# The Positivity of a Sequence of Numbers and the Riemann Hypothesis

Xian-Jin Li

The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712 Communicated by A. Granville

Received September 10, 1996; revised December 16, 1996

In this note we prove that the Piemann hypothesis for the Dedekind zeta View metadata, citation and similar papers at <u>core.ac.uk</u>

# 1. THE RIEMANN ZETA FUNCTION

Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of numbers given by

$$(n-1)! \lambda_n = \frac{d^n}{ds^n} [s^{n-1} \log \xi(s)]_{s=1}$$
(1.1)

for all positive integers n, where

$$\xi(s) = s(s-1) \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)$$

with  $\zeta(s)$  being the Riemann zeta function.

**THEOREM 1.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function to lie on the critical line is that  $\lambda_n$  is non-negative for every positive integer n.

Proof. Define

$$\varphi(z) = \xi\left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right) = 4 \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[x^{3/2}\psi'(x)\right]' \left(x^{-1/2}x^{1/2(1-z)} + x^{-1/2(1-z)}\right) dx \quad (1.2)$$

0022-314X/97 \$25.00 Copyright © 1997 by Academic Press All rights of reproduction in any form reserved. for z in the unit disk, where

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$$

Write

$$\xi(s) = \prod_{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\rho} \right) \tag{1.3}$$

where the product is taken over all nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function with  $\rho$  and  $1-\rho$  being paired together. It follows that

$$\varphi(z) = \prod_{\rho} \frac{1 - (1 - (1/\rho))z}{1 - z}$$

A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function to lie on the critical line is that  $\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk. Put

$$\frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} z^n$$

for  $|z| < \frac{1}{4}$ , where

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{\rho} \left[ 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^n \right] \tag{1.4}$$

for every positive integer n. On the other hand, by (1.3) we have

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left[ s^{n-1} \log \xi(s) \right]_{s=1} = -\sum_{\rho} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{k} (\rho-1)^{k-n}$$
$$= \sum_{\rho} \left[ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\rho}\right)^n \right],$$

and hence  $\lambda_n$  is also given by the expression (1.1). Let

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j z^j.$$
 (1.5)

We find that

$$\lambda_n = n \sum_{l=1}^n \frac{(-1)^{l-1}}{l} \sum_{\substack{1 \le k_1, \dots, k_l \le n \\ k_1 + \dots + k_l = n}} a_{k_1} \cdots a_{k_l}$$

for every positive integer n. Expanding the right side of (1.2) in power series (1.5), we find that

$$a_{j} = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+n)\cdots(j+1)}{n! (n+1)! 2^{n}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' (x^{-1/2} + (-1)^{n+1}) (\log x)^{n+1} dx$$
(1.6)

for every positive integer j. By (1.6) we can write

$$\begin{aligned} a_{j} &= 4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+j)\cdots(n+1)}{j!(n+1)! \ 2^{n+1}} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' (x^{-1/2} + (-1)^{n+1}) (\log x)^{n+1} dx \\ &= \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}} \left\{ t^{j-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(t/2)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' \\ &\times (x^{-1/2} + (-1)^{n+1}) (\log x)^{n+1} dx \right\}_{t=1} \\ &= \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}} \left\{ t^{j-1} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' (x^{-1/2} \left[ e^{(t/2) \ln x} - 1 \right] + \left[ e^{-(t/2) \ln x} - 1 \right] \right) \right\}_{t=1} \\ &= \frac{4}{j!} \frac{d^{j}}{dt^{j}} \left\{ t^{j-1} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' (x^{-1/2} \left[ e^{(t/2) \ln x} - 1 \right] + \left[ e^{-(t/2) \ln x} - 1 \right] \right) \right\}_{t=1} \\ &= 4 \sum_{l=1}^{j} \frac{(j-1)}{(j-l)} \frac{1}{l!} \int_{1}^{\infty} \left[ x^{3/2} \psi'(x) \right]' \left( \frac{1}{2} \log x \right)^{l} \left[ 1 + (-1)^{l} x^{-1/2} \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

This expression implies that  $a_j$  is a positive real number for every positive integer *j*. Since the identity

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} z^j\right)$$

holds, we have the recurrence relation

$$\lambda_n = na_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j a_{n-j}$$

for every positive integer *n*.

By (1.1),  $\lambda_n$  is a real number for every positive integer *n*. If the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  lie on the critical line, then  $|1 - (1/\rho)| = 1$  for every nontrivial

zero  $\rho$  of  $\zeta(s)$ . Put  $1 - (1/\rho) = \exp(i\theta_{\rho})$  for some real number  $\theta_{\rho}$ . Then by (1.4) we have

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{\rho} (1 - e^{in\theta_{\rho}}) = \sum_{\rho} (1 - \cos n\theta_{\rho}).$$

This implies that the number  $\lambda_n$  is nonnegative for every positive integer *n*.

Conversely, if the number  $\lambda_n$  is nonnegative for every positive integer *n*, then

$$\lambda_n \leq na_n$$

for every positive integer n. It follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n z^{n-1}| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1} = \varphi'(|z|) < \infty$$

for z in the unit disk. This implies that  $\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

## 2. THE DEDEKIND ZETA FUNCTION

Let k be an algebraic number field with  $r_1$  real places and  $r_2$  imaginary places. The Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_k(s)$  of k is defined by

$$\zeta_k(s) = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} (1 - N\mathfrak{p}^{-s})^{-1}$$

for Re s > 1, where the product is taken over all the finite prime divisors of k. Put  $G_1(s) = \pi^{-s/2} \Gamma(s/2)$  and  $G_2(s) = (2\pi)^{1-s} \Gamma(s)$ . Define

$$Z_k(s) = G_1(s)^{r_1} G_2(s)^{r_2} \zeta_k(s).$$

By Theorem 3 of Chapter VII, Section 6, of [4], the function  $Z_k(s)$  is analytic in the complex plane except for simple poles at s = 0 and s = 1, and satisfies the functional identity

$$Z_k(s) = |\mathfrak{d}|^{(1/2)-s} Z_k(1-s)$$

where  $\mathfrak{d}$  is the discriminant of k. Its residues at s = 0 and s = 1 are respectively  $-c_k$  and  $|\mathfrak{d}|^{-1/2} c_k$  with  $c_k = 2^{r_1} (2\pi)^{r_2} hR/e$ , where h, R, and e are respectively the number of ideal classes of k, the regulator of k, and the number of roots of unity in k. Let  $\xi_k(s) = c_k^{-1} s(s-1) |\mathfrak{d}|^{s/2} Z_k(s)$ . Then  $\xi_k(s)$  is an entire function and  $\xi_k(0) = 1$ .

Let  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence of numbers given by

$$(n-1)! \lambda_n = \frac{d^n}{ds^n} [s^{n-1} \log \xi_k(s)]_{s=1}$$

for all positive integers n. The aim now is to prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** A necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_k(s)$  to lie on the critical line is that  $\lambda_n$  is non-negative for every positive integer n.

#### 3. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 2

LEMMA 3.1. The identity

$$\lambda_n = \sum_{\rho} \left( 1 - \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\rho} \right)^n \right)$$

holds for every positive integer n, where summation is taken over all nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_k(s)$  with  $\rho$  and  $1 - \rho$  being paired together.

*Proof.* By Theorem 2 of Barner [1], we have the formula (cf. Chapter 2 of [2])

$$\xi_k(s) = \prod_{\rho} \left( 1 - \frac{s}{\rho} \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where the product is taken over all zeros of  $\xi_k(s)$  with  $\rho$  and  $1-\rho$  being always paired together. An argument similar to that made for the Riemann zeta function in Chapter 2 of [2] shows that the convergence of the product (3.1) is uniform on compact subsets of the complex plane.

Since  $\xi_k(s) = \xi_k(1-s)$ , we have

$$\frac{d^n}{ds^n} [s^{n-1} \log \xi_k(s)]_{s=1} = (-1)^n \frac{d^n}{ds^n} [(1-s)^{n-1} \log \xi_k(s)]_{s=0}.$$
 (3.2)

Since  $\zeta_k(s)$  does not vanish at s = 0, we can write

$$\log \xi_k(s) = -\sum_{\rho} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\rho^{-m}}{m} s^m$$
(3.3)

where  $|s| < \varepsilon$  for a sufficiently small positive number  $\varepsilon$ , where  $\rho$  and  $1 - \rho$  are paired together in the summation over  $\rho$ . Since the product (3.1)

converges uniformly, the series (3.3) converges uniformly for  $|s| < \varepsilon$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{(n-1)!}\frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left[ (1-s)^{n-1} \log \xi_k(s) \right]_{s=0} = -\sum_{\rho} \sum_{m=1}^n (-1)^{n-m} \binom{n}{m} \rho^{-m}$$

This formula together with (3.2) implies the stated identity.

Define

$$\varphi(z) = \xi_k \left(\frac{1}{1-z}\right)$$

for z in the unit disk. Since the function  $\xi_k(s)$  is analytic in the complex plane of s, the function  $\varphi(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk.

LEMMA 3.2. Let

$$\varphi(z) = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_j z^j.$$

Then the coefficient  $a_i$  is a positive real number for every positive integer j.

*Proof.* Define  $\varepsilon_v$  to be one when v is a real place of k and to be two when v is an imaginary place of k. Let  $x = \prod x_v$  be the variable in the half space  $\mathbb{R}_+^{r_1+r_2}$ . Denote by |x| the product  $\prod x_v^{\varepsilon_v}$ , which is taken over all infinite places of k. If  $N = r_1 + 2r_2$ , then the Hecke theta function  $\Theta_k(x)$  is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\Theta}_{k}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{b}}} \exp\left(-\pi |\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{d}}|^{-1/N} (N\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{b}})^{2/N} \sum_{\boldsymbol{v}} \varepsilon_{\boldsymbol{v}} \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{v}}\right)$$

where the summation over b is taken over all nonzero integral ideals of k and where the summation over v is taken over all infinite places of k. Put  $dx = \prod dx_v$ . It follows from Theorem 3 of Chapter XIII, Section 3, in [3] that

$$\xi_k(s) = 1 + c_k^{-1} s(s-1) \int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_k(x) (|x|^{s/2} + |x|^{(1-s)/2}) \frac{dx}{x}.$$
 (3.4)

Let

$$\int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_k(x) (|x|^{1/2(1-z)} + |x|^{1/2} |x|^{-1/2(1-z)}) \frac{dx}{x} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} b_m z^m.$$
(3.5)

It is clear that  $b_0$  is a positive number. We have

$$b_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m+n)\cdots(m+1)}{n! (n+1)! 2^{n+1}} \int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_k(x) (1+|x|^{1/2} (-1)^{n+1}) (\log |x|)^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x}$$

for every positive integer m. By computation, we find that

$$b_{m} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+m)\cdots(n+1)}{(n+1)! \ 2^{n+1}} \\ \times \int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_{k}(x)(1+|x|^{1/2} \ (-1)^{n+1})(\log |x|)^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x} \\ = \frac{1}{m!} \frac{d^{m}}{dt^{m}} \left( t^{m-1} \int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_{k}(x)(e^{(t/2)\log |x|} + |x|^{1/2} \ e^{-(t/2)\log |x|}) \frac{dx}{x} \right)_{t=1}.$$

It follows that

$$b_m = \sum_{l=1}^m \binom{m-1}{m-l} \frac{1}{l!} \int_{|x| \ge 1} \Theta_k(x) \left(\frac{1}{2} \log |x|\right)^l (|x|^{1/2} + (-1)^l) \frac{dx}{x}$$
(3.6)

for every positive integer *m*. Since  $\Theta_k(x)$  is positive for every *x* in  $\mathbb{R}^{r_1+r_2}_+$ , it follows from (3.6) that the coefficients  $b_m$  are positive real numbers for all nonnegative integers *m*.

The identity

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^2} = \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} q z^q$$

holds for z in the unit disk. It follows from (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$c_k a_j = \sum_{m=0}^{j-1} (j-m) b_m \tag{3.7}$$

for every positive integer j. Since  $b_m$  are positive numbers for all non-negative integers m, we see that  $a_j$  is a positive real number for every positive integer j.

*Proof of the Theorem.* Since  $\xi_k(1) = 1$  and  $\xi_k(s) = \xi_k(1-s)$ , it follows from the product formula (3.1) that

$$\varphi(z) = \prod_{\rho} \frac{1 - (1 - (1/\rho))z}{1 - z}.$$
(3.8)

Since  $\xi_k(s)$  does not vanish at s = 1, we can write

$$\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{n+1} z^n$$
(3.9)

by using the formula (3.8) when  $|z| < \varepsilon$  for a sufficiently small positive number *ɛ*. Since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n z^{n-1} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i\right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{j+1} z^j\right),$$

we have

$$\lambda_n = na_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j a_{n-j}$$
(3.10)

for n = 2, 3, ..., where  $\lambda_1 = a_1$  and  $a_0 = 1$ .

If the nontrivial zeros of  $\zeta_k(s)$  lie on the critical line, it follows from Lemma 3.1 that the numbers  $\lambda_n$  are nonnegative for all positive integers *n*.

Conversely, assume that the number  $\lambda_n$  is nonnegative for every positive integer n. It follows from (3.10) and Lemma 3.2 that

 $\lambda_n \leq na_n$ 

for every positive integer n. This inequality together with Lemma 3.2 implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n z^{n-1}| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n |z|^{n-1} = \varphi'(|z|)$$
(3.11)

for z in the unit disk. Since  $\varphi'(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk,  $\varphi'(|z|)$  is finite for z in the unit disk. It follows from (3.9) and (3.11) that  $\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk. It is clear that a necessary and sufficient condition for the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_k(s)$  to lie on the critical line is that  $\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)$  is analytic in the unit disk. Therefore, the nontrivial zeros of the Dedekind zeta function  $\zeta_k(s)$  lie on the critical line. 

This completes the proof of the theorem.

*Remark.* We know from the proof of Theorem 2 that  $\lambda_1 = a_1$ , which is a positive number by Lemma 3.2. An explicit expression for  $\lambda_n$  is implicit in the recurrence relation (3.10) together with formulas (3.6) and (3.7).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author thanks the referee for valuable suggestions on improving the presentation of the original version of this paper.

## REFERENCES

- 1. K. Barner, On A. Weil's explicit formula, J. Reine Angew. Math. 323 (1981), 139-152.
- 2. H. M. Edwards, "Riemann's Zeta Function," Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- 3. S. Lang, "Algebraic Number Theory," Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1970.
- 4. A. Weil, "Basic Number Theory," Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1967.