brought to you by 🗓 CORE

Regulation of Cellular Identity in Cancer

Nilotpal Roy¹ and Matthias Hebrok^{1,*}

¹Diabetes Center, Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94143, USA *Correspondence: mhebrok@diabetes.ucsf.edu http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.12.001

Neoplastic transformation requires changes in cellular identity. Emerging evidence increasingly points to cellular reprogramming, a process during which fully differentiated and functional cells lose aspects of their identity while gaining progenitor characteristics, as a critical early step during cancer initiation. This cell identity crisis persists even at the malignant stage in certain cancers, suggesting that reactivation of progenitor functions supports tumorigenicity. Here, we review recent findings that establish the essential role of cellular reprogramming during neoplastic transformation and the major players involved in it with a special emphasis on pancreatic cancer.

Introduction

During embryonic development, the process of cellular differentiation confers commitment of a cell toward a specific, terminally differentiated fate. Pluripotent stem cells give rise to multipotent progenitor cells, which are poised to assume properties of lineage-restricted precursors in response to intercellular and intracellular cues. Lineage-restricted precursors undergo final differentiation toward a terminally matured cell type. Traditionally, it has been accepted that once a cell has concluded its differentiation path toward a specific fate, this state is permanent and irreversible. This view of cellular maturity as an immovable state was challenged by elegant experiments first in frogs and later on mammals that demonstrated cellular plasticity of mature cells (Gurdon and Melton, 2008). The more recent discovery that adult fully differentiated cells can be genetically reprogrammed to induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS), an embryonic stem cell-like state capable of giving rise to all lineages, further refuted the dogma that the terminal differentiation state of a cell is irreversibly locked (Takahashi and Yamanaka, 2006).

Over the last few years, we have learned that cellular dedifferentiation might be a common theme in degenerative diseases, including diabetes (Puri et al., 2014, 2013; Talchai et al., 2012). Similarly, such erosion of the final differentiation state of cells has also been observed during malignant progression. Matured cells with increased plasticity have the ability to acquire some of the genotypic and phenotypic characteristics of a progenitor-like state or adopt a distinct differentiated state. In the case of cancer initiation, mutation in key regulatory genes is one of the major drivers of increased plasticity. Following an oncogenic insult, a mature cell may undergo loss of cellular identity on its way to neoplasia and maintain this abnormal plasticity through the malignant stages. Loss of cellular identity comes in two flavors: dedifferentiation, defined as loss of mature functionality, and transdifferentiation, characterized by a change in cellular identity toward a different mature cell type. Of note, dedifferentiation can precede transdifferentiation toward a distinct cellular fate (Puri et al., 2014). In this review, we will discuss how loss of the defined differentiation state is emerging as a common step toward cellular transformation in many different cancers.

Defective Differentiation States in Cancer

Emergence of a progenitor-like state promotes cellular transformation and tumor formation. This raises the question as to whether such a progenitor state can be modulated for therapeutic purposes. In other words, is it possible to revert tumor cells toward a quiescent, matured state with reduced or absent malignant potential? In a seminal study, G. Barry Pierce provided evidence that malignant cells indeed can be differentiated into benign, post-mitotic cells (Pierce and Wallace, 1971). This finding not only conceptualized the origin of differentiation therapy but also established the rationale of studying initial reprogramming of cells at the inception of cancer. The underlying theory of clinically targeting defective differentiation states by promoting maturation was successfully validated in acute promyelocytic leukemia (APML), a lethal form of hematological malignancy driven by an incomplete differentiation program. APML is characterized by reciprocal translocation of chromosome arms 15 and 17, which results in the fusion of the promyelocytic leukemia gene (PML) with the retinoic acid receptor gene (RAR-a) (Borrow et al., 1990; Larson et al., 1984). The resulting PML-RARa homodimers repress target genes essential for granulocytic differentiation, thus holding tumor cells back in a progenitor-like state. Anthracycline-based chemotherapy, which inhibits the proliferation of malignant cells, used to be the only way to treat APML, but the benefit to patients was limited and often short lived. One of the characteristics of APML is the abnormal accumulation of promyelocytes within the bone marrow of patients (Wang and Chen, 2008). This observation led to the hypothesis that a block in granulocytic differentiation caused by the fusion protein might act as a driving force for APML formation. A major breakthrough in APML research was the finding that leukemia cells can be induced to undergo full differentiation upon treatment with certain agents such as all-trans retinoic acid (ATRA) (Breitman et al., 1981, 1980). As a consequence, patients receiving ATRA exhibit a gradual transition of leukemic promyelocytes toward terminal granulocytes resulting in long-lasting and sometimes curative responses (Tallman et al., 1997; Warrell et al., 1991). This is perhaps one of the best-documented examples in which tumor cells are successfully targeted based on their defective differentiation state.



Defective Differentiation States in Solid Tumors

Successful application of differentiation therapy has also been reported in solid malignancies such as myxoid liposarcoma (MLS), a common tumor of adipose tissue that results from an impediment in the adipogenic differentiation program. MLS is characterized by the reciprocal translocation between the FUS and CHOP genes, resulting in a fusion protein, FUS-CHOP (Crozat et al., 1993; Pérez-Losada et al., 2000; Rabbitts et al., 1993). CHOP belongs to the C/EBP transcription factor family and is involved in adipogenesis (Batchvarova et al., 1995; Ron and Habener, 1992), whereas FUS is a protein component of the nuclear riboprotein complex with an RNA binding motif (Crozat et al., 1993; Rabbitts et al., 1993). The hybrid protein functions as an abnormal transcription factor capable of deregulating CHOP target genes, including inactivation of those required for the lipogenic terminal differentiation programs (Adelmant et al., 1998). Trabectedin, a compound isolated from the sea squirt Ecteinascidia turbinata, promotes the transition from immature non-lipogenic spindle cells to mature lipoblasts by removing the fusion protein from promoters of genes critical for adipogenesis. As a consequence, the transcriptional block toward matured state is abolished, leading to a reduction in tumor burden (Forni et al., 2009). Thus, similar to hematological malignancies, solid tumors displaying attributes of progenitor-like states also respond to differentiation therapy.

Loss of Cellular Identity in Gastrointestinal Tumors

Chromosomal rearrangements resulting in fusion of specific genes and subsequent generation of aberrant fusion proteins evoke a defective differentiation program in both MLS and APML. However, both these malignancies display minimal additional genetic abnormalities. This brings up the pertinent question as to whether defective differentiation is commonplace in other tumor types, including those characterized by multiple "driver" mutations. If so, does loss of cellular identity only happen in cells at intermediate stages of development (like APML or MLS) or also in terminally matured cells? Genetically engineered mouse models (GEMMs) that mimic the human diseases have provided ample evidence that loss of mature cellular identity is an obligatory step for many types of solid tumor malignancies.

For example, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) often presents with features of a multipotent progenitor-like state arising from matured hepatocytes. Deregulation of the Hippo signaling pathway has recently been shown to transform hepatocytes into atypical duct cells and promote progression to HCC. Lineage tracing studies of hepatocytes in mice with hyper-activated Hippo pathway signaling (either through ectopic expression of the Hippo effector Yap1, or inactivation of Nf2, a factor upstream of the Hippo pathway) revealed reprogramming of hepatocytes into ductal cells bearing characteristics of progenitors (Camargo et al., 2007; Yimlamai et al., 2014). These hepatocyte-derived progenitors retained their plasticity, as they could reassume the mature hepatocyte state once normal Hippo signaling was reestablished. A recent proof-of-concept study further demonstrated that Yap1 deletion in HCC completely blocks cell proliferation and atypical ductal cell expansion followed by gradual reactivation of a hepatocyte differentiation program (Fitamant et al., 2015). Under these conditions, HCCs change their morphology by forming nodules resembling groups of regenerative hepatocytes. Thus, the presence of dedifferentiated cells in HCCs and the loss of the malignant phenotype upon redifferentiation toward hepatocytes point to the progenitor state as critical for tumor development and maintenance.

Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma

Genetic reprogramming and loss of cellular identity are also critical early steps during the initiation of another gastrointestinal cancer type, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDA). PDA is one of the deadliest malignancies, in large part due to the absence of specific early symptoms that would facilitate the timely diagnosis at the initial stage of the disease (Hezel et al., 2006). Thus, efforts to define the molecular mechanisms that underlie the initiation of PDA are highly relevant for early detection and timely treatment.

The pancreas is a heterogeneous organ consisting of exocrine and endocrine compartments (Puri and Hebrok, 2010). Pancreatic exocrine tissue comprises acinar cells that synthesize digestive enzymes, ductal cells that transport the acinar-derived enzymes to the duodenum, and terminal duct cells/centroacinar cells present at the interface of the acinar and ductal systems. The endocrine part consists of cellular aggregates, known as islets of Langerhans, which are composed of hormone-secreting cells. While tumors can form from the endocrine region of the pancreas, the most common cancer is the exocrine-derived PDA. PDA can arise from distinctive non-invasive lesions classified as pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (PanIN), mucinous cystic neoplasm (MCN), and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN) (Hezel et al., 2006). Understanding the process by which normal pancreatic cells transition toward neoplasia and subsequently PDA offers the opportunity to identify early biomarkers or novel therapeutic targets that could be exploited to change the course of the disease. As discussed below, we will define different types of PDA neoplasia while summarizing what is known about the cellular transition that occurs during the development of each kind.

PanIN-Derived PDA

PanINs are a frequently diagnosed lesion type that result in aggressive PDA with a 5-year survival rate of a dismal 5% (Hezel et al., 2006). Sophisticated GEMMs have been developed that accurately mimic PanIN to PDA formation, thus allowing us to more precisely track the origin and progression of PanIN lesions. Mutations in KRAS serve as the oncogenic driver in 95% of PDA patients (Almoguera et al., 1988; Smit et al., 1988). In a landmark study that revolutionized the way mouse models of pancreatic cancer were generated, Hingorani and colleagues placed the human KRAS gene carrying an oncogenic G12D mutation that keeps the enzyme in a constitutively active state into the endogenous Kras locus in mice. Expression of the oncogenic version of Kras was initiated upon Cre-mediated elimination of a preceding stop cassette. Targeted expression of oncogenic Kras in pancreatic progenitor cells (around embryonic day 8.5-9) induces lesions in the mature organ recapitulating the full spectrum of human PanIN (Hingorani et al., 2003). Identifying the cellular origin for these lesions could provide critical information regarding the early detection of PDA and could suggest new therapeutic opportunities.

Cell of Origin for PanIN Lesions

Considering that mutant Kras is expressed in the entire pancreatic epithelium in the above-mentioned GEMM model, this genetic approach could not delineate as to whether a specific cell type was particularly sensitive to oncogenic Kras-mediated transformation. Therefore, to explore the cellular precursors of PanINs, several GEMMs with promoters of diverse pancreatic genes marking specific mature cell types have been generated over time to ectopically express oncogenic Kras in distinct pancreatic cell types (see Table S1 for all the relevant GEMM models and their corresponding references). Interestingly, different cell types have been attributed as the cell of origin for PanIN, and the data supporting each cell type are discussed below.

Evidence for a Ductal Origin of PanIN

Histological analysis of PDA identified associated PanINs as lesions that appeared to be in continuous contact with the existing ductal tree of the pancreas, suggesting that PanIN-derived PDA originates from duct cells. Surprisingly, constitutively expressing a different oncogenic version of Kras (KrasG12V) under control of the duct-specific Cytokeratin 19 promoter exhibited only occasional pancreatic ductal hyperplasia (Brembeck et al., 2003). Pancreatic duct cells (PDCs) purified from these animals also did not display any difference in growth or cell-cycle distribution compared to wild-type PDCs (Schreiber et al., 2004). When oncogenic Kras (KrasG12D) was expressed via Cre-mediated recombination in adult PDCs in an inducible manner (CK19Cre ERT), a small number of mucinous metaplasia with characteristics of early PanIN lesions was observed. Conclusive evidence demonstrating that these PanINs were true lesions was not obtained, as progression toward the PDA state was not observed (Ray et al., 2011). In vitro expression of mutant KrasG12V in quiescent PDCs was found to stimulate S-phase entry, increase cell size, and cause epithelial to mesenchymal transition (Agbunag and Bar-Sagi, 2004). When these primary ductal cells expressing oncogenic Kras were transplanted orthotopically into the mouse pancreas, they formed ductal structures resembling early PanIN lesions but lacked full tumorigenic potential (Lee and Bar-Sagi, 2010; Pylayeva-Gupta et al., 2012). Thus, while activation of oncogenic Kras in PDCs was sufficient to induce mitogenic and morphogenic responses, full transformation to PDA was not observed.

More recently, organoid cultures were established from small intra-lobular ducts of normal and KrasG12D-expressing mice. Spheres containing cells expressing oncogenic Kras formed PanIN-like structures with columnar morphology upon orthotopic injection into rodent pancreas (Boj et al., 2015). Similar results were obtained with human tissue. Notably, human organoids gave rise to low and high-grade PanIN lesions within a month and progressed to full-blown PDA within several months after orthotopic transplantation into immune-compromised mice, demonstrating that human duct cells are competent to form PanIN lesions when proper oncogenic cues are present. The apparent discrepancy between this study by Boj et al. and the aforementioned mouse models that did not report efficient PanIN-PDA formation has not been addressed fully. It is possible that in vitro culture conditions are more conducive for Krasmediated oncogenic transformation due to the lack of other sup-

Developmental Cell Review

porting tissues present in vivo, and future experiments will have to resolve these issues. Furthermore, a recent report by Bailey, Leach, and colleagues presents interesting findings that do demonstrate the potential for adult PDCs to serve as progenitors for PDA in GEMMs (Bailey et al., 2015). While expression of oncogenic Kras alone in mature duct cells did not elicit neoplasia, concomitant expression of KrasG12D with two alleles of a gain-of-function mutation in p53 (p53R127H) was sufficient in initiating PDA formation from mature duct cells. Of note, simultaneous expression of just one p53R127H allele with oncogenic Kras did not result in PDA, suggesting a requirement for a certain level of p53 activity in duct cells to promote PDA development. In addition, and somewhat peculiarly, pancreatic duct-derived neoplasia did not progress via PanIN lesions. Thus, as most autochthonous mouse models did not show the full spectrum of PanIN-PDA, the alternative hypothesis that non-duct cells might be the predominant source of PanIN-derived PDA has gained momentum.

Acinar Cells as Cells of Origin for PanIN

Acinar cells make up the vast majority of pancreatic epithelial cells. In support of acinar cells as the origin for PanINs, and in line with the proximity of PanIN cells with ductal cells, it has been shown that acinar cells are vulnerable toward transdifferentiation to a ductal state. Upon varying insults, acinar cells readily lose functionality and enter into an intermediate dedifferentiation state in which they abandon their identity but have not yet adopted ductal features. Subsequently, a transdifferentiation event leads to adoption of true duct-like characteristics by the enzyme-producing cells. Such a conversion is described as acinar to ductal metaplasia (ADM). Support for this notion comes from early in vitro and in vivo evidence suggesting that injury or oncogenic transformation can drive acinar cells toward a ductal phenotype (Arias and Bendayan, 1993; De Lisle and Logsdon, 1990; Grippo and Sandgren, 2000; Hall and Lemoine, 1992; Vila et al., 1994; Yuan et al., 1997). Acinar transdifferentiation into ductal metaplasia can lead to premalignant lesions as shown by EGFR, TGF-α, SV40 large T antigen, or KrasG12D expression in pancreatic acinar cells (Bockman and Merlino, 1992; Grippo et al., 2003; Ornitz et al., 1987; Sandgren et al., 1990; Tuveson et al., 2006; Wagner et al., 2001, 1998). Using Nestin-Cre animals, Murray Korc's group provided further support for the notion that acinar cells, or their progenitors, can give rise to neoplastic cells with ductal features (Carrière et al., 2007). Furthermore, subsequent studies using Elastase CreERT or proCPA1; CreERT transgenic mice that express Cre recombinase specifically in adult acinar cells following Tamoxifen administration confirmed that Kras activation in mature acinar cells induces PanIN lesions in a manner similar to ubiquitous Kras activation within the pancreatic epithelium (De La O et al., 2008; Gidekel Friedlander et al., 2009).

While these data collectively provided strong support that acinar cell can serve as a progenitor for PanINs, conflicting results were obtained with a different version of oncogenic Kras (KrasG12V). Ectopic expression of KrasG12V in developing acinar cells also led to spontaneous generation of PanIN and PDA, but adult pancreatic acinar cells were refractory to Krasmediated transformation. In humans, pancreatitis and inflammation have been recognized as strong risk factors for PanIN and



Duct ---- IPMN-----PDA

PDA development. Similarly, when exocrine cells were challenged with caerulein, a cholecystokinin analog capable of inducing pancreatitis associated with fibrosis and inflammation, subsequent expression of KrasG12V did induce PanIN and PDA in postnatal acinar pancreas (Guerra et al., 2007). In contrast, by using two different transgenic lines permitting ectopic expression of KrasG12D in adult acinar cells (Elastase CreERT or Mist1 CreERT), Maitra and colleagues observed spontaneous development of PanIN lesions in the absence of concurrent exocrine injury (Habbe et al., 2008). The reason for the discrepancies in the observed phenotypes remains elusive, but distinct transforming capacities between G12V and G12D may partially explain the differences. Nonetheless, the general consensus of these studies supports the notion that acinar cells respond to oncogenic Kras, either alone or in combination with inflammatory insults, by transdifferentiating toward a ductal phenotype prior to PanIN formation (Figure 1).

A recent study directly compared the propensity of ductal and centroacinar versus acinar cells to undergo dedifferentiation and subsequent transformation by oncogenic Kras (Kopp et al., 2012). Forced expression in either adult acinar cells (Ptf1a Cre-ERT2) or adult duct and centroacinar cells (Sox9 Cre-ERT2) induced PanIN lesions that arose predominantly from acinar but not ductal or centroacinar cells. Even when challenged with pre-neoplastic insults, such as caerulein-induced acute pancreatitis, KrasG12D-expressing duct cells showed only a low propensity to form PanIN lesions.

Centroacinar Cells as Progenitors for PanIN

In addition to the above-described acinar-centric theory of PanIN formation, others have suggested centroacinar cells as progenitors for PanIN and PDA development. Centroacinar cells display several characteristics that set them apart from other mature pancreatic cell types, including their location that is confined to the transition zone between duct and acinar cells and their propensity to express molecular markers of em-

Figure 1. Transition between Cellular States during PanIN- and IPMN-Derived PDA

Acinar, ductal, or centroacinar cells can give rise to PanINs. However, based on the current observations, acinar cells have been emerged as the predominant source for PanIN-derived PDA. On the other hand, current evidence clearly indicates duct cells as the cell of origin for IPMN. Both neoplasia are characterized by the erosion of the mature differentiation state of their respective cells of origin. While acinar cells undergo transdifferentiation toward a duct-like state before PanIN formation, duct cells dedifferentiate to a lesser degree and retain aspects of the duct lineage during IPMN. Notably, both dedifferentiation programs display some features of the ductal lineage, suggesting that exocrine neoplasia might have to progress through a common "bottle neck, duct-like" state.

bryonic progenitor cells, including Notch signaling (Kopinke et al., 2011; Afelik et al., 2012; Kopinke et al., 2012). Furthermore, their ability to form replicating spheres capable of differentiating into all

pancreatic cell types when cultured in vitro has supported the notion that these cells might possess adult stem cell properties, a notion that has not been confirmed in vivo (Rovira et al., 2010). However, centroacinar cells have been implicated in neoplastic transformation (Stanger et al., 2005). Elimination of Pten expression, a tumor suppressor gene, instead of ectopic expression of mutant Kras as the oncogenic driver, led centroacinar cells to transdifferentiate into ductal cells and formed mucinous metaplasia as well as PanIN lesions. An intriguing theory that arises from these findings is that the difference in the tumor-initiating event determines whether duct, centroacinar, or acinar cells serve as the origins for PanIN and PDA development.

Predisposition of Epithelial Subtypes and Cancer Stem Cell

Although the majority of studies point toward fully differentiated exocrine cells as precursors of PanIN-PDA, some reports suggest that a subset of cells exists that might be more susceptible to oncogenic transformation. While the presence of true mature pancreatic stem cells, defined as cells capable of expansion, maintenance of a progenitor phenotype, and differentiation into multiple mature cell types have not been clearly demonstrated, there is emerging evidence that distinct subsets of cells residing within the pancreatic epithelium might have higher predisposition for dedifferentiation. For example, doublecortin and Ca2/ calmodulin-dependent kinase-like 1 (Dclk1) is expressed in a small percentage of duct cells, and these cells are associated with progenitor-like function (May et al., 2010). Additional studies have shown that Dclk1 high cells have enhanced PanIN sphereforming ability, suggesting a propensity for such cells to undergo neoplastic transformation (Bailey et al., 2014). It remains to be explored as to whether Dclk1 high cells have already initiated an early dedifferentiation program that predisposes them for PanIN formation. The finding that Dclk1 is among a select group of factors, including CD24, CD44, and ESA, expressed both in early PanIN lesions and "cancer stem cells" present in fully





developed tumors further suggests that a select sub-population of pancreatic epithelial cells could harbor progenitor functions that might be maintained over time. Cancer stem cells share the ability for unrestrained self-renewal like normal stem cells and can be identified and purified via expression analysis of specific cell surface markers such as CD24, CD44, CD133, EPCAM, ESA, c-Met, Aldh1, Lgr5, and Dclk1 (Hermann et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007; Kim et al., 2011, Sureban et al., 2011; Mizuno et al., 2013; Ischenko et al., 2014). Future studies will have to determine whether cancer stem cells truly represent a distinct cell population or whether the expression of the cellular markers and the associated renewal properties can be attained by other tumor cells under certain conditions.

Humanized Models for PDA

Current evidence indicates that all exocrine pancreas cell types possess the ability to transform into PanIN and PDA, albeit to varying degrees. While acinar cells have emerged as the predominant source for PDA from GEMM studies, one cannot disregard the possibility that murine pancreatic cell types behave differently than their human counterparts with regard to their susceptibility to oncogenic transformation. The advent of human stem cell technology has opened up opportunities to study the initiation of pancreatic neoplasia in the human context. Zaret and colleagues generated human iPS cells from primary pancreatic epithelial cells isolated from PDA, thereby developing a versatile model in which late stage tumors can be reprogrammed to recapitulate early stages of the disease (Kim et al., 2013). In another study, Muthuswamy and colleagues introduced threedimensional culture conditions to induce differentiation of human

ain an de differentiatie

B

Factor	Expression
Pdx1	Up
Ptf1a	Down
Sox9	Up
Hes1	Up
Gata6	Down
Mist1	Down
Nr5a2	Down
Hnf6	Up
FoxA2	Up
Hnf1β	Up
Prrx1	Up

Ductal dedifferentiation

Factor	Expression
Pdx1	Up
Sox9	Down
Hnf6	Down
FoxA2	Down
Hnf1β	Down

Factor	Expression	
Pdx1	Up	
Sox9	Down	
Hnf6	Down	
FoxA2	Down	
Hnf1β	Down	

Figure 2. Factors Regulating Exocrine Cell Fate Conversion

(A) During pancreas development, several transcription factors regulate the differentiation cascade that converts progenitors into fully differentiated state in a concerted, regulated manner. Many of these same factors were deregulated during cancer initiation and progression. Factors marked in red have been implicated in cellular dedifferentiation during oncogenic transformation.

(B) Expression analysis of lineage-specifying transcription factors during acinar or ductal dedifferentiation.

pluripotent stem cells into exocrine organoids that can be used to model human PDA (Huang et al., 2015a). These efforts usher in an era in which human models of PDA can be exploited in connection with existing GEMMs to study early and late stages of disease initiation and progression (Kim and Zaret, 2015).

The Role of Lineage-Specifying **Transcription Factors in Regulating Cellular Identity**

Increasing evidence suggests that acinar dedifferentiation proceeds via an orchestrated process that involves loss of

cellular identity followed by the acquisition of ductal properties. In molecular terms, the dedifferentiation program is associated with loss of acinar markers such as Nr5a2, Mist1, and Ptf1a and increased expression of ductal markers such as Sox9 and Hnf6 (Figure 2). Functional studies have shown that these transcription factors are not just markers of dedifferentiation but that they profoundly regulate acinar cell identity and prevent Krasmediated oncogenesis. For example, Nr5a2, a member of the nuclear receptor family of intracellular transcription factors, is required for securing the fate of the enzyme-producing cells, as its deletion in the pancreas epithelium leads to destabilization of the mature acinar differentiation state and loss of regenerative capacity following acute pancreatitis (Flandez et al., 2014; von Figura et al., 2014b). In the adult pancreas, Nr5a2 co-operates with the pancreas transcription factor 1-L complex (PTF1-L) to regulate acinar-specific gene expression (Holmstrom et al., 2011). PTF1-L is a tripartite complex in which the basic helix-loop-helix protein (bHLH) protein Ptf1a serves to convey target specific gene expression. Similar to Nr5a2, deletion of Ptf1a in the pancreas also promotes rapid ADM (Krah et al., 2015). Another bHLH transcription factor, Mist1, is expressed in pancreatic acinar cells and has also been shown to maintain their function, stability, and identity (Pin et al., 2001; Shi et al., 2013). Thus, a defined set of acinar transcription factors prevents transdifferentiation toward other pancreatic cell types, most notably duct cells. Interestingly, sustained expression of these acinar-specific transcription factors appears critical in impairing oncogenic Kras-driven ADM and neoplastic transformation. In summary, these observations support the concept that erosion of the acinar differentiation

state is necessary to provide a permissive environment for Kras-driven ADM and PanIN development.

In addition to signals that maintain acinar identity, a different set of transcription factors actively promotes acinar dedifferentiation. Expression of proteins normally absent in enzyme-producing cells results in their transdifferentiation toward ductal properties. For example, Pdx1, a transcription factor mostly confined to β cells in the adult pancreas, leads to cell-autonomous acinar to ductal transition when artificially expressed in acinar cells from embryonic stages onward (Miyatsuka et al., 2006). Similarly, inappropriate expression of Sox9 in acinar cells, a transcription factor that maintains duct cell identity, destabilizes cellular identity of acinar cells, promotes expression of ductal genes, and greatly increases Kras-driven ADM. The observation that deletion of Sox9 in KrasG12D-expressing adult acinar cells largely inhibits PanIN formation further suggests a critical function for the transcription factor in the transition toward a ductal fate (Kopp et al., 2012). Support for this notion comes from human studies that have noted upregulation of this key duct marker during ADM (Kopp et al., 2012). Prrx1, a nuclear homeodomain transcription factor upregulated during acinar dedifferentiation, binds to the Sox9 promoter and positively regulates Sox9 expression (Reichert et al., 2013). Furthermore, Hnf6, another duct-specific transcription factor, suppresses acinar markers while inducing ductal markers such as Sox9 (Prévot et al., 2012). Thus, initiating a transdifferentiation cascade toward the duct state appears to be accomplished through activation of just a few transcription factors at the top of a hierarchical signaling cascade. Complete ductal reprogramming, however, requires the presence of oncogenic Kras. Therefore, promoting acinar transdifferentiation toward a ductal state needs to go hand-in-hand with oncogenic stimuli to induce lasting ADM, neoplasia, and PDA.

Role of Oncogenic Kras in Cellular Reprogramming

As oncogenic Kras is central to pancreatic cancer initiation, delineating the function of the various Kras-driven pathways in the dedifferentiation process has been a major research focus. Using a mouse model that permitted pancreas-specific, temporally regulated and reversible expression of mutant Kras, Magliano and colleagues showed that oncogenic Kras reversibly altered normal epithelial differentiation following tissue damage (Collins et al., 2012). Inactivation of Kras in established acinarderived PanINs leads to their redifferentiation into acinar cells and eventually PanIN regression. Oncogenic Kras signaling in PDA primarily involves three major pathways: the (1) Raf/MEK/ ERK, (2) PI3K/Pdk1/Akt, and (3) Ral guanine nucleotide exchange factor pathways (Eser et al., 2014). Active MAPK signaling is required to maintain the KrasG12D-driven dedifferentiated acinar state in PanIN lesions. Conversely, pharmacological inhibition of MAPK pathway effector MEK1/2 drives PanIN cells to re-differentiate into acinar cells (Collins et al., 2014). Several other MAPK pathway factors that are required for Ras transformation such as Protein kinase C iota, serine threonine kinase Protein kinase D1, and immediate early response family IER3 have also been shown to be required for Kras-mediated reprogramming of enzyme-producing cells (Garcia et al., 2014; Liou et al., 2015; Scotti et al., 2012). Cell-autonomous PI3K and PDK1 signaling, the second Kras regulated pathway, serves as a key effector of oncogenic Kras-driven ADM (Eser et al., 2013; Ying et al., 2011). Likewise, Ral-GDS, a component of the third arm of Ras effector signaling, has been implicated in the early dedifferentiation program. Ral1 target Rac1 mediates F-actin redistribution and actin reorganization, which is required for morphologic changes of acinar cells undergoing ductal metaplasia (Heid et al., 2011). Thus, all three signaling cascades downstream of oncogenic Kras are involved in aspects of ADM and PanIN formation.

In addition, several other pathways in the Ras interactome, such as STAT3 and EGFR signaling, have been shown to play an integral part in the ADM process (Corcoran et al., 2011; Fukuda et al., 2011; Lesina et al., 2011;Siveke et al., 2007;Ardito et al., 2012). Summarily, available evidence reinforces the concept of a coordinated erosion process in mature acinar cells preceding the oncogenic transformation driven by mutant Kras toward PDA.

Embryonic Signaling Pathways Involved in Acinar Reprogramming

Oncogenic Kras-mediated cellular dedifferentiation often involves hijacking of signaling pathways that play a crucial role in pancreatic organogenesis such as Notch, Wnt, Hedgehog (Hh), and small non-coding RNA (Dessimoz et al., 2005; Hebrok et al., 2000; Heiser et al., 2006; Jensen, 2004; Murtaugh et al., 2005; Wells et al., 2007; Lynn et al., 2007). These pathways play critical functions during oncogene-driven acinar dedifferentiation (Morris et al., 2014; Prévot et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Fendrich et al., 2008). For example, activated Notch signaling and oncogenic Kras co-operate in promoting rapid reprogramming of acinar cells into a duct-like phenotype (De La O et al., 2008; Greer et al., 2013). In contrast to the positive role of Notch during ADM, β-catenin, a critical mediator of the canonical Wnt signaling cascade, inhibits Kras-dependent reprogramming of acinar cells (Heiser et al., 2008; Morris et al., 2010). Thus, ADM is regulated by positive and inhibitory signals from embryonic pathways that guide exocrine pancreas formation.

Epigenetic modifiers and chromatin regulators too have been implicated in oncogenic Kras-driven loss of acinar identity. For example, Brg1, an ATP-dependent catalytic subunit of the SWI/ SNF chromatin remodeler complex, is required for oncogenic Kras-driven ductal metaplasia (von Figura et al., 2014a). Likewise, Sirtuin 1, a NAD-dependent histone deacetylase, regulates ADM. Sirt1-mediated deacetylation of β -catenin and acinar-specific transcription factor Ptf1a leads to a reduction of the expression of both factors and subsequently to a loss of the mature acinar state, thus placing Sirt1 atop a hierarchical cascade that controls mature acinar identity (Wauters et al., 2013).

Finally, several environmental stimuli such as smoking and chronic alcohol exposure, posited to be risk factors for PDA, have also been implicated in ADM (Huang et al., 2015b). For example, smoking, one of the major risk factors for PDA formation, induces dedifferentiation of acinar cells by activating AKT-ERK-MYC signaling (Hermann et al., 2014). This cascade inhibits the activity of Gata6, a transcription factor previously shown to play an important role in maintaining the adult acinar cell compartment (Martinelli et al., 2015). Summarily, these data demonstrate how oncogenic cues collaborate with a complex

network of genomic and epigenomic regulators and erode the matured acinar state. More importantly, identifying these critical nodes of deregulation provides us with an opportunity to clinically target the defective differentiation states of tumor cells.

IPMN-Derived PDA

Besides the vast majority of PDAs being derived from PanIN lesions, there are a small number of PDA cases, which emerge from other neoplastic subtypes such as mucinous cystic neoplasm (MCN) and intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm (IPMN). Mouse models that faithfully recapitulate human MCN are limited, thus precluding proper identification of their cellular origin (Izeradjene et al., 2007). One study suggested MCN to be formed from acinar cells; however, whether that process involves any dedifferentiation step remains to be explored (Sano et al., 2014).

IPMN formation has been observed in several transgenic mouse models, including those with concomitant activation of TGF-alpha, stimulation of Gs-alpha subunit GNAS, deletion of Brg1, or attenuated Smad4 expression in the context of oncogenic Kras (Bardeesy et al., 2006; Siveke et al., 2007; Taki et al., 2015; von Figura et al., 2014a). Of particular interest is the observation that despite distinct morphological and gene expression signatures of IPMN and PanIN lesions, the resulting tumors are both classified as PDA. This raises the question of whether the early steps of IPMN and PanIN initiation are shared. To delineate the cellular origin of IPMN, Cre recombinase-driven expression of oncogenic Kras and elimination of Brg1 was directed specifically to adult duct or acinar cells. Acinar-driven animals did not display any IPMN lesions, suggesting limited potential for enzyme-producing cells to undergo such neoplasia. In contrast, IPMN-like progenitor duct atypia as well as occasional IPMN formation were found in mice with oncogenic Kras/elimination of Brg1 in duct cells (von Figura et al., 2014a). Considering that IPMN lesions retain ductal characteristics, the recent finding that pancreatic duct cells also lose their mature differentiation state en route to oncogenic transformation was somewhat unexpected (Roy et al., 2015). Duct-derived IPMN cells were found to lose expression of many adult ductal markers such as HNF1^β, Hnf6, and Sox9. Loss of Sox9 expression appears to be particularly important for duct identity, a hypothesis that was confirmed upon ectopic expression of Sox9 that prevented IPMN formation.

These observations point to the deterioration of cellular identity as a shared and critical process during PanIN and IPMN formation. While acinar cells undergo transdifferentiation toward a "duct-like" state before PanIN formation is initiated, duct cells dedifferentiate to a lesser degree and retain aspects of the duct lineage during IPMN development. Notably, both dedifferentiation programs display some features of the ductal lineage, raising the tantalizing hypothesis that exocrine neoplasia might have to progress through a common "bottle neck, duct-like" state (Figure 1). Defining in detail the dedifferentiated cells emerging from either acinar or duct cells should enable us to tailor therapeutic regimens for PDA arising from divergent neoplastic lesions.

Therapeutic Implications

A central question emerges from the above studies: is it possible to reverse the dedifferentiated state of pancreatic cancer cells

680 Developmental Cell 35, December 21, 2015 ©2015 Elsevier Inc.

and sensitize them to chemotherapy? Successful application of retinoic acid for APML or trabectedin for MLS indeed holds promise for "differentiation-targeted" therapy. One can argue that APML or MLS, unlike gastrointestinal cancers, are single karyotype diseases caused by a characteristic fusion gene that drives their tumorigenicity. However, similar arguments could be made for oncogenic Kras, the predominant oncogene for PDA. Fortunately, with the development of sophisticated GEMM, we are now equipped to analyze the different developmental stages during carcinoma progression. The information gathered from these mouse models will inform us about the critical nodes of tumor development that can be targeted. For instance, during pancreatic cancer progression, the progenitor genes Pdx1 and Hnf4a are upregulated. JQ1, a bromodomain inhibitor, represses expression of these genes and activates mature duct markers (Roy et al., 2015). As a consequence, JQ1 reduced IPMN-PDA tumor burden in animals, possibly through reactivation of a cellular differentiation program. In support of the broader use of such an approach, a recent report showed that by overexpressing the bHLH protein E47, neoplastic cells reverted to an acinar state with reduced PanIN tumorigenicity (Kim et al., 2015). Perhaps the most important evidence comes from the use of MAPK inhibitors, which directly antagonize the oncogenic Kras pathway. When the MAPK-ERK pathway was inhibited pharmacologically in Kras-driven animal models, neoplastic cells were able to reverse to their mature acinar differentiation state (Collins et al., 2014). Thus, strengthening or reestablishing the cellular identity may be exploited as a novel therapeutic avenue for PDA treatment.

In their widely cited "Hallmarks of Cancer" review, Weinberg and Hanahan identified several characteristics shared by most cancer cells, such as replicative immortality, evasion of apoptosis, escape from immune cell recognition, and aberrant cellular energetics (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Current therapeutic interventions attempt to target the principal nodes of the signaling pathways that lead to the disruption of these specified hallmarks of cancer. However, in light of new and emerging evidence, a "defective dedifferentiation state" may be a hallmark of many cancers that can be targeted therapeutically with the goal of reverting tumor cells toward a normal or more benign state.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes one table and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2015.12.001.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We apologize to all colleagues whose work was not included in this Review due to space constraints. We thank Drs. Shivani Malik, Sapna Puri, Jennifer Liu, and Laura Leonhardt for critical reading of the manuscript. We also would like to thank Karina Villanueva for help with illustrations. M.H. is supported by a grant from the NIH (NCI; CA172045).

REFERENCES

Adelmant, G., Gilbert, J.D., and Freytag, S.O. (1998). Human translocation liposarcoma-CCAAT/enhancer binding protein (C/EBP) homologous protein (TLS-CHOP) oncoprotein prevents adipocyte differentiation by directly interfering with C/EBPbeta function. J. Biol. Chem. 273, 15574-15581.

Afelik, S., Qu, X., Hasrouni, E., Bukys, M.A., Deering, T., Nieuwoudt, S., Rogers, W., Macdonald, R.J., and Jensen, J. (2012). Notch-mediated patterning

and cell fate allocation of pancreatic progenitor cells. Development 139, 1744–1753.

Agbunag, C., and Bar-Sagi, D. (2004). Oncogenic K-ras drives cell cycle progression and phenotypic conversion of primary pancreatic duct epithelial cells. Cancer Res. 64, 5659–5663.

Almoguera, C., Shibata, D., Forrester, K., Martin, J., Arnheim, N., and Perucho, M. (1988). Most human carcinomas of the exocrine pancreas contain mutant c-K-ras genes. Cell *53*, 549–554.

Ardito, C.M., Grüner, B.M., Takeuchi, K.K., Lubeseder-Martellato, C., Teichmann, N., Mazur, P.K., Delgiorno, K.E., Carpenter, E.S., Halbrook, C.J., Hall, J.C., et al. (2012). EGF receptor is required for KRAS-induced pancreatic tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell *22*, 304–317.

Arias, A.E., and Bendayan, M. (1993). Differentiation of pancreatic acinar cells into duct-like cells in vitro. Lab. Invest. 69, 518–530.

Bailey, J.M., Alsina, J., Rasheed, Z.A., McAllister, F.M., Fu, Y.-Y., Plentz, R., Zhang, H., Pasricha, P.J., Bardeesy, N., Matsui, W., et al. (2014). DCLK1 marks a morphologically distinct subpopulation of cells with stem cell properties in preinvasive pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology 146, 245–256.

Bailey, J.M., Hendley, A.M., Lafaro, K.J., Pruski, M.A., Jones, N.C., Alsina, J., Younes, M., Maitra, A., McAllister, F., Iacobuzio-Donahue, C.A., and Leach, S.D. (2015). p53 mutations cooperate with oncogenic Kras to promote adenocarcinoma from pancreatic ductal cells. Oncogene. Published online November 23, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/onc.2015.441.

Bardeesy, N., Cheng, K.H., Berger, J.H., Chu, G.C., Pahler, J., Olson, P., Hezel, A.F., Horner, J., Lauwers, G.Y., Hanahan, D., and DePinho, R.A. (2006). Smad4 is dispensable for normal pancreas development yet critical in progression and tumor biology of pancreas cancer. Genes Dev. *20*, 3130–3146.

Batchvarova, N., Wang, X.Z., and Ron, D. (1995). Inhibition of adipogenesis by the stress-induced protein CHOP (Gadd153). EMBO J. *14*, 4654–4661.

Bockman, D.E., and Merlino, G. (1992). Cytological changes in the pancreas of transgenic mice overexpressing transforming growth factor alpha. Gastroenterology *103*, 1883–1892.

Boj, S.F., Hwang, C.-I., Baker, L.A., Chio, I.I.C., Engle, D.D., Corbo, V., Jager, M., Ponz-Sarvise, M., Tiriac, H., Spector, M.S., et al. (2015). Organoid models of human and mouse ductal pancreatic cancer. Cell *160*, 324–338.

Borrow, J., Goddard, A.D., Sheer, D., and Solomon, E. (1990). Molecular analysis of acute promyelocytic leukemia breakpoint cluster region on chromosome 17. Science 249, 1577–1580.

Breitman, T.R., Selonick, S.E., and Collins, S.J. (1980). Induction of differentiation of the human promyelocytic leukemia cell line (HL-60) by retinoic acid. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77, 2936–2940.

Breitman, T.R., Collins, S.J., and Keene, B.R. (1981). Terminal differentiation of human promyelocytic leukemic cells in primary culture in response to retinoic acid. Blood *57*, 1000–1004.

Brembeck, F.H., Schreiber, F.S., Deramaudt, T.B., Craig, L., Rhoades, B., Swain, G., Grippo, P., Stoffers, D.A., Silberg, D.G., and Rustgi, A.K. (2003). The mutant K-ras oncogene causes pancreatic periductal lymphocytic infiltration and gastric mucous neck cell hyperplasia in transgenic mice. Cancer Res. 63, 2005–2009.

Camargo, F.D., Gokhale, S., Johnnidis, J.B., Fu, D., Bell, G.W., Jaenisch, R., and Brummelkamp, T.R. (2007). YAP1 increases organ size and expands undifferentiated progenitor cells. Curr. Biol. *17*, 2054–2060.

Carrière, C., Seeley, E.S., Goetze, T., Longnecker, D.S., and Korc, M. (2007). The Nestin progenitor lineage is the compartment of origin for pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 4437–4442.

Collins, M.A., Bednar, F., Zhang, Y., Brisset, J.C., Galbán, S., Galbán, C.J., Rakshit, S., Flannagan, K.S., Adsay, N.V., and Pasca di Magliano, M. (2012). Oncogenic Kras is required for both the initiation and maintenance of pancreatic cancer in mice. J. Clin. Invest. *122*, 639–653.

Collins, M.A., Yan, W., Sebolt-Leopold, J.S., and Pasca di Magliano, M. (2014). MAPK signaling is required for dedifferentiation of acinar cells and development of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia in mice. Gastroenterology *146*, 822–834.

Corcoran, R.B., Contino, G., Deshpande, V., Tzatsos, A., Conrad, C., Benes, C.H., Levy, D.E., Settleman, J., Engelman, J.A., and Bardeesy, N. (2011). STAT3 plays a critical role in KRAS-induced pancreatic tumorigenesis. Cancer Res. *71*, 5020–5029.

Crozat, A., Aman, P., Mandahl, N., and Ron, D. (1993). Fusion of CHOP to a novel RNA-binding protein in human myxoid liposarcoma. Nature *363*, 640–644.

De La O, J.P., Emerson, L.L., Goodman, J.L., Froebe, S.C., Illum, B.E., Curtis, A.B., and Murtaugh, L.C. (2008). Notch and Kras reprogram pancreatic acinar cells to ductal intraepithelial neoplasia. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 18907–18912.

De Lisle, R.C., and Logsdon, C.D. (1990). Pancreatic acinar cells in culture: expression of acinar and ductal antigens in a growth-related manner. Eur. J. Cell Biol. *51*, 64–75.

Dessimoz, J., Bonnard, C., Huelsken, J., and Grapin-Botton, A. (2005). Pancreas-specific deletion of beta-catenin reveals Wnt-dependent and Wnt-independent functions during development. Curr. Biol. *15*, 1677–1683.

Eser, S., Reiff, N., Messer, M., Seidler, B., Gottschalk, K., Dobler, M., Hieber, M., Arbeiter, A., Klein, S., Kong, B., et al. (2013). Selective requirement of PI3K/ PDK1 signaling for Kras oncogene-driven pancreatic cell plasticity and cancer. Cancer Cell 23, 406–420.

Eser, S., Schnieke, A., Schneider, G., and Saur, D. (2014). Oncogenic KRAS signalling in pancreatic cancer. Br. J. Cancer *111*, 817–822.

Fendrich, V., Esni, F., Garay, M.V.R., Feldmann, G., Habbe, N., Jensen, J.N., Dor, Y., Stoffers, D., Jensen, J., Leach, S.D., and Maitra, A. (2008). Hedgehog signaling is required for effective regeneration of exocrine pancreas. Gastroenterology *135*, 621–631.

Fitamant, J., Kottakis, F., Benhamouche, S., Tian, H.S., Chuvin, N., Parachoniak, C.A., Nagle, J.M., Perera, R.M., Lapouge, M., Deshpande, V., et al. (2015). YAP inhibition restores hepatocyte differentiation in advanced HCC, leading to tumor regression. Cell Rep. Published online March 10, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2015.02.027.

Flandez, M., Cendrowski, J., Cañamero, M., Salas, A., del Pozo, N., Schoonjans, K., and Real, F.X. (2014). Nr5a2 heterozygosity sensitises to, and cooperates with, inflammation in KRas(G12V)-driven pancreatic tumourigenesis. Gut 63, 647–655.

Forni, C., Minuzzo, M., Virdis, E., Tamborini, E., Simone, M., Tavecchio, M., Erba, E., Grosso, F., Gronchi, A., Aman, P., et al. (2009). Trabectedin (ET-743) promotes differentiation in myxoid liposarcoma tumors. Mol. Cancer Ther. 8, 449–457.

Fukuda, A., Wang, S.C., Morris, J.P., 4th, Folias, A.E., Liou, A., Kim, G.E., Akira, S., Boucher, K.M., Firpo, M.A., Mulvihill, S.J., and Hebrok, M. (2011). Stat3 and MMP7 contribute to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma initiation and progression. Cancer Cell *19*, 441–455.

Garcia, M.N., Grasso, D., Lopez-Millan, M.B., Hamidi, T., Loncle, C., Tomasini, R., Lomberk, G., Porteu, F., Urrutia, R., and Iovanna, J.L. (2014). IER3 supports KRASG12D-dependent pancreatic cancer development by sustaining ERK1/2 phosphorylation. J. Clin. Invest. *124*, 4709–4722.

Gidekel Friedlander, S.Y., Chu, G.C., Snyder, E.L., Girnius, N., Dibelius, G., Crowley, D., Vasile, E., DePinho, R.A., and Jacks, T. (2009). Context-dependent transformation of adult pancreatic cells by oncogenic K-Ras. Cancer Cell *16*, 379–389.

Greer, R.L., Staley, B.K., Liou, A., and Hebrok, M. (2013). Numb regulates acinar cell dedifferentiation and survival during pancreatic damage and acinar-to-ductal metaplasia. Gastroenterology *145*, 1088–1097.

Grippo, P.J., and Sandgren, E.P. (2000). Highly invasive transitional cell carcinoma of the bladder in a simian virus 40 T-antigen transgenic mouse model. Am. J. Pathol. *157*, 805–813.

Grippo, P.J., Nowlin, P.S., Demeure, M.J., Longnecker, D.S., and Sandgren, E.P. (2003). Preinvasive pancreatic neoplasia of ductal phenotype induced by acinar cell targeting of mutant Kras in transgenic mice. Cancer Res. *63*, 2016–2019.

Guerra, C., Schuhmacher, A.J., Cañamero, M., Grippo, P.J., Verdaguer, L., Pérez-Gallego, L., Dubus, P., Sandgren, E.P., and Barbacid, M. (2007). Chronic pancreatitis is essential for induction of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma by K-Ras oncogenes in adult mice. Cancer Cell *11*, 291–302.

Gurdon, J.B., and Melton, D.A. (2008). Nuclear reprogramming in cells. Science 322, 1811–1815.

Habbe, N., Shi, G., Meguid, R.A., Fendrich, V., Esni, F., Chen, H., Feldmann, G., Stoffers, D.A., Konieczny, S.F., Leach, S.D., and Maitra, A. (2008). Spontaneous induction of murine pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia (mPanIN) by acinar cell targeting of oncogenic Kras in adult mice. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 18913–18918.

Hall, P.A., and Lemoine, N.R. (1992). Rapid acinar to ductal transdifferentiation in cultured human exocrine pancreas. J. Pathol. *166*, 97–103.

Hanahan, D., and Weinberg, R.A. (2011). Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 144, 646–674.

Hebrok, M., Kim, S.K., St Jacques, B., McMahon, A.P., and Melton, D.A. (2000). Regulation of pancreas development by hedgehog signaling. Development *127*, 4905–4913.

Heid, I., Lubeseder-Martellato, C., Sipos, B., Mazur, P.K., Lesina, M., Schmid, R.M., and Siveke, J.T. (2011). Early requirement of Rac1 in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. Gastroenterology *141*, 719–730, 730.e1–730.e7.

Heiser, P.W., Lau, J., Taketo, M.M., Herrera, P.L., and Hebrok, M. (2006). Stabilization of beta-catenin impacts pancreas growth. Development *133*, 2023– 2032.

Heiser, P.W., Cano, D.A., Landsman, L., Kim, G.E., Kench, J.G., Klimstra, D.S., Taketo, M.M., Biankin, A.V., and Hebrok, M. (2008). Stabilization of beta-catenin induces pancreas tumor formation. Gastroenterology *135*, 1288–1300.

Hermann, P.C., Huber, S.L., Herrler, T., Aicher, A., Ellwart, J.W., Guba, M., Bruns, C.J., and Heeschen, C. (2007). Distinct populations of cancer stem cells determine tumor growth and metastatic activity in human pancreatic cancer. Cell Stem Cell *1*, 313–323.

Hermann, P.C., Sancho, P., Cañamero, M., Martinelli, P., Madriles, F., Michl, P., Gress, T., de Pascual, R., Gandia, L., Guerra, C., et al. (2014). Nicotine promotes initiation and progression of KRAS-induced pancreatic cancer via Gata6-dependent dedifferentiation of acinar cells in mice. Gastroenterology 147, 1119–1133.

Hezel, A.F., Kimmelman, A.C., Stanger, B.Z., Bardeesy, N., and Depinho, R.A. (2006). Genetics and biology of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Genes Dev. 20, 1218–1249.

Hingorani, S.R., Petricoin, E.F., Maitra, A., Rajapakse, V., King, C., Jacobetz, M.A., Ross, S., Conrads, T.P., Veenstra, T.D., Hitt, B.A., et al. (2003). Preinvasive and invasive ductal pancreatic cancer and its early detection in the mouse. Cancer Cell 4, 437–450.

Holmstrom, S.R., Deering, T., Swift, G.H., Poelwijk, F.J., Mangelsdorf, D.J., Kliewer, S.A., and MacDonald, R.J. (2011). LRH-1 and PTF1-L coregulate an exocrine pancreas-specific transcriptional network for digestive function. Genes Dev. *25*, 1674–1679.

Huang, L., Holtzinger, A., Jagan, I., BeGora, M., Lohse, I., Ngai, N., Nostro, C., Wang, R., Muthuswamy, L.B., Crawford, H.C., et al. (2015a). Ductal pancreatic cancer modeling and drug screening using human pluripotent stem cell- and patient-derived tumor organoids. Nat. Med. *21*, 1364–1371.

Huang, X., Li, X., Ma, Q., Xu, Q., Duan, W., Lei, J., Zhang, L., and Wu, Z. (2015b). Chronic alcohol exposure exacerbates inflammation and triggers pancreatic acinar-to-ductal metaplasia through PI3K/Akt/IKK. Int. J. Mol. Med. 35, 653–663.

Ischenko, I., Petrenko, O., and Hayman, M.J. (2014). Analysis of the tumorinitiating and metastatic capacity of PDX1-positive cells from the adult pancreas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *111*, 3466–3471.

Izeradjene, K., Combs, C., Best, M., Gopinathan, A., Wagner, A., Grady, W.M., Deng, C.X., Hruban, R.H., Adsay, N.V., Tuveson, D.A., and Hingorani, S.R. (2007). Kras(G12D) and Smad4/Dpc4 haploinsufficiency cooperate to induce mucinous cystic neoplasms and invasive adenocarcinoma of the pancreas. Cancer Cell *11*, 229–243.

Jensen, J. (2004). Gene regulatory factors in pancreatic development. Dev. Dyn. 229, 176–200.

Kim, J., and Zaret, K.S. (2015). Reprogramming of human cancer cells to pluripotency for models of cancer progression. EMBO J. 34, 739–747.

Kim, M.P., Fleming, J.B., Wang, H., Abbruzzese, J.L., Choi, W., Kopetz, S., McConkey, D.J., Evans, D.B., and Gallick, G.E. (2011). ALDH activity selectively defines an enhanced tumor-initiating cell population relative to CD133 expression in human pancreatic adenocarcinoma. PLoS ONE *6*, e20636.

Kim, J., Hoffman, J.P., Alpaugh, R.K., Rhim, A.D., Reichert, M., Stanger, B.Z., Furth, E.E., Sepulveda, A.R., Yuan, C.X., Won, K.J., et al. (2013). An IPSC line from human pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma undergoes early to invasive stages of pancreatic cancer progression. Cell Rep. 3, 2088–2099.

Kim, S., Lahmy, R., Riha, C., Yang, C., Jakubison, B.L., van Niekerk, J., Staub, C., Wu, Y., Gates, K., Dong, D.S., et al. (2015). The basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor E47 reprograms human pancreatic cancer cells to a quiescent acinar state with reduced tumorigenic potential. Pancreas *44*, 718–727.

Kopinke, D., Brailsford, M., Shea, J.E., Leavitt, R., Scaife, C.L., and Murtaugh, L.C. (2011). Lineage tracing reveals the dynamic contribution of Hes1+ cells to the developing and adult pancreas. Development *138*, 431–441.

Kopinke, D., Brailsford, M., Pan, F.C., Magnuson, M.A., Wright, C.V.E., and Murtaugh, L.C. (2012). Ongoing Notch signaling maintains phenotypic fidelity in the adult exocrine pancreas. Dev. Biol. *362*, 57–64.

Kopp, J.L., von Figura, G., Mayes, E., Liu, F.F., Dubois, C.L., Morris, J.P., 4th, Pan, F.C., Akiyama, H., Wright, C.V.E., Jensen, K., et al. (2012). Identification of Sox9-dependent acinar-to-ductal reprogramming as the principal mechanism for initiation of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Cancer Cell 22, 737–750.

Krah, N.M., De La O, J.P., Swift, G.H., Hoang, C.Q., Willet, S.G., Chen Pan, F., Cash, G.M., Bronner, M.P., Wright, C.V., MacDonald, R.J., and Murtaugh, L.C. (2015). The acinar differentiation determinant PTF1A inhibits initiation of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. eLife *4*, 4.

Larson, R.A., Kondo, K., Vardiman, J.W., Butler, A.E., Golomb, H.M., and Rowley, J.D. (1984). Evidence for a 15;17 translocation in every patient with acute promyelocytic leukemia. Am. J. Med. 76, 827–841.

Lee, K.E., and Bar-Sagi, D. (2010). Oncogenic KRas suppresses inflammationassociated senescence of pancreatic ductal cells. Cancer Cell 18, 448–458.

Lesina, M., Kurkowski, M.U., Ludes, K., Rose-John, S., Treiber, M., Klöppel, G., Yoshimura, A., Reindl, W., Sipos, B., Akira, S., et al. (2011). Stat3/Socs3 activation by IL-6 transsignaling promotes progression of pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia and development of pancreatic cancer. Cancer Cell *19*, 456–469.

Li, C., Heidt, D.G., Dalerba, P., Burant, C.F., Zhang, L., Adsay, V., Wicha, M., Clarke, M.F., and Simeone, D.M. (2007). Identification of pancreatic cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. 67, 1030–1037.

Liou, G.-Y., Döppler, H., Braun, U.B., Panayiotou, R., Scotti Buzhardt, M., Radisky, D.C., Crawford, H.C., Fields, A.P., Murray, N.R., Wang, Q.J., et al. (2015). Protein kinase D1 drives pancreatic acinar cell reprogramming and progression to intraepithelial neoplasia. Nat. Commun. *6*, 6200.

Lynn, F.C., Skewes-Cox, P., Kosaka, Y., McManus, M.T., Harfe, B.D., and German, M.S. (2007). MicroRNA expression is required for pancreatic islet cell genesis in the mouse. Diabetes *56*, 2938–2945.

Martinelli, P., Madriles, F., Cañamero, M., Pau, E.C.S., Pozo, N.D., Guerra, C., and Real, F.X. (2015). The acinar regulator Gata6 suppresses KrasG12V-driven pancreatic tumorigenesis in mice. Gut. Published online January 16, 2015. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2014-308042.

May, R., Sureban, S.M., Lightfoot, S.A., Hoskins, A.B., Brackett, D.J., Postier, R.G., Ramanujam, R., Rao, C.V., Wyche, J.H., Anant, S., and Houchen, C.W. (2010). Identification of a novel putative pancreatic stem/progenitor cell marker DCAMKL-1 in normal mouse pancreas. Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol. 299, G303–G310.

Miyatsuka, T., Kaneto, H., Shiraiwa, T., Matsuoka, T.A., Yamamoto, K., Kato, K., Nakamura, Y., Akira, S., Takeda, K., Kajimoto, Y., et al. (2006). Persistent expression of PDX-1 in the pancreas causes acinar-to-ductal metaplasia through Stat3 activation. Genes Dev. *20*, 1435–1440.

Mizuno, N., Yatabe, Y., Hara, K., Hijioka, S., Imaoka, H., Shimizu, Y., Ko, S.B.H., and Yamao, K. (2013). Cytoplasmic expression of LGR5 in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Front. Physiol. *4*, 269.

Morris, J.P., 4th, Cano, D.A., Sekine, S., Wang, S.C., and Hebrok, M. (2010). Beta-catenin blocks Kras-dependent reprogramming of acini into pancreatic cancer precursor lesions in mice. J. Clin. Invest. *120*, 508–520.

Morris, J.P.I., 4th, Greer, R., Russ, H.A., von Figura, G., Kim, G.E., Busch, A., Lee, J., Hertel, K.J., Kim, S., McManus, M., and Hebrok, M. (2014). Dicer regulates differentiation and viability during mouse pancreatic cancer initiation. PLoS ONE 9, e95486.

Murtaugh, L.C., Law, A.C., Dor, Y., and Melton, D.A. (2005). Beta-catenin is essential for pancreatic acinar but not islet development. Development *132*, 4663–4674.

Ornitz, D.M., Hammer, R.E., Messing, A., Palmiter, R.D., and Brinster, R.L. (1987). Pancreatic neoplasia induced by SV40 T-antigen expression in acinar cells of transgenic mice. Science *238*, 188–193.

Pérez-Losada, J., Pintado, B., Gutiérrez-Adán, A., Flores, T., Bañares-González, B., del Campo, J.C., Martín-Martín, J.F., Battaner, E., and Sánchez-García, I. (2000). The chimeric FUS/TLS-CHOP fusion protein specifically induces liposarcomas in transgenic mice. Oncogene *19*, 2413–2422.

Pierce, G.B., and Wallace, C. (1971). Differentiation of malignant to benign cells. Cancer Res. 31, 127–134.

Pin, C.L., Rukstalis, J.M., Johnson, C., and Konieczny, S.F. (2001). The bHLH transcription factor Mist1 is required to maintain exocrine pancreas cell organization and acinar cell identity. J. Cell Biol. *155*, 519–530.

Prévot, P.-P., Simion, A., Grimont, A., Colletti, M., Khalaileh, A., Van den Steen, G., Sempoux, C., Xu, X., Roelants, V., Hald, J., et al. (2012). Role of the ductal transcription factors HNF6 and Sox9 in pancreatic acinar-to-ductal metaplasia. Gut *61*, 1723–1732.

Prévot, P.P., Augereau, C., Simion, A., Van den Steen, G., Dauguet, N., Lemaigre, F.P., and Jacquemin, P. (2013). Let-7b and miR-495 stimulate differentiation and prevent metaplasia of pancreatic acinar cells by repressing HNF6. Gastroenterology *145*, 668–78.e3.

Puri, S., and Hebrok, M. (2010). Cellular plasticity within the pancreas–lessons learned from development. Dev. Cell *18*, 342–356.

Puri, S., Akiyama, H., and Hebrok, M. (2013). VHL-mediated disruption of Sox9 activity compromises β -cell identity and results in diabetes mellitus. Genes Dev. 27, 2563–2575.

Puri, S., Folias, A.E., and Hebrok, M. (2014). Plasticity and dedifferentiation within the pancreas: development, homeostasis, and disease. Cell Stem Cell *16*, 18–31.

Pylayeva-Gupta, Y., Lee, K.E., Hajdu, C.H., Miller, G., and Bar-Sagi, D. (2012). Oncogenic Kras-induced GM-CSF production promotes the development of pancreatic neoplasia. Cancer Cell *21*, 836–847.

Rabbitts, T.H., Forster, A., Larson, R., and Nathan, P. (1993). Fusion of the dominant negative transcription regulator CHOP with a novel gene FUS by translocation t(12;16) in malignant liposarcoma. Nat. Genet. *4*, 175–180.

Ray, K.C., Bell, K.M., Yan, J., Gu, G., Chung, C.H., Washington, M.K., and Means, A.L. (2011). Epithelial tissues have varying degrees of susceptibility to Kras(G12D)-initiated tumorigenesis in a mouse model. PLoS ONE 6, e16786.

Reichert, M., Takano, S., von Burstin, J., Kim, S.B., Lee, J.S., Ihida-Stansbury, K., Hahn, C., Heeg, S., Schneider, G., Rhim, A.D., et al. (2013). The Prrx1 homeodomain transcription factor plays a central role in pancreatic regeneration and carcinogenesis. Genes Dev. *27*, 288–300.

Ron, D., and Habener, J.F. (1992). CHOP, a novel developmentally regulated nuclear protein that dimerizes with transcription factors C/EBP and LAP and functions as a dominant-negative inhibitor of gene transcription. Genes Dev. 6, 439–453.

Rovira, M., Scott, S.-G., Liss, A.S., Jensen, J., Thayer, S.P., and Leach, S.D. (2010). Isolation and characterization of centroacinar/terminal ductal progenitor cells in adult mouse pancreas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *107*, 75–80.

Roy, N., Malik, S., Villanueva, K.E., Urano, A., Lu, X., Von Figura, G., Seeley, E.S., Dawson, D.W., Collisson, E.A., and Hebrok, M. (2015). Brg1 promotes both tumor-suppressive and oncogenic activities at distinct stages of pancreatic cancer formation. Genes Dev. *29*, 658–671.

Sandgren, E.P., Luetteke, N.C., Palmiter, R.D., Brinster, R.L., and Lee, D.C. (1990). Overexpression of TGF α in transgenic mice: induction of epithelial hyperplasia, pancreatic metaplasia, and carcinoma of the breast. Cell *61*, 1121–1135.

Sano, M., Driscoll, D.R., Dejesus-Monge, W.E., Klimstra, D.S., and Lewis, B.C. (2014). Activated Wnt signaling in stroma contributes to development of pancreatic mucinous cystic neoplasms. Gastroenterology *146*, 257–267.

Schreiber, F.S., Deramaudt, T.B., Brunner, T.B., Boretti, M.I., Gooch, K.J., Stoffers, D.A., Bernhard, E.J., and Rustgi, A.K. (2004). Successful growth and characterization of mouse pancreatic ductal cells: functional properties of the Ki-RAS(G12V) oncogene. Gastroenterology *127*, 250–260.

Scotti, M.L., Smith, K.E., Butler, A.M., Calcagno, S.R., Crawford, H.C., Leitges, M., Fields, A.P., and Murray, N.R. (2012). Protein kinase C iota regulates pancreatic acinar-to-ductal metaplasia. PLoS ONE *7*, e30509.

Shi, G., DiRenzo, D., Qu, C., Barney, D., Miley, D., and Konieczny, S.F. (2013). Maintenance of acinar cell organization is critical to preventing Kras-induced acinar-ductal metaplasia. Oncogene *32*, 1950–1958.

Siveke, J.T., Einwächter, H., Sipos, B., Lubeseder-Martellato, C., Klöppel, G., and Schmid, R.M. (2007). Concomitant pancreatic activation of Kras(G12D) and Tgfa results in cystic papillary neoplasms reminiscent of human IPMN. Cancer Cell *12*, 266–279.

Smit, V.T.H.B.M., Boot, A.J.M., Smits, A.M., Fleuren, G.J., Cornelisse, C.J., and Bos, J.L. (1988). KRAS codon 12 mutations occur very frequently in pancreatic adenocarcinomas. Nucleic Acids Res. *16*, 7773–7782.

Stanger, B.Z., Stiles, B., Lauwers, G.Y., Bardeesy, N., Mendoza, M., Wang, Y., Greenwood, A., Cheng, K.H., McLaughlin, M., Brown, D., et al. (2005). Pten constrains centroacinar cell expansion and malignant transformation in the pancreas. Cancer Cell 8, 185–195.

Sureban, S.M., May, R., Lightfoot, S.A., Hoskins, A.B., Lerner, M., Brackett, D.J., Postier, R.G., Ramanujam, R., Mohammed, A., Rao, C.V., et al. (2011). DCAMKL-1 regulates epithelial-mesenchymal transition in human pancreatic cells through a miR-200a-dependent mechanism. Cancer Res. *71*, 2328–2338.

Takahashi, K., and Yamanaka, S. (2006). Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell *126*, 663–676.

Taki, K., Ohmuraya, M., Tanji, E., Komatsu, H., Hashimoto, D., Semba, K., Araki, K., Kawaguchi, Y., Baba, H., and Furukawa, T. (2015). GNAS(R201H) and Kras(G12D) cooperate to promote murine pancreatic tumorigenesis recapitulating human intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm. Oncogene

Talchai, C., Xuan, S., Lin, H.V., Sussel, L., and Accili, D. (2012). Pancreatic β cell dedifferentiation as a mechanism of diabetic β cell failure. Cell *150*, 1223–1234.

Tallman, M.S., Andersen, J.W., Schiffer, C.A., Appelbaum, F.R., Feusner, J.H., Ogden, A., Shepherd, L., Willman, C., Bloomfield, C.D., Rowe, J.M., and Wiernik, P.H. (1997). All-trans-retinoic acid in acute promyelocytic leukemia. N. Engl. J. Med. 337, 1021–1028.

Tuveson, D.A., Zhu, L., Gopinathan, A., Willis, N.A., Kachatrian, L., Grochow, R., Pin, C.L., Mitin, N.Y., Taparowsky, E.J., Gimotty, P.A., et al. (2006). Mist1-KrasG12D knock-in mice develop mixed differentiation metastatic exocrine pancreatic carcinoma and hepatocellular carcinoma. Cancer Res. 66, 242–247.

Vila, M.R., Lloreta, J., and Real, F.X. (1994). Normal human pancreas cultures display functional ductal characteristics. Lab. Invest. 71, 423–431.

von Figura, G., Fukuda, A., Roy, N., Liku, M.E., Morris Iv, J.P., Kim, G.E., Russ, H.A., Firpo, M.A., Mulvihill, S.J., Dawson, D.W., et al. (2014a). The chromatin regulator Brg1 suppresses formation of intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasm and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Nat. Cell Biol. *16*, 255–267.

von Figura, G., Morris, J.P., 4th, Wright, C.V.E., and Hebrok, M. (2014b). Nr5a2 maintains acinar cell differentiation and constrains oncogenic Kras-mediated pancreatic neoplastic initiation. Gut 63, 656–664.

Wagner, M., Lopez, M.E., Cahn, M., and Korc, M. (1998). Suppression of fibroblast growth factor receptor signaling inhibits pancreatic cancer growth in vitro and in vivo. Gastroenterology *114*, 798–807.

Wagner, M., Greten, F.R., Weber, C.K., Koschnick, S., Mattfeldt, T., Deppert, W., Kern, H., Adler, G., and Schmid, R.M. (2001). A murine tumor progression model for pancreatic cancer recapitulating the genetic alterations of the human disease. Genes Dev. *15*, 286–293.

Wang, Z.-Y., and Chen, Z. (2008). Acute promyelocytic leukemia: from highly fatal to highly curable. Blood *111*, 2505–2515.

Wang, Y.J., McAllister, F., Bailey, J.M., Scott, S.-G., Hendley, A.M., Leach, S.D., and Ghosh, B. (2014). Dicer is required for maintenance of adult pancreatic acinar cell identity and plays a role in Kras-driven pancreatic neoplasia. PLoS ONE 9, e113127.

Warrell, R.P., Jr., Frankel, S.R., Miller, W.H., Jr., Scheinberg, D.A., Itri, L.M., Hittelman, W.N., Vyas, R., Andreeff, M., Tafuri, A., Jakubowski, A., et al. (1991). Differentiation therapy of acute promyelocytic leukemia with tretinoin (all-trans-retinoic acid). N. Engl. J. Med. *324*, 1385–1393.

Wauters, E., Sanchez-Arévalo Lobo, V.J., Pinho, A.V., Mawson, A., Herranz, D., Wu, J., Cowley, M.J., Colvin, E.K., Njicop, E.N., Sutherland, R.L., et al. (2013). Sirtuin-1 regulates acinar-to-ductal metaplasia and supports cancer cell viability in pancreatic cancer. Cancer Res. *73*, 2357–2367.

Wells, J.M., Esni, F., Boivin, G.P., Aronow, B.J., Stuart, W., Combs, C., Sklenka, A., Leach, S.D., and Lowy, A.M. (2007). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling is required for development of the exocrine pancreas. BMC Dev. Biol. 7, 4.

Yimlamai, D., Christodoulou, C., Galli, G.G., Yanger, K., Pepe-Mooney, B., Gurung, B., Shrestha, K., Cahan, P., Stanger, B.Z., and Camargo, F.D. (2014). Hippo pathway activity influences liver cell fate. Cell *157*, 1324–1338.

Ying, H., Elpek, K.G., Vinjamoori, A., Zimmerman, S.M., Chu, G.C., Yan, H., Fletcher-Sananikone, E., Zhang, H., Liu, Y., Wang, W., et al. (2011). PTEN is a major tumor suppressor in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma and regulates an NF- κ B-cytokine network. Cancer Discov. *1*, 158–169.

Yuan, S., Duguid, W.P., Agapitos, D., Wyllie, B., and Rosenberg, L. (1997). Phenotypic modulation of hamster acinar cells by culture in collagen matrix. Exp. Cell Res. 237, 247–258.