p < 0.001. The average of referrals was of 70.5 per 100 attended-patients/year (p < 0.001). 2.5% referrals of the total were made to the neurological, being patient of greater age, with predominance of women and displaying the head pain/migraine as main consultation reason. Visits and episodes explain 43.2%-73.9% respectively (p < 0.001), the explanatory power of the classification’s variability was of 46.3% (p = 0.001) and the referral 20.1%. EI per center were: 0.97 (CI: 0.77–1.18), 0.79 (CI: 0.57–1.01), 0.88 (CI: 0.62–1.14), 1.29 (CI: 0.94–1.65) and 0.91 (CI: 0.58–1.25), p = 0.023 (family practice) and 0.90 (CI: 0.47–1.33), 0.78 (CI: 0.35–1.21), 0.93 (CI: 0.43–1.44), 1.21 (CI: 0.60–1.82) and 0.97 (CI: 0.39–1.56), p = 0.031 (pediatrics); respectively. CONCLUSIONS: Adjusted morbidity by ACG explains an important part of the referrals variability. A low percentage was derived to neurology. The study results must be interpreted cautiously even after adjustment by age, gender and morbidity. Should the results be confirmed it would allow an improvement in the measurement of referrals for clinical management in the PCT.

NATIONAL GUIDELINE FOR MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS TREATMENT IN BRAZILIAN PUBLIC HEALTH: AN ANALYSIS OF TREATMENT PATTERNS AND BUDGET IMPACT
Bueno RLP, Pereira MF
FEI, São Paulo, Brazil
OBJECTIVES: To determine the budget impact of treatment patterns for Multiple Sclerosis (MS) based on national guideline.

METHODS: A one-year (October 2006 to September 2007) retrospective database search was conducted to identify medication used, costs, patient adherence and provision. The source of data was the Ministry of Health public available database, called DATASUS. The study were conduct in four steps: 1) Determine the medicines codes in the public list; 2) Establish the relationships among drugs and patients usage, based on national guidelines; 3) Analyze adherence pattern in the most relevant center in Brazil, based on medication consumption; and 4) Determine the budget impact for MS treatment.

RESULTS: Medication for MS was responsible by 13% of high cost medication supplied by Public Sector in Brazil. During the period of analysis the costs and number of patients grew more than 100%, in average 60,000 medications per month were supplied and a total 8.294 patients were treated. Patients treated were distributed among therapeutic alternative as follow: 60.28% to interferon-1a (two brands), 19.99% to interferon-1b and 19.74 to glatiramer acetate. Five states out of 27 were responsible by 80% of patients budget impact for MS treatment.

SENSORY SYSTEMS DISORDERS—Clinical Outcomes Studies

RISK OF PSYCHIATRIC DISORDERS AND HEALTH CARE EXPENDITURES AMONG PATIENTS WITH MODERATE TO SEVERE PSORIASIS
Han C1, Zhao N2, Waters H1, Schenkel B1
1Johnson and Johnson Pharmaceutical Services, LLC, Horsham, PA, USA, 2Centocor Ortho Biotech Services, LLC, Horsham, PA, USA
OBJECTIVES: To evaluate the risk of psychiatric disorders and associated health care expenditures among moderate to severe psoriasis (PsO) patients using data from a US health care claims database. METHODS: PsO patients and controls without PsO were identified from the PharMetrics health care claims database using the ICD-9 code of 696.x. Patients with moderate to severe PsO (N = 7971) had been diagnosed with PsO in both years 2003 and 2004, and had been treated with systemic therapies including cyclosporine, methotrexate, acitretin, biologics, or phototherapy. Controls (N = 31884) were matched with PsO cases in a 4:1 ratio by gender, age, region, and previous time-in-plan. Psychiatric disorders and anti-psychiatric therapies in year 2004 were compared between groups. RESULTS: PsO cases were equally distributed between males (50.6%) and females with mean age of 47.2 years. Almost half of the patients received anti-inflammatory drugs, 33.3% received biologic therapies, and 36.7% had phototherapy. Compared with controls, patients with moderate to severe PsO had a statistically significantly higher prevalence (p < 0.01) of anxiety (6.94% vs. 4.37%, OR = 1.63), depression (9.17% vs. 5.32%, OR = 1.80), bipolar disorder (1.10% vs. 0.51%, OR = 2.16), and delirium (0.25% vs. 0.14%, OR = 1.74). There was no difference between PsO patients and controls in the prevalence of dementia or schizophrenia (p > 0.05). Compared with controls, a greater proportion of PsO patients had been treated with antidepressants (6.12% vs. 0.90%, OR = 7.18), anxiolytics (5.03% vs. 0.75%, OR = 7.04), anti-psychotics (5.90% vs. 0.89%, OR = 6.97) or anti-manics (4.89% vs. 0.74%, OR = 6.93). PsO patients had higher total health care...