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## 1. THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of numbers given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(n-1)!\lambda_{n}=\frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[s^{n-1} \log \xi(s)\right]_{s=1} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive integers $n$, where

$$
\xi(s)=s(s-1) \pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)
$$

with $\zeta(s)$ being the Riemann zeta function.

Theorem 1. A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function to lie on the critical line is that $\lambda_{n}$ is nonnegative for every positive integer $n$.

Proof. Define
$\varphi(z)=\xi\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)=4 \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(x^{-1 / 2} x^{1 / 2(1-z)}+x^{-1 / 2(1-z)}\right) d x$
for $z$ in the unit disk, where

$$
\psi(x)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2} x}
$$

Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi(s)=\prod_{\rho}\left(1-\frac{s}{\rho}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is taken over all nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function with $\rho$ and $1-\rho$ being paired together. It follows that

$$
\varphi(z)=\prod_{\rho} \frac{1-(1-(1 / \rho)) z}{1-z} .
$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function to lie on the critical line is that $\varphi^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk. Put

$$
\frac{\varphi^{\prime}(z)}{\varphi(z)}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} z^{n}
$$

for $|z|<\frac{1}{4}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\sum_{\rho}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{n}\right] \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every positive integer $n$. On the other hand, by (1.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[s^{n-1} \log \xi(s)\right]_{s=1} & =-\sum_{\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k}(\rho-1)^{k-n} \\
& =\sum_{\rho}\left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{n}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\lambda_{n}$ is also given by the expression (1.1). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find that

$$
\lambda_{n}=n \sum_{l=1}^{n} \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant k_{1}, \ldots, k_{l} \leq n \\ k_{1}+\cdots+k_{l}=n}} a_{k_{1}} \cdots a_{k_{l}}
$$

for every positive integer $n$. Expanding the right side of (1.2) in power series (1.5), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{j}=2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+n) \cdots(j+1)}{n!(n+1)!2^{n}} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(x^{-1 / 2}+(-1)^{n+1}\right)(\log x)^{n+1} d x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every positive integer $j$. By (1.6) we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j}= & 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j) \cdots(n+1)}{j!(n+1)!2^{n+1}} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(x^{-1 / 2}+(-1)^{n+1}\right)(\log x)^{n+1} d x \\
= & \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left\{t^{j-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t / 2)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\right. \\
& \left.\times\left(x^{-1 / 2}+(-1)^{n+1}\right)(\log x)^{n+1} d x\right\}_{t=1} \\
= & \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left\{t^{j-1} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(x^{-1 / 2}\left[e^{(t / 2) \ln x}-1\right]+\left[e^{-(t / 2) \ln x}-1\right]\right)\right\}_{t=1} \\
= & \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{d t^{j}}\left\{t^{j-1} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(x^{-1 / 2} e^{(t / 2) \ln x}+e^{-(t / 2) \ln x}\right)\right\}_{t=1} \\
= & 4 \sum_{l=1}^{j}\binom{j-1}{j-l} \frac{1}{l!} \int_{1}^{\infty}\left[x^{3 / 2} \psi^{\prime}(x)\right]^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2} \log x\right)^{l}\left[1+(-1)^{l} x^{-1 / 2}\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression implies that $a_{j}$ is a positive real number for every positive integer $j$. Since the identity

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} z^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} z^{j}\right)
$$

holds, we have the recurrence relation

$$
\lambda_{n}=n a_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{j} a_{n-j}
$$

for every positive integer $n$.
By (1.1), $\lambda_{n}$ is a real number for every positive integer $n$. If the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ lie on the critical line, then $|1-(1 / \rho)|=1$ for every nontrivial
zero $\rho$ of $\zeta(s)$. Put $1-(1 / \rho)=\exp \left(i \theta_{\rho}\right)$ for some real number $\theta_{\rho}$. Then by (1.4) we have

$$
\lambda_{n}=\sum_{\rho}\left(1-e^{i n \theta_{\rho}}\right)=\sum_{\rho}\left(1-\cos n \theta_{\rho}\right) .
$$

This implies that the number $\lambda_{n}$ is nonnegative for every positive integer $n$.
Conversely, if the number $\lambda_{n}$ is nonnegative for every positive integer $n$, then

$$
\lambda_{n} \leqslant n a_{n}
$$

for every positive integer $n$. It follows that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n} z^{n-1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n}|z|^{n-1}=\varphi^{\prime}(|z|)<\infty
$$

for $z$ in the unit disk. This implies that $\varphi^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 2. THE DEDEKIND ZETA FUNCTION

Let $k$ be an algebraic number field with $r_{1}$ real places and $r_{2}$ imaginary places. The Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{k}(s)$ of $k$ is defined by

$$
\zeta_{k}(s)=\prod_{\mathfrak{p}}\left(1-N \mathfrak{p}^{-s}\right)^{-1}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$, where the product is taken over all the finite prime divisors of $k$. Put $G_{1}(s)=\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma(s / 2)$ and $G_{2}(s)=(2 \pi)^{1-s} \Gamma(s)$. Define

$$
Z_{k}(s)=G_{1}(s)^{r_{1}} G_{2}(s)^{r_{2}} \zeta_{k}(s) .
$$

By Theorem 3 of Chapter VII, Section 6, of [4], the function $Z_{k}(s)$ is analytic in the complex plane except for simple poles at $s=0$ and $s=1$, and satisfies the functional identity

$$
Z_{k}(s)=|\mathfrak{d}|^{(1 / 2)-s} Z_{k}(1-s)
$$

where $\mathfrak{D}$ is the discriminant of $k$. Its residues at $s=0$ and $s=1$ are respectively $-c_{k}$ and $|\boldsymbol{D}|^{-1 / 2} c_{k}$ with $c_{k}=2^{r_{1}}(2 \pi)^{r_{2}} h R / e$, where $h, R$, and $e$ are respectively the number of ideal classes of $k$, the regulator of $k$, and the number of roots of unity in $k$. Let $\xi_{k}(s)=c_{k}^{-1} s(s-1)|\mathrm{D}|^{s / 2} Z_{k}(s)$. Then $\xi_{k}(s)$ is an entire function and $\xi_{k}(0)=1$.

Let $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of numbers given by

$$
(n-1)!\lambda_{n}=\frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[s^{n-1} \log \xi_{k}(s)\right]_{s=1}
$$

for all positive integers $n$. The aim now is to prove the following theorem.
Theorem 2. A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{k}(s)$ to lie on the critical line is that $\lambda_{n}$ is nonnegative for every positive integer $n$.

## 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 2

Lemma 3.1. The identity

$$
\lambda_{n}=\sum_{\rho}\left(1-\left(1-\frac{1}{\rho}\right)^{n}\right)
$$

holds for every positive integer $n$, where summation is taken over all nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{k}(s)$ with $\rho$ and $1-\rho$ being paired together.

Proof. By Theorem 2 of Barner [1], we have the formula (cf. Chapter 2 of [2])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}(s)=\prod_{\rho}\left(1-\frac{s}{\rho}\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is taken over all zeros of $\xi_{k}(s)$ with $\rho$ and $1-\rho$ being always paired together. An argument similar to that made for the Riemann zeta function in Chapter 2 of [2] shows that the convergence of the product (3.1) is uniform on compact subsets of the complex plane.

Since $\xi_{k}(s)=\xi_{k}(1-s)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[s^{n-1} \log \xi_{k}(s)\right]_{s=1}=(-1)^{n} \frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[(1-s)^{n-1} \log \xi_{k}(s)\right]_{s=0} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta_{k}(s)$ does not vanish at $s=0$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \xi_{k}(s)=-\sum_{\rho} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{-m}}{m} s^{m} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|s|<\varepsilon$ for a sufficiently small positive number $\varepsilon$, where $\rho$ and $1-\rho$ are paired together in the summation over $\rho$. Since the product (3.1)
converges uniformly, the series (3.3) converges uniformly for $|s|<\varepsilon$. It follows that

$$
\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^{n}}{d s^{n}}\left[(1-s)^{n-1} \log \xi_{k}(s)\right]_{s=0}=-\sum_{\rho} \sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{n-m}\binom{n}{m} \rho^{-m} .
$$

This formula together with (3.2) implies the stated identity.
Define

$$
\varphi(z)=\xi_{k}\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)
$$

for $z$ in the unit disk. Since the function $\xi_{k}(s)$ is analytic in the complex plane of $s$, the function $\varphi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk.

Lemma 3.2. Let

$$
\varphi(z)=1+\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j} .
$$

Then the coefficient $a_{j}$ is a positive real number for every positive integer $j$.
Proof. Define $\varepsilon_{v}$ to be one when $v$ is a real place of $k$ and to be two when $v$ is an imaginary place of $k$. Let $x=\Pi x_{v}$ be the variable in the half space $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$. Denote by $|x|$ the product $\Pi x_{v}^{\varepsilon_{v}}$, which is taken over all infinite places of $k$. If $N=r_{1}+2 r_{2}$, then the Hecke theta function $\Theta_{k}(x)$ is defined by

$$
\Theta_{k}(x)=\sum_{\mathbf{b}} \exp \left(-\pi|\mathfrak{d}|^{-1 / N}(N \mathbf{b})^{2 / N} \sum_{v} \varepsilon_{v} x_{v}\right)
$$

where the summation over $\mathfrak{b}$ is taken over all nonzero integral ideals of $k$ and where the summation over $v$ is taken over all infinite places of $k$. Put $d x=\Pi d x_{v}$. It follows from Theorem 3 of Chapter XIII, Section 3, in [3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{k}(s)=1+c_{k}^{-1} s(s-1) \int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(|x|^{s / 2}+|x|^{(1-s) / 2}\right) \frac{d x}{x} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(|x|^{1 / 2(1-z)}+|x|^{1 / 2}|x|^{-1 / 2(1-z)}\right) \frac{d x}{x}=\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_{m} z^{m} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $b_{0}$ is a positive number. We have

$$
b_{m}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n) \cdots(m+1)}{n!(n+1)!2^{n+1}} \int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(1+|x|^{1 / 2}(-1)^{n+1}\right)(\log |x|)^{n+1} \frac{d x}{x}
$$

for every positive integer $m$. By computation, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{m}= & \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m) \cdots(n+1)}{(n+1)!2^{n+1}} \\
& \times \int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(1+|x|^{1 / 2}(-1)^{n+1}\right)(\log |x|)^{n+1} \frac{d x}{x} \\
= & \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^{m}}{d t^{m}}\left(t^{m-1} \int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(e^{(t / 2) \log |x|}+|x|^{1 / 2} e^{-(t / 2) \log |x|}\right) \frac{d x}{x}\right)_{t=1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{m}=\sum_{l=1}^{m}\binom{m-1}{m-l} \frac{1}{l!} \int_{|x| \geqslant 1} \Theta_{k}(x)\left(\frac{1}{2} \log |x|\right)^{l}\left(|x|^{1 / 2}+(-1)^{l}\right) \frac{d x}{x} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every positive integer $m$. Since $\Theta_{k}(x)$ is positive for every $x$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{r_{1}+r_{2}}$, it follows from (3.6) that the coefficients $b_{m}$ are positive real numbers for all nonnegative integers $m$.

The identity

$$
\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2}}=\sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q z^{q}
$$

holds for $z$ in the unit disk. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{k} a_{j}=\sum_{m=0}^{j-1}(j-m) b_{m} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every positive integer $j$. Since $b_{m}$ are positive numbers for all nonnegative integers $m$, we see that $a_{j}$ is a positive real number for every positive integer $j$.

Proof of the Theorem. Since $\xi_{k}(1)=1$ and $\xi_{k}(s)=\xi_{k}(1-s)$, it follows from the product formula (3.1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(z)=\prod_{\rho} \frac{1-(1-(1 / \rho)) z}{1-z} . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\xi_{k}(s)$ does not vanish at $s=1$, we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} z^{n} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

by using the formula (3.8) when $|z|<\varepsilon$ for a sufficiently small positive number $\varepsilon$. Since

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n-1}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{i} z^{i}\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} z^{j}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=n a_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_{j} a_{n-j} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n=2,3, \ldots$, where $\lambda_{1}=a_{1}$ and $a_{0}=1$.
If the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta_{k}(s)$ lie on the critical line, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the numbers $\lambda_{n}$ are nonnegative for all positive integers $n$.

Conversely, assume that the number $\lambda_{n}$ is nonnegative for every positive integer $n$. It follows from (3.10) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
\lambda_{n} \leqslant n a_{n}
$$

for every positive integer $n$. This inequality together with Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|\lambda_{n} z^{n-1}\right| \leqslant \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n}|z|^{n-1}=\varphi^{\prime}(|z|) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z$ in the unit disk. Since $\varphi^{\prime}(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk, $\varphi^{\prime}(|z|)$ is finite for $z$ in the unit disk. It follows from (3.9) and (3.11) that $\varphi^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk. It is clear that a necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{k}(s)$ to lie on the critical line is that $\varphi^{\prime}(z) / \varphi(z)$ is analytic in the unit disk. Therefore, the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_{k}(s)$ lie on the critical line.

This completes the proof of the theorem.
Remark. We know from the proof of Theorem 2 that $\lambda_{1}=a_{1}$, which is a positive number by Lemma 3.2. An explicit expression for $\lambda_{n}$ is implicit in the recurrence relation (3.10) together with formulas (3.6) and (3.7).
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