

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



JOURNAL OF Number Theory

Journal of Number Theory 107 (2004) 161-167

http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

LLL & ABC

Tim Dokchitser

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Durham, UK Received 4 August 2003; revised 12 December 2003

Communicated by D. Zagier

Abstract

This note is an observation that the LLL algorithm applied to prime powers can be used to find "good" examples for the ABC and Szpiro conjectures.
© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: Primary: 11D04; Secondary: 11D41, 11D99

Keywords: ABC-conjecture; Szpiro conjecture; LLL algorithm

Given non-zero integers A, B and C, define the $radical \operatorname{rad}(A, B, C)$ to be the product of primes dividing ABC, the size to be $\max(|A|, |B|, |C|)$ and the *power* of the triple to be

$$P = P(A, B, C) = \frac{\log \max(|A|, |B|, |C|)}{\log \operatorname{rad}(A, B, C)}.$$

The ABC conjecture of Masser-Oesterlé [4,7]states that for any real $\eta > 1$, there are only finitely many triples with A, B, C relatively prime and $P(A, B, C) \ge \eta$ which satisfy the ABC-equation

$$A+B=C$$

In particular, some work has been done to look for examples of such solutions, called ABC-triples, of as large power as possible (e.g. [2,5,8]; see also the ABC page [6] for an extensive list of references). Similarly, one also looks for solutions with

E-mail address: tim.dokchitser@durham.ac.uk.

large Szpiro quotient

$$\rho = \rho(A, B, C) = \frac{\log |ABC|}{\log \operatorname{rad}(A, B, C)}.$$

These relate via Frey curves to Szpiro's conjecture on the conductor and the discriminant of elliptic curves. One can also formulate the ABC conjecture over an arbitrary number field K/\mathbb{Q} in terms of the generalized power [1],

$$P(A, B, C) = \left(\log \prod_{\sigma} \max(|A|_{\sigma}, |B|_{\sigma}, |C|_{\sigma})\right) / \log \left| \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}} \prod_{p \mid ABC} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(p) \right|.$$

The product in the numerator is taken over all embeddings of K into \mathbb{C} and the denominator involves the discriminant of K and the absolute norms of the prime ideals dividing A, B and C.

The best examples known to date are: the ABC-triple found by Reyssat,

$$A = 2$$
, $B = 3^{10} \cdot 109$, $C = 23^5$ with $P = 1.629...$

and the Szpiro triple found by Nitaj,

$$A = 13 \cdot 19^6$$
, $B = 2^{30}5$, $C = 3^{13}11^231$ with $\rho = 4.419...$

The best algebraic ABC example (by de Weger) involves the roots r_i of $x^3 - 2x^2 + 4x - 4 = 0$,

$$(r_3 - r_2)r_1^{52} + (r_1 - r_3)r_2^{52} + (r_2 - r_1)r_3^{52} = 0, \quad P = 1.920...$$

Following terminology of [6], we call a triple with P > 1.4 a good ABC triple and one with $\rho > 4$ a good Szpiro triple.

Our observation is that a simple method to look for such examples is as follows.

Take, for instance, large prime powers $A_0 = p^a$, $B_0 = q^b$ and $C_0 = r^c$ of comparable size with small p, q and r. Using the LLL lattice reduction algorithm [3], one can find the smallest integral relation between them with respect to the l^2 -norm,

$$\alpha A_0 + \beta B_0 + \gamma C_0 = 0. \tag{1}$$

Since the coefficients α , β and γ are relatively small, the numbers αp^a , βq^b and γr^c with suitably chosen signs give a potential candidate for a high-powered ABC-triple. Instead of prime powers one may also take a product of two prime powers or, more generally, any large number with small radical. For example, the smallest relation of the form (1) between

$$A_0 = 71^8$$
, $B_0 = 2^5 5^{18} 17^3$ and $C_0 = 3^{38}$

has $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (12649337, 336633577, -149459713)$. This gives a previously unknown good triple,

$$A = 71^8 233^3$$
, $B = 2^5 5^{18} 7^3 17^3 981439$, $C = 3^{38} 13^4 5233$, $P = 1.414...$

Incidentally, this is the largest (with respect to size as above) good ABC example known to the author.

To give an empirical analysis of this approach, take $A_0 = p^a$, $B_0 = q^b$ and $C_0 = r^c$ as above, all three approximately of size N. Then in the worst case the smallest zero combination of the form (1) has coefficients α , β and γ roughly of size $\sqrt{3N}$. In fact, there are about $(\sqrt{3N})^3$ combinations

$$iA_0 + jB_0 + kC_0, \quad 0 \le i, j, k \le \sqrt{3N}.$$
 (2)

As they are all of size at most $3 \times N\sqrt{3N} = (\sqrt{3N})^3$, two of them must be equal by the box principle and their difference gives a required relation. Therefore, in the worst case the resulting triple has

$$P(A, B, C) \approx \frac{\log(N\sqrt{3N})}{3\log\sqrt{3N} + \log p + \log q + \log r}$$

For fixed p, q and r, this expression tends to 1 as N goes to infinity, so the triples are "on the edge" of what is predicted by the ABC conjecture.

It is easy to implement the above method to actually search for some explicit new examples. To illustrate one practical consideration, take

$$A_0 = 1$$
, $B_0 = 3^4$, $C_0 = 5^4$.

Here LLL reduction shows that the lattice of relations between these numbers is generated by

$$v_1 = (23, -8, 1)$$
 and $v_2 = (12, 23, -3)$.

Then v_1 gives a reasonable ABC-triple, but a few other small combinations of v_1 and v_2 yield even better ones,

$$v_1 = (23, -8, 1) \Rightarrow (A, B, C) = (23, 5^4, 2^3 3^4), \quad P = 0.990...,$$

 $-v_1 + 2v_2 = (1, 54, -7) \Rightarrow (A, B, C) = (1, 2 \cdot 3^7, 5^4 7), \quad P = 1.567...,$
 $4v_1 - v_2 = (104, -9, 1) \Rightarrow (A, B, C) = (2^3 13, 5^4, 3^6), \quad P = 1.104...$

This suggests to run a search as follows. Take a list L of numbers to serve as A_0 , B_0 and C_0 . For example, choose bounds M and N and consider all numbers less than M whose prime factors are less than N. Then for all distinct A_0 , B_0 , and C_0 in L use LLL to determine the reduced set of generators for the lattice of relations between

them. Then take "small" combinations of these generators and check whether the resulting ABC-triple has a sufficiently high power.

This does raise a question of how to decide which combinations of the v_i one should try. A few experiments suggest that a sensible choice is to try those $v = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2$ which simply make one of the elements of v small. This is a cheap way to keep the radical of the product of the elements of v to be as small as possible. To achieve this for an index $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, look at minus the ith entry of v_1 divided by that of v_2 and try several continued fraction approximations c_2/c_1 of this quotient. (In the example above, -2/1 is the first approximation to -23/12 and it makes the first entry small.) Incidentally, this is essentially using a form of LLL again.

Such a search has been implemented as a straightforward Pari script with a running time of one weekend distributed over 30 PCs. We found 145 out of 154 known good ABC-triples, 44 out of 47 known good Szpiro triples and many new examples, listed in Tables 1 and 2.

Let us conclude with a few remarks.

First, note that although the approach presented here is apparently new, LLL has been used in different ways in relation to the ABC conjecture; see e.g. [6].

Second, the method seems to works best when A_0 , B_0 and C_0 are of approximately equal size. In particular, one might expect it to be more suitable for finding new Szpiro examples rather than ABC examples. And, indeed, we have 48 new (47 known) good Szpiro triples but only 41 new (154 known) good ABC triples.

One can also perform a similar search in number fields and find several interesting algebraic examples. For instance, take the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{13})$ and $w = (3 + \sqrt{13})/2$, the fundamental unit of K. Let

$$A = w^{-5}(w - 1) = 0.0058594420567...,$$

$$B = w^{5}(w - 2) = 511.9941405579432...,$$

$$C = 2^{9} = 512.0000000000000....$$

Then A and B are conjugate and A + B = C. Moreover, 2 is prime in K and (w - 1)(w - 2) = 3. Hence the ABC-ratio is

$$P(A, B, C) = \frac{2\log(512)}{\log 13 + \log 3 + \log 3 + \log 4} = 2.0292288501126...$$

So, this example is a new record for the algebraic ABC conjecture.

Finally, the same method can be also used to look for examples for the generalization of the ABC-conjecture to solutions of $a_1 + \cdots + a_n = 0$, known as the *n*-conjecture, see Browkin-Brzezinski [2].

The author would like to thank N. Afanassieva for providing inspiration to work on this problem and V. Dokchitser for helpful discussions.

Table 1 New Szpiro examples with $\rho(A, B, C) > 4$

A	B	C	$\log_{10} C$	$\rho(A,B,C)$
19 ⁸ 43 ⁴ 149 ²	2 ¹⁵ 5 ²³ 101	313 13-292 376 911	22.6	4.23181492
27 54 722	19 ⁴ 37·47 ⁴ 53 ⁶	$3^{14} 11 \cdot 13^9 191 \cdot 7829$	23.9	4.21019250
217 319 11-25867	$7^{12} 23^7$	$5.37^{10} 53.71$	20.0	4.14980287
$7^8 \ 13.89^3$	$3^{13} 5^3 11^4 1499$	$2 \cdot 19^{12}$	15.6	4.13636237
11 ³ 31 ⁵ 101·479	107^{8}	$2^{31} 3^4 5^6 7$	16.3	4.13000150
$13^{10} \ 37^2$	3 ⁷ 19 ⁵ 71 ⁴ 223	$2^{26} 5^{12} 1873$	19.5	4.12465150
255 23	$3^{13} 7^9 13.79^2$	11 ⁴ 43 ⁶ 65353	18.8	4.10906942
11 ⁸ 13 ⁹ 53	2 ⁴ 5 ¹⁶ 17·547·6163	7 ⁶ 19 ¹²	20.4	4.10809327
233 ⁴ 439	215 319	58 175 71	13.6	4.10589886
$2^{13} 71^2 337^3$	$7^{13} 1117^2$	$3^{21} 13^3 73^2$	17.1	4.10470805
57 237 1493	318 39072	$2^{52} 3^2 331$	19.1	4.10115990
$2^{13} \ 5^{12} \ 13^4 \ 29$	7 ¹⁶ 19·7451	$3^{20} 11^4 353^2$	18.8	4.08362226
$11^{11} 73^2 991 \cdot 306083$	$2^2 \ 3.5^{11} \ 7^{15} \ 19^2$	$13^{15} \ 31^5$	24.2	4.08299029
$2.5^9 11^4 41^2 53^3$	3 ⁹ 7 ¹⁶ 37	2311 40423	19.6	4.08262163
31.59^{6}	$2^{25} 3^{11}$	$5^3 11^7 13.229$	12.9	4.07920132
$3^{13} 13 \cdot 23^3 97^2$	$2^{37} 157^2$	5 ⁵ 31 ⁷ 67	15.8	4.07456723
$3^2 \ 73^{10}$	5^{25} 17^2 23	$2^2 7^{11} 827^2 373357$	21.3	4.07337395
34 518 71-419-876581	$2^{17} \ 13^2 \ 19^{15}$	$7^6 \ 11^{12} \ 977^3$	26.5	4.06888583
2 ²⁶ 11 ⁴ 7639	56 2311	$3^{18} 47^4 7879$	19.2	4.06704768
$5^{16} 19^2$	$3^8 7^3 89^4$	$2^{28} 11^2 6043$	14.3	4.06668234
57 77 192 107	2 ¹⁴ 11 ⁹ 97	$3^{10} 23^7 31$	15.8	4.06231271
$2^7 \ 3.821^5$	1316	$5^{12}\ 101^2\ 324697$	17.9	4.06159736
$3^4 \ 23^6 \ 1013^2$	$2^{47} 5^3 19^2$	$7 \cdot 131^7 \ 1373$	18.8	4.06076852
$3^5 5^{16} 19^3$	$7^5 \ 23^2 \ 233^4 \ 1321$	$2^{48} 43^2 67$	19.5	4.06075266
$83^2\ 107^6$	$3^{17} 5^{10} 23$	$2.7^2 \ 13^9 \ 17^2 \ 131$	16.6	4.05751107
$2^6 \ 23^{11} \ 53 \cdot 121523$	$7 \cdot 11^{17} \ 13^3 \ 89$	3 ⁶ 17·311 ⁸	24.0	4.05572551
59 21412	$2^{17} 11.53^4$	$3^2 \ 7 \cdot 19^9$	13.3	4.05435143
29 312 179 1049	$5^{19} 23.83.491^2 761$	$7^{12} \ 13^4 \ 19^8$	24.8	4.05071167
$13^3 \ 17^2 \ 131^5$	$2^{24} 7^4 11 \cdot 29^3 103$	$3^3 5^{19} 47^2$	18.1	4.04634190
$5^{26} 11^2 19^2$	$2^{11} \ 139 \cdot 401^6 \ 463$	$3^{28} 7^2 37^2 67^2 89$	23.8	4.04303710
$2^{47} 3^7 13^2$	$19^{11} \ 23.67^2 \ 227$	$5 \cdot 11 \cdot 257^6 \ 419^2$	21.4	4.04183984
$2^4 \ 5^3 \ 17^6 \ 19^2 \ 151$	$7^{11} 257^3$	$31^9 \ 37^2$	16.6	4.04112782
3 ⁹ 17 ⁷ 67	5 ⁷ 7 ⁹ 19·439	$2^4 \ 13^{10} \ 23^3$	16.4	4.04071176
$5^2 17^6 61^2 269$	$2^{12} 11^{12}$	3 ¹⁹ 7 ⁵ 13·53	16.1	4.03689092
5 ²⁰ 4021	$2^{40} \ 13 \cdot 17^3 \ 6763$	$3^6 7^7 11^3 29^6$	20.7	4.03545668
$2^{23} \ 5^2 \ 17^8$	$3^2 67.743^6$	13 ⁹ 23 ⁴ 34679	20.0	4.03484329
235 435 397	$3^{33} 5^3$	$2^{27} \ 7 \cdot 29 \cdot 73^3 \ 101$	18.0	4.03482389
3 ⁷ 7 ⁵ 17 ² 239441	$2^9 \ 5^{13} \ 11^4$	61 ⁹	16.1	4.03406000
31 ⁷ 113·491 ²	$5^{13} 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19^{8}$	$2 \cdot 3^6 \ 7^{18} \ 1249$	21.5	4.03083567
$2^2 \ 5 \cdot 11^3 \ 23^4 \ 29^7$	$13^9 \ 71^4 \ 113^2$	3 ³⁴ 214033	21.6	4.02756838
$2^7 \ 7 \cdot 139^5$	$11.41.131^6$	$3^{27} \ 5.61$	15.4	4.02755513
$2^{28} 101 \cdot 197^4$	37 ¹¹ 653	$5^{14} 7^2 11^2 2083^2$	20.2	4.02174827
5 ² 13·37 ⁶ 13789	2 ⁹ 7 ⁸ 47 ⁴	$3^{21} 19^5$	16.4	4.02095059
$3.5.67^{9}$	$11^8 \ 13^3 \ 47^3 \ 73$	$2^{14} 7^3 41^6 149$	18.6	4.01929332
$2^{27} \ 3 \cdot 13^2 \ 19^5$	$5^6 \ 31^6 \ 263^2$	37 ⁹ 8677	18.1	4.00826664
71 ⁸ 233 ³	$2^5 \ 5^{18} \ 7^3 \ 17^3 \ 981439$	3 ³⁸ 13 ⁴ 5233	26.3	4.00747592
$5^{15} 13^6 23^2$	$2^{31} 61.271^2 19157$	$3^{26} 7^3 67^3$	20.4	4.00512378
117 414	$5^2 7^7 13^4 211$	215 316 127	14.3	4.00133657

Table 2 New ABC examples with P(A, B, C) > 1.4

A	В	C	$\log_{10} C$	P(A, B, C)
13 ¹⁰ 37 ²	3 ⁷ 19 ⁵ 71 ⁴ 223	2 ²⁶ 5 ¹² 1873	19.5	1.50943262
198 434 149 ²	$2^{15} 5^{23} 101$	$3^{13} \ 13 \cdot 29^2 \ 37^6 \ 911$	22.6	1.44280331
$3.5^6 7^8 53$	167 ⁹	$2 \cdot 11^6 \ 193^4 \ 20551$	20.0	1.43823826
2 ²⁶ 11 ⁴ 7639	56 2311	318 474 7879	19.2	1.43813867
$7^8 \ 13.89^3$	$3^{13} 5^3 11^4 1499$	$2 \cdot 19^{12}$	15.6	1.43785988
174 196	4110 1559	$2^{12} \ 3^{15} \ 5 \cdot 29 \cdot 1567^2$	19.3	1.43654400
34 72 41	$2^{25} 227^7$	5 ⁹ 11 ⁸ 2489197589	24.0	1.43575084
317 809	$2^{27} 11^9$	$5 \cdot 7^4 \ 13^5 \ 59 \cdot 1097^2$	17.5	1.43485873
11·103 ⁸	$2^{45} 3^7 29 \cdot 37 \cdot 1997$	$5^{11} 7^{10} 79.389^2$	23.2	1.43360120
711 19	$5^{12} 1019.7151^2$	$2^{28} \ 3^{12} \ 11^3 \ 67$	19.1	1.43309388
$2^{17} 13^3$	$7^3 11^7 43^2 5801$	$3^{17} 17^6 23$	16.9	1.43234742
$7^2 \ 23^5$	$3^8 11^{12} 4703$	$2.5^2 \ 13^2 \ 19^3 \ 29^6 \ 53^2$	20.0	1.42991591
2^{20} 79.97	$5^3 7^6 11^{10}$	$3^4 \ 13^7 \ 8663^2$	17.6	1.42942802
29^{4}	$2^{14} 3^3 31.47^2 199^3$	$7^{12} 4153^2$	17.4	1.42836105
2 ²⁷ 809	57 76 135	3 ²³ 36251	15.5	1.42460741
213 318 2069	$13^3 \ 29^7 \ 271^3$	$5^{14} 23^3 3187^2$	20.9	1.42340611
$31^7 113.491^2$	$5^{13} 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 19^{8}$	2.36 718 1249	21.5	1.42308625
$3^4 \ 23^6 \ 1013^2$	$2^{47} 5^3 19^2$	$7.131^7 1373$	18.8	1.42201537
$3.5.13^{6}$	2 ⁷ 7 ⁵ 53 ⁶ 2287	$11^3 \ 37^7 \ 929^2$	20.0	1.42137859
233 ⁴ 439	215 319	5 ⁸ 17 ⁵ 71	13.6	1.42081322
$2^{13} 71^2 337^3$	$7^{13} 1117^2$	$3^{21} 13^3 73^2$	17.1	1.42075105
17 ² 47 ⁵ 73	$2^{31} 5^9$	$3.13^7 4723^2$	15.6	1.41627640
27 54 722	$19^4\ 37.47^4\ 53^6$	$3^{14} 11 \cdot 13^9 191 \cdot 7829$	23.9	1.41582933
5 ²⁰ 4021	$2^{40} \ 13.17^3 \ 6763$	3 ⁶ 7 ⁷ 11 ³ 29 ⁶	20.7	1.41575870
3 ²² 9787 ²	$5^{10} 11.29^{10} 109$	$2^{37} 89^3 167^2 1823$	24.7	1.41570162
718 2333	2 ⁵ 5 ¹⁸ 7 ³ 17 ³ 981439	3 ³⁸ 13 ⁴ 5233	26.3	1.41457078
29 ⁴ 2213 ²	$3 \cdot 13^2 \ 23^{12} \ 89 \cdot 14717$	$2^9 5^{16} 11^9 79$	25.2	1.41234761
5 ⁴ 17·349 ³	7 ¹⁷ 109	235 35 3037	16.4	1.41227598
311 72 37.47	2 ⁸ 17 ³ 101 ² 191 ⁴	$5^2 353^7$	19.2	1.41143590
2 ²⁹ 13	5 ¹¹ 269 ³	3 ⁵ 7 ² 17 ⁶ 3307	15.0	1.41090947
5 ⁴ 53 ² 59 ⁴ 101	2 ⁴ 11·23 ¹⁵	$3^{14} 7^{12} 463.1531$	22.7	1.41032306
2 ¹¹ 3 ⁴ 101 ⁴ 29221	13 ¹⁹	$5^{15} 17.53093^2$	21.2	1.40944818
74	$3^{21} 11^2 13^4 138493$	$2^{26} 5^7 383.1579^2$	21.7	1.40900897
11 ³ 31 ⁵ 101·479	1078	$2^{31} 3^4 5^6 7$	16.3	1.40714951
3 ⁵ 5 ¹⁶ 19 ³	7 ⁵ 23 ² 233 ⁴ 1321	$2^{48} 43^2 67$	19.5	1.40487025
5 ⁷ 23 ⁷ 1493	31 ⁸ 3907 ²	$2^{52} 3^2 331$	19.1	1.40479669
2 ² 3 ⁴ 163 ³ 1006151	43 ¹³	$11^9 \ 29^4 \ 101^3$	21.2	1.40308397
$2^{11} 3^{17} 13^2 19^2$	$29.41^2 83^8$	$5^4 \ 47^2 \ 53 \cdot 107^6$	20.0	1.40244119
3 ⁶ 7 ⁴ 43·16421	5 ¹² 439 ⁶	$2^{59} 41.73939$	24.2	1.40168452
7 ⁹ 13 ⁴	$2^{10} \ 23^3 \ 173^4$	$3^{12} \cdot 5.11^6 \cdot 2371$	16.0	1.40127027
17 ⁴	$2.7^{12} 29^3 743$	$3^9 5^6 13^5 23.191$	17.7	1.40004159

References

- [1] N. Broberg, Some examples related to the *abc*-conjecture for algebraic number fields, Math. Comput. 69 (232) (2000) 1707–1710.
- [2] J. Browkin, J. Brzezinski, Some remarks on the *abc*-conjecture, Math. Comput. 62 (206) (1994) 931–939.
- [3] A.K. Lenstra, H.W. Lenstra Jr., L. Lovàsz, Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients, Math. Ann. 261 (4) (1982) 515–534.
- [4] D. Masser, Open problems, in: W.W.L. Chen (Ed.), Proceedings of the Symposium on Analytic Number Theory, Imperial College, London, 1985.
- [5] A. Nitaj, Algorithms for finding good examples for the *abc* and Szpiro conjectures, Exp. Math. 2 (3) (1993) 223–230.
- [6] A. Nitaj, The ABC conjecture home page, www.math.unicaen.fr/~nitaj/abc.html.
- [7] J. Oesterlé, Nouvelle approches du thèoréme de Fermat, Astérisque 161-162 (1988) 165-186.
- [8] B.M.M. de Weger, Solving exponential diophantine equations using lattice basis reduction algorithms, J. Number Theory 26 (1987) 326–367.