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#### Abstract

The detour order of a graph $G$, denoted by $\tau(G)$, is the order of a longest path in $G$. A subset $S$ of $V(G)$ is called a $P_{n}$-kernel of $G$ if $\tau(G[S]) \leq n-1$ and every vertex $v \in V(G)-S$ is adjacent to an end-vertex of a path of order $n-1$ in $G[S]$. A partition of the vertex set of $G$ into two sets, $A$ and $B$, such that $\tau(G[A]) \leq a$ and $\tau(G[B]) \leq b$ is called an $(a, b)$-partition of $G$. In this paper we show that any graph with girth $g$ has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel for every $n<\frac{3 g}{2}-1$. Furthermore, if $\tau(G)=a+b, 1 \leq a \leq b$, and $G$ has girth greater than $\frac{2}{3}(a+1)$, then $G$ has an ( $a, b$ )-partition.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a finite simple graph. The vertex set and edge set of the graph $G$ are denoted by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$, respectively. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$ and $v$ is a vertex, the open $H$-neighbourhood of $v$ is the set $N_{H}(v)=\{u \in V(H) \mid u v \in E(G)\}$. If $S$ is a subset of $V(G)$, we write $N_{H}(S)=\cup_{v \in S} N_{H}(v)-S$, and $v \in S$. Also, we let $N(S)=N_{G}(S)$. The subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$ is denoted by $G[S]$.

Following Kapoor et al. [5], we call a longest path in a graph a detour of the graph. The number of vertices in a detour of $G$ is called the detour order of $G$ and is denoted by $\tau(G)$. The cycle of order $n$ and the path of order $n$ are denoted by $C_{n}$ and $P_{n}$ respectively. The number of vertices in a shortest cycle of $G$ is called the girth of $G$ and denoted by $g(G)$. We shall call a vertex $v \in V(G)$ a $P_{n}$-terminal vertex of $G$ if $v$ is an end-vertex of a $P_{n}$ but not of a $P_{n+1}$ in $G$. A class $\mathscr{P}$ of graphs is said to be a hereditary (an induced hereditary) class of graphs if every subgraph (induced subgraph) of a graph in $\mathcal{P}$ is also in $\mathcal{P}$.

The distance between two vertices $u$ and $v$ in a connected graph $G$ is denoted by $d_{G}(u, v)$. If $u \in S \subseteq V(G)$ and $v \in B=V(G)-S$, then $d_{B}(u, v)$ denotes the length of a shortest $u-v$ path with all its internal vertices in $B$, if such a path exists. If not, we put $d_{B}(u, v)=\infty$.

A partition of the vertex set of $G$ into two sets, $A$ and $B$, such that $\tau(G[A]) \leq a$ and $\tau(G[B]) \leq b$ is called an ( $a, b$ )-partition of $G$. If $G$ has an $(a, b)$-partition for every pair $(a, b)$ of positive integers such that $a+b=\tau(G)$, then we say that $G$ is $\tau$-partitionable [2]. The following conjecture is known as the Path Partition Conjecture (or the PPC, for short).

## Conjecture 1. Every graph is $\tau$-partitionable.

A summary of the PPC status is given in [3].
A set $K$ of vertices of a graph $G$ is called a $P_{n}$-kernel of $G$ if $\tau(G[K]) \leq n-1$ and every vertex in $G-K$ is adjacent to a $P_{n-1}$-terminal vertex of $G[K]$.

It was conjectured that every graph has a $P_{n}$-kernel for every integer $n \geq 2$ (see [4,9]), but Aldred and Thomassen [1] disproved the conjecture by presenting a graph $G$ with $\tau(G)=364$ and containing no $P_{364}$-kernel. Recently, Katrenič and

[^0]Semanišin constructed a graph without a $P_{155}$-kernel in [6]. They also constructed, for each $l \geq 0$, a graph with no $P_{\tau(G)-l^{-}}$ kernel. However, in order to prove the PPC it would suffice to prove the following conjecture, for which no counterexample is known.
Conjecture 2. Every graph has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel for every $n \leq \frac{\tau(G)}{2}$.
It therefore remains interesting to examine what conditions can guarantee the existence of a $P_{n}$-kernel in a graph.
It is proved in $[4,8,7]$ that every graph has a $P_{n}$-kernel for every $n \leq 9$.
We shall call a subset $S$ of $V(G)$ a $P_{n}$-semikernel of $G$ if $\tau(G[S]) \leq n-1$ and every vertex in $N(S)$ is adjacent to a $P_{n-1^{-}}$ terminal vertex of $G[S]$.

Obviously, any $P_{n}$-kernel of a graph is a $P_{n}$-semikernel of the graph, but the converse does not hold. However in [4] the following result is proved.

Lemma 1.1 ([4]). Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a hereditary class of graphs and let $n \geq 2$ be an integer. If every graph in $\mathcal{P}$ has a $P_{n}$-semikernel, then every graph in $\mathcal{P}$ has a $P_{n}$-kernel.

The following conjecture is equivalent to Conjecture 2 and hence its truth would imply the truth of Conjecture 1.
Conjecture 3. Every graph has a $P_{n+1}$-semikernel for every $n \leq \frac{\tau(G)}{2}$.
Dunbar and Frick [4] showed that a graph with girth $g$ has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel for every $n \leq g+1$ and pointed out the importance of the result in connection with the PPC. In this paper, we extend their result by showing that every graph with girth $g$ has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel for every $n<\frac{3 g}{2}-1$.

## 2. Main results

In order to prove our main theorem we need the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Suppose $G$ is a graph with girth $g$. Let $C$ be a g-cycle in $G$ and $B=V(G)-V(C)$. If $x \in V(C)$ and $u \in B$ such that $d_{B}(u, x)<\frac{g}{2}-1$, then $u$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $C-x$.
Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $v$ in $C-x$ is adjacent to $u$. Let $P$ be the shortest path in $B$ from $u$ to $x$, and $Q$ be the shortest path in $C$ from $x$ to $v$; the length of $Q$ is no more than $\frac{g}{2}$. Therefore the length of cycle $x Q v u P x$ is less than $\frac{g}{2}-1+1+\frac{g}{2}=g$. This contradiction completes the proof of the lemma.

Theorem 2.2. If $G$ is a graph with girth $g$, then $G$ has a $P_{n+1}$-semikernel for every $n<\frac{3 g}{2}-1$.
Proof. We may assume that $G$ is connected. If $n \leq g+1$, then $G$ has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel by Theorem 4.5 of [4], and if $n \geq \tau(G)$ then $V(G)$ is a $P_{n+1}$-kernel of $G$. Hence we may assume that $g<n<\tau(G)$. Let $C:=v_{1} v_{2} v_{3} \cdots v_{g} v_{1}$ be a $g$-cycle in $G$. Initially, we put $t:=0, S:=V(C), B=V(G)-S$ and $A=\emptyset$. Then we move vertices from $B$ to $S$ and to $A$ according to the following steps.
STEP 1: Put $t:=t+1$.
Let $\lambda_{t}$ be the order of a longest path in $S$ with $v_{t}$ as end-vertex;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{t}:=\left\{u \in B \mid d_{B}\left(u, v_{t}\right) \leq n-\lambda_{t}\right\} \\
& S:=S \cup M_{t} \\
& B:=B-M_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

We note the following:
Since $n-\lambda_{t} \leq n-g<\frac{g}{2}-1$, it is clear that $G\left[M_{t} \cup\left\{v_{t}\right\}\right]$ is a tree and by Lemma 2.1, no vertex in $M_{t}$ is adjacent to any vertex in $C-v_{t}$. Thus no new cycle has been created in $S$ and hence $\tau(G[S]) \leq n$.

By the definition of $M_{t}$, every vertex in $M_{t}$ is either a $P_{n}$-terminal vertex of $G[S]$ or has no neighbour in $B$ (because any such neighbour would have now been moved from $B$ to $S$ as well).
STEP 2: Move all the $B$-neighbours of $P_{n}$-terminal vertices of $G[S]$ to $A$.
Now no vertex in $M_{t}$ has any neighbour in $B$.
STEP 3: If $t<g$, then return to STEP 1. Otherwise stop.
Finally, $N(S) \cap B=\emptyset$, every vertex in $A$ is adjacent to a $P_{n}$-terminal vertex of $G[S]$ and $\tau(G[S])=n$. Thus $S$ is a $P_{n+1^{-}}$ semikernel of $G$.

The class of graphs with girth equal to $\frac{3 g}{2}-1$ is not a hereditary class; however, graphs with less than $\frac{3 g}{2}-1$ do form a hereditary class.

Since having girth greater than $\frac{2 n}{3}$ is a hereditary property, Lemma 1.1 together with Theorem 2.2 implies the following.
Theorem 2.3. If $G$ is a graph with girth $g>\frac{2}{3}(n+1)$, then $G$ has a $P_{n+1}$-kernel.
Theorem 2.3 has improved a result given in [4].

Let $G$ be a graph with $\tau(G)=a+b$, where $a$ and $b$ are positive integers. If $G$ has a $P_{a+1}$-kernel or a $P_{b+1}$-kernel, then $G$ is $(a, b)$-partitionable. So we further obtain:

Corollary 2.4. Let $G$ be $a$ graph with girth $g$ and suppose $\tau(G)=a+b$, with $1 \leq a \leq b$. If $g>\frac{2}{3}(a+1)$, then $G$ is $(a, b)$ partitionable.
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