lemma 2.1.

The next corollary is immediate from the above proposition.
Corollary 3.2. If $A$ is a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra of the centralizer $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}$ for some faithful normal state $\psi$ on $M_{1}$, then $\mathcal{N}_{M}(A)=\mathcal{N}_{M_{1}}(A)$ and $A^{\prime} \cap M=A^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$, where $\mathcal{N}_{P}(Q)$ denotes the normalizer of $Q$ in $P$, i.e., the set of $u \in P^{u}$ with $u Q u^{*}=Q$, for a given inclusion $P \supseteq Q$ of von Neumann algebras.

In particular, any diffuse MASA (semi-regular diffuse MASA, or singular diffuse MASA) in $M_{1}$ which is the range of a faithful normal conditional expectation from $M_{1}$ becomes a MASA (resp. semi-regular MASA or singular MASA) in M too.

As in [24, Corollary 4] we can also derive the next corollary from the above proposition.
Corollary 3.3. Suppose that there are a faithful normal state $\psi$ on $M_{1}$ and a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $A$ of the centralizer $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}$. Then, $A(=A \bar{\otimes} \mathbb{C} 1) \subseteq M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma}{ }^{\psi} \mathbb{R} \subseteq M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi} E_{1}} \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $A^{\prime} \cap\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi} E_{1}} \mathbb{R}\right)=A^{\prime} \cap\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi}} \mathbb{R}\right)$.

With the help of some general facts on von Neumann algebras we can derive the next factoriality and type classification result from the above proposition and corollaries.

Theorem 3.4. If $M_{1} \neq \mathbb{C} \neq M_{2}$ and if either $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$ is diffuse, then $M$ is a factor of type $I I_{1}$ or $I I I_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, and its $T$-set is computed as $T(M)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$.

Remark that the type of $M$ is completely determined by the T-set in the above case, since $M$ is of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$. The proof below gives an explicit description of the (smooth) flow of weights of $M$, which explains that no type $\mathrm{III}_{0}$ free product factor arises under our hypothesis. (Another explanation of this phenomenon is given later by showing $M_{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, see Theorem 3.7, thanks to [3, Theorem 2.12].)

Proof of Theorem 3.4. Note that any diffuse $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra is (i) a $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebra with diffuse center, (ii) a (possibly infinite) direct sum of non-type I factors, or (iii) a direct sum of algebras from (i) and (ii). Hence we may and do assume

$$
M_{1}=Q_{0} \oplus \sum_{k \geqslant 1}^{\oplus} Q_{k} \quad \text { (a finite or an infinite direct sum), }
$$

where $\mathcal{Z}\left(Q_{0}\right)$ is diffuse and the $Q_{k}$ 's are non-type I factors. By [4, Corollary 8] for the separable predual case or [9, Theorem 11.1] for the general $\sigma$-finite case, there is a faithful normal state $\psi_{k}$
on each $Q_{k}(k \geqslant 1)$ so that $\left(Q_{k}\right)_{\psi_{k}}$ contains a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $A_{k}$. Take a faithful normal state $\psi_{0}$ on $Q_{0}$, and define a faithful normal state

$$
\psi:=(1 / 2) \psi_{0} \oplus \sum_{k \geqslant 1}^{\oplus}\left(1 / 2^{k+1}\right) \psi_{k} \quad \text { on } M_{1}=Q_{0} \oplus \sum_{k \geqslant 1}^{\oplus} Q_{k} .
$$

Then $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}$ clearly contains the diffuse von Neumann subalgebra

$$
A:=\mathcal{Z}\left(Q_{0}\right) \oplus \sum_{k \geqslant 1}^{\oplus} A_{k}
$$

Therefore, by Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 we have
(a) $\left(\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}\right)^{\prime} \cap M=\left(\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{1}$,
(b) $\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma \psi} \mathbb{R}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi \circ E_{1}}} \mathbb{R}\right)=\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi}} \mathbb{R}\right)$.

By [23, Corollary IX.4.22, Theorem X.1.7]

$$
\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi} E_{1}} \mathbb{R} \supseteq M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\psi}} \mathbb{R}\right) \cong\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{1} \circ E_{1}}} \mathbb{R} \supseteq M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{1}}} \mathbb{R}\right)
$$

spatially, and thus (b) implies
$\left(\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{1}}} \mathbb{R}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi}} \mathbb{R}\right)=\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{1}}} \mathbb{R}\right)$
(n.b. $\varphi_{1} \circ E_{1}=\varphi$ ). Hence, by the argument in [24, Theorem 7] we get

$$
\mathcal{Z}\left(M \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi}} \mathbb{R}\right)=(\mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R}) \cap \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{1} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{1}}} \mathbb{R}\right) \cap \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{2} \rtimes_{\sigma^{\varphi_{2}}} \mathbb{R}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{C} \rtimes \mathbb{R} .
$$

Hence we see that $M$ is a factor and not of type $\mathrm{III}_{0}$ by ergodic theoretic argument as in [24, Corollary 8] or by harmonic analysis argument, cf. [16].

We then compute $T(M)$. For $t \in T(M)$ there is $u \in M^{u}$ such that $\sigma_{t}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}=\operatorname{Ad} u$. By (a) we have $u \in M_{1}^{u}$. Using the Connes Radon-Nikodym cocycle $\left[D \varphi_{1}: D \psi\right]_{t}[23$, §VIII.3, Corollary IX.4.22] one has $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}=\operatorname{Ad}\left[D \varphi_{1}: D \psi\right]_{t} \circ \sigma_{t}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}=\operatorname{Ad}\left[D \varphi_{1}: D \psi\right]_{t} u$ and $\left[D \varphi_{1}: D \psi\right]_{t} u \in M_{1}^{u}$. Hence, by the argument in [24, Corollary 8] we get $\left[D \varphi_{1}: D \psi\right]_{t} u \in \mathbb{C} 1$ so that $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}=\mathrm{Id}$. Hence $T(M)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_{t}^{\varphi}=\mathrm{Id}\right\}=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$.

Finally, notice that the above T-set formula shows that $M$ is semifinite if and only if $\varphi$ is tracial. Thus it is impossible that $M$ becomes of type $\mathrm{II}_{\infty}$ or type $\mathrm{I}_{\infty}$. It is also impossible that $M$ becomes of type $\mathrm{I}_{n}$ due to its infinite dimensionality.

We will then prove that the free product von Neumann algebra $M$ satisfies $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ under the same hypothesis as in Theorem 3.4. Let $M^{\omega}, M_{1}^{\omega}$ and $M_{2}^{\omega}$ be the ultraproducts of $M, M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively. Thanks to Lemma $2.1, M_{1}^{\omega}$ and $M_{2}^{\omega}$ can naturally be regarded as von Neumann subalgebras of $M^{\omega}$, and $E_{i}^{\omega}: M^{\omega} \rightarrow M_{i}^{\omega}$ denotes the canonical lifting of $E_{i}$, $i=1$, 2, see Section 2.2.

Proposition 3.5. If there exist a faithful normal state $\psi$ on $M_{1}$ and $u, v \in\left(\left(M_{1}\right)_{\psi}\right)^{u}$ such that $\varphi_{1}\left(u^{n}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}$ and $\psi\left(v^{n}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then for any $x \in\{u, v\}^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}$ and any $y^{\circ} \in M_{2}^{\circ}$ one has $\left\|y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right\|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}} \leqslant\left\|\left[x, y^{\circ}\right]\right\|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}$.

Proof. As in [25, Proposition 5] an estimate technique used below is essentially borrowed from [19, Lemma 2.1], but several additional, technical difficulties occur because we use $\psi \circ E_{1}$ instead of the free product state $\varphi$.

In what follows, write $M_{1}^{\nabla}:=\operatorname{Ker}(\psi)$. It is not hard to see that $\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{1}^{\circ}\right)$ coincides with the linear span of the following sets of words:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}, \quad M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}, \quad M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}, \quad M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the decompositions $x \in M_{i} \mapsto \varphi_{i}(x) 1+\left(x-\varphi_{i}(x) 1\right) \in \mathbb{C} 1+M_{i}^{\circ}(i=1,2)$ and $x \in$ $M_{1} \mapsto \psi(x) 1+(x-\psi(x) 1) \in \mathbb{C} 1+M_{1}^{\nabla}$. Here and in the rest of this paper we denote, for example, by $M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}$ the set of all words $x_{1}^{\circ} x_{2}^{\circ} \cdots x_{2 n}^{\circ} y^{\nabla}$ with the following properties: $n$ can be an arbitrary natural number, both $x_{2 \ell-1}^{\circ} \in M_{1}^{\circ}$ and $x_{2 \ell}^{\circ} \in M_{2}^{\circ}$ hold for every $\ell=1, \ldots, n$ and moreover $y^{\nabla} \in M_{1}^{\nabla}$. We easily have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right) \subset M_{1}^{\nabla}+\operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right), \\
\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right)^{*}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right), \\
\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right), \\
\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right)^{*}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right), \\
\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \subset \operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right), \\
\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right)^{*}\left(M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right) \subset M_{1}^{\nabla}+\operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

by using the decompositions $x \in M_{i} \mapsto \varphi_{i}(x) 1+\left(x-\varphi_{i}(x) 1\right) \in \mathbb{C} 1+M_{i}^{\circ}(i=1,2)$ again and again. Hence the four sets of words in (3.1) are mutually orthogonal in $L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$. Write $\mathcal{H}:=L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$ for simplicity and denote by $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \mathcal{X}_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{3}, \mathcal{X}_{4}$ the closed subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by the sets in (3.1), respectively, inside $\mathcal{H}$ via $\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}$. Then we see $\mathcal{H}=\overline{\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)} \oplus}$ $\mathcal{X}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{4}$ as in the proof of Proposition 3.1. Denote by $P_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$, the projection from $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{X}_{k}$, and clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}-\sum_{k=1}^{4} P_{k}\right) \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x)=\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(E_{1}(x)\right), \quad x \in M . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define two unitary operators $S, T$ on $\mathcal{H}$ by

$$
S \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x):=\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(u x u^{*}\right), \quad T \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x):=\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(v x v^{*}\right)
$$

for $x \in M$. Here is a simple claim.

Claim 3.6. We have:
(3.6.1) $\left\{S^{n} \mathcal{X}_{k}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of mutually orthogonal subspaces for $k=3,4$.
(3.6.2) $\left\{T^{n} \mathcal{X}_{2}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of mutually orthogonal subspaces.

Proof. (3.6.1) is shown easily, so left to the reader. (3.6.2) follows from

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(v^{n}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right) v^{-n}\right)^{*}\left(v^{m}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{2}^{\circ}\right) v^{-m}\right) \\
& \quad=v^{n} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots\left(M_{1}^{\circ} v^{m-n} M_{1}^{\circ}\right) \cdots M_{2}^{\circ} v^{-m} \subset \mathbb{C} v^{n-m}+v^{n} \operatorname{Ker}\left(E_{1}\right) v^{-m} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact, one easily observes $M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots\left(M_{1}^{\circ} v^{m-n} M_{1}^{\circ}\right) \cdots M_{2}^{\circ} \subset \mathbb{C} 1+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{1}^{\circ}\right)$ by using the decompositions $x \in M_{i} \mapsto \varphi_{i}(x) 1+\left(x-\varphi_{i}(x) 1\right) \in \mathbb{C} 1+M_{i}^{\circ}(i=1,2)$ again and again.

Choose and fix arbitrary $x \in\{u, v\}^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}$, and let $(x(m))_{m}$ be its representative. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-u^{n} x(m) u^{-n}\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}=\left\|\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(x-u^{n} x u^{-n}\right)\right\|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}=0, \\
& \lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-v^{n} x(m) v^{-n}\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}=\| \Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}\left(x-v^{n} x v^{-n}\right) \|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}=0 .} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for each $\varepsilon>0$ and each $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a neighborhood $W$ in $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ at $\omega$ so that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-u^{n} x(m) u^{n}\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}<\varepsilon, \\
\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-v^{n} x(m) v^{n}\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}<\varepsilon \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $|n| \leqslant n_{0}$ and for every $m \in W \cap \mathbb{N}$. For $k=3,4$ and for every $m \in W \cap \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|P_{k} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 n_{0}+1} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}}\left\|S^{n} P_{k} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant \frac{1}{2 n_{0}+1} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}} 2\left(\left\|S^{n} P_{k} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))-S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{2}{2 n_{0}+1} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}}\left(\left\|S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(u^{n} x(m) u^{-n}-x(m)\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}\right. \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant \frac{2}{2 n_{0}+1} \sum_{n=-n_{0}}^{n_{0}}\left(\varepsilon^{2}+\left\|S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{2}{2 n_{0}+1}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2 \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{2}{2 n_{0}+1}\left\|(x(m))_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first equality is due to the unitarity of $S$, the second inequality is obtained by the parallelogram identity, the fourth is due to (3.3), and the fifth comes from (3.6.1) together with the fact that $S^{n} P_{k} S^{-n}$ is the projection onto $S^{n} \mathcal{X}_{k}$. The exactly same argument with replacing $S$ and (3.6.1) by $T$ and (3.6.2) shows

$$
\left\|P_{2} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}^{2}<2 \varepsilon^{2}+\frac{2}{2 n_{0}+1}\left\|(x(m))_{m}\right\|_{\infty}^{2}
$$

for every $m \in W \cap \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, for each $\delta>0$ there is a neighborhood $W_{\delta}$ in $\beta(\mathbb{N})$ at $\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}\right) \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}}<\delta \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as long as $m \in W_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{N}$.
We then regard $L^{2}\left(M^{\omega},\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}\right)$ as a closed subspace of the ultraproduct $\mathcal{H}^{\omega}$ as explained in Section 2.2. Choose and fix arbitrary $y^{\circ} \in M_{2}^{\circ}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right)-\left[\left(y^{\circ} P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\omega}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x(m)-E_{1}(x(m))\right)\right)-y^{\circ} P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{m \in W_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{N}}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x(m)-E_{1}(x(m))\right)\right)-y^{\circ} P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \\
& \leqslant\left\|y^{\circ}\right\|_{\infty} \sup _{m \in W_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{N}}\left\|\left(P_{2}+P_{3}+P_{4}\right) \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \quad(\text { use (3.2) }) \\
& \quad<\left\|y^{\circ}\right\|_{\infty} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

by (3.4). Since $\delta>0$ is arbitrary, one has, in $\mathcal{H}^{\omega}$,

$$
\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right)=\left[\left(y^{\circ} P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right] .
$$

Also it is trivial that

$$
\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ} E_{1}^{\omega}(x)-E_{1}^{\omega}(x) y^{\circ}\right)=\left[\left(\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y^{\circ} E_{1}(x(m))-E_{1}(x(m)) y^{\circ}\right)\right)_{m}\right] .
$$

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{n}^{\circ} & :=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-t^{2} / n} \sigma_{t}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y^{\circ}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{n \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-t^{2} / n}\left[D \psi: D \varphi_{1}\right]_{t} \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(y^{\circ}\right)\left[D \psi: D \varphi_{1}\right]_{t}^{*} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

which falls into the $\sigma$-weak or equivalently $\sigma$-strong closure of the linear span of $M_{1} M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}$. Remark (see [23, Lemma VIII.2.3]) that $t \mapsto \sigma_{t}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)$ is extended to an entire function, still denoted by $\sigma_{z}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right), z \in \mathbb{C}$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y_{n}^{\circ} \rightarrow y^{\circ} \sigma$-weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each fixed $n$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right) y_{n}^{\circ}\right)-\left[\left(J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right]\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{\omega}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\left\|\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(\left(x(m)-E_{1}(x(m))\right) y_{n}^{\circ}\right)-J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{m \rightarrow \omega}\left\|J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J\left(\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-E_{1}(x(m))\right)-P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \\
& \quad \leqslant \sup _{m \in W_{\delta} \cap \mathbb{N}}\left\|J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J\left(\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(x(m)-E_{1}(x(m))\right)-P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)\right\|_{\psi \circ E_{1}} \\
& \quad<\left\|J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J\right\|_{\infty} \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

as before by (3.2), (3.4), where $J$ is the modular conjugation of $M \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}=L^{2}\left(M, \psi \circ E_{1}\right)$ and we used [23, Lemma VIII.3.10]. Hence we get

$$
\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right) y_{n}^{\circ}\right)=\left[\left(J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right]
$$

in $\mathcal{H}^{\omega}$. Note that $M_{1}^{\nabla} y_{n}^{\circ}$ sits in the $\sigma$-strong closure of the linear span of $M_{1}^{\nabla} M_{1} M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}$ $\left(\subset M_{1} M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}\right)$. Then we observe that

$$
y^{\circ} P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m)) \in \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(\underbrace{M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}}_{\text {length } \geqslant 4})}
$$

is orthogonal to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y^{\circ} E_{1}(x(m))-E_{1}(x(m)) y^{\circ}\right) \in \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}-M_{1} M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \\
\subset \overline{\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{2}^{\circ}\right)} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{2}^{\circ} M_{1}^{\nabla}\right)} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ}\right)}, \\
J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J P_{1} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(x(m)) \in J \sigma_{-i / 2}^{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(y_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{*} J \cdot \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla}\right)} \\
\subset \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\nabla} y_{n}^{\circ}\right)} \subset \overline{\Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}\left(M_{1}\right)} \oplus \overline{\operatorname{span} \Lambda_{\psi \circ E_{1}}(\underbrace{\left.M_{1}^{\circ} M_{2}^{\circ} \cdots\right)}_{\text {length } \geqslant 2}},}
\end{gathered}
$$

which can be checked by using the decompositions $x \in M_{i} \mapsto \varphi_{i}(x) 1+\left(x-\varphi_{i}(x) 1\right) \in$ $\mathbb{C} 1+M_{i}^{\circ}(i=1,2)$ and $x \in M_{1} \mapsto \psi(x) 1+(x-\psi(x) 1) \in \mathbb{C} 1+M_{1}^{\nabla}$. Hence we conclude that $\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right)$ is orthogonal to $\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ} E_{1}^{\omega}(x)-E_{1}^{\omega}(x) y^{\circ}\right)$ and $\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right) y_{n}^{\circ}\right)$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right) y^{\circ}\right) \mid \Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right)\right)_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}} \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right) y_{n}^{\circ}\right) \mid \Lambda_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right)\right)_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $y_{n}^{\circ} \rightarrow y^{\circ} \sigma$-weakly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore,

$$
\left\|y^{\circ}\left(x-E_{1}^{\omega}(x)\right)\right\|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}} \leqslant\left\|y^{\circ} x-x y^{\circ}\right\|_{\left(\psi \circ E_{1}\right)^{\omega}} .
$$

Hence we are done.
As in Theorem 3.4 the previous proposition implies the next theorem.
Theorem 3.7. If $M_{1} \neq \mathbb{C} \neq M_{2}$ and if either $M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$ is diffuse, then $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. Also, if either $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\varphi_{1}}$ or $\left(M_{2}\right)_{\varphi_{2}}$ is diffuse and the other $\left(M_{i}\right)_{\varphi_{i}} \neq \mathbb{C}$, then $\left(M_{\varphi}\right)^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We may and do assume that $M_{1}$ is diffuse. As we saw in Theorem 3.4, there is a faithful normal state $\psi$ on $M_{1}$ whose centralizer contains a diffuse von Neumann subalgebra $A$. Since $A$ is diffuse, one can construct two von Neumann subalgebras $B_{1}, B_{2}$ of $A$ in such a way that $\left(B_{1},\left.\varphi_{1}\right|_{B_{1}}\right)$ and $\left(B_{2},\left.\psi\right|_{B_{2}}\right)$ are copies of the infinite tensor product of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ with the equal weight state $(1 / 2,1 / 2)$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\left(L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T}), \int_{\mathbb{T}} \cdot \mu_{\mathbb{T}}(d \zeta)\right)$ with the Haar probability measure $\mu_{\mathbb{T}}$ on the 1 -dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}$ (see e.g. [22, Theorem III.1.22]). Hence one can find $u, v \in A^{u}$ in such a way that $\varphi_{1}\left(u^{n}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}$ and $\psi\left(v^{n}\right)=\delta_{n, 0}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $M_{2} \neq \mathbb{C}$, there are two orthogonal non-zero $e_{1}, e_{2} \in M_{2}^{p}$ with $e_{1}+e_{2}=1$. Set $y^{\circ}:=\varphi_{2}\left(e_{2}\right) e_{1}-\varphi_{2}\left(e_{1}\right) e_{2} \in M_{2}^{\circ}$, and by Proposition 3.5 we get $\left\{u, v, y^{\circ}\right\}^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega} \subseteq M_{1}^{\omega}$, since $y^{\circ}$ is invertible. It is easy to see that $M_{1}^{\omega}$ and $M_{2}^{\omega}$ are free with respect to $\varphi^{\omega}$ (see e.g. [25, Proposition 4]). Hence we conclude $\left\{u, v, y^{\circ}\right\}^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C} 1$ because $\left(M_{1}^{\omega}\right)^{\circ} y^{\circ}, y^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\omega}\right)^{\circ}$ are orthogonal in $L^{2}\left(M^{\omega}, \varphi^{\omega}\right)$.

The last assertion follows from the same argument as above. In fact, we may and do assume that $\left(M_{1}\right)_{\varphi_{1}}$ is diffuse and $\left(M_{2}\right)_{\varphi_{2}} \neq \mathbb{C}$. Then the above $\psi$ is chosen as $\varphi_{1}$ itself and consequently $u=v \in\left(M_{1}\right)_{\varphi_{1}}$. Moreover one can choose $y^{\circ}$ from $\left(M_{2}\right)_{\varphi_{2}}$. Thus the above argument shows that $\left(M_{\varphi}\right)^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega} \subseteq\left\{u=v, y^{\circ}\right\}^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.

## 4. Main theorem

### 4.1. Statements

Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be arbitrary $\sigma$-finite von Neumann algebras and $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ be arbitrary faithful normal states on them, respectively. For the questions mentioned in Section 1 we may and do assume that $M_{1} \neq \mathbb{C} \neq M_{2}$ and $\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{1}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(M_{2}\right) \geqslant 5$. Otherwise, the resulting free product von Neumann algebra $M$ is either $M_{1}\left(\right.$ when $\left.M_{2}=\mathbb{C}\right), M_{2}\left(\right.$ when $\left.M_{1}=\mathbb{C}\right)$ or $(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}) \star(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ whose structure is explicitly determined by the structure theorem on two freely independent projections, see [29, Example 3.6.7] and [5, Theorem 1.1]. We can write $M_{i}=M_{i, d} \oplus M_{i, c}$ ( $i=1,2$ ), where

$$
M_{i, d}=\sum_{j \in J_{i}}^{\oplus} B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i j}\right) \text { or }=0 \quad \text { possibly with } d_{i j}:=\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathcal{H}_{i j}\right)=\infty
$$

and $M_{i, c}$ is diffuse or $M_{i, c}=0$. We can then choose a matrix unit system $\left\{e_{s t}^{(i j)}\right\}_{s, t}$ of $B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i j}\right)$ that diagonalizes the density operator of $\left.\varphi_{i}\right|_{B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i j}\right)}$, that is,

$$
\left.\varphi_{i}\right|_{B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i j}\right)}=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^{d_{i j}} \lambda_{s}^{(i j)} e_{s s}^{(i j)}\right) .\right)
$$

with $\lambda_{1}^{(i j)} \geqslant \lambda_{2}^{(i j)} \geqslant \cdots$.
With these notations the main theorem of this section is stated as follows.

Theorem 4.1. Under the above assumption the resulting free product von Neumann algebra $M$ of $\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$ is of the form $M_{d} \oplus M_{c}$ possibly with $M_{d}=0$, where $M_{d}$ is a multimatrix algebra and $M_{c}$ a factor of type $I I_{1}$ or $I I I_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ whose $T$-set $T\left(M_{c}\right)$ is computed as $\left\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid \sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$. Moreover $M_{c}$ always satisfies $M_{c}^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, and hence $\left(M_{c}\right)_{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.

The explicit description of the multi-matrix part $M_{d}$ is as follows. If the supremum of all $\varphi_{i}(e)$ with minimal $e \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{i, d}\right)^{p}, i=1,2$, is attained by only one minimal $p \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{i_{0}, d}\right)^{p}$ with $i_{0} \in\{1,2\}$ such that $M_{i_{0}, d} p=\mathbb{C} p$, and moreover if
with $\left\{i_{0}^{\prime}\right\}=\{1,2\} \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$, then the multi-matrix part $M_{d}$ is isomorphic to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j \in J_{i_{0}^{\prime}}^{\circ}}^{\oplus} B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i_{0}^{\prime} j}\right) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the copy of $B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i_{0}^{\prime} j}\right)$ inside $M_{d}$ is given by the matrix unit system

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}:=e_{s d}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\left(\bigwedge_{t^{\prime}=1}^{d} e_{d t^{\prime}}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\left(p \wedge e_{t^{\prime} t^{\prime}}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\right) e_{t^{\prime} d}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\right) e_{d t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}, \quad 1 \leqslant s, t \leqslant d:=d_{i_{0}^{\prime} j} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(n.b. $d=d_{i_{0}^{\prime} j}$ must be finite due to (4.1)). Moreover the free product state $\varphi$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(f_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\right)=\delta_{s t} \lambda_{s}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}\left(1-\left(1-\varphi_{i_{0}}(p)\right) \sum_{r=1}^{d_{i_{0}^{\prime} j}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{r}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, $M_{d}=0$.

Remarks 4.2. (1) The only one 'largest' central minimal projection $p$ in the explicit description of $M_{d}$ must satisfy $\varphi_{i_{0}}(p) \supsetneqq 1 / 2$. (2) The condition (4.1) says that only $B\left(\mathcal{H}_{i_{0}^{\prime} j}\right)$ with $d_{i_{0}^{\prime} j} \leqq$ $1 /\left(1-\varphi_{i_{0}}(p)\right)$ may appear in the multi-matrix part $M_{d}$. (3) The matrix units $f_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime}, j\right)}$, sin (4.3) are nothing less than the 'meet' of $p$ and the $e_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime}, j\right)}$,s in the sense of Dykema [7, §1]. Note that (4.3) shows that the minimal $p \in \mathcal{Z}\left(M_{i_{0}, d}\right)^{p}$ in the description of $M_{d}$ dominates $1_{M_{d}}$. (4) The proof below (see Section 4.2.3) shows a very strong 'ergodicity' of $\varphi$, that is, $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, at least when both $M_{1}=M_{1, d}$ and $M_{2}=M_{2, d}$ hold. (5) Theorem 4.1 completes to show the following expected fact: the given 'non-trivial' free product von Neumann algebra $M$ is 'amenable' if and only if both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are 2-dimensional, which is analogous to the well-known fact that only $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \star \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ becomes amenable among the free product groups.

As mentioned in Section 1 some recent results in Popa's deformation/rigidity theory due to Chifan-Houdayer and Houdayer-Ricard enable us to give more facts on the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$.

Corollary 4.3. If $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have separable preduals, then the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ always has the following properties: (1) $M_{c}$ is prime, that is, there is no pair $P_{1}, P_{2}$ of diffuse factors such that $M_{c}=P_{1} \bar{\otimes} P_{2}$. (2) If the given $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are hyperfinite (or amenable), then any nonhyperfinite von Neumann subalgebra of $M_{c}$ which is the range of a faithful normal conditional expectation from $M_{c}$ has no Cartan subalgebra.

Proof. When $M=M_{c}$, [2, Theorem 5.2] directly implies the assertion (1) since we have known that $M$ is a full factor (and hence not hyperfinite). When $M \neq M_{c}$, the same proof of [2, Theorem 5.2] with replacing the projection $p \in L(\mathbb{R})$ there by $1_{M_{c}} \otimes p \in \mathcal{Z}(M) \bar{\otimes} L(\mathbb{R})$ (which sits inside the continuous core of $M$ ) works well for showing that $M_{c}$ is prime, since $M_{c}$ is a full factor. The assertion (2) on $M_{c}$ is easily derived from [11, Theorem 5.4(2)] as follows. Suppose that a given non-hyperfinite von Neumann subalgebra $N$ of $M_{c}$ which is the range of a faithful normal conditional expectation $E_{N}$ from $M_{c}$ has a Cartan subalgebra $A$. We can choose a Cartan subalgebra $B$ of $M_{d}$ since $M_{d}$ is a multi-matrix algebra. It is clear that $B \oplus A$ becomes a Cartan subalgebra of $M_{d} \oplus N$, a von Neumann subalgebra of $M$, which is the range of $\operatorname{Id}_{M_{d}} \oplus E_{N}$. Hence, applying [11, Theorem 5.4] to $B \oplus A \subset M_{d} \oplus N$ we conclude that $M_{d} \oplus N$ must be hyperfinite under the assumption of the assertion (2). But this contradicts the non-hyperfiniteness of $N$.

Remark that the statement of the above (2) is almost valid true even when $M$ (or $M_{c}$ ) has the weak* complete metric approximation property (see e.g. [15, Definition 2.9]); under that assumption the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ has no Cartan subalgebra due to [11, Theorem 5.4(1)]. A very recent work due to Ozawa [14] makes it hold under the weaker assumption that $M$ (or $M_{c}$ ) has the weak* completely bounded approximation property.

### 4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1

This subsection is entirely devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.1. We start with one simple fact which will repeatedly be used in the proof without any claim. If a given (unital) inclusion of von Neumann algebras, say $N_{1} \subseteq N_{2}$, satisfies that $\left(p N_{1} p\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(p N_{2} p\right)=N_{1}^{\prime} p \cap\left(p N_{2} p\right)=\mathbb{C} p$ and $c_{N_{1}}(p)=1$ for some non-zero $p \in N_{1}^{p}$, then the original inclusion is stably isomorphic to
$p N_{1} p \subseteq p N_{2} p$ and hence $N_{1}^{\prime} \cap N_{2}=\mathbb{C}$. In fact, choose arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{Z}\left(N_{1}\right)^{p}$. Then $f p=$ $p f p$ falls into $\mathcal{Z}\left(p N_{1} p\right)^{p}$ so that $f p$ must be $p$ or 0 . If $f p=p$, then the definition of $c_{N_{1}}(p)$ implies $1=c_{N_{1}}(p) \leqslant f$, i.e., $f=1$. Also, if $f p=0$, then $f(x p \xi)=0$ for all $x \in N_{1}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, a Hilbert space on which $N_{1}$ acts. This shows $f=0$, since $N_{1} p \mathcal{H}$ is a dense subspace of $c_{N_{1}}(p) \mathcal{H}$ and $c_{N_{1}}(p)=1$. Hence $N_{1}$ is a factor. Therefore we conclude that $p N_{1} p \subseteq p N_{2} p$ is stably isomorphic to the original $N_{1} \subseteq N_{2}$ in the same way as in the proof of the fact that the property of ' $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ ' is a stably isomorphic one (see Section 2.2).

If $M_{1, d}=0$ or $M_{2, d}=0$, then the desired assertions immediately follow from Theorem 3.4, Theorem 3.7 in Section 3. Hence we need to deal with only the following cases:
(a) All $M_{1, d}, M_{1, c}, M_{2, d}$ and $M_{2, c}$ are not 0 .
(b) $M_{1, d}, M_{1, c}$ and $M_{2, d}$ are not 0 , but $M_{2, c}=0$.
(c) $M_{1, d}, M_{2, d}$ and $M_{2, c}$ are not 0 , but $M_{1, c}=0$.
(d) Both $M_{1, c}$ and $M_{2, c}$ are 0 .

Lemma 2.2 enables us to reduce the cases (a), (b), (c) to the case of $M_{1, d}=0$ or $M_{2, d}=0$ and the case (d). Thus, if the case (d) is assumed to be confirmed already, then one can easily treat the other cases as follows.

### 4.2.1. The proof of the cases (b), (c)

By switching $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ if necessary it suffices to deal with only (b). Consider the inclusion $M \supset N:=\left(M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{M_{1, c}}\right) \vee M_{2}$. Clearly $\left(N,\left.\varphi\right|_{N}\right)$ is the free product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C} 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\varphi_{1}\right|_{M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{M_{1, c}}}\right) \star\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 the pair $\left(1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}}}\right)$ is also the free product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1, c},\left.\left(1 / \varphi_{1}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi_{1}\right|_{M_{1, c}}\right) \star\left(1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}}}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c_{N}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)=c_{M}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)$. Note here that the free product (4.5) is in the case (d) since $M_{2}=M_{2, d}$. If $\varphi_{1}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)$ is strictly greater than the supremum of all $\varphi_{i}(e)$ with minimal $e \in$ $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{i, d}\right)^{p}, i=1,2$, then the central support projection $c_{N}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)$ must be 1 since all the assertions are assumed to hold in the case (d). Hence $M$ is stably isomorphic to $1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}}$ that satisfies the desired assertions except the T-set formula by Theorem 3.4 and Theorem 3.7 due to (4.6). We have known that $T(M)=T\left(1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}}\right)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}\left|\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$, and then by assumption $\left.\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}}}=\mathrm{Id}$ if and only if $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1} \mid M_{1, d}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}$ since $1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}}$ contains, as direct summand, a certain non-trivial compressed algebra of the diffuse factor part $N_{c}$ by a projection in $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$. Hence we have obtained the desired T-set formula. By the Tset formula, it is easy to observe that $M$ is never of type $\mathrm{II}_{\infty}$ so that the assertion on the type of $M=M_{c}$ holds. The triviality of $M^{\prime} \cap M^{\omega}$ with $M=M_{c}$ also holds since the property of ${ }^{\prime} N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ ' is a stably isomorphic one as remarked in Section 2.2.

Otherwise, that is, when $\varphi_{1}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)$ is less than the supremum of all $\varphi_{i}(e)$ with minimal $e \in$ $\mathcal{Z}\left(M_{i, d}\right)^{p}, i=1,2$, what we show in the case (d) says that $N$ is either

- $M_{d} \oplus \mathbb{C} q \oplus N_{c}$ with $c_{N}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)=q+1_{N_{c}}$, or
- $M_{d} \oplus N_{c}$ with $c_{N}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)=1_{N_{c}}$,
- $N_{c}$,
where $M_{d}$ is (4.2) and $N_{c}$ is either a factor of type $\mathrm{II}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{III}_{\lambda}$ with $\lambda \neq 0$, or $L^{\infty}(0,1) \bar{\otimes} M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ if $M_{1 d}=\mathbb{C}$ and $M_{2 d}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ (at this point we need the structure theorem on two freely independent projections), such that $T\left(N_{c}\right)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}\left|\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{1}}\right|_{M_{1, d}}=\mathrm{Id}=\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\right\}$. Then, by (4.6) one easily observes that $M=M_{d} \oplus M_{c}$ or $M=M_{c}$, and moreover $M_{c}$ is stably isomorphic to $1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}}$. Therefore the exactly same argument as the previous one shows the desired assertions. Hence we are done in the cases (b), (c).


### 4.2.2. The proof of the case (a)

Consider the inclusion $M \supset N:=\left(M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C}_{M_{1, c}}\right) \vee M_{2}$. Clearly $\left(N,\left.\varphi\right|_{N}\right)$ is the free product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C} 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\varphi_{1}\right|_{M_{1, d} \oplus \mathbb{C} 1_{M_{1, c}}}\right) \star\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right) \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.2 the pair $\left(1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} M 1_{M_{1, c}}}\right)$ is also the free product

$$
\left(M_{1, c},\left.\left(1 / \varphi_{1}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi_{1}\right|_{M_{1, c}}\right) \star\left(1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{1_{M_{1, c}} N 1_{M_{1, c}}}\right)
$$

and $c_{N}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)=c_{M}\left(1_{M_{1, c}}\right)$. Note that the free product (4.7) falls into the case (c), and therefore, by using what we have established in dealing with the cases (b), (c) we can conclude the desired assertions in the same way as in the cases (b), (c). Hence we are done in the case (a).

### 4.2.3. The proof of the case (d)

The proof below will essentially be done by induction together with several case-by-case arguments (and thus the proof is not so difficult analytically, though it looks complicated at a glance). The principal aim here is to prove $\left(M_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ (since [8] does not discuss it in full generality). In the course of proving it, we will 're-prove' all the facts on the structure of $M_{d}$ presented in [8] such as (4.1)-(4.4). The desired T-set formula of $M_{c}$ follows from $\left(M_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap$ $M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ as well as the fact that every $x_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}:=e_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j\right)}-f_{s t}^{\left(i_{0}^{\prime} j^{0}\right)}$ with $f_{s t}^{\left(i_{j}^{\prime} j\right)}$ in (4.3) defines a non-zero eigenvector in $M_{c}$ for $\sigma^{\varphi}$. Hence our proof below is independent of that given in [8].

Step 1. Abelian case. We first consider the following special case:

$$
\left.\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K_{1}} \underset{\substack{\underset{\alpha_{k}}{\mathbb{C}}}}{\underset{p_{k}}{\mathbb{C}},} \quad\left(M_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{K_{1}} \oplus \underset{\beta_{k}}{\underset{C}{q_{k}}} \quad \text { (possibly with } K_{1}, K_{2}=\infty\right)
$$

(we use the notations in [5]), where we may and do assume that $\alpha_{1} \geqslant \alpha_{2} \geqslant \cdots \ngtr 0, \beta_{1} \geqslant \beta_{2} \geqslant$ $\cdots \nRightarrow 0$ and $\alpha_{1} \geqslant \beta_{1}$. The free products of this form were already studied in detail by Dykema [5]. His consequence agrees with the statements of Theorem 4.1. (We should point out that he further proved that the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ is always isomorphic to an (interpolated) free group factor in this case.) The case of both $K_{i}<\infty(i=1,2)$ is treated as [5, Theorem 2.3]. However the same tedious and elementary induction argument as there with the help of Theorem 3.7 instead
of e.g. [5, Lemma 1.3, Lemma 1.4, Remark 1.5] (which heavily depend on so-called 'random matrix machinery') shows the desired assertions. The case where either $K_{1}$ or $K_{2}$ is infinite is treated in [5, Theorem 4.6], but the argument presented in Step 3 below reduces this case to the previous one, i.e., both $K_{i}<\infty$.

Step 2. Non-commutative but the centers are finite-dimensional - most essential step. Assume that both $M_{1}=M_{1, d}$ and $M_{2}=M_{2, d}$ have the finite-dimensional centers, that is, both $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are finite sets. The proof will be done by induction in the number of non-trivial $B(\mathcal{H})$ components so that we have assumed that both $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have the finite-dimensional centers. Thanks to Step 1, as induction hypothesis we may assume, by switching $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ if necessary, that $M$ has the following structure:

- $M_{2}$ has $B(\mathcal{K})$ (possibly with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K})=\infty$ ) as a direct summand, i.e., $M_{2}=B(\mathcal{K}) \oplus Q_{2}$.
- The density operator of $\left.\varphi_{2}\right|_{B(\mathcal{K})}$ is diagonalized by a matrix unit system $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}$ of $B(\mathcal{K})$.
- With letting

$$
N_{2}:=\sum_{i}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} e_{i i} \oplus Q_{2}\left(\subset M_{2}\right)
$$

$N:=M_{1} \vee N_{2}$ equipped with $\left.\varphi\right|_{N}$ is nothing less than the free product $\left(M_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right) \star\left(N_{2},\left.\varphi_{2}\right|_{N_{2}}\right)$ that satisfies the following: $N$ is decomposed into a direct sum $N=N_{d} \oplus N_{c}$ of a multimatrix algebra $N_{d}$ whose structure agrees with the statement of Theorem 4.1 and a diffuse factor $N_{c}$ with $\left(\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap N_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.
(Remark that the above assumption on $N_{c}$ does not hold as it is only when $M_{1}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $M_{2}=B(\mathcal{K})$ with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K})=2$, but the argument below still works in the case too with the help of the structure theorem on two freely independent projections.) There are two possibilities, that is,
(2-i) at least one of the diagonals $e_{i i}$ 's falls in $N_{c}$,
(2-ii) no diagonal $e_{i i}$ falls in $N_{c}$, i.e., both $e_{i i} 1_{N_{c}} \neq 0$ and $e_{i i} 1_{N_{d}} \neq 0$ hold for every $i$.
Note that only $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K})<\infty$ is possible in the case (2-ii).
Case (2-i). This case has no counterpart in [5].
Let us assume that $e_{k k} \in N_{c}$ for some $k$. We may assume $k=1$. Consider the following sets of words:

$$
e_{1 i}(\underbrace{\left.\begin{array}{lll}
M_{1}^{\circ} & \cdots & M_{1}^{\circ}
\end{array}\right) e_{j 1} \quad(\text { for all possible } i, j) . . . . . .}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}}
$$

Let $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \mathcal{X}_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{4}$ be the closed subspaces, in the standard Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}:=$ $L^{2}(M, \varphi)$ via $\Lambda_{\varphi}$, generated by $e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11}$, by $e_{1 i}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{j 1}$ with $i \neq 1 \neq j$, by $e_{1 i}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11}$ with $i \neq 1$ and by $e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{j 1}$ with $j \neq 1$, respectively. It is easy to see, due to $e_{i j} \in M_{2}^{\circ}$ for $i \neq j$, that those $\mathcal{X}_{1}, \mathcal{X}_{2}, \mathcal{X}_{3}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{4}$ are mutually orthogonal and
moreover that

$$
\mathcal{H}_{0}:=\overline{\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11} M e_{11}\right)}=\mathbb{C} \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}\right) \oplus \mathcal{X}_{1} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{2} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{3} \oplus \mathcal{X}_{4}
$$

(The last assertion immediately follows from the facts that $M_{2}+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash M_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ forms a dense $*$-subalgebra of $M$ in any von Neumann algebra topology and that $M_{2} e_{11}$ and $e_{11} M_{2}$ are generated as linear subspaces by the $e_{j 1}$ 's and the $e_{1 i}$ 's, respectively.) By assumption one can choose $u \in\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ in such a way that $u^{*} u=u u^{*}=e_{11}$ and $\varphi\left(u^{n}\right)=\delta_{n 0} \varphi\left(e_{11}\right)$, since $e_{11} \in N_{c}$ and $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi_{2}}\left(e_{11}\right)=e_{11}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we can define a unitary operator $U$ on $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ by $U \Lambda_{\varphi}(x):=\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(u x u^{*}\right)$ for $x \in e_{11} M e_{11}$. Since $N_{2}+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash N_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ forms a dense *-subalgebra of $N$ in any von Neumann algebra topology, every non-trivial power $u^{n}$ can clearly be approximated, due to Kaplansky's density theorem, by a bounded net of linear combinations of words in

$$
e_{11}(\underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, \underline{N_{2}^{\circ}}}) e_{11} .
$$

Trivially $\left\{U^{n} \mathcal{X}_{2}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a family of mutually orthogonal subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. Since $N_{2}^{\circ} e_{1 i}=\mathbb{C} e_{1 i}=$ $e_{1 i} N_{2}^{\circ}$ and $N_{2}^{\circ} e_{j 1}=\mathbb{C} e_{j 1}=e_{j 1} N_{2}^{\circ}$ (both sit in $M_{2}^{\circ}$ ), we can prove that both $\left\{U^{n} \mathcal{X}_{3}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\left\{U^{n} \mathcal{X}_{4}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ also become families of mutually orthogonal subspaces of $\mathcal{H}_{0}$. The essential point of showing the mutual orthogonality of $\left\{U^{n} \mathcal{X}_{4}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is as follows. (Confirming that of $\left\{U^{n} \mathcal{X}_{3}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is easier than this case.) The problem is reduced to showing that any word in

$$
e_{1 j} \underbrace{\begin{array}{lll}
M_{1}^{\circ} & \cdots & M_{1}^{\circ}
\end{array} e_{11} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}} e_{11} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}} e_{j 1} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} M_{1}^{\circ}
$$

is in the kernel of $\varphi$. By approximating each letter by analytic elements we may assume that each letter of the word in question is analytic. Hence by using [23, Exercise VIII.2(2)] again and again we can transform the question to showing the same one for

$$
e_{11} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, \underline{N_{2}^{\circ}}} e_{11} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}} e_{j 1} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} M_{1}^{\circ} e_{1 j} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}} \text {. }
$$

(N.b. $\varphi_{i}\left(\sigma_{z}^{\varphi_{i}}(x)\right)=\varphi_{i}(x), z \in \mathbb{C}$, holds for every analytic $x, i=1,2$.) This question can easily be settled by using $N_{2}^{\circ} e_{j 1}=\mathbb{C} e_{j 1} \subseteq M_{2}^{\circ}$.

Choose arbitrary $x \in\{u\}^{\prime} \cap\left(e_{11} M e_{11}\right)^{\omega}=\{u\}^{\prime} \cap e_{11} M^{\omega} e_{11}$ with representative $(x(m))_{m}$. Denote by $P_{\mathcal{X}_{k}}$ the projection from $\mathcal{H}_{0}$ onto $\mathcal{X}_{k}$ for $k=1,2,3,4$. Then we can prove, in the exactly same way as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, that for a given $\gamma>0$ there is a neighborhood at $\omega$ on which

$$
\left\|P_{\mathcal{X}_{k}} \Lambda_{\varphi}(x(m))\right\|_{\varphi}<\gamma, \quad k=2,3,4 .
$$

By the assumption on $N_{c}$ one can choose an invertible element $y_{\ell}^{\circ}$ of $e_{\ell \ell} N e_{\ell \ell}$ with $\ell \neq 1$ in such a way that $\varphi\left(y_{\ell}^{\circ}\right)=0$ and $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\left(y_{\ell}^{\circ}\right)=y_{\ell}^{\circ}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. (See the proof of Theorem 3.7.) Set $y^{\circ}:=e_{1 \ell} y_{\ell}^{\circ} e_{\ell 1}$. Clearly $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\left(y^{\circ}\right)=y^{\circ}$ holds for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ (since the $e_{i j}$ 's diagonalize the
density operator of $\left.\varphi_{2}\right|_{B(\mathcal{K})}$ ) and $y^{\circ}$ can be approximated, due to Kaplansky's density theorem, by a bounded net consisting of linear combinations of words in $e_{1 \ell}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{\ell 1}$. Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\circ} \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11}\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{1 \ell}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11}\right), \\
J\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*} J \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11}\right)=\Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{11} y^{\circ}\right) \subseteq \Lambda_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{\ell 1}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

we see, as in the proof of Proposition 3.5, that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{\varphi^{\omega}}\left(y^{\circ}\left(x-\left(1 / \varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)\right) \varphi^{\omega}(x) e_{11}\right)\right)=\left[\left(y^{\circ} P_{\mathcal{X}_{1}} \Lambda_{\varphi}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right], \\
\Lambda_{\varphi^{\omega}}\left(\left(x-\left(1 / \varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)\right) \varphi^{\omega}(x) e_{11}\right) y^{\circ}\right)=\left[\left(J\left(y^{\circ}\right)^{*} J P_{\mathcal{X}_{1}} \Lambda_{\varphi}(x(m))\right)_{m}\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

are orthogonal to each other in the ultraproduct $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{\omega}\left(\subset \mathcal{H}^{\omega}\right)$, where $J$ is the modular conjugation of $M \curvearrowright \mathcal{H}$. This immediately implies that $\left\|y^{\circ}\left(x-\left(1 / \varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)\right) \varphi^{\omega}(x) e_{11}\right)\right\|_{\varphi^{\omega}} \leqslant\left\|\left[x, y^{\circ}\right]\right\|_{\varphi^{\omega}}$. Therefore $\left(e_{11} M_{\varphi} e_{11}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(e_{11} M^{\omega} e_{11}\right) \subseteq\left\{u, y^{\circ}\right\}^{\prime} \cap\left(e_{11} M e_{11}\right)^{\omega}=\mathbb{C} e_{11}$ since $y^{\circ}$ is invertible in $e_{11} M e_{11}$. Note that every $e_{i i} M e_{i i} \supseteq e_{i i} M_{\varphi} e_{i i}$ is conjugate to $e_{11} M e_{11} \supseteq e_{11} M_{\varphi} e_{11}$ via Ade $e_{1 i}$, and in particular, every $e_{i i} M_{\varphi} e_{i i}$ is a factor. Hence one can see that $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{11}\right)=\left(\sum_{i} 1_{N_{d}} e_{i i}\right)+$ $1_{N_{c}}$, since every $e_{i i}$ has a non-trivial part in $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ by the induction hypothesis here. Consequently $M=M_{d} \oplus M_{c}$ so that $M_{d}=N_{d}\left(1_{N_{d}}-\sum_{i} 1_{N_{d}} e_{i i}\right)$ and $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. In particular, $B(\mathcal{K})$ has no contribution to the multi-matrix part $M_{d}$, and this agrees with the condition (4.1).

Case (2-ii). We borrow some ideas from the proof of [5, Proposition 3.2], and then apply what we have provided in Section 3 straightforwardly.

Since $N_{2}+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash N_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ forms a dense $*$-subalgebra in $N$ in any von Neumann algebra topology and also since every $e_{i i}$ is minimal and central in $N_{2}$, the von Neumann subalgebra $e_{1 i} N e_{i 1}$ of $e_{11} M e_{11}(1 \leqq i \leqq n)$ is the closure of the linear span of $e_{11}$ and

$$
e_{1 i}(\underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \quad \cdots \quad M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}}) e_{i 1}
$$

in any von Neumann algebra topology, and thus the kernel of $\left.\varphi\right|_{e_{1 i} N e_{i 1}}$ is the closure of the linear span of $e_{1 i}\left(M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}\right) e_{i 1}$ 's in the same topology. It follows that the $e_{1 i} N e_{i 1}$ 's are free in $\left(e_{11} M e_{11},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(e_{11}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{e_{11} M e_{11}}\right)$. By the assumption here, there is a minimal and central projection $p \in M_{1}$ such that the multi-matrix part $N_{d}$ has the component
with $n=\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K})<+\infty$ and moreover that every $e_{i i}^{\prime}:=e_{i i}-p \wedge e_{i i}$ falls in $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$. Notice that every $\varphi\left(e_{i i}^{\prime}\right)$ is $1-\varphi_{1}(p)$ since (4.4) holds, i.e., $\varphi\left(p \wedge e_{i i}\right)=\varphi_{1}(p)+\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)-1$, by the assumption on $N_{d}$, and hence all $e_{i i}^{\prime}$ are (Murray-von Neumann) equivalent to each other in $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ since $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ is a factor. (Here and in the next line the structure theorem on two freely independent projections is necessary when $M_{1}=\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ and $M_{2}=B(\mathcal{K})$ with $\operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{K})=2$.) Therefore we can choose partial isometries $y_{i 1}(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ from $\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ in such a
way that $y_{i 1}^{*} y_{i 1}=e_{11}^{\prime}$ and $y_{i 1} y_{i 1}^{*}=e_{i i}^{\prime}$. The von Neumann subalgebra $P$ generated by $e_{11} N e_{11}=$ $\mathbb{C}\left(p \wedge e_{11}\right)+e_{11}^{\prime} N_{c} e_{11}^{\prime}$ and the $\mathbb{C} e_{1 i}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}\right) e_{i 1}+\mathbb{C} e_{1 i} e_{i i}^{\prime} e_{i 1}$ 's $(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$ in $e_{11} M e_{11}$ is nothing but the $n$-fold free product von Neumann algebra of

In what follows we may and do assume that $\varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)$ is the smallest among the $\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)$ 's (and thus $\left.1-\frac{1-\varphi_{1}(p)}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)} \leqslant 1-\frac{1-\varphi_{1}(p)}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)}\right)$. The inductive use of Theorem 3.7 and Lemma 2.2 together with the structure theorem on two freely independent projections enables us to show that $P=\stackrel{r}{\mathbb{C}} \oplus P_{c}$, where

$$
r:=\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} e_{1 i}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}\right) e_{i 1}, \quad \varphi(r)=\varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)\left(\max \left\{1-\left(1-\varphi_{1}(p)\right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)}, 0\right\}\right)
$$

(possibly with $r=0$ ), and also $\left(\left(P_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{P_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap P_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ holds since $\left(e_{11}^{\prime} N_{c} e_{11}^{\prime}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{e_{11}^{\prime} N_{c} c_{11}^{\prime}}}$ is diffuse. We also have $1_{P_{c}}=1_{P}-r=\bigvee_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$, since $q_{i}=1_{P}-e_{1 i}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}\right) e_{i 1}$. Let us prove $\left(\left(q_{1} M q_{1}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} M q_{1}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(q_{1} M^{\omega} q_{1}\right)=\mathbb{C} q_{1}$.

Consider the von Neumann subalgebra $\left\{q_{1}, q_{i}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ in $P(2 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$. The structure theorem on two freely independent projections enables us to choose a partial isometry $z_{i} \in\left\{q_{1}, q_{i}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ so that $z_{i}^{*} z_{i}=q_{i}$ and $z_{i} z_{i}^{*} \leqslant q_{1}$, since $\varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right) \leqslant \varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)$ (and thus $\left.\varphi\left(q_{1}\right) \geqslant \varphi\left(q_{i}\right), 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right)$. Then $w_{i}:=$ $z_{i} e_{1 i} y_{i 1}$ is an isometry in $q_{1} M q_{1}$ with $w_{i} w_{i}^{*}=z_{i} z_{i}^{*} \leqslant q_{1}$. Note that $e_{11} M e_{11}$ is generated by $q_{1} N q_{1}=q_{1} N_{c} q_{1}$ and the $e_{1 i} y_{i 1}$ 's together with $e_{11}$, and hence $q_{1} M q_{1}$ is generated by $q_{1} N q_{1}=$ $q_{1} N_{c} q_{1}, q_{1}\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime} q_{1}$ and the $w_{i}$ 's. (To see this, insert $q_{i}=z_{i}^{*} z_{i}$ before each $w_{i}$ and after each $w_{i}^{*}$ in any possible word in $q_{1} N q_{1}$ and the $w_{i}, w_{i}^{*}$ 's, and then regroup the resulting word.) We write $Q:=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ in $P$ and also $q_{i}^{\prime}:=z_{i} z_{i}^{*}\left(\leqslant q_{1}\right), 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, for simplicity.

Claim 4.4. (Cf. [5, Claim 3.2b and Claim 3.2c].) The 'restricted' traveling words in $\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ}=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} Q q_{1}}\right),\left\{w_{i}^{\ell},\left(w_{i}^{*}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\right\}, 2 \leqq i \leqq n$, form a total subset of the kernel of the restriction of $\varphi$ to $q_{1} Q q_{1} \vee\left\{w_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ in any von Neumann algebra topology. Here a traveling word $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{\ell}$ is said to be 'restricted' if $x_{k}=q_{i}^{\prime} x_{k} q_{i}^{\prime}$ holds (i.e., $x_{k}$ must fall in $\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ}=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}}\right)$ when $x_{k-1}=\left(w_{i}^{*}\right)^{\ell_{1}}, x_{k} \in\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ}$ and $x_{k+1}=\left(w_{i}\right)^{\ell_{2}}$ with some $\ell_{1}, \ell_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover any 'restricted' traveling word in $\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ},\left\{w_{i}^{\ell},\left(w_{i}^{*}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ 's and $\left(q_{1} N q_{1}\right)^{\circ}=$ $\operatorname{Ker}\left(\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} N q_{1}}\right)$ (in the above sense) is in the kernel of $\varphi$.

Proof. Notice that $w_{i}^{*} w_{i}=q_{1}$ and $w_{i} w_{i}^{*}=q_{i}^{\prime} \in q_{1}\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, \ldots, q_{n}\right\}^{\prime \prime} q_{1}$, and thus it is not difficult, by using the decompositions

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & \mapsto \frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi\left(q_{1}\right)} q_{1}+\left(x-\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi\left(q_{1}\right)} q_{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C} q_{1}+\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \\
x & \left(\text { if } x \in q_{1} N q_{1} \cup q_{1} Q q_{1}\right), \\
\varphi\left(q_{i}^{\prime}\right) & q_{i}^{\prime}+\left(x-\frac{\varphi(x)}{\varphi\left(q_{i}^{\prime}\right)} q_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in \mathbb{C} q_{i}^{\prime}+\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ} \quad\left(\text { if } x \in q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

again and again, to see that $q_{1}$ and all the possible 'restricted' traveling words in $\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ}$, $\left\{w_{i}^{\ell},\left(w_{i}^{*}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ 's form a total subset of $q_{1} Q q_{1} \vee\left\{w_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ in any von Neumann
algebra topology. Hence it suffices to prove that $\varphi(x)=0$ for any 'restricted' traveling word $x$ in $\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ},\left\{w_{i}^{\ell},\left(w_{i}^{*}\right)^{\ell} \mid \ell \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ 's and $\left(q_{1} N q_{1}\right)^{\circ}$. In the rest of the proof we need to give heed to the following simple fact: $z_{i}^{*}\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ} z_{i} \subseteq\left(q_{i} Q q_{i}\right)^{\circ}$. This is due to $\sigma_{t}^{\varphi}\left(z_{i}\right)=z_{i}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In fact this fact is the reason why usual traveling words are not suitable and 'restricted' ones are necessary here. Although the discussion below is almost the same as in [5, Claim 3.2c], we do give a sketch for the reader's convenience.

Regrouping a given 'restricted' traveling word we can make it an alternating word in

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{1}=\left(q_{1} N q_{1}\right)^{\circ} \cup \bigcup_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(q_{1} N y_{i 1}^{*} \cup y_{i 1} N q_{1} \cup y_{i 1}\left(q_{1} N q_{1}\right)^{\circ} y_{i 1}^{*}\right) \cup \bigcup_{2 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} y_{i 1} N y_{j 1}^{*}, \\
& \Omega_{2}=\left(q_{1} Q q_{1}\right)^{\circ} \cup \bigcup_{2 \leqslant i \leqslant n}\left(e_{i 1} z_{i}^{*} Q q_{1} \cup q_{1} Q z_{i} e_{1 i} \cup e_{i 1} z_{i}^{*}\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ} z_{i} e_{1 i}\right) \\
& \cup \bigcup_{2 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n} e_{i 1 z_{i}^{*}} Q z_{j} e_{1 j}
\end{aligned}
$$

with some constraints due to the fact that $w_{i}=z_{i} e_{1 i} y_{i 1}, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and their adjoints appear, as blocks, in the given 'restricted' traveling word. Then we firstly approximate each letter from $\Omega_{2}$ by linear combinations of words in $c_{i}:=e_{1 i} \tilde{c}_{i} e_{i 1}$ with $\tilde{c}_{i}:=e_{i i}^{\prime}-\frac{\varphi\left(e_{i i}^{\prime}\right)}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)} e_{i i}, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and $e_{i j}$ 's. For example any element in $e_{i 1} z_{i}^{*}\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ} z_{i} e_{1 i} \subseteq e_{i 1}\left(q_{i} Q q_{i}\right)^{\circ} e_{1 i}$ can be approximated, due to Kaplansky's density theorem, by a bounded net consisting of linear combinations of words of the form:

$$
e_{i 1} q_{i} \underbrace{c_{i} c_{i_{2}} \cdots c_{i_{n-1}} c_{i}}_{\text {non-trivial, traveling }} q_{i} e_{1 i}=e_{i i}^{\prime} \tilde{c}_{i} e_{i i_{2}} \tilde{c}_{i_{2}} e_{i_{2} i_{3}} \cdots e_{i_{n-1}} \tilde{c}_{i} e_{i i}^{\prime}
$$

(Note here that $q_{i} c_{i}$ is a scalar multiple of $q_{i}$.) Hence for any $x \in\left(q_{i}^{\prime} Q q_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{\circ}$ the element $y_{i 1}^{*} e_{i 1} z_{i}^{*} x z_{i} e_{1 i} y_{i 1}$ is approximated by linear combinations of

$$
y_{i 1}^{*} e_{i i}^{\prime} \tilde{c}_{i} e_{i i_{2}} \tilde{c}_{i_{2}} e_{i_{2} i_{3}} \cdots e_{i_{n-1} i} \tilde{c}_{i} e_{i i}^{\prime} y_{i 1}=\left(y_{i 1}^{*} \tilde{c}_{i}\right) e_{i i_{2}} \tilde{c}_{i_{2}} e_{i_{2} i_{3}} \cdots e_{i_{n-1} i}\left(\tilde{c}_{i} y_{i 1}\right)
$$

Since $y_{i 1}^{*} \tilde{c}_{i} \in e_{11} N e_{i i}$ and $\tilde{c}_{i} y_{i 1} \in e_{i i} N e_{11}$, we finally approximate the right-hand side above by linear combinations of words in

$$
e_{11} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} e_{i i_{2}} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} e_{i_{2} i_{3}} \cdots e_{i_{n-1} i} \underbrace{M_{1}^{\circ} \cdots M_{1}^{\circ}}_{\text {alternating in } M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}} e_{11}
$$

which can be written as a linear combination of alternating words in $M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}$ since $i \neq i_{2} \neq i_{3} \neq$ $\cdots \neq i_{n-1} \neq i$. (Remark here that $N_{2}+\operatorname{span}\left(\Lambda^{\circ}\left(M_{1}^{\circ}, N_{2}^{\circ}\right) \backslash N_{2}^{\circ}\right)$ forms a dense $*$-subalgebra of $N$ in any von Neumann algebra topology.) In this way any 'restricted' traveling word can be approximated, due to Kaplansky's density theorem, by a bounded net consisting of linear combinations of alternating words in $M_{1}^{\circ}, M_{2}^{\circ}$. Hence we are done.

It follows from the above claim that $q_{1} N q_{1}$ and $q_{1} Q q_{1} \vee\left\{w_{i} \mid 2 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right\}^{\prime \prime}$ are free in $\left(q_{1} M q_{1},\left.\left(1 / \varphi\left(q_{1}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{q_{1} M q_{1}}\right)$. Note that $\left(q_{1} N q_{1}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} N q_{1}}}=q_{1}\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}} q_{1}$ is clearly diffuse,
and also $q_{1} Q q_{1}$ is non-trivial and sits in $\left(q_{1} M q_{1}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} M q_{1}}}$. Therefore (the latter assertion of Theorem 3.7 shows $\left(q_{1} M_{\varphi} q_{1}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(q_{1} M^{\omega} q_{1}\right)=\left(\left(q_{1} M q_{1}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{q_{1} M q_{1}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap\left(q_{1} M q_{1}\right)^{\omega}=\mathbb{C} q_{1}$. Since $c_{P_{\varphi \mid P}}\left(q_{1}\right)=e_{11}-r\left(\right.$ n.b. $e_{11} M_{\varphi} e_{11}$ contains $\left.P_{\left.\varphi\right|_{P}}\right)$ and since $r=\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{1 i}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}\right) e_{i 1}$ is minimal and central in $e_{11} M e_{11}$ (n.b. $e_{11} M e_{11}$ is generated by $P$ and the $e_{1 i} y_{i 1}$ 's), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{11} M e_{11} & =\stackrel{r}{\mathbb{C}} \oplus\left(e_{11}-r\right) M\left(e_{11}-r\right),  \tag{4.8}\\
\left(\left(e_{11}-r\right) M_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}-r\right)\right)^{\prime} & \cap\left(\left(e_{11}-r\right) M^{\omega}\left(e_{11}-r\right)\right)=\mathbb{C}\left(e_{11}-r\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, by (4.8) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
1_{B(\mathcal{K})} M 1_{B(\mathcal{K})}= & \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i 1} r e_{1 j} \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\right\} \\
& \oplus\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i 1}\left(e_{11}-r\right) e_{1 i}\right) M\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i 1}\left(e_{11}-r\right) e_{1 i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left\{e_{i j}\right\}_{i, j}$ is a unital matrix unit system inside the left-hand side. Therefore this description of $1_{B(\mathcal{K})} M 1_{B(\mathcal{K})}$, the relative commutant property (4.9) and $e_{11}-r \geqslant q_{1}=e_{11}^{\prime} \in\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ altogether show $c_{M}\left(e_{11}-r\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_{i 1}\left(e_{11}-r\right) e_{1 i}\right) \vee 1_{N_{c}}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)\right) \vee 1_{N_{c}}=$ $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)\right)+1_{N_{c}}$. Note that $\left(e_{11}-r\right) M_{\varphi}\left(e_{11}-r\right)$ is conjugate, via Ade $e_{i 1}$, to $\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right) M_{\varphi}\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)$, and thus every $\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right) M_{\varphi}\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)$ is a factor, where we remark that $e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}$ falls in $M_{\varphi}$. Hence we get $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)=c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{i i}^{\prime}\right)=$ $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{11}^{\prime}\right)=c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{11}-r\right)$ for every $i$, since all $e_{i i}^{\prime}$ 's are Murray-von Neumann equivalent in $N_{\varphi}$ $\left(\subseteq M_{\varphi}\right)$. Consequently we have $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)\right)+1_{N_{c}}=c_{M}\left(e_{11}-r\right) \geqslant c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{11}-r\right)=$ $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right) \geqslant\left(e_{i i}-e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right) \vee 1_{N_{c}}$ for every $i$ so that $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(e_{11}-r\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(p \wedge e_{i i}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.e_{i 1} r e_{1 i}\right)\right)+1_{N_{c}}$. Therefore we conclude $M_{d}=N_{d}\left(1_{N_{d}}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} p \wedge e_{i i}\right) \oplus \operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i 1} r e_{1 j} \mid 1 \leqslant\right.$ $i, j \leqslant n\}$ and $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. The description of $\operatorname{span}\left\{e_{i 1} r e_{1 j} \mid 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\right\} \cong B(\mathcal{K})$ in $M_{d}$ agrees with (4.3). We also have

$$
\varphi\left(e_{i 1} r e_{1 j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \frac{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{11}\right)} \varphi(r)=\delta_{i j} \varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)\left(1-\left(1-\varphi_{1}(p)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{k k}\right)}\right)
$$

(if $r \neq 0$ ), which agrees with (4.4). Therefore we complete the discussion of (2-ii). In this case $B(\mathcal{K})$ has contribution to the multi-matrix part $M_{d}$ as long as $r \neq 0$ or equivalently $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\varphi_{2}\left(e_{i i}\right)} \supsetneqq$ $\frac{1}{1-\varphi_{1}(p)}$, which agrees with the condition (4.1).

Step 3. General case. It remains to treat the case that either $M_{1}=M_{1, d}$ or $M_{2}=M_{2, d}$ has the infinite-dimensional center, that is, either $J_{1}$ or $J_{2}$ is an infinite set. Firstly suppose that $J_{1}$ is finite but $J_{2}$ infinite. Then, set

$$
N_{2}:=\left[\sum_{j \in J_{2}^{\prime}}^{\oplus} B\left(\mathcal{H}_{2 j}\right)\right] \oplus \mathbb{C}_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}
$$

for a finite subset $J_{2}^{\prime} \Subset J_{2}$, where $1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}$ denotes the unit of $N_{2, J_{2}^{\prime} c}:=\sum_{j \in J_{2}^{\prime} c}^{\oplus} B\left(\mathcal{H}_{2 j}\right)$. Note that $N:=M_{1} \vee N_{2}$ is a free product von Neumann algebra that is treated in Step 2 so that $N=$
$N_{d} \oplus N_{c}$, where $N_{d}$ is a multi-matrix algebra whose structure is determined by the algorithm in Theorem 4.1 and $\left(\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap N_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. One can choose $J_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $\varphi_{2}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right)$ is so small, and hence $1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} \in N_{c}$. By Lemma 2.2 we see that $1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} M 1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}$ is the free product von Neumann algebra of $N_{2, J_{2}^{\prime}}$ with $\left.\left(1 / \varphi_{2}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}\right)\right) \varphi_{2}\right|_{N_{2, J_{2}^{\prime}}}$ and $1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c} N 1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}=1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} N_{c} 1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}$ with $\left.\left(1 / \varphi_{2}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}\right)\right) \varphi\right|_{1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} C N 1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}}$, and moreover $c_{M}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime c}}\right)=c_{N}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}\right)=1_{N_{c}}$. Thus Theorem 3.7 shows $\left(\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} N 1_{J_{2}^{\prime c}}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{J_{2}^{\prime}}{ }^{N 1}{ }_{J_{2}^{\prime}} c}\right)^{\prime} \cap$ $\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}} M 1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c}\right)^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. Since $1_{J_{2}^{\prime} c} \in\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}$ and $\left(\left(N_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{N_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap N_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, one has $c_{N_{\varphi}}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime c}}\right)^{\prime}=1_{N_{c}}$ so that $1_{N_{c}} \geqslant c_{M}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \geqslant c_{N_{\varphi}}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=1_{N_{c}}$ implying $c_{M_{\varphi}}\left(1_{J_{2}^{\prime}}\right)=1_{N_{c}}$. Consequently, $M=M_{d} \oplus M_{c}, M_{d}=N_{d}$ and moreover $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$.

When both $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are infinite, the same argument reduces this case to the previous one, i.e., one of $J_{i}$ 's is infinite and the other finite. Hence we are done.

We complete the proof of the case (d). Hence the proof of Theorem 4.1 is now finished.

## 5. Concluding remarks

### 5.1. On $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}=\mathbb{C}$

Let us further assume that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ have separable preduals. It is known, see e.g. [8, Proposition 4.2], that the free product state is almost periodic if so are given faithful normal states. We also show in Theorem 4.1 that the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ is always a full factor. Therefore it is important, in view of Sd-invariant of Connes [3], to see when $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}=\mathbb{C}$ holds. If this was true, then the Sd-invariant would coincide with the point spectra of the modular operator $\Delta_{\varphi}$, which is computed as the (multiplicative) group algebraically generated by the point spectra of the modular operators $\Delta_{\varphi_{i}}$ 's. This is indeed the case; namely we can confirm that $\left(\left(M_{c}\right)_{\left.\varphi\right|_{M_{c}}}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ holds when both $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are almost periodic, though the general situation is much complicated (indeed it does not hold in general!). On the other hand we can show that the free product state is 'special' in some sense. Those will be given in a separate paper [27].

## 5.2. $N_{\omega}=\mathbb{C} \Rightarrow N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ ?

Our main theorem (Theorem 4.1) says that the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ satisfies $\left(M_{c}\right)_{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$, and furthermore $\left(M_{c}\right)^{\prime} \cap M_{c}^{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$. Thus the next question seems interesting. Does there exist an example of properly infinite factor $N$ with $N_{\omega}=\mathbb{C}$ but $N^{\prime} \cap N^{\omega} \neq \mathbb{C}$ ?

### 5.3. Lack of Cartan subalgebras

As remarked after Corollary 4.3 the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ has no Cartan subalgebra when $M$ (or $M_{c}$ ) has the weak* completely bounded approximation property. It is quite interesting whether or not the same phenomenon occurs in general. Remark here that the phenomenon holds for any 'tracial' free product of $R^{\omega}$-embeddable von Neumann algebras due to free entropy technologies [28,12,21]. However the question is non-trivial even for 'tracial' free products without assuming the $R^{\omega}$-embeddability.

### 5.4. Questions related to free Araki-Woods factors

It should be a next important question whether or not the diffuse factor part $M_{c}$ is isomorphic to a free Araki-Woods factor introduced by Shlyakhtenko [20] when given $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are hy-
perfinite. The reason is that free Araki-Woods factors are expected to be natural models of 'free product type' von Neumann algebras. In the direction Houdayer [10] could successfully identify some free product factors of hyperfinite von Neumann algebras (including all free products of two copies of $M_{2}(\mathbb{C})$ ) with some of free Araki-Woods factors in state-preserving way.
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