



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Algebra 299 (2006) 294–308

JOURNAL OF  
Algebra[www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra)

# Annihilators of quadratic and bilinear forms over fields of characteristic two

R. Aravire<sup>a</sup>, R. Baeza<sup>b,\*</sup><sup>a</sup> *Departamento de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad Arturo Prat, Casilla 121, Iquique, Chile*<sup>b</sup> *Instituto de Matemáticas, Universidad de Talca, Casilla 721, Talca, Chile*

Received 4 March 2005

Available online 9 January 2006

Communicated by Eva Bayer-Fluckiger

---

## Abstract

Let  $F$  be a field with  $2 = 0$ ,  $W(F)$  the Witt ring of symmetric bilinear forms over  $F$  and  $W_q(F)$  the  $W(F)$ -module of quadratic forms over  $F$ . Let  $I_F \subset W(F)$  be the maximal ideal. We compute explicitly in  $I_F^m$  and  $I^m W_q(F)$  the annihilators of  $n$ -fold bilinear and quadratic Pfister forms, thereby answering positively, in the case  $2 = 0$ , certain conjectures stated by Krüskemper in [M. Krüskemper, On annihilators in graded Witt rings and in Milnor's K-theory, in: B. Jacob et al. (Eds.), Recent Advances in Real Algebraic Geometry and Quadratic Forms, in: Contemp. Math., vol. 155, 1994, pp. 307–320].

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Quadratic forms; Bilinear forms; Pfister forms; Witt ring; Differential forms

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $F$  be a field with  $2 = 0$ . We denote by  $W(F)$  the Witt ring of symmetric nonsingular bilinear forms over  $F$  and by  $W_q(F)$  the  $W(F)$ -module of nonsingular quadratic forms over  $F$  (see [3,4,11]).

For  $a_i \in F^* = F - \{0\}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , we denote by  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$  the bilinear form with diagonal Gram matrix and entries  $a_i$  on the diagonal. The quadratic form  $x^2 + xy + ay^2$ ,

---

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [raravire@unap.cl](mailto:raravire@unap.cl) (R. Aravire), [rbaeza@inst-mat.utalca.cl](mailto:rbaeza@inst-mat.utalca.cl) (R. Baeza).

$a \in F$ , is denoted by  $[1, a]$ . The maximal ideal  $I_F$  of  $W(F)$  is additively generated by the forms  $\langle 1, a \rangle = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$ ,  $a \in F^*$ , so that the powers  $I_F^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are additively generated by the  $n$ -fold bilinear forms  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1, a_1 \rangle \cdots \langle 1, a_n \rangle$ ,  $a_i \in F^*$ . The submodules  $I^n W_q(F)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , are generated by the  $n$ -fold quadratic Pfister forms  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle \cdot [1, a]$ ,  $a_i \in F^*$ ,  $a \in F$ .

We have the filtrations  $W(F) \supset I_F \supset I_F^2 \supset \cdots$  and  $W_q(F) \supset IW_q(F) \supset \cdots$ . The graded objects  $I_F^n/I_F^{n+1}$  and  $I^n W_q(F)/I^{n+1} W_q(F)$  are denoted by  $\bar{I}_F^n$  respectively  $\bar{I}^n W_q(F)$ .  $I_F^0$  means  $W(F)$  and  $I^0 W_q(F)$  means  $W_q(F)$ .

In this paper we will study annihilators of  $n$ -fold Pfister forms. Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  be an  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form. For any  $m \geq 0$  we set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{annb}_m(x) &= \{y \in I_F^m \mid xy = 0\}, \\ \text{annq}_m(x) &= \{y \in I^m W_q(F) \mid xy = 0\}, \\ \overline{\text{annb}}_m(x) &= \{\bar{y} \in \bar{I}_F^m \mid x\bar{y} = 0\}, \\ \overline{\text{annq}}_m(x) &= \{\bar{y} \in \bar{I}^m W_q(F) \mid x\bar{y} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle$  is a quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister form, we set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{annb}_m(x) &= \{y \in I_F^m \mid yx = 0\}, \\ \overline{\text{annb}}_m(x) &= \{\bar{y} \in \bar{I}_F^m \mid \bar{y}x = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The main results of this paper are contained in the following two theorems.

**1.1. Theorem.**

- (i) Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  be a bilinear  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  with  $x \neq 0$  in  $W(F)$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{annb}}_m(x) &= \overline{\text{annb}}_1(x) \bar{I}_F^{m-1}, \\ \overline{\text{annq}}_m(x) &= \bar{I}_F^m \cdot \overline{\text{annq}}_0(x) + \overline{\text{annb}}_1(x) \bar{I}^{m-1} W_q(F). \end{aligned}$$

- (ii) Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle$  be a quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  with  $x \neq 0$  in  $W_q(F)$ . Then for  $m \geq 1$

$$\overline{\text{annb}}_m(x) = \overline{\text{annb}}_1(x) \bar{I}_F^{m-1}.$$

And the much stronger:

**1.2. Theorem.**

- (i) Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  be a bilinear  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  with  $x \neq 0$  in  $W(F)$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \text{annb}_1(x)I_F^{m-1},$$

$$\text{annq}_m(x) = I_F^m \cdot \text{annq}_0(x) + \text{annb}_1(x)I_F^{m-1}W_q(F).$$

(ii) Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle$  be a quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$  with  $x \neq 0$  in  $W_q(F)$ . Then for  $m \geq 1$

$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \text{annb}_1(x)I_F^{m-1}.$$

These results were conjectured by M. Kruskemper in [9] for fields of characteristic different from 2. Recently in [11] Orlov, Vishik and Voevodsky announced the positive answer of Kruskemper’s conjecture for the graded Witt ring of a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$ . Based on these results, Arason and Elman proved in [1] the ungraded version of this conjecture in the case  $2 \neq 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Section 4 and it is based on Kato’s correspondence between quadratic or symmetric bilinear forms and differential forms over  $F$ . We will shortly explain this correspondence in Section 3 (see [4,7]) and prove there some technical results needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1. In Section 2 we show that Theorem 1.2 follows from Theorem 1.1.

The terminology used in this paper is standard and we refer to [4,10,12] for details on basic facts needed in the paper.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We will assume Theorem 1.1 and derive from it Theorem 1.2. Recall that a 2-basis of a field  $F$  of characteristic 2 is a set  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\} \subset F$  such that the elements  $\prod_{i \in I} b_i^{\varepsilon_i}$ ,  $\varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and only finitely many  $\varepsilon_i \neq 0$ , form a basis of  $F$  over  $F^2$ . An  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  over  $F$  is  $\neq 0$  in  $W(F)$ , i.e. it is anisotropic over  $F$ , if and only if  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  are part of a 2-basis of  $F$  (i.e. 2-independent). In this case the subfield  $F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  of  $F$  consists of all elements of  $F$  represented by the form  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ . The elements of  $F$  represented by the pure part  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle'$  of  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  form a subgroup denoted by  $F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)'$ . Recall that  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle'$  is defined by  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle = \langle 1 \rangle \perp \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle'$ . Moreover if  $F$  has a finite 2-basis, say  $\{b_1, \dots, b_N\}$ , then  $I_F^m = 0$  for all  $m \geq N + 1$  (see [10]).

We will need the following

### 2.1. Lemma.

(i) Let  $x$  be an  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form,  $x \neq 0$ , and  $z \in I_F$  such that  $zx \in I_F^{n+2}$ , i.e.  $\bar{z} \in \overline{\text{annb}}_1(x)$ . Then

$$z = z_0 + w$$

with  $z_0 \in I_F$ ,  $z_0x = 0$  and  $w \in I_F^2$ .

(ii) Let  $x$  be an  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form,  $x \neq 0$ , and  $z \in W_q(F)$  with  $xz \in I^{n+1}W_q(F)$ . Then

$$z = z_0 + w$$

with  $z_0 \in W_q(F)$ ,  $xz_0 = 0$  and  $w \in IW_q(F)$ .

**Proof.** (i) For any  $z \in I_F$  we can write  $z = \langle 1, d \rangle + w$  with  $d = \det(z)$  and  $w \in I_F^2$ . Then  $xz \in I_F^{n+2}$  implies  $\langle 1, d \rangle x \in I_F^{n+2}$ , and since  $\langle 1, d \rangle x$  is  $(n + 1)$ -fold Pfister form, it follows  $\langle 1, d \rangle x = 0$  in  $W(F)$ .

(ii) Any  $z \in W_q(F)$  can be written as

$$z = [1, d] + w$$

with  $d = \text{Arf}(z) \in F$  and  $w \in IW_q(F)$  (see [12]). Here  $\text{Arf}(z)$  means the Arf invariant of the form  $z$  (see [3] or [12]). From  $xz, xw \in I^{n+1}W_q(F)$ , it follows  $x[1, d] \in I^{n+1}W_q(F)$  and hence  $x[1, d] = 0$ .  $\square$

Let us now prove Theorem 1.2. We assume first that  $F$  has a finite 2-basis, i.e.  $I_F^{N+1} = 0$  for some integer  $N$ . Let  $x \neq 0$  (in  $W(F)$ ) be an  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form. The contentions  $\supseteq$  in (i) (and (ii)) are obvious. Let  $y \in \text{ann}_m(x)$ , i.e.  $y \in I_F^m$ ,  $yx = 0$ . Hence  $\bar{y} \in \overline{\text{ann}}_m(x)$  and Theorem 1.1 implies  $\bar{y} = \sum \bar{z}_i \bar{y}_{i,0}$  with  $\bar{z}_i \in \overline{\text{ann}}_1(x)$ ,  $y_{i,0} \in I_F^{m-1}$ . Then  $y - \sum z_i y_{i,0} \in I_F^{m+1}$ . Using Lemma 2.1(i) we can write  $z_i = z_{i,0} + w_i$  with  $z_{i,0} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  and  $w_i \in I_F^2$ . Then  $y_1 = y - \sum z_{i,0} y_{i,0} \in I_F^{m+1}$  and moreover  $y_1 x = 0$ . The same argument implies  $y_1 - \sum z_{i,1} y_{i,1} \in I_F^{m+2}$  with elements  $z_{i,1} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$ ,  $y_{i,1} \in I_F^m$ . Iterating this process we obtain, for any  $k \geq 0$ , elements  $z_{i,l} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  and  $y_{i,l} \in I_F^{m+l-1}$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq k$  such that  $y - \sum_{i,l} z_{i,l} y_{i,l} \in I_F^{m+k}$ . Choosing  $k \geq N + 1 - m$  we obtain  $y = \sum_{i,l} z_{i,l} y_{i,l} \in \text{ann}_1(x) I_F^{m-1}$ , since  $I_F^{N+1} = 0$ .

Let now  $y \in \text{ann}_m(x)$ , i.e.  $y \in I^m W_q(F)$  with  $xy = 0$ . Theorem 1.1 implies  $\bar{y} = \sum \bar{y}_i \bar{z}_i + \sum \bar{u}_j \bar{v}_j$  with  $\bar{y}_i \in \bar{I}_F^m$ ,  $\bar{z}_i \in \overline{\text{ann}}_q(x)$ ,  $\bar{u}_j \in \overline{\text{ann}}_1(x)$ ,  $\bar{v}_j \in \bar{I}^{m-1} W_q(F)$ . Hence  $y - \sum y_i z_i - \sum u_j v_j \in I^{m+1} W_q(F)$ . Using Lemma 2.1 we can find  $z_{i,0} \in \text{ann}_q(x)$ ,  $u_{j,0} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  such that  $z_i = z_{i,0} + w_i$ ,  $w_i \in IW_q(F)$  and  $u_j = u_{j,0} + t_j$ ,  $t_j \in I_F^2$ . We obtain

$$y_1 = y - \sum y_i z_{i,0} - \sum u_{j,0} v_j \in I^{m+1} W_q(F)$$

with  $y_1 x = 0$ . Iterating this procedure we obtain after  $k \geq N + 1 - m$  steps that

$$y \in I_F^m \text{ann}_q(x) + \text{ann}_1(x) I^{m-1} W_q(F).$$

The proof of part (ii) of Theorem 1.2 is similar and we omit the details. Thus we have proved Theorem 1.2 in the case  $I_F^{N+1} = 0$  for some  $N$ . Let us now consider the general case.

Let  $x$  a bilinear  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$ ,  $x \neq 0$  in  $W(F)$  and take  $y \in \text{ann}_m(x)$ , i.e.  $y \in I_F^m$  with  $yx = 0$ . This relation involves only finitely many elements of  $F$ , say the finite set  $S \subset F$ . Let  $F_0 := \mathbb{F}(S) \subset F$ , where  $\mathbb{F}$  = prime field contained in  $F$ . Then there exist an  $n$ -fold bilinear Pfister form  $x_0$  over  $F_0$  and  $y_0 \in I_{F_0}^m$  such that  $x = x_0 \otimes F$ ,  $y = y_0 \otimes F$  and  $x_0 y_0 = 0$ . Since  $F_0$  has a finite 2-basis, we obtain from the first part of the proof that  $y_0 \in \text{ann}_1(x_0) I_{F_0}^{m-1}$  and hence  $y \in \text{ann}_1(x) I_F^{m-1}$ . The same argument applies for the other assertions in Theorem 1.2 and this concludes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

**2.2. Remark.** If  $x$  is a bilinear  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$ , then one can describe explicitly the annihilators  $\text{ann}_1(x) \subset W(F)$  and  $\text{ann}_0(x) \subset W_q(F)$  as follows

$$\text{ann}_1(x) = \sum_{d \in D_F(x)^*} W(F)\langle 1, d \rangle, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\text{ann}_0(x) = \sum_{d \in D_F(x)} W(F)[1, d]. \tag{2.4}$$

Here  $D_F(z)$  denotes the set in  $F$  of elements represented by the form  $z$ . The result (2.3) is shown in [6] and (2.4) in [5]. If  $x$  denotes now a quadratic  $n$ -fold Pfister form over  $F$ ,  $x \neq 0$  in  $W_q(F)$ , then (see [8])

$$\text{ann}_1(x) = \sum_{d \in D_F(x)^*} W(F)\langle 1, d \rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

In Section 4 we will give an independent proof of these facts based on Kato’s correspondence (see Section 3) and on the arguments used in this section.

### 3. Quadratic, symmetric bilinear and differential forms

In this section we will briefly describe Kato’s correspondence between quadratic, bilinear and differential forms over a field  $F$  with  $2 = 0$  and prove a technical result needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (see [2,4,7]).

Let  $\Omega_F^1 = F \, dF$  be the  $F$ -space of 1-differential forms generated over  $F$  by the symbols  $da, a \in F$ , with  $d(a + b) = da + db, d(ab) = a \, db + b \, da$ . For any  $n \geq 1$  set  $\Omega_F^n = \bigwedge^n \Omega_F^1$  and let  $d: \Omega_F^n \rightarrow \Omega_F^{n+1}$  be the differential operator  $d(x \, dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n) = dx \wedge dx_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx_n$ , where  $\wedge$  denotes exterior multiplication. For example if  $c \in F$  is represented in a 2-basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  as  $c = \sum_{\varepsilon} c_{\varepsilon}^2 b^{\varepsilon}$ , where  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_i), \varepsilon_i \in \{0, 1\}$  and almost all  $\varepsilon_i = 0$ , we have  $dc = \sum_i D_i(c) \, db_i$  where  $D_i(c)$  is the partial derivative of  $c$  with respect to  $b_i$ , i.e.  $D_i(c) = b_i^{-1} \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon_i=1} c_{\varepsilon}^2 b^{\varepsilon}$  (see [1]).

Let  $\wp: \Omega_F^n \rightarrow \Omega_F^n / d\Omega_F^{n-1}$  be the Artin–Schreier operator defined on generators by

$$\wp \left( x \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \right) = (x^2 - x) \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n} \pmod{d\Omega_F^{n-1}}$$

and denote by  $\nu_F(n)$  its kernel and by  $H^{n+1}(F)$  its cokernel (see [1]). In [7] it is shown that there are natural isomorphisms  $\alpha : \nu_F(n) \simeq \tilde{I}_F^n$  and  $\beta : H^{n+1}(F) \simeq \tilde{I}^n W_q(F)$  given on generators by  $\alpha\left(\frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}\right) = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle\rangle \bmod I_F^{n+1}$  and

$$\beta\left(\overline{x \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}}\right) = \langle\langle x_1, \dots, x_n; x \rangle\rangle \bmod I^{n+1} W_q(F).$$

The fact that  $\nu_F(n)$  is additively generated by the pure logarithmic forms  $\frac{dx_1}{x_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{dx_n}{x_n}$  follows from a result of Kato which we explain now. Let us fix a 2-basis  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $F$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$ , and endow  $I$  with a total ordering. For any  $j \in I$ , let  $F_j$ , respectively  $F_{<j}$ , be the subfields of  $F$  generated over  $F^2$  by  $b_i, i \leq j$ , respectively  $b_i, i < j$ . For any  $n \geq 1$  let  $\Sigma_n$  be the set of maps  $\alpha : \{1, \dots, n\} \rightarrow I$  such that  $\alpha(i) < \alpha(j)$  whenever  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , and endow  $\Sigma_n$  with the lexicographic ordering.

We obtain a filtration of  $\Omega_F^n$  given by the subspaces  $\Omega_{F, \alpha}^n$ , respectively  $\Omega_{F, <\alpha}^n$ , which are generated by the elements  $\frac{db_\beta}{b_\beta} = \frac{db_{\beta(1)}}{b_{\beta(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{db_{\beta(n)}}{b_{\beta(n)}}$  with  $\beta \leq \alpha$ , respectively  $\beta < \alpha$ . An important result of Kato, named here as Kato’s lemma, asserts that for any  $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$ ,  $y \in F$ , if  $\wp(y \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha}) \in \Omega_{F, <\alpha}^n + d\Omega_F^{n-1}$ , then there exist  $v \in \Omega_{F, <\alpha}^n$  and  $a_i \in F_{\alpha(i)}^*$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that  $y \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} = v + \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}$  (see [6]). This implies that any  $u \in \Omega_{F, \alpha}^n$  satisfying  $\wp(u) \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}$ , can be written as

$$u = \sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} \frac{da_{\gamma(1)}}{a_{\gamma(1)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_{\gamma(n)}}{a_{\gamma(n)}} \tag{3.1}$$

with  $a_{\gamma(i)} \in F_{\gamma(i)} \setminus F_{<\gamma(i)}$ . Then the following result will be used in Section 4 during the proof of Theorem 1.1.

**3.2. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a 2-basis of  $F$  with a given ordering on  $I$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma_n$  and  $\sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$  be a differential form with  $c_\alpha \neq 0$  such that  $\sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}$ . Then there exist elements  $M_i \in F_{<\alpha(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that*

$$c_\alpha = b_{\alpha(1)}M_1 + \cdots + b_{\alpha(n)}M_n.$$

**Proof.** Let  $k \in I$  be the index with  $c_\alpha \in F_k \setminus F_{<k}$ . We claim that  $k = \alpha(i)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Otherwise we have  $k > \alpha(n)$  or  $k < \alpha(1)$  or  $\alpha(j) < k < \alpha(j+1)$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . From the choice of  $k$  we have  $c_\alpha = b_k A + B$  with  $A, B \in F_{<k}$ ,  $A \neq 0$ . Then

$$dt = (b_k A + B) \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} + \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$$

and applying the operator  $d$  to this form, since  $d^2 = 0$ , we get

$$b_k A \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \wedge \frac{db_k}{b_k} + b_k A \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \wedge \frac{dA}{A} + B \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \wedge \frac{dB}{B} + \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} \sum_{i \in I} b_i D_i(c_\gamma) \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \wedge \frac{db_i}{b_i} = 0,$$

where  $D_i(c_\gamma)$ , as mentioned above, denotes the partial derivative of  $c_\gamma$  with respect to  $b_i$ . Looking at the coefficient of  $\frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \wedge \frac{db_k}{b_k}$  we obtain

$$b_k A = \sum_{(\alpha,k)=(\gamma_i,i)} b_i D_i(c_\gamma),$$

where  $(\alpha, k)$  respectively  $(\gamma_i, i)$  denotes the unique  $\lambda \in \Sigma_{n+1}$  with  $\text{Im}(\lambda) = \text{Im}(\alpha) \cup \{k\}$  respectively  $\text{Im}(\lambda) = \text{Im}(\gamma_i) \cup \{i\}$ . Since for those  $i$  we have  $i > k$ ,  $A \in F_{<k}$  and  $D_i(D_i(c_\gamma)) = 0$ , we conclude  $A = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $k = \alpha(i)$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let  $c_\alpha = b_{\alpha(i)}M_i + B$  with  $M_i, B \in F_{<\alpha(i)}$ . Then

$$dt = (b_{\alpha(i)}M_i + B) \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} + \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} b_{\alpha(i)}M_i \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} &= b_{\alpha(i)}M_i \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(i)}}{b_{\alpha(i)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(n)}}{b_{\alpha(n)}} \\ &= d(b_{\alpha(i)}M_i) \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(i-1)}}{b_{\alpha(i-1)}} \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(i+1)}}{b_{\alpha(i+1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(n)}}{b_{\alpha(n)}} \\ &\quad + b_{\alpha(i)}M_i \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dM_i}{M_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(n)}}{b_{\alpha(n)}} \end{aligned}$$

so that replacing  $t$  by

$$t' = t + b_{\alpha(i)}M_i \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(i-1)}}{b_{\alpha(i-1)}} \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(i+1)}}{b_{\alpha(i+1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(n)}}{b_{\alpha(n)}},$$

and since

$$b_{\alpha(i)}M_i \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dM_i}{M_i} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(n)}}{b_{\alpha(n)}} \in \Omega_{<\alpha}^n,$$

we get

$$dt' = B \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} + \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} c'_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$$

with certain  $c'_\gamma \in F$  and  $B \in F_{<\alpha(i)}$ . We proceed again as before with  $B$  instead of  $c_\alpha$  and the lemma follows by induction.  $\square$

An immediate generalization of Lemma 3.2 is

**3.3. Proposition.** *Let*

$$\sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} = d(t) + \wp(w)$$

with  $c_\alpha \neq 0$ , where  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  is a given 2-basis of  $F$  (and a fixed ordering in  $I$ ) and  $t \in \Omega_F^{n-1}$ ,  $w \in \Omega_F^n$ . Then there exist elements  $u \in F$ ,  $M_i \in F_{<\alpha(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , such that

$$c_\alpha = \wp u + b_{\alpha(1)}M_1 + \dots + b_{\alpha(n)}M_n.$$

**Proof.** Let us write  $w$  as  $\sum_{\gamma \leq \delta} f_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma}$  with  $f_\delta \neq 0$ . Then we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \leq \max\{\alpha, \delta\}} (c_\gamma - \wp(f_\gamma)) \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}.$$

If  $\delta > \alpha$ , we have

$$\wp(f_\delta) \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \in d\Omega_F^{n-1} + \Omega_{F, <\delta}^n$$

and, by Kato’s lemma (see [7]), we conclude that

$$f_\delta \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} = \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} + u',$$

where  $u' \in \Omega_{F, <\delta}^n$ . Since  $\wp(\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}) \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}$ , we replace  $w$  by  $w' = w - \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}$  which has lower maximal multi-index  $\delta'$ . By iterative application of the above procedure we may assume that  $\delta \leq \alpha$ . In this case we have

$$\sum_{\gamma \leq \alpha} (c_\gamma - \wp(f_\gamma)) \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}.$$

Now using Lemma 3.2 we obtain the desired conclusion.  $\square$

**4. Annihilators of differential forms in  $v_F(m)$  and  $H^{m+1}(F)$**

The groups  $v_F(m)$  act on the groups  $H^{n+1}(F)$  through exterior multiplication

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge : v_F(m) \times H^{n+1}(F) &\rightarrow H^{m+n+1}(F), \\ \wedge : v_F(m) \times v_F(n) &\rightarrow v_F(m+n), \end{aligned}$$

and we can define for any  $x \in v_F(n)$  the annihilators



$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \{y \in v_F(m) \mid xy = 0 \text{ in } v_F(m+n)\},$$

$$\text{annq}_m(x) = \{y \in H^{m+1}(F) \mid xy = 0 \text{ in } H^{n+m+1}(F)\}.$$

Also if  $x \in H^{n+1}(F)$ , we define

$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \{y \in v_F(m) \mid yx = 0 \text{ in } H^{n+m+1}(F)\}.$$

Through Kato’s isomorphisms (see Section 3) these annihilators are isomorphic to the corresponding graded annihilators of bilinear and quadratic forms, namely, if  $x \in v_F(n)$

$$\alpha : \text{annb}_m(x) \simeq \overline{\text{annb}}_m(\alpha(x)),$$

$$\beta : \text{annq}_m(x) \simeq \overline{\text{annq}}_m(\alpha(x)),$$

and if  $x \in H^{n+1}(F)$ ,

$$\alpha : \text{annb}_m(x) \simeq \overline{\text{annb}}_m(\beta(x)).$$

Thus, Theorem 1.1 is equivalent to the following

**4.1. Theorem.**

- (i) Let  $x = \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in v_F(n)$  be a pure logarithmic differential form,  $x \neq 0$ . Then for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \text{annb}_1(x) \wedge v_F(m-1),$$

$$\text{annq}_m(x) = v_F(m) \wedge \text{annq}_0(x) + \text{annb}_1(x) \wedge H^m(F).$$

- (ii) If  $x = \overline{\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}} \neq 0$  in  $H^{n+1}(F)$ , then in  $v_F(m)$

$$\text{annb}_m(x) = \text{annb}_1(x) \wedge v_F(m-1).$$

**Proof.** Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a 2-basis of  $F$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathcal{B}$  are the first elements in some ordering of  $I$ . Let  $y \in \text{annb}_m(x)$ . Using Kato’s lemma we can write

$$y = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_m} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_{\gamma(1)}}{a_{\gamma(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_{\gamma(m)}}{a_{\gamma(m)}}$$

with  $a_{\gamma(i)} \in F_{\gamma(i)} \setminus F_{<\gamma(i)}$ ,  $\varepsilon_\gamma \in \{0, 1\}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma_m$  be maximal with  $\varepsilon_\alpha \neq 0$ . Then

$$y \equiv \frac{da_\alpha}{a_\alpha} \pmod{\Omega_{F, <\alpha}^m}.$$

The assumption  $xy = 0$  means

$$\left(\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}\right) \wedge \frac{da_\alpha}{a_\alpha} + \left(\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}\right) \wedge \sum_{\gamma < \alpha} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_\gamma}{a_\gamma} = 0.$$

Assume first  $\alpha(1) > n$  and define  $\delta = (1, \dots, n, \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \Sigma_{n+m}$ . It follows  $\delta > (1, \dots, n, \gamma)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Sigma_m$  with  $\gamma < \alpha$ . From the last relation we conclude

$$da_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_n \wedge da_{\alpha(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge da_{\alpha(m)} = 0$$

which is a contradiction to the fact that  $a_1, \dots, a_n, a_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, a_{\alpha(m)}$  are 2-independent. Thus we have  $\alpha(1) \leq n$ , and this implies  $x \wedge \frac{da_{\alpha(1)}}{a_{\alpha(1)}} = 0$ , i.e.  $\frac{da_{\alpha(1)}}{a_{\alpha(1)}} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$ . Hence  $y - \frac{da_\alpha}{a_\alpha} \in \text{ann}_m(x)$  and moreover  $y - \frac{da_\alpha}{a_\alpha} \in \Omega_{F, < \alpha}^m$ . Proceeding by induction on  $\alpha$  we get the first assertion in (i).

Take now  $\bar{y} \in \text{ann}_m(x) \subset H^{m+1}(F)$ . Then

$$y \equiv \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_m} c_\gamma \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \pmod{\wp \Omega_F^m + d \Omega_F^{m-1}}$$

with  $x \wedge y \in \wp \Omega_F^{m+n} + d \Omega_F^{m+n-1}$ , i.e.

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_m} c_\gamma \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \wedge \frac{db_\gamma}{b_\gamma} \in \wp \Omega_F^{m+n} + d \Omega_F^{m+n-1}. \tag{4.2}$$

(Here the elements  $b_{\gamma(i)}$  belong to  $\mathcal{B}$ .) Let  $\alpha \in \Sigma_m$  be maximal with  $c_\alpha \neq 0$ . If  $\alpha(1) \leq n$ , then  $\frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  and  $c_\alpha \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} = \frac{db_{\alpha(1)}}{b_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge c_\alpha \frac{db_{\alpha(2)}}{b_{\alpha(2)}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \frac{db_{\alpha(m)}}{b_{\alpha(m)}} \in \text{ann}_1(x) \wedge H^m(F)$ , and  $y - c_\alpha \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \in \Omega_{F, < \alpha}^m$ . Hence we may proceed by induction on  $\alpha$ . Thus we can assume  $\alpha(1) > n$  and we define  $\delta = (1, \dots, n, \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \Sigma_{n+m}$ . We see in (4.2) that  $\delta$  is the maximal multi-index with coefficient  $c_\alpha \neq 0$ . Using now Proposition 3.3, we conclude from (4.2) that

$$c_\alpha = \wp(u) + E_\alpha$$

with  $E_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i M_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}$  and  $M_k \in F_{< k}$ . Here we have chosen the ordering of  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are the first elements.

Inserting  $c_\alpha$  in  $y$  we get

$$y \equiv c_\alpha \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \pmod{\wp \Omega_F^m + d \Omega_F^{m-1} + \Omega_{F, < \alpha}^m},$$

$$y \equiv \left[ \wp(u) + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i M_i + \sum_{j=1}^m b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)} \right] \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha},$$

$$y \equiv \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i M_i \right] \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} + \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)} \right] \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha}.$$

Since  $M_k \in F_{<k}$ , we have  $a_i M_i \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \in v_F(m) \wedge \text{ann}_0(x)$  because  $a_i M_i \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} = d(a_i M_i \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}) \in d\Omega_F^{n-1}$  implies  $a_i M_i \in \text{ann}_0(x)$  (we have used  $dM_i \wedge x = 0$ ). The same argument shows, since  $M_{\alpha(j)} \in F_{<\alpha(j)}$ , that

$$b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)} \frac{db_{\alpha(j)}}{b_{\alpha(j)}} = d(b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}) + b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)} \frac{dM_{\alpha(j)}}{M_{\alpha(j)}} \in dF + \Omega_{F, <\alpha(j)}^1$$

and hence

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^m b_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)} \right) \frac{db_\alpha}{b_\alpha} \in d\Omega_F^{m-1} + \Omega_{F, <\alpha}^m.$$

Thus we have

$$y = y' + z \pmod{\wp\Omega_F^m + d\Omega_F^{m-1}}$$

with  $y' \in \Omega_{F, <\alpha}^m$ ,  $y' \in \text{ann}_m(x)$  and  $z \in v_F(m) \wedge \text{ann}_0(x)$ . Applying now the above procedure to  $y'$  we get our second assertion by induction on  $\alpha$ . This proves (i).

(ii) Let  $x = a \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in H^{n+1}(F)$  be a pure element,  $x \neq 0$ . We fix as before a 2-basis  $\mathcal{B} = \{b_i \mid i \in I\}$  of  $F$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are the first elements in  $\mathcal{B}$  in some ordering of  $I$ . Let  $y \in \text{ann}_m(x) \subset v_F(m)$ . From Kato’s lemma we have  $y = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_m} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_\gamma}{a_\gamma}$  with  $\varepsilon_\gamma \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $a_{\gamma(i)} \in F_{<\gamma(i)}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . We write

$$y = \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Sigma_m \\ \gamma(1) \leq n}} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_\gamma}{a_\gamma} + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Sigma_m \\ \gamma(1) > n}} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_\gamma}{a_\gamma}.$$

For  $\gamma \in \Sigma_m$  with  $\gamma(1) \leq n$  we have  $\frac{da_{\gamma(1)}}{a_{\gamma(1)}} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  since  $a_{\gamma(1)} \in F_n = F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  and hence the first summand in this decomposition is in  $\text{ann}_1(x) \wedge v_F(m-1)$ . Thus the second summand is in  $\text{ann}_m(x)$  and we can assume  $y = \sum_{\gamma \in \Sigma_m} \varepsilon_\gamma \frac{da_\gamma}{a_\gamma}$  with all  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(1) > n$ . Let  $\alpha$  be maximal in this sum with  $\varepsilon_\alpha \neq 0$ . We can replace  $\mathcal{B}$  by a new 2-basis  $\mathcal{B}' = \{c_i \mid i \in I\}$  such that  $c_{\alpha(j)} = a_{\alpha(j)}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq m$  and  $c_i = b_i$  for all  $i \notin \{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)\}$ . Let  $\delta = (1, \dots, n, \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m)) \in \Sigma_{n+m}$ . Hence

$$0 \equiv y \wedge x \equiv a \frac{dc_\delta}{c_\delta} \pmod{\wp\Omega_F^{n+m} + d\Omega_F^{n+m-1} + \Omega_{F, <\delta}^{n+m}}.$$

Then Proposition 3.3 implies

$$a = \wp(u) + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i M_i + \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}$$

with  $M_k \in F_{<k}$ . Let  $s \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  be maximal with  $M_{\alpha(s)} \neq 0$  and set  $Q = a + \wp u + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i M_i$ , i.e.  $Q = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}$ . Then  $c_{\alpha(s)} = M_{\alpha(s)}^{-1} (Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)})$ . Inserting in  $y$  we get modulo  $v_{F, <\alpha}(m)$

$$\begin{aligned} y &\equiv \frac{d c_{\alpha(1)}}{c_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(s)}}{c_{\alpha(s)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}} \pmod{v_{F, <\alpha}(m)} \\ &\equiv \frac{d c_{\alpha(1)}}{c_{\alpha(1)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d M_{\alpha(s)}^{-1} (Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)})}{M_{\alpha(s)}^{-1} (Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)})} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}} \\ &\equiv \frac{d(c_{\alpha(1)} M_{\alpha(1)})}{(c_{\alpha(1)} M_{\alpha(1)})} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d(Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)})}{Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have inserted  $M_{\alpha(j)}$  whenever it is  $\neq 0$ , without altering the congruence modulo  $v_{F, <\alpha}(m)$ . Use now the relation  $\frac{da}{a} \wedge \frac{db}{b} = \frac{d(ab)}{ab} \wedge \frac{d(a+b)}{a+b}$  to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} y &\equiv \frac{d(c_{\alpha(1)} M_{\alpha(1)})}{(c_{\alpha(1)} M_{\alpha(1)})} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d(Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)})}{Q + \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} c_{\alpha(j)} M_{\alpha(j)}} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}} \pmod{v_{F, <\alpha}(m)} \\ &\equiv \frac{d f_1}{f_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d Q}{Q} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}} \end{aligned}$$

with certain  $f_1, \dots, f_{s-1} \in F$ . Since  $\frac{dQ}{Q} \in \text{ann}_1(x)$  (we can assume  $a \in F^2$  without restriction), we get  $\frac{d f_1}{f_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d Q}{Q} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{d c_{\alpha(m)}}{c_{\alpha(m)}} \in \text{ann}_1(x) \wedge v_F(m-1)$ . Thus we have shown  $y \in \text{ann}_1(x) \wedge v_F(m-1) + v_{F, <\alpha}(m)$ . We apply now induction on  $\alpha$  to conclude the proof of (ii).  $\square$

Let us briefly compute the annihilators  $\text{ann}_1(x)$  and  $\text{ann}_0(x)$  for  $x = \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in v_F(n)$  and  $\text{ann}_1(x)$  for  $x = a \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in H^{n+1}(F)$ .

**4.3. Proposition.**

(i) Let  $x = \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in v_F(n)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ann}_1(x) &= \left\{ \frac{dz}{z} \mid z \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* \right\}, \\ \text{ann}_0(x) &= \left\{ \bar{z} \in F/\wp F \mid z \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)' \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)'$  are the pure elements in  $F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  (notice that  $H^1(F) = F/\wp F$ ).

(ii) Let  $x = \overline{a \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}} \in H^{n+1}(F)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ . Then

$$\text{ann}_1(x) = \left\{ \frac{dz}{z} \mid z \in D_F(\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle)^* \right\},$$

where  $D_F(q)$  denotes the elements represented in  $F$  by the quadratic form  $q$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $x = \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \neq 0$  in  $v_F(n)$ . If  $\frac{dz}{z} \in \text{ann}_1(x) \subset v_F(1)$ , then

$$\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \wedge \frac{dz}{z} = 0$$

in  $v_F(n + 1)$ , which means that  $a_1, \dots, a_n, z$  are 2-dependent, and since  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are 2-independent, this means  $z \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)^*$  (which is the set in  $F^*$  of elements represented by the  $n$ -fold Pfister form  $\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$ ).

Let now  $\bar{y} \in H^1(F) = F/\wp F$  be in  $\text{ann}_{\mathbb{Q}_0}(x)$ . Then  $y \overline{\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n}} = 0$  in  $H^{n+1}(F)$ , and this means

$$y \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in \wp \Omega_F^n + d \Omega_F^{n-1}.$$

Taking a 2-basis of  $F$  so that  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are the first elements of it (in some ordering), we conclude from Proposition 3.3

$$y = \wp u + b$$

with  $u \in F$  and  $b \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)'$ . This proves (i).

(ii) Let  $x = a \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \in H^{n+1}(F)$ ,  $x \neq 0$  and take  $\frac{dz}{z} \in \text{ann}_1(x) \subset v_F(1)$ . This means

$$a \frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \wedge \frac{dz}{z} \in \wp \Omega_F^{n+1} + d \Omega_F^n.$$

If  $\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \wedge \frac{dz}{z} = 0$ , then we get as before  $z \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)^* \subset D_F(\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle)^*$ . Assume  $\frac{da_1}{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{da_n}{a_n} \wedge \frac{dz}{z} \neq 0$ . Then we can assume that  $a_1, \dots, a_n, z$  are the first elements of some 2-basis of  $F$  (in some ordering), and applying now Proposition 3.3 we obtain  $a = \wp u + b$  with  $b \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n, z)'$ , i.e.  $b = z \cdot h + g$  with  $h \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)^*$  and  $g \in F^2(a_1, \dots, a_n)'$ .

Thus  $z = h^{-1}(\wp u + a + g) \in D_F(\langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle)^*$ . This proves (ii).  $\square$

The isomorphisms  $v_F(m) \simeq \bar{I}_F^m$  and  $H^{m+1}(F) \simeq \bar{I}^m W_q(F)$  enable us to translate this result into the language of bilinear and quadratic forms.

Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  be a bilinear anisotropic  $n$ -fold Pfister form. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{ann}}b_1(x) &= \{ \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \mid z \in D_F(x)^* \}, \\ \overline{\text{ann}}q_0(x) &= \{ \bar{z} \in F/\wp F \mid z \in D_F(x')^* \}, \end{aligned}$$

where we identify  $\bar{I}^0 W_q(F)$  with  $F/\wp F$  through the Arf-invariant.

If  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle$  is a quadratic anisotropic  $n$ -fold Pfister form, then

$$\overline{\text{ann}}b_1(x) = \{ \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \mid z \in D_F(x)^* \}.$$

Now the technique used in Section 2 enables us to compute the full annihilators  $\text{ann}b_1(x)$ ,  $\text{ann}q_0(x)$  if  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  and  $\text{ann}b_1(x)$  if  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n; a \rangle\rangle$ , thereby obtaining the results (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). Let us prove for example (2.3) (the others cases are left as exercises). Let  $x = \langle\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle\rangle$  and take  $y \in \text{ann}b_1(x) \subset I_F$ . Then  $\bar{y} \in \overline{\text{ann}}b_1(x)$  and hence  $\bar{y} = \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle$  for some  $z \in D_F(x)^*$ . Thus  $y - \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \in I^2$  and  $(y - \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle)x = 0$ , i.e.  $y - \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle \in \text{ann}b_2(x) = \text{ann}b_1(x) \cdot I_F$ . Write  $y - \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle = \sum y_i v_i$  with  $y_i \in \text{ann}b_1(x)$ ,  $v_i \in I_F$ . Then  $y_i - \langle\langle z_i \rangle\rangle \in I_F^2$  for some  $z_i \in D_F(x)^*$  and hence

$$y - \langle\langle z \rangle\rangle - \sum \langle\langle z_i \rangle\rangle v_i \in I_F^3.$$

Iterating this procedure and assuming  $I_F^{N+1} = 0$  for some  $N$ , we get (2.3). The general case can be reduced to the assumption  $I_F^{N+1} = 0$  using the trick of Section 2. This proves (2.3). The same argument applies for (2.4) and (2.5). Thus we have a complete description of the annihilators of Pfister forms over a field  $F$  with  $2 = 0$ .

### Acknowledgments

This work has been supported by Proyecto Fondecyt #1030218 and Programa Reticulados, Universidad de Talca (the second author), Proyectos Fondecyt #198 0681, #102 0516 and Proyecto DI 06/97, Universidad Arturo Prat (the first author). We thank the referee for helpful comments.

### References

- [1] J. Arason, R. Elman, Powers of the fundamental ideal in the Witt ring, *J. Algebra* 239 (2001) 150–160.
- [2] R. Aravire, R. Baeza, Milnor’s  $K$ -theory and quadratic forms over fields of characteristic two, *Comm. Algebra* 20 (4) (1992) 1087–1107.
- [3] R. Baeza, *Quadratic Forms Over Semi-Local Rings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 655, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.
- [4] R. Baeza, Some algebraic aspects of quadratic forms over fields of characteristic two, in: J.W. Hoffman, et al. (Eds.), *Proc. Conference on Quadratic Forms*, Doc. Math. (2001) 49–63.
- [5] R. Baeza, M. Knebusch, Annulatoren von Pfisterformen über semi-lokalen Ringen, *Math. Z.* 140 (1974) 41–62.

- [6] D. Hoffmann, Witt kernels of bilinear forms for algebraic extensions in characteristic two, preprint, 2004.
- [7] K. Kato, Symmetric bilinear forms, quadratic forms and Milnor K-theory in characteristic two, *Invent. Math.* 66 (1982) 493–510.
- [8] M. Knebusch, Runde Formen über semilokalen Ringen, *Math. Ann.* 193 (1971) 21–34.
- [9] M. Kruskemper, On annihilators in graded Witt rings and in Milnor's K-theory, in: B. Jacob, et al. (Eds.), *Recent Advances in Real Algebraic Geometry and Quadratic Forms*, in: *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 155, 1994, pp. 307–320.
- [10] J. Milnor, Symmetric inner products in characteristic 2. Prospects in mathematics, in: *Ann. of Math. Stud.*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1971, pp. 59–75.
- [11] D. Orlov, V. Vishik, V. Voevodsky, The motivic cohomology of Pfister quadrics, preprint.
- [12] C.H. Sah, Symmetric bilinear forms and quadratic forms, *J. Algebra* 20 (1972) 144–160.