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Kantor [4, No. 7] describes a new class of translation planes of order $q^{3}$, $q=2^{2 \omega+1} \equiv 2(\bmod 3)$, with kernel $G F(q)$. A plane $\Pi_{1}$ in this class has several interesting properties, but we shall be only interested in the following one possessed by its collineation group: the linear translation complement of $\Pi_{1}$ contains a normal subgroup $G \simeq S L(2, q)$ whose involutions are affine elations. This property of $\Pi_{1}$ is somewhat remarkable: for it is well known that a translation plane of order $q^{2}$, on which $S L(2, q)$ acts as a collineation group generated by affine elations, is always desarguesian.

There are further translation planes of order $q^{3}$ admitting a collineation group $G \simeq S L(2, q)$ generated by affine elations (due to works of Dye [1] and Kantor $[4,5]$ ): (a) the Dye-Kantor plane $\Pi_{2}$ of order $8^{3}$ having kernel $G F(8)$ (admits $S L(2,8)$ ) [1, No. 4] and [4, No. 9]; (b) the Dye-Kantor plane $\Pi_{3}$ of order $4^{3}=8^{2}$ having kernel $G F(8)$ (admits $S L(2,4)$ ) [1, No. 4] and [5, No. 8,.2]. In $\Pi_{1}$ and $\Pi_{2} S L(2, q)$ is completely reducible on the underlying vector space; in $\Pi_{3}$ the action of $S L(2,4)$ is irreducible. All these planes are of even order.

This article is the report of an attempt to determine all the translation planes of order $q^{3}$, with kernel $K \supseteq G F(q)$, admitting a collineation group $G \simeq S L(2, q)$, where the $p$-elements are affine elations if $q=p^{\omega}$. (From now on $\Pi$ will denote such a plane.) We remark ( $(1.1$ ) and (1.2); see also Schaeffer [8] for $q$ even) that $G$ must be completely reducible. The underlying vector space may be written, as a $G$-module, in the form

[^0]$V_{2} \oplus V_{2}^{\lambda} \oplus V_{2}^{\mu}$, where $V_{2}$ is the canonical representation and $\lambda, \mu$ are automorphisms of $G F(q)$ such that the equations $x x^{\lambda}=1, x x^{\mu}=1$, and $x^{\lambda} x^{\mu}=1$ have no solutions in $G F(q)$ different from $\pm 1$ (Theorem 1.7): in Kantor planes $\lambda=1$ and $\mu$ is the squaring automorphism. Furthermore we determine (Theorem 1.6) the orbits of $G$ on the line at infinity and examine completely the case $\lambda=1=\mu$ : we prove (No. 2) that $\Pi$ is desarguesian in such a case. Liebler in [6, No. 3] studies the case $\lambda=1$ and, for $q$ even, gives some necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\Pi$. At the end of the article (No.3) we introduce a new class of nondesarguesian translation planes for $q=p^{3 \omega}, p$ an arbitrary prime, which are an example for the case $\lambda \neq 1 \neq \mu \neq \lambda$. The even order planes of this class are not isomorphic to any Kantor plane $\Pi_{1}$.

## 1. General Case

Let $q$ be a power of the prime $p$ and $\Pi$ denote a translation plane of order $q^{3}$ with kernel containing a field isomorphic to $K=G F(q)$ (see Lüneburg [7] for basic concepts). We may assume that the vectors of $V_{6}(K)$ (vector space of dimension six over $K$ ) are the points of $\Pi$ and that the cosets of the members of a spread $\Sigma$ of $V_{6}(K)$ are the lines. We will write the points of $\Pi$ as $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$, or $(x, y)$ for short.

Assume $\Pi$ admits a collineation group $G \simeq S L(2, K)$ such that its elements of order $p$ are affine elations (shears): clearly $G$ is contained in the linear translation complement of $\Pi$. By Hering [2, Lemma 7], we can choose a basis so that there is a field $K^{\prime} \simeq K$ of $3 \times 3$ matrices such that $G$ is represented by the maps

$$
(x, y) \rightarrow(x, y)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $A, B, C, D \in K^{\prime}$ and $A D-B C=I$ (the unity matrix). The generator $L$ of the multiplicative group of $K^{\prime}$ operates reducibly on $V_{3}(K)$ because, otherwise, $K^{\prime} \neq\{a l / a \in K\}$ and the ring $K[L]$, the polynomial extension of $K$ by $L$, would be a field of order $q^{3}$ containing two subfields of order $q$. As $q-1$ is not divisible by $p, V_{3}(K)$ is a completely reducible $L$-module by Maschke's theorem: hence we may write the elements of $K^{\prime}$ in the form

$$
A_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha & 0 & 0  \tag{1.1}\\
0 & \alpha^{\lambda} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \alpha^{\mu}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha \in K$ and $\lambda, \mu \in$ Aut $K$. So we have
(1.2) There exists a basis of $V_{3}(K)$ by which $G$ is represented by the linear maps

$$
g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta):(x, y) \rightarrow(x, y)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
A_{x} & A_{\beta} \\
A_{y} & A_{\delta}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K$ and $\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma=1$ (here $A_{\alpha}, A_{\beta}$, etc., are used as in (1.1)).
The 3 -spaces $W(\infty), W(O)$ and $W(I)$ having equations, respectively, $x=0, y=0$ and $y=x$ are members of $\Sigma$ because they are axes of shears of $G$. For any $H \in G L(3, K)$, denote by $W(H)$ the 3 -space whose coordinates satisfy the equation $y=x H$ and let $A=\{M \in G L(3, K) / W(M) \in \Sigma\}$. We have [7, No. 2, Chap. I]:
(1.3) A contains all the matrices (1.1) and satisfies the conditions:
(a) A is sharply transitive (as set of linear maps) on the nonzero vectors of $V_{3}(K)$;
(b) if $M_{1}, M_{2} \in A, M_{1} \neq M_{2}$, then $M_{1}-M_{2} \in G L(3, K)$;
(c) $\Pi$ is desarguesian iff $A$ is the multiplicative group of a field. The conditions (a) and (b) are also sufficient in order to define a spread by $\boldsymbol{A}$.

Denote by $\Sigma_{0}$ the partial spread containing $W(\infty), W(0)$, and all the components $W\left(A_{\alpha}\right)$ of $\Sigma$ defined by matrices (1.1): $\Sigma_{0}$ is a $G$-orbit.

Each component of $\Sigma_{0}$ intersects the 4 -space $V_{i}$ satisfying the equations $x_{i}=y_{i}=0, i=1,2,3$, in a 2 -space: hence $\Sigma_{0}$ "contains" $(q+1)^{2} 1$-spaces of $V_{i}$. The number of 1-spaces of $V_{i}$ which are not contained in $\Sigma_{0}$ is so $q^{3}+q^{2}+q+1-(q+1)^{2}=q^{3}-q$. Therefore
(1.4) If $S \in \Sigma-\Sigma_{0}, S \rightarrow s_{i}=S \cap V_{i}$ defines a $1-1$ map of $\Sigma-\Sigma_{0}$ onto the set of 1 -spaces of $V_{i}$ not lying in components of $\Sigma_{0}$.

As $V_{i}$ is $G$-invariant and $x_{i}=y_{i}=0$, we may omit $x_{i}$ and $y_{i}$ in the coordinates $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$ of a vector of $V_{i}$. Let $s=\langle(h, 0,0, k)\rangle$ (resp. $\langle(0,-k,-h, 0)\rangle)$ be a 1 -space of $V_{i}$ : if $h k \neq 0$ no component of $\Sigma_{0}$ contains this 1 -space. We have $s^{g(\alpha \beta, \gamma, \delta)}=\left\langle\left(h x^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}, k \gamma^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}, h \beta^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}, k \delta^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}\right)\right\rangle$ (resp. $\left.\left\langle\left(-h \gamma^{\sigma_{1}^{i}},-k \alpha^{\sigma_{2}},-h \delta^{\sigma_{1}^{i}},-k \sigma^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}\right)\right\rangle\right)$, where $\sigma_{1}^{i}$ and $\sigma_{2}^{i}$ take two different values in the set $\{1, \lambda, \mu\}$ (see (1.2)). Therefore
(1.5) Let $\Phi_{i}$ be the multiplicative homomorphism $x \rightarrow x^{\sigma_{1}^{i}} x^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}$, then the 1 space $\langle(u, v, w, z)\rangle$ of $V_{i}$ is contained in the $G$-orbit of $s=\langle(h, 0,0, k)\rangle$ (resp. $\langle(0,-k,-h, 0)\rangle$ ), where $h k \neq 0$, iff $h^{-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}} k^{-\sigma_{1}^{\prime}}\left(u^{\sigma_{2}^{\prime}} z^{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}}-v^{\sigma_{1}^{\prime}} w^{\sigma_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{i}$. Moreover $g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in G_{s}$ iff $\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{kern} \Phi_{i}$.

Proof. Suppose that $(u, v, w, z)=a\left(h \alpha^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}, k \gamma^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}, h \beta^{\sigma_{i}^{i}}, k \delta^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}\right)$ for some
scalar $a$. Then, by calculation using $\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma=1$ we get that $h^{-\sigma_{2}^{i}} k^{-\sigma_{1}^{i}}\left(u^{\sigma_{2}^{i}} z^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}-v^{\sigma_{1}^{i}} w^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}\right)=a^{\sigma_{1}^{i}} a^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}$. Note that in the case $(u, v, w, z)=a\left(h \alpha^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}, k \gamma^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}, h \beta^{\sigma_{1}^{i}}, k \delta^{\sigma_{2}^{i}}\right.$ ) is a scalar multiple of $(h, 0,0, k)$ then $\beta=\gamma=0, \delta=\alpha^{-1}$ and hence $\alpha \in \operatorname{kern} \Phi_{i}$. The rest of the proof is left to the reader.

By (1.4) we can determine the length of the $G$-orbit of a component $S \in \Sigma-\Sigma_{0}$ by computing the $G$-orbit of the intersection $s_{i}=V_{i} \cap S$ : we have $\left|G_{S}\right|=\left|G_{s_{i}}\right|$. If $\operatorname{kern} \Phi_{i}=\langle 1\rangle$, i.e., $\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{i}=K^{*}$ (the multiplicative group of $K$ ), then $|S L(2, K)|=q^{3}-q$ and (1.5) imply $G$ splits $\Sigma$ into two orbits: $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma-\Sigma_{0}$ ( $q$ must be even in such a case). Therefore we may assume $\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{i} \neq K^{*}$. Let $s_{i}=\langle(u, 0,0,1)\rangle, u \in K^{*}$, and let $s_{j}=\left\langle\left(a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}, d_{j}\right)\right\rangle$ for $j \neq i$. If $g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \in G_{s_{i}}$, from (1.5) it follows $\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\alpha \in \operatorname{kern} \Phi_{i}$. Assume $\alpha \neq \pm 1$, then $G_{s_{j}}=G_{S}=G_{s_{i}}$ requires either $b_{j}=c_{j}=0$ and $a_{j} \neq 0 \neq d_{j}$ or $a_{j}=d_{j}=0$ and $b_{j} \neq 0 \neq c_{j}$ (the remaining possibilities cannot occur because, otherwise, $s_{j}$ should be contained in a component of $\Sigma_{0}$ ). In any case, by (1.5), $\alpha \in \operatorname{kern} \Phi_{j}$. Thus we have $\alpha \alpha^{\lambda}=\alpha \alpha^{\mu}=\alpha^{\lambda} \alpha^{\mu}=1$, i.e., $\alpha^{2}=1$ : a contradiction since we assumed $\alpha \neq \pm 1$. Therefore $\left[K^{*}: \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{i}\right]=2$ (hence $q$ must be odd in this case) and the $G$-orbit of $s_{i}$ has length $\left(q^{3}-q\right) / 2$. By (1.5) $\langle(u, 0,0,1)\rangle$ is in the same $G$-orbit of $\langle(1,0,0,1)\rangle$ iff $u^{\sigma_{2}} \in \operatorname{Im} \Phi_{i}$ : so the following theorem holds

Theorem (1.6). G splits the components of $\Sigma$ either into two orbits ( $q$ even) or into three orbits ( $q$ odd). One of these orbits is $\Sigma_{0}$ and, if $q$ is odd, the remaining orbits have the same length $\left(q^{3}-q\right) / 2$.

In view of (1.4) and (1.5) we have as a direct consequence
Theorem (1.7). If $G$ is represented as in (1.1) and (1.2), then the equations $x^{\lambda} x^{\mu}=1, x x^{\lambda}=1, x x^{\mu}=1$ have no solutions in $K$ different from $\pm 1$.

## 2. Desarguesian Case

In this section we will assume $\lambda=1=\mu$ and show that $\Pi$ is desarguesian in this case, First we prove
(2.1). If the 3-space $W(H)$ intersects each component $W\left(A_{\alpha}\right)$ of $\Sigma_{0}$ only trivially, the ring $K[H]$ is a field of order $q^{3}$. (See the definition preceding (1.3).)

Proof. $W(H) \cap W\left(A_{\alpha}\right)=\langle 0\rangle$ requires $H-A_{\alpha}=H-\alpha I$ nonsingular. Hence $H$ has no eigenvalues in $K$ and the minimal polynomial for $H$ is an
irreducible cubic $f(x)$ : the ring $K[H]$ is so isomorphic to the field $K[x] /(f(x))$.

Let $W(M) \in \Sigma-\Sigma_{0}$, then $K[M]$ is a field by (2.1) whence $M^{\prime} \in K[M]$ for each other component $W\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ in the $G$-orbit of $W(M)$. By $(1.3 ; \mathrm{c})$ and theorem (1.6) $\Pi$ is so desarguesian if $q$ is even. Therefore we may assume $q$ odd in the following of this section: $\Sigma$ splits so into three $G$-orbits $\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$.

Now we have
(2.2). There exists a 3-space $W(H)$, intersecting trivially each component of $\Sigma_{0}$, whose nonzero vectors are both in components of $\Sigma$, and in components of $\Sigma_{2}$.

Proof. It is a direct consequence of the following Lemmas (2.3), (2.4), (2.5).

Lemma (2.3). There exists a polynomial $f(x)=a_{0}+a_{1} x+a_{2} x^{2}+x^{3}$ over $K$ such that $f(x)$ is irreducible over $K$ and $a_{2} \neq 0$.

Proof. If $F \simeq G F\left(q^{3}\right)$ is an extension of $K$, it is well known that every cubic irreducible over $K$ has three distinct roots in the $q^{3}-q$ elements of $F-K$ and every element of $F-K$ satisfies such a cubic. Hence the number of irreducible cubics is $\left(q^{3}-q\right) / 3$. But this is greater than the number of polynomials of the form $a_{0}+a_{1} x+x^{3}$.

Lemma (2.4). Let $f(x)$ be the irreducible cubic in (2.3); let $t \neq 0$ be an element of $K$, let $d=\left(t+a_{0}\right) a_{2}^{-1} \quad$ and $\quad$ let $\quad W(H)=\left\langle\left(0, d,-t^{-1}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.0,-a_{0}, a_{2} t^{-1}\right),\left(-t, 0,0, d\left(a_{1}-d\right), 0,-1\right),\left(t^{-1}\left(a_{1}-d\right),-1,0,1,0,0\right)\right\rangle$. Then the 3-space $W(H)$ has only trivial intersection with each component of $\Sigma_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose that some linear combination (with coefficients $u, v, w$ ) of the basis vectors in $W(H)$ satisfies the equation $y=x m$ for some $m$ in $K$. Then $u, v, w$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
v\left(d\left(a_{1}-d\right)+t m\right)+w\left(1-t^{-1}\left(a_{1}-d\right) m\right)=0 \\
-u\left(a_{0}+d m\right)+w m=0 \\
u\left(a_{2} t^{-1}+m t^{-1}\right)-v=0
\end{array}
$$

Using $d=\left(t+a_{0}\right) a_{2}^{-1}$, the determinant of this system reduces to $m^{3}+a_{2} m^{2}+a_{1} m+a_{0}$ and the determinant is not equal to zero for any $m$ in $K$ because $f(x)$ is irreducible. The case where $W(H)$ contains some point $(0, y), y \neq 0$, is left to the reader. This establishes (2.4).

Remark. In interpreting (1.5) and the preceding arguments for the case $\lambda=\mu=1$, the requirement that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ take two "different" values in the set $\{1, \lambda, \mu\}$ must not be taken to exclude cases such as $\sigma_{1}^{i}=1, \sigma_{2}^{i}=\lambda=1$. In the present context, the 1 -space $\langle(u, v, w, z)\rangle$ of $V_{i}$ is in the $G$-orbit of $\langle(1,0,0,1)\rangle$ iff $u z-v w$ is a square while $\langle(u, v, w, z)\rangle$ is in a member of $\Sigma_{0}$ iff $u z-v w=0$. Thus the 1 -spaces $\langle(u, v, w, z)\rangle$ such that $u z-v w$ is not a square form another $G$-orbit by (1.4) and Theorem (1.5). We shall say that a 1 -space $\langle(u, v, w, z)\rangle$ of $V_{i}$ is of type,+ 0 , - depending on whether $u z-v w$ is a square, zero, or a non-square in $K$. Clearly, two components of $\Sigma$ contain 1 -spaces of $V_{i}$ of the same type iff they are in the same partial spread $\Sigma_{j}, j=0,1,2$.

Lemma (2.5). The parameter t may be chosen so that $W(H)$ of (2.4) contains nonzero vectors on components of $\Sigma_{1}$ and nonzero vectors on components of $\Sigma_{2}$.

Proof. $W(H)$ contains the subspaces $\left\langle\left(0, d,-t^{-1}, 0,-a_{0}, a_{2} t^{-1}\right)\right\rangle$ and $\left\langle\left(t^{-1}\left(a_{1}-d\right),-1,0,1,0,0\right)\right\rangle$. In the condensed notation $\left\langle\left(d,-t^{-1},-a_{0}, a_{2} t^{-1}\right)\right\rangle$ is in $V_{1}$ and $\left(t^{-1}\left(a_{1}-d\right),-1,1,0\right)$ is in $V_{3}$. Both are of type + . Also $W(H) \cap V_{2}=\left\langle\left(-t, 0, d\left(a_{1}-d\right),-1\right)\right\rangle$ which is of type + or - depending on whether $t$ is a square or a non-square in $K$. Thus (2.5) is trivial.

Let $W\left(N_{i}\right) \in \Sigma_{j}, i=1,2$, and let $M_{i}$ be a generator of the multiplicative group of the field $K\left[N_{i}\right]$. If $\left\langle M_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{2}\right\rangle$, then $\Pi$ is desarguesian because we have $\Lambda=\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle$. Suppose $\left\langle M_{2}\right\rangle \neq\left\langle M_{1}\right\rangle$ and let $F$ be a field of $3 \times 3$ matrices over $K$ maximal with respect to the condition that $F$ is normalized by $\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$ : since $F$ contains $\{a I / a \in K\}, F$ is isomorphic either to $G F(q)$ or to $G F\left(q^{3}\right)$. If $F \simeq G F\left(q^{3}\right)$, we may regard $\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$ as a subgroup of $\Gamma L(1, F)$. But each element of order $q^{3}-1$ in $\Gamma L(1, F)$ is linear: therefore we have $\left\langle M_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{2}\right\rangle$, a contradiction. Thus $F \simeq G F(q)$. Now (1.3; a) and Hering [3, Lemma 5.7] imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
S L(3, K) \unlhd\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle \leqslant G L(3, K) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $W(H)$ be a 3-space satisfying (2.2); by (2.1) $K[H]$ is a field. Let $E$ be a generator of the multiplicative group of $K[H]$ and $U$ the Sylow $u$-subgroup of $\langle E\rangle$, where $u$ is a $q$-primitive prime divisor of $q^{3}-1$ (see, e.g., [7, pp. 27-28]): $U$ is also a Sylow $u$-subgroup of $G L(3, K)$. Since $U$ operates irreducibly on $V_{3}(K)$, Schur's lemma implies the centralizer of $U$ in $G L(3, K)$ is $\langle E\rangle . U$ is conjugate in $G L(3, K)$ to the Sylow $u$-subgroup of $\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle$ whence $\langle E\rangle$ and $\left\langle M_{i}\right\rangle$ are also conjugate because both centralizers of Sylow $u$-groups of $G L(3, K)$. Now from (2.6) it follows $\langle E\rangle \leqslant\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$
because the group $\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$ must contain every element of $G L(3, K)$ having the same determinant of some its element. The linear map

$$
(x, y) \rightarrow(x, y)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M_{i} & 0 \\
0 & M_{i}
\end{array}\right)
$$

fixes each component of $\Sigma_{0}$ and $\Sigma_{i}$, hence leaves $\Sigma_{0}, \Sigma_{1}, \Sigma_{2}$ invariant as sets of vectors. Then the whole $\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$ behaves in this way. But $V_{3}(K)$ is an irreducible $H$-module, hence the group of linear maps of $V_{6}(K)$ defined by

$$
\left\langle\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & 0 \\
0 & E
\end{array}\right)\right\rangle
$$

fixes $W(H)$ and is transitive on its nonzero vectors: according to the choice of $W(H),\langle E\rangle \leqslant\left\langle M_{1}, M_{2}\right\rangle$ cannot so happen. Thus $\left\langle M_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle M_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\Pi$ is desarguesian.

## 3. A Class of Translation Planes of Order $q^{3}$ Admitting $S L(2, q)$.

Let $F=G F\left(q_{0}\right), q_{0}=p^{\omega}$, and $K$ be a cubic extension of $F: K \simeq G F(q)$, where $q=q_{0}^{3}$. Also let $M$ be the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & a \\
b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & c & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $a, b, c \in K$ and $t=a b c \notin F$. Consider the field of matrices $K^{\prime}=\left\{A_{\alpha} / \alpha \in K\right\}$ (where $A_{\alpha}$ is the matrix (1.1)) and set $x^{\lambda}=x^{q_{0}}, x^{\mu}=x^{q_{0}^{2}}$ for $x \in K$. We have
(3.1). The matrix $H(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=M^{2} A_{\alpha}+M A_{\beta}+A_{\gamma}$ is singular iff $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$.

Proof. $H(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ has determinant $d(t)=t^{2} \alpha^{q_{0}} \alpha^{q_{0}^{2}}+t\left(\beta \beta^{q_{0}} \beta^{q_{0}^{2}}-\right.$ $\left.\alpha \beta^{q_{0}^{2}} \gamma^{q_{0}}-\alpha^{q_{0}} \beta \gamma^{q_{0}}-\alpha^{q_{0}^{2}} \beta^{q_{0}} \gamma\right)+\gamma \gamma^{q_{0}} q^{q_{0}^{2}}$. Note that $d(x)$ is a quadratic in $F[x]$. Hence if $d(t)=0, t$ is in a quadratic extension of $F$. But $K$ is a cubic extension of $F$ and $t \in K-F$. Hence if $H(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ is singular, $\alpha=\beta=\gamma=0$.

Let $W\left(M^{\prime}\right)=W(M)^{g\left(\alpha_{*}, \gamma, \delta\right)}$, where $g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is defined as in (1.2). Since $M$ normalizes $K^{\prime}$ (i.e., $A_{\eta}^{M}=A_{\eta}^{q_{0}}$ ), $M-M^{\prime}$ is singular (i.e., $\left.W\left(M^{\prime}\right) \cap W(M) \neq\langle 0\rangle\right)$ iff $H\left(-\gamma^{q_{0}}, \delta-\alpha^{q_{0}}, \beta\right)$ is singular. Thus by (3.1) $M-M^{\prime}$ is singular iff $\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\alpha \alpha^{q_{0}}=1$. As $\left(q_{0}+1, q_{0}^{3}-1\right)$ is either 2 or 1 depending on whether $q$ is odd or even, we infer $\alpha= \pm 1$; whence
(3.2). The orbit of $W(M)$ under the group $G=\{g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) /$ $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in K, \alpha \delta-\delta \gamma=1\}$ contains either $\left(q^{3}-q\right) / 23$-spaces ( $q$ odd), or $q^{3}-q$ 3-spaces ( $q$ even). Any two of these subspaces in the same orbit intersect trivially.

Suppose $q$ odd and consider the 3 -space $W\left(M A_{\xi}\right)$, where $\xi \neq 0$. Assume that $W\left(M A_{\xi}\right)$ intersects nontrivially some 3 -space $W\left(M^{\prime}\right)$ of the $G$-orbit containing $\quad W(M)$, say $\quad W\left(M^{\prime}\right)=W(M)^{g(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)}$. This implies $H\left(-\xi \gamma^{q_{0}}, \delta-\xi \alpha^{q_{0}}, \beta\right)$ singular: by (3.1) $\beta=\gamma=0$ and $\xi \alpha \alpha^{q_{0}}=1$, whence $\xi$ must be a square. Therefore
(3.3.) If $q$ is odd and $\xi$ is a nonsquare in $K, W\left(M A_{\xi}\right)$ intersects trivially each 3-space of the $G$-orbit containing $W(M)$.

Put $\Sigma_{1}=\left\{W(M)^{g} / g \in G\right\}, \Sigma_{2}=\left\{W\left(M A_{\xi}\right)^{g} / g \in G\right\}$ and let $\Sigma_{0}$ be as in no. 1; we have

Theorem (3.4). If $q$ is odd (resp. even) and $\xi$ is a nonsquare in $K$, $\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1} \cup \Sigma_{2}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\Sigma_{0} \cup \Sigma_{1}\right)$ is a spread of $V_{6}(K)$.

Proof. Since $M A_{\xi}$ satisfies the same condition that $M$ (i.e., $t \notin F$ ), the claim is a direct consequence of (3.1), (3.2), and (3.3).

Remark. The translation plane $\Pi$ defined by the spread of Theorem (3.4) is not desarguesian because $M$ does not centralize $K^{\prime}$. If $q$ is even, $\Pi$ is not isomorphic to the Kantor plane $\Pi_{1}$ of the same order: for $\Pi$ should admit a cyclic collineation group $C$ of order $q+1$ fixing every line of $\Sigma_{0}$, [4, Theorem 7.1]. Thus each element of $C$ should be defined by a matrix centralizing $K^{\prime}$, i.e., a diagonal matrix. But a group of diagonal matrices cannot have order $q+1$.
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