JACC Vol. 11, No. 2 February 1988: 371-2

## Editorial Comment

## Transvenous Catheter Defibrillation for Prevention of Sudden Cardiac Death\*

M. MIROWSKI, MD, FACC, MORTON M. MOWER, MD, FACC

Baltimore, Maryland

The concept that life-threatening ventricular tachyarrhythmias could be terminated in closed chest subjects by means of internally delivered electrical countershocks, and that this maneuver could be performed automatically by an electronic device permanently implanted in high risk patients to protect them from arrhythmic death, goes back to the late 1960s (1.2). Initially, the idea was not well received by critics (3) and hardly captured the imagination of either clinicians or engineers. In fact, only a handful of workers became committed to its practical implementation. It should not be surprising, therefore, that the time needed for the solution of the multitude of problems inherent in such an endeavor was longer than expected (4–10).

Since the first automatic defibrillator was implanted in a human being (10), the clinical acceptance of internal automatic defibrillation has been growing steadily. Significantly enough, technologic advances have kept pace with the clinical progress. Although the initial model of the device only detected and treated ventricular fibrillation, cardioverting capabilities were soon added to the design, expanding the diagnostic and therapeutic potential of the system to include the broad spectrum of ventricular tachvarrhythmias. Recently, the first fully hybridized version of the device was introduced (VENTAK, Cardiac Pacemakers, Inc.), facilitating the manufacturing process and assuring the availability of pulse generators. Smaller and more advanced models, incorporating pacing for tachy- and bradyarrhythmias, programmability, memory and so forth, have already left the drawing board and are about to enter clinical trials.

So far, >2,500 patients have been treated with the automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, also known by its acronym AICD. Experience indicates that the device performs its designed functions satisfactorily, that the risks associated with its use are moderate and frequently avoidable and, most importantly, that its impact on the survival of the implantes is dramatic indeed. Although the sudden death mortality in the types of patients operated on ranges between 27 and 66% at 1 year (11-14) in historical control patients, it has been consistently found to be <2% in those who received the AICD (15-21).

The transvenous defibrillating catheter. In this issue of the Journal, Winkle and colleagues (22) report on what certainly will become another milestone in this rapidly evolving field. In comparing the defibrillation efficacy of the conventional spring-patch electrode configuration with that of a single intravascular defibrillating catheter in patients undergoing AICD implantation, these investigators found that permanent use of such a catheter would have been practical in almost 50% of their patients. Moreover, the defibrillating catheter displayed suitable pacing thresholds and sensing functions even after delivery of the countershock.

The data of Winkle et al. considerably extend the fold of internal automatic defibrillation. The message is that implantation of the AICD might not require a thoracotomy in a significant proportion of patients. Although current implantation techniques are associated with a relatively low incidence of major complications, the use of a nonthoracotomy approach could reduce the risk even further. A fully transvenous implantation approach is also expected to markedly reduce the cost of the procedure.

Although the biologic feasibility and effectiveness of catheter defibrillation in humans was demonstrated by our group >15 years ago (4,6). Winkle and his colleagues have successfully applied this technique in a setting directly aimed at preventing sudden arrhythaic death. In their hands, the transvenous defibrillating catheter has become an integral part of the automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator, adding a new dimension to the practical use of this new treatment.

Implications. If the history of artificial cardiac pacing is any guide, implantation of the automatic cardioverterdefibrillator will soon be transformed into a simple and relatively noninvasive intervention. With further anticipated improvements in defibrillating catheter technology, it is not unreasonable to expect that nonthoracotomy approaches will become routine implantation techniques. The clinical relevance of such a development cannot be underestimated at a time when the AICD is being recognized as an essential modality in the management of survivors of arrhythmic cardiac arrest and of other patients suffering from malignant ventricular tachyarthythmias.

371

<sup>\*</sup>Editorials published in *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Department of Medicine, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, Maryland and the Department of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore.

Address for reprints: M. Mirowski, MD, Department of Medicine, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Belvedere at Greenspring, Baltimore, Maryland 21215.

## References

- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Stacwen WS, Tabatznik B, Mendeloff AI. Standby automatic defibrillator: an approach to prevention of sudden coronary death. Arch Intern Med 1970;126:158-61.
- Schuder JC, Stoeckle H, Gold JC, et al. Experimental ventricular defibrillation with an automatic and completely implanted system. Trans Am Soc Artif Intern Organs 1970;16:207-12.
- Lown B, Wolf M. Approaches to sudden death from coronary heart disease (editorial). Circulation 1971;44:130-42.
- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Staewen WS, Denniston RH, Tabatznik B, Mendeloff AI. Ventricular defibrillation through a single intravascular catheter electrode system (abstr). Clin Res 1971;19:328.
- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Staewen WS, et al. The development of the transvenous automatic defibrillator. Arch Intern Med 1972;129:773–9.
- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Gott VL, et al. Feasibility and effectiveness of low-energy catheter defibrillation in man. Circulation 1973;47:79–85.
- Langer A, Heilman MS, Mower MM, et al. Considerations in the development of the automatic implantable defibrillator. Med Instrum 1976;10:163-7.
- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Langer A, et al. A chronically implanted system for automatic defibrillation in active conscious dogs: experimental model for treatment of sudden death from ventricular fibrillation. Circulation 1978;28:30-4.
- Mirowski M, Mower MM, Bhsgavan BS, et al. Chronic animal and bench testing of the implantable automatic defibrillator. In: Meere C, ed. Proceedings of the Sixth World Symposium on Cardiac Pacing. Montreal, Canada. October 2-5, 1979: PACESYMP: Chapter 27-2.
- Mirowski M, Reid PR, Mower MM, et al. Termination of malignant ventricular arrhythmias with an implanted automatic defibrillator in human beings. N Engl J Med 1980;303:322-4.
- Cobb LA, Baum RS, Alvarez H III, Schaffer WA. Resuscitation from out-of-hospital ventricular fibrillation: 4 years' follow-up. Circulation 1975;52(suppl III):III-223-8.

- Schulze RA Jr, Strauss HW, Pitt B. Sudden death in the year following myocardial idfarction: relation to ventricular premature contractions in the late hospital phase and left ventricular ejection fraction. Ap J Med 1977;82:192-9.
- Graboys TB, Lown B, Podrid PJ, Desilva R. Long-term survival of patients with malignant ventricular arrhythemias treated with antiarrhythmic drugs. Am J Cardiol 1982;50:437–43.
- Swerdtow CD, Winkle RA, Mason JW. Determinants of survival in patients with ventricular tachyarrhythmias. N Engl J Med 1983;208: 1436–42.
- Echt DS, Armstrong K, Schmidt P, Oyer PE, Stinson EB, Winkle RA. Clinical experience, complications, and survival in 70 patients with the automatic implantable cardiovertei-defibrillator. Circulation 1985;71: 289-96.
- Thurer RJ, Luceri RM, Bolooki H. Automatic implantable cardioverterulcibrillator: techniques of implantation and results. Ann Thorac Surg 1986;42:143-7.
- Masterson M, Wilkoff B, Castle L, et al. Automatic implantable cardioverter defibrillator: clinical experience and complications (abstr). PACE 1987;10:435.
- Mirowski M. The automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator: an overview. J Am Coll Cardiol 1985;6:461-6.
- Marchlinski FF, Flores BT, Buxton AE, et al. The automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator: efficacy, complications, and device failures. Ann Intern Med 1986:104:481–8.
- Brodman R, Fisher JD, Furman S, et al. Implantation of automatic cardioverter-defibrillators via median sternotomy. PACE 1984;7:1363-9.
- Final report to U.S. Pood and Drug Administration of IDE 6800033 for the automatic implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (AICD system). Intec Systems Inc. and Cardiac Pacemakers Inc., St. Paul, Minnesota. Investigational period from February 4, 1980 to September 15, 1985.
- Winkle RA, Bach SM Jr., Mead RH, et al. Comparison of defibrillation efficacy in humans using a new catheter and superior vena cava spring-left ventricular patch electrodes. J Am Coll Cardiol 1988;11:365-70,