

## NOTE

## On the Parity of Colourings and Flows

Andrew J. Goodall and Dominic J. A. Welsh<sup>1</sup>*Mathematical Institute, Oxford, United Kingdom*

Received July 6, 2001

We extend a result of Tarsi and show that the chromatic polynomial and flow polynomial evaluated at  $1+k$  are up to sign the same modulo  $k^2$  for any integer  $k$  such that  $|k| \geq 2$ . © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA)



Provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

the set of nowhere zero 3-flows are of the same parity. In this note it is shown that this is just a special case of a more general result.

As pointed out by Tarsi, the set  $C_3(G)$  of proper 3-colourings has the property that  $|C_3(G)|$  is always divisible by 6 (permutations of the 3 colours), while flows come in pairs (obtained by reversing the entire orientation). Thus, if  $NZF_3(G)$  denotes the set of nowhere zero 3-flows on  $G$ , what Tarsi actually shows (his Theorem 1.3) is that

$$(1) \quad |C_3(G)| \equiv |NZF_3(G)| \pmod{4}.$$

We change notation to that of [2] and write  $P(G; \lambda)$  for the chromatic polynomial and  $F(G; \lambda)$  for the flow polynomial. Thus (1) can be rewritten as

$$(2) \quad P(G; 3) \equiv F(G; 3) \pmod{4}.$$

To prove our results we use the following properties of a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $k(G)$  components and rank  $r(E) = |V| - k(G)$ ,

$$(3) \quad P(G; \lambda) = (-1)^{r(E)} \lambda^{k(G)} T(G; 1 - \lambda, 0),$$

$$(4) \quad F(G; \lambda) = (-1)^{|E| - r(E)} T(G; 0, 1 - \lambda),$$

<sup>1</sup> The authors were partially supported by the ESPRIT Project RAND-APX.



where  $T$  is the Tutte polynomial of  $G$ , denoted by

$$(5) \quad T(G; x, y) = \sum t_{i,j} x^i y^j.$$

Two particular properties of the Tutte polynomial are noted: for  $|E| > 0$  we have  $t_{0,0} = 0$  and for  $|E| > 1$  we have  $t_{1,0} = t_{0,1}$ .

From (3) and (4)

$$(6) \quad P(G; \lambda) = (-1)^{r(E)} \lambda^{k(G)} \sum_{i \geq 1} t_{i,0} (1-\lambda)^i$$

and

$$(7) \quad F(G; \lambda) = (-1)^{|E|-r(E)} \sum_{j \geq 1} t_{0,j} (1-\lambda)^j.$$

**THEOREM.** For graph  $G = (V, E)$ ,  $|E| \geq 2$ , and integer  $\lambda$ ,  $|\lambda| \geq 2$ ,

$$P(G; 1+\lambda) \equiv (-1)^{|E|} F(G; 1+\lambda) \pmod{\lambda^2}.$$

*Proof.* Using (6) and (7) we have

$$P(G; 1+\lambda) \equiv (-1)^{r(E)} (1+\lambda)^{k(G)} (-\lambda) t_{1,0} \pmod{\lambda^2},$$

and

$$F(G; 1+\lambda) \equiv (-1)^{|E|-r(E)} (-\lambda) t_{0,1} \pmod{\lambda^2}.$$

The result follows since  $(1+\lambda) F(G; 1+\lambda) \equiv F(G; 1+\lambda) + \lambda F(G; 1+\lambda) \equiv F(G; 1+\lambda) \pmod{\lambda^2}$  and  $t_{1,0} = t_{0,1}$ . ■

Putting  $\lambda = 2$  gives as a corollary (2) above. Since  $P(G; 3)$  and  $F(G; 3)$  are even and  $2 \equiv -2 \pmod{4}$  the sign  $(-1)^{|E|}$  of the theorem is redundant in this instance.

## REFERENCES

1. M. Tarsi, The graph polynomial and the number of proper vertex colourings, *Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble* **49** (1999), 1089–1093.
2. D. J. A. Welsh, “Complexity: Knots, Colourings and Counting,” London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, Vol. 186, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.