Journal of Number Theory 132 (2012) 1758-1769



Explicit irrationality measures for continued fractions

Jaroslav Hančl^{a,1}, Marko Leinonen^{b,2}, Kalle Leppälä^{b,3}, Tapani Matala-aho^{b,*,4}

^a Department of Mathematics and Centre of Excellence IT4Innovation, Division of UO, Institute for Research and Applications of Fuzzy Modeling, University of Ostrava, 30. dubna 22, 701 03 Ostrava 1, Czech Republic
 ^b Matematiikan laitos, Oulun Yliopisto, PL 3000, 90014 Oulu, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 June 2011 Accepted 27 February 2012 Available online 16 April 2012 Communicated by Michael A. Bennett

Keywords: Measure of irrationality Napier's constant Nested logarithm fraction Simple continued fraction

ABSTRACT

Let $\tau = [a_0; a_1, a_2, ...]$, $a_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, be a simple continued fraction determined by an infinite integer sequence (a_n) . We are interested in finding an effective irrationality measure as explicit as possible for the irrational number τ . In particular, our interest is focused on sequences (a_n) with an upper bound at most (a^{n^k}) , where a > 1 and k > 0. In addition to our main target, arithmetic of continued fractions, we shall pay special attention to studying the nature of the inverse function z(y) of $y(z) = z \log z$. © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $\tau = [a_0; a_1, a_2, ...], a_0 \in \mathbb{N}, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, be a simple continued fraction determined by an infinite integer sequence (a_n) . For example, set $\tau = [f_0; f_1, f_2, ...]$, where $(f_n) = (0, 1, 1, 2, ...)$ is the Fibonacci sequence. We prove an explicit lower bound

$$\left| \tau - \frac{M}{N} \right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}N^{\frac{D}{\sqrt{\log N}}} + 3)}, \quad D = \frac{\log \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}}{\sqrt{\log 2}},$$

valid for every $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$.

* Corresponding author.

0022-314X/\$ - see front matter © 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2012.02.018

E-mail addresses: hancl@osu.cz (J. Hančl), tapani.matala-aho@oulu.fi (T. Matala-aho).

 $^{^{1}}$ Supported by the European Regional Development Fund in the IT4Innovations Centre of Excellence project (CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0070) and by the grants P201/12/2351 and ME09017.

² Supported by Academy of Finland, grant 138522.

³ Supported by Academy of Finland, grant 138522.

⁴ Supported by Academy of Finland, grant 138522.

In Theorem 2.1 we give a general method which is applied through the rest of the work. First we consider the case where (a_n) is bounded by linear functions. Here the applications include the explicit irrationality measure for the Siegel's constant [0; 1, 2, 3, ...] and for the Napier's constant e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, ...]. Then we deal with exponential bounds. In this case we obtain explicit irrationality measures for continued fractions determined by the Fibonacci sequence or the sequence (lcm(1, 2, ..., n)). Finally we have the case where the sequence $(log a_n)$ is bounded by polynomials, say $a_n = n!$. If the sequence $(log a_n)$ grows faster than (K^n) , K > 1, then the irrationality measure exponent is at least K + 1 > 2. This case is covered in Hančl, Matala-aho and Pulcerová [9].

By an explicit irrationality measure of an irrational number au we mean any positive lower bound

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 I(N)} = \frac{1}{N^{2+\delta(N)}}, \quad \delta(N) \ge 0,\tag{1}$$

satisfied by all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N \ge N_0$, where the dependence I(N) on N is explicit and N_0 is a given or at least an effectively computable number. Usually the infimum of $2 + \delta(N)$ is called irrationality measure of τ .

In the following we would like to give a survey on results considering Diophantine properties of *e*. In 1971 Bundschuh [4] proved that formula (1) is valid with $I(N) = 18 \frac{\log 4N}{\log \log 4N}$ and $N_0 = 1$. Later Davis [6] found an asymptotical result that for every $\alpha > 1$ there exists N_0 such that $I(N) = 2\alpha \frac{\log 4N}{\log \log 4N}$ is valid for all $N > N_0$. For references on similar results see Borwein and Borwein [3], Fel'dman and Nesterenko [7] and Shiokawa [14]. In 1976 Galochkin [8] showed that we may take $I(N) = 0.001 \frac{\log(N+2)}{\log \log(N+2)}$ and $N_0 = 1$. Later Alzer [2] improved the results of Bundschuh [4] and Galochkin [8] by proving the estimate $|e - \frac{M}{N}| > \frac{C \log \log N}{N^2 \log N}$ for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$ if and only if C < 0.386249... In 2000 Tasoev [17] proved similar result for continued fractions generalizing the expansion of *e*. Recently Sondow [16] received a new type of explicit irrationality measure for *e*, namely that for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$ we have $|e - \frac{M}{N}| > \frac{1}{(S(N)+1)!}$, where S(N) is the smallest positive integer such that S(N)! is the multiple of N.

In [17] Tasoev considers also continued fractions of the kind $\tau = [a_0, \overline{a^{\lambda}, \ldots, a^{\lambda}}]_{\lambda=0}^{\infty}$, $a_0, a, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ with $a \ge 2$ and $m \ge 2$. In [17] it is proved that for every $\alpha > 1$ there exists N_0 such that $I(N) = \sqrt{a\alpha}N^{\sqrt{\frac{2\log \alpha}{m\log N}}}$ is valid for every $N > N_0$. However, this does not cover our example $\tau = [f_0; f_1, f_2, \ldots]$.

In addition to our main target, arithmetic of continued fractions, we shall pay special attention to studying the nature of the inverse function z(y) of $y(z) = z \log z$. We obtain a presentation for z(y) by nested logarithms which besides its own interest is our main tool considering the polynomial growth cases. Namely, nested logarithm approximations of z(y) give a natural framework considering e.g. the arithmetic nature of *e* thus allowing certain generalizations and improvements of the results of Alzer [2], Bundschuh [4] and Davis [6] for *e*.

2. Results

Throughout the whole paper we use the notations \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z}^+ for the sets of non-negative and positive integers, respectively. In the following

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = [a_0; a_1, \ldots, a_n]$$

denotes the *n*th convergent of $[a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots]$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $g_1(x), g_2(x): \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be increasing functions such that

$$a_n \leq g_1(n), \qquad g_2(n) \leq q_n$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and let $g_2^{-1}(x)$ denote the inverse function of $g_2(x)$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(g_1(g_2^{-1}(N) + 1) + 3)}$$
 (2)

for all $M \in \mathbb{Z}$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Define z(y) to be the inverse function of the function $y(z) = z \log z$ when $z \ge 1/e$.

Theorem 2.2. *The inverse function* z(y) *of the function*

$$y(z) = z \log z, \quad z \ge \frac{1}{e},\tag{3}$$

is strictly increasing. When y > e the inverse function may be given by the infinite nested logarithm fraction

$$z(y) = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log \dots}}}.$$
(4)

Let $z_0(y) = y$ and $z_n(y) = \frac{y}{\log z_{n-1}}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then we also have

$$z_1 < z_3 < \cdots < z < \cdots < z_2 < z_0.$$

Theorem 2.3. Let a, B, c > 0 be given and suppose

$$a_n \leqslant an, \qquad (Bn)^{cn} \leqslant q_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(\frac{a}{B}z(\frac{B}{c}\log N) + a + 3)}\tag{5}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$.

When $N > e^{\frac{ce}{B}}$, then we have a nested logarithm representation (4) of $z(\frac{B}{c} \log N)$. By Theorem 2.2 we get

$$z\left(\frac{B}{c}\log N\right) < z_2\left(\frac{B}{c}\log N\right) = \frac{\frac{B}{c}\log N}{E(N)},\tag{6}$$

where

$$E(N) = \log \frac{\frac{B}{c} \log N}{\log \frac{B}{c} \log N}.$$

By using estimates (5) and (6) we get

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \left(\frac{c}{a} - \epsilon(N)\right) \frac{E(N)}{N^2 \log N},\tag{7}$$

where

$$\epsilon(N) = \frac{c^2(a+3)}{a(\frac{a\log N}{E(N)} + c(a+3))} \xrightarrow[N \to \infty]{} 0.$$
(8)

From our result (7) we could deduce

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{C \log \log N}{N^2 \log N} \tag{9}$$

with an explicit C = C(N). However, bound (9) would not be as sharp as (7). To obtain a sharper bound let B, c > 0 be given. Define w as the solution (larger solution if B > c) of the equation

$$\left(\frac{w}{de}\right)^{\frac{w}{de}} = e^{\frac{-\log d}{de}},\tag{10}$$

where d = B/c.

Corollary 2.4. *Let* a, B, c > 0 *be given and suppose*

$$a_n \leqslant an, \quad (Bn)^{cn} \leqslant q_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \left(\frac{c}{a} - \epsilon(N)\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{w}\right) \frac{\log \log N}{N^2 \log N},\tag{11}$$

where $\epsilon(N)$ is defined as in (8) and w as in (10), for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N > e^{\frac{ce}{B}}$.

Note that w in bound (11) is absolute for all N. If we want a better dependence, then C(N) will be rather complicated function of N and we prefer to use bound (7).

Corollary 2.5. Let a, b > 0 be given and suppose

$$bn \leqslant a_n \leqslant an$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(\frac{ae}{b}z(\frac{b}{e}\log N) + a + 3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$.

Theorem 2.3 can be generalized as follows.

Theorem 2.6. Let a, b, l > 0 be given and suppose

$$a_n \leqslant an^l$$
, $(Bn)^{cn} \leqslant q_n$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (a(\frac{1}{B}z(\frac{B}{c}\log N) + 1)^l + 3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$.

Corollary 2.7. Let a, b, h, l > 0 be given and suppose

$$bn^h \leq a_n \leq an^l$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (a(\frac{e}{\frac{h}{\sqrt{b}}} z(\frac{h}{he} \log N) + 1)^l + 3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$.

Theorem 2.8. Let a, B > 1, h, l > 0 be given. Suppose

$$a_n \leqslant a^{n^l}, \qquad B^{n^{h+1}} \leqslant q_n$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (N^{\frac{\log a}{\log N}(h+\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{\log B}}+1)^l}+3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$.

Corollary 2.9. Let a, b > 1, h, l > 0 be given and suppose

$$b^{n^h} \leqslant a_n \leqslant a^{n^l}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (N^{\frac{\log a}{\log N} (h+1)\sqrt{(h+1)\log N} + 1)^l} + 3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$.

3. Proofs

Let (a_n) be an integer sequence with $a_n \ge 1$, when $n \ge 1$. Consider the irrational value τ of the simple continued fraction

$$\tau = [a_0; a_1, a_2, \ldots].$$

From the theory of continued fractions we know the recurrence formulas

$$p_{n+2} = a_{n+2}p_{n+1} + p_n, \qquad q_{n+2} = a_{n+2}q_{n+1} + q_n \tag{12}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with the initial values

$$p_0 = a_0, \qquad q_0 = 1, \qquad p_1 = a_0 a_1 + 1, \qquad q_1 = a_1,$$

and the estimates

$$\frac{a_{n+2}}{q_n q_{n+2}} < \left| \tau - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| < \frac{1}{q_n q_{n+1}}$$

$$\tag{13}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, see e.g. Hardy and Wright [10]. By denoting $r_n = q_n \tau - p_n$ we have

$$\frac{1}{q_{n+2}} < |r_n| < \frac{1}{q_{n+1}}.$$
(14)

Let $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ be given. Write

$$\Lambda = N\tau - M, \qquad \Delta = p_n N - q_n M,$$

which gives

$$\Delta = q_n \Lambda - r_n N.$$

1. Suppose that

$$\frac{M}{N} \neq \frac{p_n}{q_n}$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus

$$1 \leq |\Delta| = |p_n N - q_n M| \leq q_n |\Lambda| + |r_n|N.$$
(15)

By
$$(14)$$
 there exists a positive integer h such that

$$|r_h| < \frac{1}{q_{h+1}} < \frac{1}{2N} < \frac{1}{q_h},$$

which gives

$$|r_h|N < \frac{1}{2}, \qquad q_h < 2N.$$
 (16)

$$\frac{1}{2} < q_h |\Lambda| < 2N |N\tau - M|$$

or

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{4N^2}.$$

2. Suppose that

$$\frac{M}{N} = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By (13) we get

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| = \left|\tau - \frac{p_n}{q_n}\right| > \frac{a_{n+2}}{q_n q_{n+2}} > \frac{1}{(a_{n+1} + 2)q_n^2} > \frac{1}{(a_{n+1} + 3)N^2}.$$
(17)

The constant 2 is increased to 3 in (17) to ensure

$$\frac{1}{4N^2} \geqslant \frac{1}{(a_{n+1}+3)N^2}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. All we need to do is to estimate a_{n+1} in (17). Denoting $q_n = N$ we have

$$a_{n+1} \leq g_1(n+1) \leq g_1(g_2^{-1}(N)+1)$$

and (2) follows. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2.2. In the following we suppose y > e. Note that

$$z = \frac{y}{\log z} = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log z}} = \cdots.$$

Eq. (3) has a unique solution z for a fixed y and thus the equation

$$z = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log z}}$$
(18)

has the same unique solution z = z(y), too.

Let us define a function

$$l(x) = \frac{y}{\log x}, \quad x > 1,$$

and set $l^n(y) = z_n(y)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $l^{2k}(x)$ is increasing and $l^{2k+1}(x)$ is decreasing for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. From y > e we get l(y) > e. Since l(e) = y we have

$$z_{2k+1} = l^{2k+1}(y) = l^{2k+2}(e) < l^{2k+2}(l(y)) = l^{2k+3}(y) = z_{2k+3},$$

$$z_{2k} = l^{2k}(y) = l^{2k+1}(e) > l^{2k+1}(l(y)) = l^{2k+2}(y) = z_{2k+2}$$

and

$$z_{2k} = l^{2k}(y) = l^{2k+1}(e) > l^{2k+1}(y) = z_{2k+1}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore

$$z_1 < z_3 < \cdots < z_2 < z_0.$$

Hence the limits

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} z_{2k+1} = A \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} z_{2k} = B$$

exist and by applying the function $l^2(x)$ we see that they satisfy

$$A = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log A}}$$
 and $B = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log B}}$.

By the uniqueness of the solution of (18) we get A = B = z(y) and thus

$$z(y) = l^{\infty}(y) = \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log \frac{y}{\log \dots}}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. We shall estimate a_{n+1} in (17). Denoting $q_n = N$ and using Theorem 2.2 we have

$$y(Bn) = Bn \log Bn \leqslant \frac{B}{c} \log N,$$

SO

$$Bn \leqslant z \left(\frac{B}{c} \log N\right)$$

because z(y) is strictly increasing. Note that if Bn < 1/e then we have $Bn \leq z(y(Bn))$ too. Now

$$a_{n+1} \leq a(n+1) \leq \frac{a}{B} z \left(\frac{B}{c} \log N \right) + a.$$

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Denote d = B/c and $x = \log \log N$. The function

$$f(x) = \frac{\log(\log d + x) - \log d}{x}$$
(19)

will obtain its maximum 1/w on the positive real axis at $w - \log d$, where w is the solution of Eq. (10) (larger solution if d > 1). Now the result follows from (7) as

$$E(N) = \log \frac{d \log N}{\log d \log N} = \log d + \log \log N - \log(\log d + \log \log N) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{w}\right) \log \log N. \quad \Box$$

Proof of Corollary 2.5. Recurrence (12) implies

$$b^n n! \leqslant q_n. \tag{20}$$

Using Stirling's formula (see e.g. [1])

$$n! = \sqrt{2\pi} n^{n+\frac{1}{2}} e^{-n+\frac{\theta(n)}{12n}}, \quad 0 < \theta(n) < 1,$$

with (20) we get

$$\left(\frac{bn}{e}\right)^n \leqslant q_n$$

Now we use Theorem 2.3 with B = b/e and c = 1. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2.6. From the proof of Theorem 2.3 we get

$$Bn \leqslant z \left(\frac{B}{c} \log N\right)$$

and so

$$a_{n+1} \leq a(n+1)^l \leq a\left(\frac{1}{B}z\left(\frac{B}{c}\log N\right)+1\right)^l.$$

Proof of Corollary 2.7. Similarly to (20) we have

$$b^n(n!)^h \leqslant q_n.$$

Again, Stirling's formula implies

$$\left(\frac{\sqrt[h]{bn}}{e}\right)^{hn}\leqslant q_n.$$

Now we use Theorem 2.6 with $B = \sqrt[h]{b}/e$ and c = h. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2.8. Here

$$n \leqslant \sqrt[h+1]{\frac{\log N}{\log B}},$$

and thus

$$a_{n+1} \leqslant a^{(n+1)^l} \leqslant a^{(\frac{h+1}{\log B}+1)^l}$$
. \Box

Proof of Corollary 2.9. By recurrence (12) we get

$$b^{1^h+2^h+\cdots+n^h}\leqslant q_n.$$

Now we use Theorem 2.8 with $B = \sqrt[h+1]{b}$. \Box

4. Applications

First we consider Siegel's continued fraction

$$\tau = [0; 1, 2, \ldots],$$

which is transcendental by Siegel's theory of *E*-functions [15]. Now $a_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Using Theorem 2.3 with a = 1, B = 1/e and c = 1 (see the proof of Corollary 2.5) we obtain

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(ez(\frac{1}{e}\log N) + 4)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$.

Now Eq. (10) has the form

 $w^w = e$,

which has a unique solution w, where 1/w = 0.567143... Using (11) we can write the lower bound as

$$\left| \tau - \frac{M}{N} \right| > \left(1 - \epsilon(N) \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{w} \right) \frac{\log \log N}{N^2 \log N}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1619$, where

$$\epsilon(N) = \frac{4}{\frac{\log N}{\log \frac{\log N}{\log \log N - 1} - 1} + 4}.$$

In the next example we consider the Napier's constant

$$e = [2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, 1, \ldots].$$

For the proof see e.g. Perron [12] or Cohn [5]. Now we are looking for the lower bound of q_n . We have $a_0 = 2$ and

$$a_n = \begin{cases} \frac{2n+2}{3}, & n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}, \\ 1, & n \neq 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

when $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. From recurrence formula (12) we obtain

$$q_{n+2} = (a_n a_{n+1} a_{n+2} + a_n + a_{n+2})q_{n-1} + (a_{n+1} a_{n+2} + 1)q_{n-2}$$
(21)

for all $n \ge 2$.

When $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \ge 3$ then we have $a_n = 1$, $a_{n+1} = 1$ and $a_{n+2} = (2n+6)/3$. By recurrence (21)

$$q_{n+2} = \frac{4n+15}{3}q_{n-1} + \frac{2n+9}{3}q_{n-2}.$$
 (22)

When $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ then $a_n = (2n+2)/3$, $a_{n+1} = 1$, $a_{n+2} = 1$ and

$$q_{n+2} = \frac{4n+7}{3}q_{n-1} + 2q_{n-2} \ge \frac{4n+7}{3}q_{n-1}.$$
(23)

In the case $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \ge 4$ we have $a_{n+2} = a_{n-1} = 1$. So (22) and (23) give

$$q_{n+2} = q_{n+1} + q_n \geqslant \frac{4n+11}{3}q_{n-2} + (2n+2)q_{n-3} \geqslant \frac{4n+4}{3}(q_{n-2}+q_{n-3}) = \frac{4n+4}{3}q_{n-1}.$$

Therefore for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have a lower bound

$$q_{n+2} \geqslant \frac{4n+4}{3}q_{n-1}.$$

Hence

$$\sqrt[3]{\left(\frac{4}{3}\right)^n n!} \leqslant q_n$$

and by Stirling's formula [1] we get

$$\left(\frac{4}{3e}n\right)^{\frac{1}{3}n} \leqslant q_n$$

for all $n \ge 1$. Using Theorem 2.3 with a = 1, B = 4/3e and c = 1/3 we obtain

$$\left|e - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(\frac{3e}{4}z(\frac{4}{e}\log N) + 4)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 1$ (naturally any choice of a > 2/3 holds for N big enough, but this one holds for all $N \ge 1$). When $N \ge 7$ we can take a = 4/5. So we get 1/w = 0.278383... and thus

$$\left|e - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \left(\frac{5}{12} - \epsilon(N)\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{w}\right) \frac{\log \log N}{N^2 \log N}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 7$, where

$$\epsilon(N) = \frac{95}{\frac{144 \log N}{\log \frac{4 \log N}{\log 4 \log N - 1} + 228}}$$

For example if $N \ge 7$ then C = 0.165684... and for $N \ge 32$ we have C = 0.197639... For $N \ge 39$ we can take a = 3/4 to get larger *C* and so on. With any constant C < 1/2 the bound can be improved to $C \log \log N/N^2 \log N$ for big enough *N*, as $a \to 2/3$, $\epsilon(N) \to 0$ and $f(x) \to 0$, f(x) decreasing, see (19).

C log log $N/N^2 \log N$ for big enough N, as $a \to 2/3$, $\epsilon(N) \to 0$ and $f(x) \to 0$, f(x) decreasing, see (19). In the following set $a_n = f_n$. We choose h = 1, l = 1, $B = \sqrt[4]{2}$ and $a = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$. Then Theorem 2.8 gives

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}N^{\frac{D}{\sqrt{\log N}}} + 3)}$$

where $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$ and $D = \frac{\log \frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}}{\sqrt{\log 2}}$. This improves considerably the result of Matala-aho and Merilä [11].

When $a_n = \text{lcm}(1, 2, ..., n)$ we choose h = 1, l = 1, $b = \sqrt{2}$ and $a = e^{1.030883}$ (see e.g. Rosser and Schoenfeld [13]). Then Corollary 2.9 gives

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (e^{1.030883} N^{\frac{D}{\sqrt{\log N}}} + 3)}$$

where $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$ and $D = \frac{2.061766}{\sqrt{\log 2}}$.

In the case $a_n = n!$ we may choose a = e, $b = \sqrt{2}$, l = 1.280678 and h = 1. Then Corollary 2.9 gives

$$\left|\tau - \frac{M}{N}\right| > \frac{1}{N^2 (N^{\frac{1}{\log N}(2\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{\log 2}} + 1)^{1.280678}} + 3)}$$

for all $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $N \ge 2$.

References

- M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions: With Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, Natl. Bur. Stand., Appl. Math. Ser., vol. 55, 1964, For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, US Goverment Printing Office, Washington, DC.
- [2] H. Alzer, On rational approximation to e, J. Number Theory 68 (1) (1998) 57-62.
- [3] J.M. Borwein, P.B. Borwein, Pi and the AGM, A Study in Analytic Number Theory and Computational Complexity, Canadian Math. Soc. Ser. Monogr. Adv. Texts, A Wiley–Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987.
- [4] P. Bundschuh, Irrationalitätsmasse für e^a , $a \neq 0$ rational oder Liouville–Zahl, Math. Ann. 192 (1971) 229–242.
- [5] H. Cohn, A short proof of the simple continued fraction expansion of e, Amer. Math. Monthly 113 (1) (2006) 57-62.
- [6] C.S. Davis, Rational approximations to e, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 25 (4) (1978) 497-502.
- [7] N.I. Fel'dman, Yu.V. Nesterenko, Transcendental Numbers, Number Theory IV, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 44, Springer, Berlin, 1998, pp. 1–345.
- [8] A.I. Galochkin, The sharpening of the bounds for certain linear forms, Math. Notes 20 (1) (1976) 35-45.
- [9] J. Hančl, T. Matala-aho, S. Pulcerová, Continued fractional measure of irrationality, Kyoto J. Math. 50 (1) (2010) 33-40.
- [10] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, sixth edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008, revised by D.R. Heath-Brown and J.H. Silverman, with a foreword by Andrew Wiles.
- [11] T. Matala-aho, V. Merilä, On Diophantine approximations of Ramanujan type q-continued fractions, J. Number Theory 129 (5) (2009) 1044–1055.
- [12] O. Perron, Die Lehre von den Kettenbrüchen, second edition, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, NY, 1950.
- [13] J.B. Rosser, L. Schoenfeld, Approximate formulas for some functions of prime numbers, Illinois J. Math. 6 (1962) 64-94.
- [14] I. Shiokawa, Rational approximations to the values of certain hypergeometric functions, in: Number Theory and Combinatorics, Japan, 1984 (Tokyo, Okayama and Kyoto, 1984), World Sci. Publishing, Singapore, 1985, pp. 353–367.
- [15] C.L. Siegel, Transcendental Numbers, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 16, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1949.
- [16] J. Sondow, A geometric proof that e is irrational and a new measure of its irrationality, Amer. Math. Monthly 113 (7) (2006) 637–641.
- [17] B.G. Tasoev, Rational approximations to certain numbers, Math. Notes 67 (6) (2000) 786-791.