ISSN 0735-1097/08/\$34.00 doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2008.08.052

Cardiac Imaging

High-Dose Adenosine Overcomes the Attenuation of Myocardial Perfusion Reserve Caused by Caffeine

Eliana Reyes, MD,*† Chee Y. Loong, MRCP,*† Mark Harbinson, MD, MRCP,† Jackie Donovan, MSC, DIPRCPATH,‡ Constantinos Anagnostopoulos, MD, PHD, FRCP, FRCR, FESC,† S. Richard Underwood, MD, FRCP, FRCR, FESC, FACC*†

London, United Kingdom

Objectives	We studied whether an increase in adenosine dose overcomes caffeine antagonism on adenosine-mediated cor- onary vasodilation.				
Background	Caffeine is a competitive antagonist at the adenosine receptors, but it is unclear whether caffeine in coffee al- ters the actions of exogenous adenosine, and whether the antagonism can be surmounted by increasing the adenosine dose.				
Methods	Myocardial perfusion scintigraphy (MPS) was used to assess adenosine-induced hyperemia in 30 patients before (baseline) and after coffee ingestion (caffeine). At baseline, patients received 140 μ g/kg/min of adenosine combined with low-level exercise. For the caffeine study, 12 patients received 140 μ g/kg/min of adenosine (standard) and 18 patients received 210 μ g/kg/min (high dose) after caffeine intake (200 mg). Myocardial perfusion was assessed semiquantitatively and quantitatively, and perfusion defect was characterized according to the presence of reversibility.				
Results	Caffeine reduced the magnitude of perfusion abnormality induced by standard adenosine as measured by the summed difference score (SDS) (12.0 \pm 4.4 at baseline vs. 4.1 \pm 2.1 after caffeine, p < 0.001) as well as defect size (18% [3% to 38%] vs. 8% [0% to 22%], p < 0.01), whereas it had no effect on the abnormalities caused by high-dose adenosine (SDS, 7.7 \pm 4.0 at baseline vs. 7.8 \pm 4.2 after caffeine, p = 0.7). There was good agreement between baseline and caffeine studies for segmental defect category (kappa = 0.72, 95% confidence interval: 0.65 to 0.79) in the high-dose group. An increase in adenosine after caffeine intake was well tolerated.				
Conclusions	Caffeine in coffee attenuates adenosine-induced coronary hyperemia and, consequently, the detection of perfusion abnormality by adenosine MPS. This can be overcome by increasing the adenosine dose without compromising test tolerability. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;52:2008–16) © 2008 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation				

Adenosine is a potent coronary vasodilator that increases myocardial blood flow up to 4-fold and provokes flow heterogeneity and even ischemia in territories served by stenosed epicardial coronary arteries (1). According to experimental data (2,3), methylxanthines can attenuate the coronary hyperemic response to adenosine through the blockade of arteriolar A_{2A} adenosine receptors, thereby potentially halting the detection of flow-limiting coronary artery disease (CAD) by adenosine myocardial perfusion scintigraphy (MPS). A limited body of evidence indicates that caffeine, a 1,3,7-trimethylxanthine that binds to the ubiquitous adenosine receptors in a competitive manner (4), can abolish myocardial blood flow heterogeneity induced by dipyridamole, a vasodilator agent that acts by augmenting the concentration of endogenous adenosine (5,6). On this basis, it might be expected that caffeine would also inhibit hyperemia by exogenous adenosine. However, recent studies have shown that caffeine does not modify the coronary vasodilator response to adenosine and have suggested that at the doses given, adenosine results in greater concentrations of adenosine molecules than dipyridamole to compete with caffeine for receptor occupancy (7,8). Other factors such as variations in study methodology, subject population, and caffeine dose could also account for these discrepant results.

The effect of caffeine on adenosine MPS remains unclear. Furthermore, adenosine stress testing is increasingly performed with supplemental exercise yet the effect of caffeine on

From the *National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, United Kingdom; and the †Nuclear Medicine Department and ‡Clinical Biochemistry Department, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom.

Manuscript received April 15, 2008; revised manuscript received July 21, 2008, accepted August 19, 2008.

JACC Vol. 52, No. 24, 2008 December 9, 2008:2008-16

this modality of stress is unknown. Because of the competitive interaction between adenosine and caffeine, receptor blockade by caffeine could be surmounted by increasing the adenosine dose; however, the effectiveness of this measure has not been examined. Thus, we hypothesized that caffeine in coffee would reduce the magnitude of the reversibility of a perfusion abnormality demonstrated by adenosine MPS, and that an increase in adenosine would overcome caffeine antagonism and provoke the same perfusion abnormality in the presence of caffeine compared with the abnormality using a standard protocol after caffeine abstention.

Methods

Study design and subject selection. This was a prospective single-center study. Patients were eligible if they had suspected or known CAD (i.e., previous myocardial infarction, revascularization, or documented angiographically significant coronary artery stenosis) and were scheduled for adenosine MPS (baseline procedure) for the clinical assessment of anginal symptoms. Patients were enrolled if they had refrained from caffeine-containing products for at least 12 h before stress testing and had unequivocal reversible myocardial perfusion abnormality at baseline. Patients who fulfilled the entry criteria returned for repeat adenosine MPS after caffeine intake. Exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) contraindication to adenosine, intolerable symptoms, or adverse reaction during baseline procedure; 2) left bundle branch block or paced rhythm on resting electrocardiogram; 3) serum caffeine concentration $\geq 2 \text{ mg/l}$ at baseline; 4) reversibility involving <10% of total left ventricular myocardium or, in other words, <2 of 17 myocardial segments on baseline MPS; and 5) change in symptoms, medication, or documented acute ischemic event or coronary intervention between the 2 MPS procedures. A total of 30 patients were enrolled in the study. The Royal Brompton and Harefield Research Ethics Committee approved the study, and written informed consent was obtained from all patients.

Study protocol. All patients made 2 visits. For each visit, patients were asked to refrain from caffeinated products for a minimum of 12 h before the test. Medications were not altered. For the baseline procedure (visit 1), stress testing was performed with adenosine infused at the standard dose of 140 μ g/kg/min for 6 min combined with low-level exercise on a bicycle ergometer. Patients unable to cycle performed isometric exercise with handgrip. A blood sample was drawn immediately before the start of the adenosine infusion to measure caffeine concentration. Within 6 weeks of the baseline procedure (visit 2), repeat stress testing was performed 60 min after ingestion of coffee to allow plasma caffeine level to reach its maximum (9). To determine the effect of caffeine on adenosine MPS, 12 patients received adenosine at 140 µg/kg/min for 6 min combined with exercise as for the baseline study (standard adenosine group). To demonstrate the efficacy of high-dose adenosine,

18 patients received 210 μ g/kg/ min of adenosine for 6 min with supplemental exercise performed in identical way as baseline (high-dose adenosine group). As for the baseline study, blood was drawn before the start of the adenosine infusion to measure caffeine concentration.

The electrocardiographic rhythm was monitored throughout each stress procedure, and blood pressure and heart rate recorded every 2 min. Symptoms were recorded as reported by the patient,

and Acronyms
CAD = coronary artery disease
$\mathbf{MI} = \mathbf{myocardial}$ infarction
MPS = myocardial perfusion scintigraphy
SDS = summed difference score
SSS = summed stress score
TID = transient ischemic dilation

Abbreviations

who was also questioned directly about symptoms every 2 min until completion of the test. Patient symptoms were graded at the time of test using a symptom-severity score from 0 (none) to 3 (severe). A summed score was obtained by addition of the score for each symptom. Safety was assessed by collection of vital signs, electrocardiographic data, and adverse events during each procedure. Detailed information on daily caffeine consumption, smoking habit, medications, and co-morbidities including history of hepatic or renal disease was collected by a questionnaire. Dietary caffeine intake was estimated according to previously published data (10,11).

Caffeine administration. Coffee was prepared on-site using a 15-bar pump pressure espresso machine. Patients were given 2 large shots of espresso, which, according to previous reports, would provide $\approx 200 \text{ mg}$ of caffeine (10), the total caffeine content in 2 standard cups of coffee or in a 355-ml (12-fl oz) serving of brewed coffee from specialty shops (10). The dosage was chosen to reach a serum caffeine concentration $\geq 2 \text{ mg/l}$, which is known to inhibit the hemodynamic response to intravenous adenosine (12).

Serum caffeine. Blood samples were taken from a vein cannula, and total serum concentration of caffeine (protein bound and unbound) was measured by a commercially available homogenous enzyme immunoassay technique (Emit Assay, Dade Behring Ltd., Milton Keynes, United Kingdom).

Image processing. For the baseline procedure, 80 to 120 MBq (2.2 to 3.2 mCi) of thallium-201 was injected 3 min into the adenosine infusion and stress image acquisition started within 10 min of thallium-201 injection. Rest images were acquired 3 to 4 h later after an additional injection of 40 MBq (1.1 mCi) of thallium-201. For the repeat MPS procedure, stress images were acquired in an identical way as for baseline. Rest imaging was not conducted to minimize radiation exposure to the patient. Emission tomographic imaging was performed using a dual-headed gamma camera (Optima, IGE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) equipped with a low-energy all-purpose collimator. Patients were supine, and 64 projections were acquired over a semicircular 180° arc from 45°

right anterior oblique to 45° left posterior oblique. A 20% symmetrical energy window was used at 72 and 167 keV. Transverse tomograms of the left ventricle were reconstructed using a Hanning pre-filter with a cutoff frequency of 0.75 cycles/cm and a ramp filter during back-projection. Transaxial slices were reoriented according to current recommendations (13). No attenuation correction was applied. Image interpretation. Unprocessed planar images were displayed in the cine format to assess guality and to exclude significant patient motion or attenuation. The tomographic slices were divided into 17 segments, and tracer uptake was graded semiquantitatively for each segment by 2 experienced nuclear cardiologists without knowledge of clinical data or caffeine status. In case of disagreement, the segment was assigned to a category by a third reader. Scores were attributed on a 5-point system by taking into account the severity of the perfusion defect: 0 = normal uptake (tracer activity \geq 70% of maximal myocardial activity); 1 = mild reduction (50% to 69%); 2 = moderate reduction (30% to 49%); 3 = severe reduction (10% to 29%); and 4 = absent uptake (tracer activity 0% to 9% of maximum). For each patient, the summed stress score (SSS) and summed rest score (SRS) were obtained by addition of the perfusion score for each segment. The summed difference score (SDS) was estimated by subtracting the SSS from the SRS, and this measure was used to define the extent and depth of reversibility.

Each myocardial segment was classified further as showing a fixed, reversible, or partially reversible (mixed) defect, or as normal. Segments were also assigned to 1 of the following territories: left anterior descending artery (segments 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, 14, and 17), left circumflex artery (segments 5, 6, 11, 12, and 16), and right coronary artery (segments 3, 4, 9, 10, and 15) (13). Each territory was characterized using the same segmental classification. Defect size was quantified as a percentage of the myocardium involved in the left anterior descending, left circumflex, or right coronary artery territory. Quantitative defect size, transient ischemic dilation (TID [stress/rest left ventricular volumes ratio \geq 1.22]) and lung thallium-201 uptake (lung/ heart counts ratio ≥ 0.45) were calculated using a commercially available program (Quantitative Perfusion SPECT [single-positron emission computed tomography], Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California).

Statistical analysis. Results were summarized as mean (\pm SD) or median (interquartile range). Histogram plots and the Shapiro-Wilk W test were used to test for normality. When this was the case, comparisons within the groups were performed using the *t* test for paired observations. Non-normally distributed data were analyzed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Comparison between groups was performed with the 2-sample *t* test. Categorical differences were examined with the chi-square test or with the McNemar test for paired observations. Pearson correlation was used to assess the variation in SDS according to serum caffeine concentration.

The primary outcome measures were SDS and segmental defect classification at baseline and after caffeine intake. A reduction in baseline SDS of at least 40% after caffeine was considered to be clinically relevant. A sample of 12 patients gives 90% power to detect such difference (2-sided significance at alpha = 0.05). Agreement for segmental defect classification between baseline and caffeine studies was examined to determine whether high-dose adenosine would provoke the same defect in the presence of caffeine compared with the defect at baseline. Because agreement is likely to be better than that expected by chance, the value in the null hypothesis was set at 0.40. A total of 308 myocardial segments gives 90% power to detect a kappa value of 0.70 (2-sided significance at alpha = 0.05), which is indicative of good agreement (14). Differences were considered statistically significant at p values <0.05 (2-sided). Analysis was performed using SPSS version 14.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois).

Results

Patient characteristics. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Both adenosine MPS procedures were completed at a median interval of 12 days (range 6 to 21 days). All patients were habitual caffeine consumers with a median daily consumption of 5 cups (range 1 to 10 cups) of tea or coffee, or both, which represents a median caffeine intake of 300 mg/day (range 60 to 600 mg/day). None of the patients had a history of hepatic or renal impairment.

Serum caffeine concentration. At baseline, caffeine was undetectable in 17 patients (serum caffeine concentration ≤ 0.1 mg/l) and ranged from 0.2 to 1.3 mg/l in 13 patients after abstention from caffeinated products for a median 18 h (range 12 to 41 h) (Table 2). Sixty minutes after ingestion of coffee, serum caffeine concentration increased significantly (Fig. 1).

Baseline. At baseline, adenosine stress provoked a significant increase in heart rate and rate pressure product in both the standard and high-dose adenosine groups, whereas there was no change in systolic or diastolic blood pressure (Table 3). There was no difference between groups for any of the hemodynamic measures (p > 0.05 for all comparisons).

Caffeine. Resting heart rate, blood pressure, and rate pressure product did not change significantly after caffeine intake (Table 3). Similarly, caffeine had no effect on the hemodynamic response to either standard or high-dose adenosine. No statistically significant difference was found between groups for any of the hemodynamic parameters (p > 0.05 for all comparisons).

Effect of Caffeine on Adenosine MPS

Standard adenosine. Mean SDS decreased by 65% (95% CI: 58% to 73%) after caffeine intake (12.0 ± 4.4 at baseline vs. 4.1 ± 2.1 after caffeine, p < 0.001). Individual SDS is shown in Figure 2. The mean difference in SSS and SDS

Table 1 Patient Characteristics by Stress Protocol

	All Patients	Standard Adenosine	High-Dose Adenosine	p Value
n	30	12	18	_
Age, yrs	65 ± 7	66 ± 6	64 ± 7	0.4
Weight, kg	82 ± 23	83 ± 32	81 ± 14	0.8
Body mass index, kg/m ²	28 ± 3	29 ± 4	27 ± 3	0.1
Hypertension, n (%)	15 (50)	8 (67)	7 (39)	0.1
Hypercholesterolemia, n (%)	20 (67)	7 (58)	13 (72)	0.4
Smoking, n (%)	12 (40)	4 (33)	8 (44)	0.7
Diabetes mellitus, n (%)	10 (33)	6 (50)	4 (22)	0.1
Symptoms, n (%)				
Chest pain	25 (83)	10 (83)	15 (83)	>0.9
Exertional dyspnea	21 (70)	10 (83)	11 (61)	0.2
Known CAD, n (%)	24 (80)	9 (75)	15 (83)	0.5
Previous MI, n (%)	14 (47)	4 (33)	10 (56)	0.2
Previous revascularization, n (%)				
PCI	10 (33)	5 (42)	5 (28)	0.4
CABG	10 (33)	3 (25)	7 (39)	0.4
Medication, n (%)				
Beta-blocker	20 (67)	7 (58)	13 (72)	0.4
Calcium antagonist	8 (27)	3 (25)	5 (28)	0.8
Nitrates	6 (20)	3 (25)	3 (17)	0.5
Nicorandil	9 (30)	4 (33)	5 (28)	0.7
Aspirin	24 (80)	10 (83)	14 (78)	0.7
Statin	19 (63)	7 (58)	12 (67)	0.6

Values are mean \pm SD where appropriate.

CABG = coronary artery bypass grafting; CAD = coronary artery disease; MI = myocardial infarction; PCI = percutaneous coronary intervention.

between baseline and caffeine studies was -7.9 ± 3.0 and -7.8 ± 3.2 , respectively (Fig. 3). Representative images are shown in Figure 4.

The number of fully or partially reversible myocardial segments detected per patient decreased significantly from 7.1 \pm 1.8 at baseline to 3.7 \pm 1.6 after caffeine intake (mean difference: 3.4, 95% CI: 2.4 to 4.5, p < 0.001). In addition, quantitative analysis showed a significant reduction in the median size of perfusion defect from 18% (3% to 38%) at baseline to 8% (0% to 22%) after caffeine (p < 0.01), with 9 patients demonstrating a multivessel disease distribution at baseline compared with 2 patients after caffeine intake (p = 0.02).

No statistically significant relationship was found between SDS changes from baseline to the caffeine study and serum caffeine concentration (r = -0.26, p = 0.4). With regard to nonperfusion markers of ischemia, TID was observed in 2 patients and increased thallium-201 lung uptake in 1 patient at baseline but not after coffee ingestion (Fig. 4).

High-dose adenosine. In contrast to the standard adenosine group, mean SDS did not change significantly after caffeine intake in the high-dose group (7.7 ± 4.0 at baseline vs. 7.8 ± 4.2 after caffeine, p = 0.7) (Fig. 2). The mean difference in SSS and SDS between baseline and caffeine studies was 0.2 ± 2.3 and 0.1 ± 2.4 , respectively, which was significantly smaller than the mean difference in SSS and SDS observed with standard adenosine (p < 0.001 in both cases) (Fig. 3).

There was no significant difference in the number of abnormal myocardial segments detected per patient at baseline and after caffeine intake (6.8 \pm 2.9 vs. 6.7 \pm 2.5, p = 0.8). The number of fully or partially reversible segments was also similar (6.0 \pm 2.4 at baseline vs. 5.4 \pm 2.2 after caffeine, p = 0.6). On a segmental basis, overall agreement for defect category between baseline and caffeine

Table 2	Serum Caffeine Concentration				
		Standard Adenosine $(n = 12)$	High-Dose Adenosine (n = 18)	p Value	
Baseline, m	ng/l	0.2 \pm 0.3 (0.0 to 0.8)	0.3 \pm 0.4 (0.0 to 1.3)	0.2	
Caffeine, m	g/I	6.2 \pm 2.6 (3.9 to 12.3)*	5.7 \pm 2.0 (2.9 to 9.6)*	0.5	
Difference b and base	between caffeine line, mg/l	$+5.9 \pm$ 2.7 (+3.2 to +12.3)	$+5.4 \pm 2.1$ (+2.0 to +9.3)	0.3	

Data presented as mean \pm SD (range). *p < 0.01 versus baseline.



studies was 84% (258 of 306 segments, kappa = 0.72, 95% CI: 0.65 to 0.79) (Table 4). On a vascular territory basis, agreement for defect category was 87% (47 of 54 territories, kappa = 0.81, 95% CI: 0.69 to 0.93). Regarding nonperfusion markers of ischemia, TID was present in 2 patients both at baseline and after caffeine intake. A case example is shown in Figure 5.

Side effects. At baseline, 26 of 30 (87%) patients experienced side effects during adenosine stress testing (Table 5). Of 47 side effects, 44 (94%) were graded as mild. None of the side effects was graded as severe. After caffeine intake, the total occurrence of side effects decreased by 71% in the standard adenosine group. The summed symptom score also decreased from 1.6 \pm 1.1 at baseline to 0.6 \pm 0.7 after caffeine (p = 0.02). In contrast, the frequency of side effects at baseline and after caffeine was similar in the high-dose group (Table 5). The summed symptom score was also similar (1.4 \pm 1.1 at baseline vs. 1.3 \pm 1.2 after caffeine, p > 0.9). There were no electrocardiographic changes attributable to either caffeine intake or a high dose of adenosine. No adverse events occurred, and no patient needed aminophylline, dose reduction, or early termination of the adenosine infusion either at baseline or after caffeine.

Discussion

The present study is the first to demonstrate that caffeine in coffee attenuates the magnitude of myocardial perfusion abnormality elicited by adenosine stress testing. According to the study, intake of caffeine before stress may result in significant underestimation of the extent of flow-limiting coronary disease by adenosine MPS, which has important implications for patient management. This observation strengthens the hypothesis that caffeine is an effective antagonist at the coronary adenosine receptors. The study also shows for the first time that the inhibitory effect of caffeine on adenosine-induced hyperemia can be surmounted by increasing the adenosine dose. These results all together provide further evidence of the competitive interaction between adenosine and caffeine and its potential impact on the efficacy of vasodilator stress.

Caffeine is the most widely consumed psychoactive drug, with a per capita consumption estimated at 280 mg/day in the U.S. and as high as 400 mg/day in the Scandinavian countries and in the United Kingdom (15). Coffee is the main source of caffeine worldwide. Caffeine content in a regular cup of coffee varies greatly depending on the variety of coffee bean and brewing method used (10,16), and thus caution must be exercised when relating the physiological effects of caffeine to coffee intake rather than to actual caffeine concentrations. In addition, caffeine levels in plasma after coffee ingestion can vary widely owing to interindividual differences in caffeine metabolism (9,17), which is the

Table 3	Hemodynamic Response to Adenosine Stress					
		Standard Adend	Standard Adenosine (n = 12) Hi		High-Dose Adenosine (n = 18)	
		Baseline 140 μg/kg/min*	Caffeine 140 μg/kg/min	Baseline 140 μg/kg/min	Caffeine 210 μ g/kg/min	
Rest						
HR, beats	/min	65 ± 12	65 ± 13	63 ± 9	65 ± 10	
SBP, mm Hg		$\textbf{146} \pm \textbf{18}$	$\textbf{141} \pm \textbf{16}$	$\textbf{143} \pm \textbf{18}$	$\textbf{144} \pm \textbf{19}$	
DBP, mm	Hg	83 ± 10	86 ± 8	83 ± 12	84 ± 11	
RPP, $ imes$ 10 ³ mm Hg \cdot min ⁻¹		$\textbf{9.5} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{9.2} \pm \textbf{2.0}$	$\textbf{9.1} \pm \textbf{1.9}$	$\textbf{9.3} \pm \textbf{2.2}$	
Stress						
HR, beats/min		91 ± 17 †	$\textbf{88} \pm \textbf{17} \textbf{\dagger}$	94 ± 14 †	97 ± 12 †	
SBP, mm Hg		$\textbf{152} \pm \textbf{20}$	$\textbf{152} \pm \textbf{16}$	$\textbf{149} \pm \textbf{18}$	$\textbf{143} \pm \textbf{20}$	
DBP, mm Hg		$\textbf{83} \pm \textbf{11}$	87 ± 12	82 ± 14	79 ± 11	
RPP, $ imes$ 10 ³ mm Hg \cdot min ⁻¹		$\textbf{13.8} \pm \textbf{3.2} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{13.3} \pm \textbf{2.5} \textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{14.0} \pm \textbf{2.5}\textbf{\dagger}$	$\textbf{13.8} \pm \textbf{2.7} \textbf{\dagger}$	
Peak watts, median (range)‡		75 (15 to 75)	75 (15 to 75)	75 (25 to 75)	75 (25 to 75)	

Values are mean \pm SD unless indicated otherwise. *Adenosine dose. †p < 0.01 versus rest values. ‡Patients unable to cycle (n = 2) performed isometric exercise with handgrip.

 $\mathsf{DBP}=\mathsf{diastolic}\ \mathsf{blood}\ \mathsf{pressure};\ \mathsf{HR}=\mathsf{heart}\ \mathsf{rate};\ \mathsf{RPP}=\mathsf{rate}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{pressure}\ \mathsf{product};\ \mathsf{SBP}=\mathsf{systolic}\ \mathsf{blood}\ \mathsf{pressure}.$



most likely explanation for the observed variability in serum caffeine concentrations despite standard brewing of the same coffee brand.

In line with recent observations (18), coffee ingestion had no effect on resting blood pressure or heart rate, probably because of caffeine habituation and development of tolerance (19). As indicated in previous experimental studies (20,21), our results suggest that caffeine habituation does not modify the potency of caffeine at the adenosine receptors.

The primary mechanism of action of caffeine in humans occurs through the blockade of adenosine receptors (2,22). Although caffeine interacts with the receptors at low concentrations (2,3), it is relatively less potent than other xanthines at the A_{2A} subtype, which is responsible for the coronary vasodilator effect of adenosine (2). Indeed, caffeine had no effect on myocardial blood flow heterogeneity induced by intravenous adenosine at plasma concentrations that were almost one-half the concentrations attained in the present study (7). Similar concentrations of caffeine did not modify the hyperemic response to intracoronary adenosine as measured by the fractional flow reserve in patients with angiographically significant coronary artery stenosis (8). Also, comparable caffeine levels do not appear to attenuate the peak hyperemic effect of selective A_{2A} adenosine receptor agonism (23).

By contrast, administration of a high dose of caffeine was effective at inhibiting selective A_{2A} -receptor-mediated coronary vasodilation in a canine model (24). The results of the present study extend this observation to the clinical setting and support the notion that at a dose commonly encountered in daily life, caffeine blocks the coronary A_{2A} receptors from adenosine, thereby attenuating its vasodilator action and potentially reducing the sensitivity of adenosine MPS for the detection of coronary stenosis. Caffeine also reduced





the frequency and severity of adenosine-related side effects, reflecting its nonselective action at the various adenosine receptors (2,3). The lack of a concentration-response effect of serum caffeine levels on the reversibility of perfusion abnormality in the present study was most likely the result of insufficient statistical power to detect such an effect.

The current study also demonstrates that, in the presence of inhibitory concentrations of caffeine, administration of 210 μ g/kg/min of adenosine elicits myocardial perfusion abnormality similar to that of unantagonized adenosine.

Table 4	Segmental Agreement Between Baseline and Caffeine Studies in the High-Dose Adenosine Group According to Type of Perfusion Abnormality $(n = 18)$				
		Caffeine, n (%)			
Baseline, n	ı (%)	Normal	Reversible	Mixed	Fixed
Normal		168 (55)	15 (5)	1(0)	0 (0)
Reversib	le	19 (6)	55 (18)	0 (0)	3 (1)
Mixed		0 (0)	1(0)	20 (7)	4 (1)
Fixed		0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (2)	15 (5)

Observed overall agreement 84% (258 of 306 segments)

This finding indicates that an increase in dose restores coronary responsiveness to adenosine and that, in most instances, a 50% increment would be sufficient to surmount the inhibitory effect of a relatively large intake of caffeine. Importantly, the use of a high dose of adenosine after coffee ingestion was well tolerated regardless of serum caffeine concentration.

It is not possible to determine from this study whether the observed changes of inducible perfusion abnormality are due to reduction of hyperemic perfusion or to increase in baseline perfusion. However, previous positron emission tomography studies have shown that caffeine does not increase resting perfusion (6,18); therefore, we can assume that caffeine-induced changes are due to a reduction in adenosine-induced hyperemia.

Finally, the study highlights the difficulties in standardizing the use of adenosine in patients who have consumed caffeine, and underscores the importance of screening for caffeine intake before adenosine MPS. According to our results, patients who consume caffeine within a few hours before the test could be considered for high-dose adenosine stress testing.



Study limitations. A potential limitation of the study is that the effect of caffeine on standard and high-dose adenosine was investigated in 2 separate groups of patients. Ideally, patients should have undergone both interventions

but that would have resulted in an unacceptably high radiation burden on the patient.

Detailed angiographic data were not available for all patients, and therefore it was not possible to assess the

Table 5	Side Effects During Adenosine Stress					
		Standard Adenosine ($n = 12$)		High-Dose Adenosine ($n = 18$)		
Side E	Effects	Baseline 140 μg/kg/min*	Caffeine 140 μg/kg/min	Baseline 140 μg/kg/min	Caffeine 210 μg/kg/min	
Any symptom		10 (83)	5 (42)‡	16 (89)	12 (67)	
Chest pain		8 (67)	2 (17)§	8 (44)	7 (39)	
Dyspnea		6 (50)	4 (33)	7 (39)	8 (44)	
Flushing		5 (42)	0	8 (44)	8 (44)	
Headache		1(8)	0	1(6)	0	
Dizziness		1 (8)	0	0	0	
Abdominal discomfort		0	0	0	1(6)	
Other		1 (8)	0	1(6)	1(6)	
Atrioventricular block		0	0	1(6)	1(6)	
Ischemic ECG changes†		0	0	1(6)	1(6)	

Data presented as n (%). *Adenosine infusion rate. †Horizontal or downsloping ST-segment depression >0.1 mV 80 ms after the J point. ‡p = 0.06 versus baseline. §p < 0.05 versus baseline.

ECG = electrocardiogram.

impact of caffeine intake on the diagnostic accuracy of adenosine MPS. Nonetheless, our results strongly suggest that moderate coffee ingestion before stress testing would significantly reduce the sensitivity of adenosine MPS for the detection of coronary stenosis.

We studied the effect of caffeine on adenosine combined with exercise rather than on adenosine only. The fact that adenosine stress testing is increasingly performed with exercise is important, because results of studies on adenosine only may not be easily extrapolated to the combined protocol. The addition of exercise could have influenced the results, although the paired design minimizes the potential confounding effect. Exercise might have blunted the peripheral effects of caffeine and adenosine, but it is unlikely that it would have potentiated caffeine antagonism. If anything, exercise might partly have offset the antagonism in some patients by eliciting active hyperemia.

Only males were recruited, and therefore our results may not be transferable to females. In women, ovarian hormones and their synthetic analogues can influence the metabolism and gastrointestinal absorption of caffeine, thus potentially altering both peak and time to peak levels of caffeine in plasma (25). This potential confounding factor warrants further investigation in female populations.

Conclusions

The present study demonstrates the competitive antagonism between adenosine and caffeine, and the impact of such interaction on myocardial perfusion imaging. Because of the relatively low affinity of adenosine receptors for caffeine, the effect of this xanthine is highly dose dependent. Moderate coffee intake attenuates adenosine-induced vasodilation in a surmountable manner, and thus an increase in adenosine dose may represent an alternative approach to the management of patients who consume caffeine before adenosine stress testing. The potential benefit of such an intervention provides a rationale to further investigate its feasibility and safety in routine clinical practice.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their appreciation to the personnel of the Royal Brompton Biochemistry Department for their assistance in the analysis of blood samples for caffeine measurement, and to Michael Roughton for his valuable assistance with statistical data analysis.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Eliana Reyes, Nuclear Medicine Department, Royal Brompton Hospital, Sydney Street, London SW3 6NP, United Kingdom. E-mail: e.reyes@rbht. nhs.uk.

REFERENCES

 Wilson RF, Wyche K, Christensen BV, Zimmer S, Laxson DD. Effects of adenosine on human coronary arterial circulation. Circulation 1990;82:1595–606.

- Fredholm BB, Irenius E, Kull B, Schulte G. Comparison of the potency of adenosine as an agonist at human adenosine receptors expressed in Chinese hamster ovary cells. Biochem Pharmacol 2001;61:443–8.
- Kull B, Arslan G, Nilsson C, et al. Differences in the order of potency for agonists but not antagonists at human and rat adenosine A2A receptors. Biochem Pharmacol 1999;57:65–75.
- Fredholm BB, Ijzerman AP, Jacobson KA, Klotz KN, Linden J. International Union of Pharmacology. XXV. Nomenclature and classification of adenosine receptors. Pharmacol Rev 2001;53:527–52.
- Smits P, Corstens FH, Aengevaeren WR, Wackers FJ, Thien T. False-negative dipyridamole-thallium-201 myocardial imaging after caffeine infusion. J Nucl Med 1991;32:1538-41.
- Bottcher M, Czernin J, Sun KT, Phelps ME, Schelbert HR. Effect of caffeine on myocardial blood flow at rest and during pharmacological vasodilation. J Nucl Med 1995;36:2016–21.
- Zoghbi GJ, Htay T, Aqel R, Blackmon L, Heo J, Iskandrian AE. Effect of caffeine on ischemia detection by adenosine single-photon emission computed tomography perfusion imaging. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47:2296–302.
- Aqel RA, Zoghbi GJ, Trimm JR, Baldwin SA, Iskandrian AE. Effect of caffeine administered intravenously on intracoronary-administered adenosine-induced coronary hemodynamics in patients with coronary artery disease. Am J Cardiol 2004;93:343–6.
- 9. Fredholm BB. Astra Award Lecture. Adenosine, adenosine receptors and the actions of caffeine. Pharmacol Toxicol 1995;76:93-101.
- McCusker RR, Goldberger BA, Cone EJ. Caffeine content of specialty coffees. J Anal Toxicol 2003;27:520–2.
- McCusker RR, Goldberger BA, Cone EJ. Caffeine content of energy drinks, carbonated sodas, and other beverages. J Anal Toxicol 2006; 30:112–4.
- 12. Smits P, Boekema P, De Abreu R, Thien T, van't Laar A. Evidence for an antagonism between caffeine and adenosine in the human cardiovascular system. J Cardiovasc Pharmacol 1987;10:136-43.
- Cerqueira MD, Weissman NJ, Dilsizian V, et al. Standardized myocardial segmentation and nomenclature for tomographic imaging of the heart: a statement for healthcare professionals from the Cardiac Imaging Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology of the American Heart Association. Circulation 2002;105:539–42.
- Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33:159–74.
- Barone JJ, Roberts HR. Caffeine consumption. Food Chem Toxicol 1996;34:119–29.
- McCusker RR, Fuchrlein B, Goldberger BA, Gold MS, Cone EJ. Caffeine content of decaffeinated coffee. J Anal Toxicol 2006;30:611–3.
- Cornelis MC, El-Sohemy A, Kabagambe EK, Campos H. Coffee, CYP1A2 genotype, and risk of myocardial infarction. JAMA 2006; 295:1135-41.
- Namdar M, Koepfli P, Grathwohl R, et al. Caffeine decreases exercise-induced myocardial flow reserve. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47: 405–10.
- Corti R, Binggeli C, Sudano I, et al. Coffee acutely increases sympathetic nerve activity and blood pressure independently of caffeine content: role of habitual versus nonhabitual drinking. Circulation 2002;106:2935–40.
- Varani K, Portaluppi F, Gessi S, et al. Dose and time effects of caffeine intake on human platelet adenosine A2A receptors: functional and biochemical aspects. Circulation 2000;102:285–9.
- Holtzman SG, Mante S, Minneman KP. Role of adenosine receptors in caffeine tolerance. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 1991;256:62–8.
- 22. Smits P, Schouten J, Thien T. Cardiovascular effects of two xanthines and the relation to adenosine antagonism. Clin Pharmacol Ther 1989;45:593–9.
- 23. Gaemperli O, Schepis T, Koepfli P, et al. Interaction of caffeine with regadenoson-induced hyperemic myocardial blood flow as measured by positron emission tomography: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled crossover trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:328–9.
- Riou LM, Ruiz M, Rieger JM, et al. Influence of propranolol, enalaprilat, verapamil, and caffeine on adenosine A(2A)-receptormediated coronary vasodilation. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:1687–94.
- 25. Lane D. Menstrual cycle effects on caffeine elimination in the human female. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 1992;43:543-6.

Key Words: caffeine • adenosine • myocardial perfusion scintigraphy • coronary artery disease.