

## ALGORITHMS FOR CLASSIC DUAL TRIGONOMETRIC EQUATIONS†

ROBERT B. KELMAN, JOHNATHAN P. MAHEFFY and J. TIMOTHY SIMPSON  
Department of Computer Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, U.S.A.

(Received February, 1977)

**Abstract**—The singular integral solutions to certain classic dual trigonometric equations provided by the formulas of Tranter and Bablojan are reduced to algorithms. A preliminary Fourier analysis is made of the data, and computational rules are derived by the systematic reduction of the singular integrals for each ordinary Fourier component of the data. Extensive numerical testing provides evidence for the correctness of both the original solutions and the resulting algorithms. The listing of programs in ANSI FORTRAN to implement the algorithms is appended.

### 1. BACKGROUND

We develop algorithms for the solution of the following classic dual trigonometric equations:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})x = f(x), \quad 0 < x < c \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})x = g(x), \quad c < x < \pi \quad (1.1b)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x = f(x), \quad 0 < x < c \quad (1.2a)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x = g(x), \quad c < x < \pi \quad (1.2b)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{A_n}{n} \sin nx = f(x), \quad 0 < x < c \quad (1.3a)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin nx = g(x), \quad c < x < \pi \quad (1.3b)$$

where  $c$  is a fixed point and  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  are given functions.

Our starting point is an important set of formulas, based on double singular integrals, developed by Bablojan[1] and Tranter[2] for the solution of the above equations. The original analysis was given in[3] and subsequently simplified[1, 2, 4]. Other singular integral solutions are found in[5, 6]. The above equations are written in the canonical form described in[7]. In[2] solutions were not derived for the case  $g \neq 0$  in (1.1b) and  $f \neq 0$  in (1.2a) whereas in [1] solutions were not derived for (1.3a, b). Combining the results we have available singular integral solutions for all the equations (1.1a, b), (1.2a, b), (1.3a, b). The results here fulfill in part the suggestion made in [8] that a preliminary ordinary Fourier analysis would be the key to converting singular integral solutions into algorithms. (Naturally, the algorithmic resolution of ordinary Fourier analysis lies beyond the scope of this paper.)

These classic dual trigonometric equations occur in solving mixed boundary value problems in rectangular domains in the  $x$ - $y$  plane [9, p. 150, 14, 20] and represent one of the simplest examples of dual orthogonality. Consequently, they have been studied in many investigations[8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16, 18] and have found several applications especially in mixed boundary value problems in mechanical engineering[1, 21-23, 44] and are closely related to more general type dual series equations which occur in heat transfer theory, fracture mechanics, and wave guide design, e.g. [21, 24-26]. Moreover, because of the simple form of the dual orthogonal problem represented by the above equations, their solutions in the form of singular integrals have served as archetypal closed form solutions to dual Sturm-Liouville

†A copy of the computer code on punched cards or magnetic tape is available at cost from the first author.

problems providing the model for comparison and development of other solutions[26, 28, 29, 34, 44]. Also, because of their relation to canonical mixed boundary value problems in the plane, the harmonic functions associated with these dual series have served as bench marks against which to test other numerical methods of solving mixed boundary value problems[12, 17, 27, 34–38].

However, the fact that the solutions are expressed as double singular integrals has served as a barrier to automated numerical evaluation of the formulas and to their rigorous justification. These considerations make the present investigation timely for in it we reduce each singular integral to an algorithm which is implemented in a computer program. By algorithm we mean that given  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  each coefficient  $A_n$  can be computed to specified accuracy by a precisely defined, finite sequence of rules whose execution involves only a finite number of elementary arithmetic operations none of which is division by zero.

On the basis of extensive numerical testing we conclude that Bablojan and Tranter's formulas[1, 2] are correct for a wide variety of input functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$ . However, from such testing it is not possible to define the class of functions  $f(x)$  and  $g(x)$  for which the resulting solutions are rigorously valid.

In Section 2 we list notation and formulas needed for describing the singular integral solutions. For completeness and ease of reference the singular integral solutions to (1.1a,b), (1.2a, b) and (1.3a, b) are listed in Section 3, and the correspondence between these equations in canonical form and those found in[1] and[2] is described. The algorithms are given in Section 4. The use of the software package and its technical description, as well as the results of numerical tests, are given in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the derivation of the formulas of Section 2, and in Section 7 the algorithms of Section 4 are derived. In the Appendix is listed the FORTRAN software which implements the algorithms.

2. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS AND FORMULAS FOR SUMS AND INTEGRALS

In so far as practicable we maintain notational consistency with[8].  $k$  and  $n$  will always be used to denote nonnegative integers. We set  $\beta_n = n(n+1)/(2n+1)$ , and  $\delta_{kn}$  is the Kronecker delta. Further  $\gamma = \cos c$ ,  $\zeta = \sin c$ ,  $\phi = \cos(c/2)$ ,  $\psi = \sin(c/2)$ . By  $P_\nu^\mu$  we denote the Legendre function of the first kind of degree  $\nu$  and order  $\mu$ . We define  $\mathcal{P}_n$  by

$\mathcal{P}_0(x) = 1 + x$  and  $\mathcal{P}_n(x) = P_{n+1}(x) - P_{n-1}(x)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Note that  $\mathcal{P}_n = (1-x^2)^{1/2} P_n^1 / \beta_n$  [30, p. 171]. Let  $N_{00}(\gamma) = 0$ , and for other values of  $\kappa$  and  $\nu$  we define three definite integrals  $R_{\kappa\nu}$ ,  $M_{\kappa\nu}$ , and  $N_{\kappa\nu}$  by

$$R_{\kappa\nu}(\gamma) = \int_{-1}^{\gamma} P_{\kappa}(x)P_{\nu}(x) dx, \quad M_{\kappa\nu}(\gamma) = \int_{-1}^{\gamma} \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(x)\mathcal{P}_{\nu}(x)}{1-x^2} dx,$$

$$N_{\kappa\nu}(\gamma) = \int_{\gamma}^1 \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(x)\mathcal{P}_{\nu}(x)}{1-x^2} dx.$$

$F(\theta, \eta)$ ,  $K(\theta)$  and  $E(\theta)$  denote respectively the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind, the complete elliptic integral of the first kind and the complete elliptic integral of the second kind[30]. The derivation of the following ten formulas is discussed in Section 6. In these formulas any Legendre polynomial of negative degree is taken as zero.

$$R_{kn}(\gamma) = \frac{\beta_n \mathcal{P}_n(\gamma) P_k(\gamma) - \beta_k \mathcal{P}_k(\gamma) P_n(\gamma)}{n(n+1) - k(k+1)} \quad k, n = 0, 1, \dots k \neq n, \tag{2.1}$$

$$R_{kk}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2k+1} \left[ 1 + \gamma(P_k(\gamma))^2 - \frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1} P_k(\gamma)P_{k-1}(\gamma) \right. \\ \left. + 2 \left\{ \frac{P_{k-1}(\gamma)P_{k-2}(\gamma)}{(2k-1)(2k-3)} + \frac{P_{k-2}(\gamma)P_{k-3}(\gamma)}{(2k-3)(2k-5)} + \dots + \frac{P_1(\gamma)P_0(\gamma)}{3 \cdot 1} \right\} \right] \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.2}$$

$$R_{-1/2, k}(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\pi(k + \frac{1}{2})^2} \{ (\phi^2 + k\gamma)P_k(\gamma) - kP_{k-1}(\gamma) \} K(\psi) - P_k(\gamma)E(\psi) + (-1)^k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.3}$$

$$M_{00}(\gamma) = -\left[1 + \gamma + 2 \log \left(\frac{1-\gamma}{2}\right)\right], \tag{2.4}$$

$$M_{kn}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{\beta_k} [(2n + 1)R_{kn}(\gamma) - P_k(\gamma)\mathcal{P}_n(\gamma)] \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.5}$$

$$N_{kn}(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\beta_n} (\delta_{kn} - \delta_{0k}) - M_{kn}(\gamma) \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{2.6}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(\gamma)}{2n + 1} = \frac{1}{2} K(\phi). \tag{2.7}$$

$$\int_c^{\pi} K\left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right) \sin \theta \, d\theta = 4(E(\phi) - \psi^2 K(\phi)). \tag{2.8}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n N_{kn}(\gamma)}{2n + 1} = \frac{1}{2} \{\mathcal{P}_k(\gamma)K(\phi) + (-1)^k \pi(k + \frac{1}{2})R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}(-\gamma)\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{0n}(\gamma)}{2n + 1} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left(\frac{8}{\pi} - R_{-\frac{1}{2},0}(-\gamma)\right). \tag{2.10}$$

3. SOLUTIONS AS SINGULAR INTEGRALS

To convert the dual equations of Section 1 into the forms given in [1] and [2] requires only simple transformations of the independent variable and  $A_n$  [9, p. 150]. The correspondence between the equations here and those given in [2] is this: (1.1a,b) here corresponds to the dual eqn (8) and (9) in [2]; (1.2a,b) corresponds to the dual eqn (1) and (2); (1.3a,b) corresponds to the union of the dual eqn (19) and (20) and the dual eqn (26) and (27). The dual equations of concern in [1] are (18) and (23). Note that in Bablojan's notation (18) in [1] with  $p = -1$  and (23) with  $p = 1$  both correspond to (1.2a,b) here. Dual eqn (18) with  $p = 1$  and dual eqn (23) with  $p = -1$  correspond to (1.1a,b) here.

By using an observation of Gordon [31] we can simplify the analysis by assuming  $g \equiv 0$  without loss of generality. Let  $G(x)$  be defined by  $G = g$  on  $c < x < \pi$ , whereas on  $0 < x < c$  let  $G(x)$  be defined arbitrarily (suggestions for doing this conveniently are given in Section 4). Let  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and  $F(x)$  be defined by

$$B_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} G(x) \cos \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \, dx \tag{3.1}$$

$$F(x) = f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \cos \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x, \quad 0 < x < c, \tag{3.2}$$

$$A_n = C_n - B_n. \tag{3.3}$$

Then  $\{C_n\}$  satisfies the dual trigonometric equation

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \cos \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x = F(x), \quad 0 < x < c, \tag{3.4a}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \cos \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x = 0, \quad c < x < \pi, \tag{3.4b}$$

if, and only if,  $\{A_n\}$  satisfies (1.1a,b).

If we now define  $B_n$  and  $F(x)$  by

$$B_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} G(x) \sin \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x \, dx \tag{3.5}$$

$$F(x) = f(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \sin \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)x, \quad 0 < x < c, \tag{3.6}$$

then  $\{A_n\}$  satisfies the dual trigonometric eqn (1.2a,b) if, and only if,  $\{C_n\}$  satisfies

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x = F(x), \quad 0 < x < c, \tag{3.7a}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n \sin(n + \frac{1}{2})x = 0, \quad c < x < \pi. \tag{3.7b}$$

Finally, let  $\{A_n\}$  satisfy the dual eqn (1.3a,b) and define  $B_n$  and  $F(x)$  by

$$B_n = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^{\pi} G(x) \sin nx \, dx \tag{3.8}$$

$$F(x) = f(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{n} \sin nx, \quad 0 < x < c. \tag{3.9}$$

In this case  $\{C_n\}$  satisfies the dual equation

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n} \sin nx = F(x), \quad 0 < x < c, \tag{3.10a}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_n \sin nx = 0, \quad c < x < \pi. \tag{3.10b}$$

The solution to the dual eqn (3.4a,b) based on formula (18) in[2] or formula (25) in[1] is given by

$$C_n = C_0 P_n(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c H(\theta) \frac{d}{d\theta} (P_n(\cos \theta)) \, d\theta, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$r(x, \theta) = (\cos x - \cos \theta)^{1/2}$$

$$H(\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta} \frac{dF(x)}{dx} \cdot \frac{\sin x}{r(x, \theta)} \, dx, \quad 0 < \theta < c, \tag{3.12}$$

and  $C_0$  is found by substituting into (3.4a).

The solution to the dual eqn (3.7a,b) based on (22) in[1] is

$$C_n = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^c J(\theta) P_n(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$J(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta} \frac{F'(x)}{r(x, \theta)} \, dx, \quad 0 < \theta < c. \tag{3.14}$$

The solution to the dual eqn (3.9a,b) based on (30) and (31) in[2] is

$$C_1 = \int_0^c I(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \tag{3.15}$$

$$C_n = -C_{n-1} + (2n - 1) \int_0^c I(\theta) P_{n-1}(\cos \theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$I(\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^{\theta} \frac{dF(x)}{dx} \cdot \frac{\cos(x/2)}{r(x, \theta)} \, dx, \quad 0 < \theta < c. \tag{3.17}$$

4. ALGORITHMS

In developing the algorithms we perform an ordinary Fourier decomposition of  $F(x)$  and find the algorithm for each ordinary Fourier component. Combining this with (3.1) and (3.3) dual Fourier analysis is made to depend upon ordinary Fourier decomposition. In other words, one cannot hope that dual Fourier decomposition is simpler than ordinary Fourier decomposition so that one seeks to make dual Fourier analysis depend upon ordinary Fourier analysis as simply as possible. Since  $F$  is defined only over part of the interval  $0 < x < \pi$ , there are infinitely many ways in which to obtain an ordinary Fourier decomposition representing  $F$  on  $0 < x < c$  in terms of functions orthogonal on  $0 < x < \pi$ . Naturally, one seeks the decomposition so that the ordinary Fourier components decrease rapidly. This is achieved by introducing a function  $\Phi(x)$  such that  $\Phi = F$  on  $0 < x < c$  and  $\Phi$  is defined on  $c < x < \pi$  in such a way that  $\Phi$  is as smooth as  $F$ , and  $\Phi$  and a specified number of its derivatives are zero at  $x = \pi$ . Similar remarks hold for constructing  $G(x)$ . Further consideration of this point is not warranted here since it lies in the domain of ordinary Fourier analysis and can be found in the literature, e.g. [32, 33].

Suppose, then, that  $\Phi$  is defined by  $\Phi = F$  on  $0 < x < c$  and  $\Phi = h$  on  $c < x < \pi$  where  $h$  is a function chosen in accordance with the remarks above. Then

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x \tag{4.1}$$

$$\lambda_k = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^c f(x) \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x \, dx + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_c^{\pi} h(x) \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x \, dx. \tag{4.2}$$

Consider the dual series problem given by (3.4b) and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n + \frac{1}{2}} \cos(n + \frac{1}{2})x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda_k \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x, \quad 0 < x < c. \tag{4.3}$$

Clearly  $\{C_n\}$  is a solution of the dual series eqn (3.4a,b) if, and only if, it is a solution to the dual series eqn (4.3, 3.4b). This suggests solving (3.4a,b) separately for each ordinary Fourier component, i.e. when  $F$  is given by

$$F(x) = \cos(k + \frac{1}{2})x, \quad 0 < x < c. \tag{4.4}$$

In so doing we obtain the following algorithm. For the case  $k = 0$  the solution to (3.4a,b) is

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{2K(\phi)} \left[ \psi^2 K(\phi) + 2 - \frac{\pi}{4} R_{-\frac{1}{2},0}(-\gamma) \right] \tag{4.5}$$

$$C_n = C_0 P_n(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \left( \psi^2 P_n(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} R_{0n}(\gamma) \right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.6}$$

For the case  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , the solution to (3.4a,b) is

$$C_0 = \frac{1}{2K(\phi)} \{ 2 - (k + \frac{1}{2}) [\mathcal{P}_k(\gamma) K(\phi) + (-1)^k \pi (k + \frac{1}{2}) R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}(-\gamma)] \} \tag{4.7}$$

$$C_n = C_0 P_n(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} (k + \frac{1}{2}) \beta_n N_{kn}(\gamma), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \tag{4.8}$$

For the dual eqn (3.7a,b) with  $F$  given by

$$F(x) = \sin(k + \frac{1}{2})x \tag{4.9}$$

the algorithm is

$$C_n = (k + \frac{1}{2})(n + \frac{1}{2}) \left[ \frac{\delta_{kn}}{n + \frac{1}{2}} - R_{kn}(\gamma) \right], \quad k, n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{4.10}$$

For the dual eqn (3.10a,b) with  $F$  given by

$$F(x) = \sin kx \quad (4.11)$$

the algorithm is

$$C_1 = \frac{-k}{2} (R_{0k}(\gamma) + R_{k-1,0}(\gamma) - 2\delta_{0,k-1}), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.12)$$

$$C_{n+1} = -C_n + k[\delta_{kn} + \delta_{k-1,n} - (n + \frac{1}{2})\{R_{kn}(\gamma) + R_{k-1,n}(\gamma)\}], \quad k, n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.13)$$

Let us examine the solution to (3.4a,b) given by (4.3) and (4.6) or (4.7) and (4.8) and consider the determination of a coefficient  $C_n$  to specified accuracy. This explicitly involves the execution of 16 or less elementary arithmetic operations. Next it requires the evaluation of the first  $n + 1$  Legendre polynomials at  $\gamma$ . These were computed by setting  $P_0(\gamma) = 1$ ,  $P_1(\gamma) = \gamma$  and using the recursion relation

$$P_{n+1}(\gamma) = \frac{1}{n+1} [(2n+1)\gamma P_n(\gamma) - nP_{n-1}(\gamma)]. \quad (4.14)$$

Beyond this finite number of rational operations two evaluations each of the sine, cosine, logarithm, and the first two complete elliptic integrals are required. There are several algorithms available for computing the trigonometric and logarithmic functions [39, 40]. For the evaluation of the elliptic integrals we used the Chebyshev type algorithms of Cody [41]. It follows, therefore, that formulas (3.1), (3.3) and (4.5)–(4.8) constitute an algorithm in the sense enumerated above for computing  $\{A_n\}$  the solution to (1.1a,b). Similar remarks are valid for (1.2a,b) and (1.3a,b).

## 5. SOFTWARE USAGE AND COMPUTER TESTS

The software package is used by the statement

```
CALL BBLTRN(KASE,NB TRMS,K,SMALL C,C0,CN,IERR,AP)
```

(i) KASE (input) has allowed values 1, 2, or 3. If KASE = 1, the dual eqn (3.4a,b) is solved with  $F$  given by (4.4). If KASE = 2, the dual eqn (3.7a,b) is solved with  $F$  given by (4.9). If KASE = 3, the dual eqn (3.10a,b) is solved with  $F$  given by (4.11).

(ii) NB TRMS (input) denotes the number of Fourier coefficients to be computed, i.e.  $\{C_n: n = 0, 1, \dots, \text{NB TRMS}\}$  is calculated. For KASE = 3, BBLTRN returns  $C_0$  with the value zero. NB TRMS must be nonnegative.

(iii) K (input) corresponds to  $k$  on the right hand sides of (4.4), (4.9), and (4.11).  $K$  must be nonnegative.

(iv) SMALL C (input) corresponds to the breakpoint  $c$ . It must satisfy  $0 \leq \text{SMALL C} \leq \pi$ .

(v) C0 (output) is the first Fourier coefficient  $C_0$ .

(vi) CN (output) is a one-dimensional array of the remaining Fourier coefficients, viz. CN(N) corresponds to  $C_N$ .

(vii) IERR (output) is an error parameter. IERR = 0 is the first executable statement in BBLTRN. If an error in the input data is discovered, IERR is incremented as shown in Table 1 and control is returned to the calling unit without the coefficients being calculated. If no errors are discovered, IERR retains the value zero. The user should test IERR immediately upon returning from BBLTRN, since this is the only means of detecting an error in the input data.

(viii) AP is a one-dimensional array used for work space. It should be dimensioned to 4 greater than the larger of NB TRMS and K.

We define a degenerate condition as one which implies that all the Fourier coefficients are zero. Tests are made for three such conditions. If at least one of them is true, C0 and CN(N),  $N = 1, 2, \dots, \text{NB TRMS}$ , are set equal to zero and a return is executed. If all three degeneracy checks are negative, the program evaluates C0 and CN by implementing the algorithms. The three conditions are: (i)  $c = 0$ ; (ii) KASE = 3 and  $k = 0$ ; (iii)  $\psi^2 = 0$  (which can result from underflow even if  $c$  is positive).

Table 1. The input parameters to SUBROUTINE BBLTRN are listed in column 1. If an error is discovered for a given parameter, IERR is incremented by the corresponding value in column 2.

Input Parameters	IERR Increment
KASE	1
NB TRMS	2
K	4
SMALL C	8

Test cases were run for all three dual equations as follows:  $c = 0.2\pi$ ,  $c = 0.5\pi$  and  $c = 0.75\pi$  and  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8$ , and 16 with NB TRMS = 200;  $c = 0.01\pi$ ,  $0.02\pi$ ,  $0.98\pi$  and  $0.99\pi$ , and  $k = 0, 3$ , and 11 with NB TRMS = 128. In all cases agreement could be considered close between the series approximations using 129 or 201 terms and the right hand sides of the dual equations. Pointwise errors were of the order  $10^{-4}$  over  $0 < x < c$  and of the order  $10^{-2}$  over  $c < x < \pi$ . In regards to these latter values, one should note that the second series in each pair of dual equations had maximum absolute values greater than 10 and often 100 when evaluated over  $0 < x < c$ . As the number of terms in the series approximations was increased, say from 8 to NB TRMS, consistent improvements in the approximations were observed. As expected, there was somewhat greater deviation within a distance of 0.02 on either side of  $c$ . We take these results as empirical evidence for the correctness of Bablojan and Tranter's formulas.

In the range of NB TRMS between 0 and 200 it took about 1 millisecond to compute a given Fourier coefficient. If NB TRMS was small, fewer computations were required per coefficient, but this was offset by the higher overhead costs per coefficient. These results depend on  $k$  and are averaged over the values of  $k$  given above.

Estimates of round-off error indicate that for NB TRMS and  $k$  less than 200 and  $c \geq 0.01\pi$ , the coefficients are computed with relative error less than  $10^{-8}$ . As  $c$  approaches zero, all the coefficients approach zero and though the absolute error with which they are computed remains less than  $10^{-8}$ , the relative error increases. However, checking the computer output by a hand calculation indicates that for  $c$  as small as  $0.00001\pi$ , the relative error is smaller than  $10^{-5}$ .

The technical specifications are as follows.

Special condition. None.

Common blocks. /BT/, length 5.

Precision. Single.

I/O. None.

Portability. American National Standards Institute FORTRAN[45]. In units BBLTRN, ELLIP E and ELLIP K there are machine dependent constants initialized in DATA statements.

Space required.  $890_{10}$ .

Required resident routines. None.

Specifications. If NB TRMS  $\geq 1$ , the calling unit must specify CN to be a one-dimensional array of length at least NB TRMS. The calling unit must specify AP to be a one-dimensional array of length at least  $4 + \text{MAX0}(\text{NB TRMS}, K)$ .

The estimations of round-off error, requirements for space, and times for execution listed above were determined on the CDC 6400 using the SCOPE 3.3 operating system and the FTN compiler with optimization level 1[46, 47].

## 6. DERIVATION OF FORMULAS FOR SUMS AND INTEGRALS

The formulas (2.1) and (2.2) for  $R_{kn}$  and formulas (2.4) and (2.5) for  $M_{kn}$  are given in[8], while formula (2.6) for  $N_{kn}$  was given in[42]. Let us establish formula (2.3) for  $R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}$  for  $k \neq 0$  (the derivation for  $k = 0$  is similar). Using the definition for  $R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}$ , integrating by parts twice, and

employing Legendre's differential equation

$$P_\nu(x) = \frac{-1}{\nu(\nu+1)} \frac{d}{dx} \left[ (1-x^2) \frac{d}{dx} (P_\nu(x)) \right] \quad (6.1)$$

to replace first  $P_k$  and then  $P_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ , we obtain

$$(k + \frac{1}{2})^2 R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}(\gamma) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} [(1-x^2)(P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x)P'_k(x) - P'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x)P_k(x))]_\gamma^{-1+\epsilon}.$$

Remembering that [30, p. 171]

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d}{dx} (P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x)) = \frac{1}{2} [xP_{-\frac{1}{2}}(x) - P_{\frac{1}{2}}(x)] \quad (6.2)$$

we find

$$\begin{aligned} (k + \frac{1}{2})^2 R_{-\frac{1}{2},k}(\gamma) &= \zeta^2 [P'_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)P_k(\gamma) - P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma)P'_k(\gamma)] \\ &+ \frac{(-1)^k}{2} \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow +0} [P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(-1+\epsilon) + P_{\frac{1}{2}}(-1+\epsilon)]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

The functions  $P_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$  are expressible as elliptic integrals [43, p. 337], *viz.*,

$$P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\pi} K(\psi) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\frac{1}{2}}(\gamma) = \frac{2}{\pi} (2E(\psi) - K(\psi)). \quad (6.4)$$

Substituting from (6.4) into (6.3) combined with some algebra yields the desired formula (2.3).

Next we turn to the summation formula (2.7). From [30, p. 232]

$$2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n P_n(\cos \theta)}{2n+1} x^{2n+1} = F\left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}, \eta\right)$$

where  $x = \tan(\eta/2)$ . We obtain the summation formula (2.7) by setting  $\eta = \pi/2$  and  $\theta = \pi - c$ . Likewise, formula (2.8) follows readily from formula (610.01) in [48].

Next we establish the summation formula (2.9). Now

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n N_{kn}(\gamma)}{2n+1} = - \int_{\gamma}^1 \left[ \mathcal{P}_k(\mu) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n+1} \frac{dP_n(\mu)}{d\mu} \right] d\mu.$$

Using (2.7) we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n N_{kn}(\gamma)}{2n+1} = - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\gamma}^1 \mathcal{P}_k(\mu) \frac{d}{d\mu} \left( K\left(\left[\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right]^{1/2}\right) \right) d\mu$$

One now integrates by parts and uses (6.4) and

$$P'_n(x) = -(\beta_n \mathcal{P}_n(x))/(1-x^2). \quad (6.5)$$

A little algebra then gives the desired result.

Finally, we derive formula (2.10). Let  $Z$  denote the series on the left hand side of (2.10). From the definition of  $R_{0n}$  we see that

$$Z = \int_{-1}^{\gamma} \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(\mu)}{2n+1} \right) d\mu.$$

Therefore from (2.7)

$$Z = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{\gamma} K\left(\left[\frac{1+\mu}{2}\right]^{1/2}\right) d\mu.$$



From (6.4) the integrand above is  $\pi P_{-\frac{1}{2}}(-\mu)/2$ . Thus we see that

$$Z = \frac{\pi}{4} [R_{-\frac{1}{2},0}(1) - R_{-\frac{1}{2},0}(-\gamma)].$$

Using (2.8) to evaluate  $R_{-\frac{1}{2},0}(1)$ , we obtain formula (2.10).

7. DERIVATION OF ALGORITHMS

We start with the derivation of formulas (4.5)–(4.8). Let us denote by  $H_k(\theta)$  the value of  $H(\theta)$  when  $F(x)$  is given by (4.4). Using Mehler’s representation for the Legendre polynomials [30, p. 235], viz.

$$P_i(\cos \theta) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_0^\theta \frac{\cos(i + \frac{1}{2})x}{r(x, \theta)} dx = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\pi} \int_\theta^\pi \frac{\sin(i + \frac{1}{2})x}{r(\theta, x)} dx, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots,$$

we see (3.12) implies that

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\cos \theta - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad H_k = (k + \frac{1}{2})\mathcal{P}_k(\cos \theta), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{7.1}$$

Substituting into (3.11) we obtain

$$C_n = C_0 P_n(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^c \frac{d}{d\theta} (P_n(\cos \theta)) (\cos \theta - 1) d\theta, \quad k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad n = 0, 1, \dots, \tag{7.2}$$

$$C_n = C_0 P_n(\gamma) + (k + \frac{1}{2}) \int_0^c \frac{d}{d\theta} (P_n(\cos \theta)) \mathcal{P}_k(\cos \theta) d\theta, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \tag{7.3}$$

Integrating by parts we see that

$$\int_0^c \frac{d}{d\theta} (P_n(\cos \theta)) (\cos \theta - 1) d\theta = -(1 - \gamma)P_n(\gamma) - R_{0n}(\gamma).$$

This relation and (7.2) give (4.6). Using (6.5) we see that

$$\int_0^c \frac{d}{d\theta} (P_n(\cos \theta)) \mathcal{P}_k(\cos \theta) d\theta = -\beta_n N_{kn}.$$

Now we turn to the question of obtaining  $C_0$ . We substitute from (4.6) into (3.4a) and evaluate at  $x = 0$ . This gives for  $k = 0$

$$\left(C_0 - \frac{\psi^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_n(\gamma)}{2n+1} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{R_{0n}(\gamma)}{2n+1} = 1. \tag{7.4}$$

Replacing the sums in (7.4) from (2.7) and (2.10) gives (4.5). Substituting from (4.8) into (3.4a) at  $x = 0$  we obtain

$$C_0 K(\phi) + (k + \frac{1}{2}) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_n N_{kn}(\gamma)}{2n+1} = 1.$$

Using (2.9) and some algebra we obtain (4.7).

If we denote by  $J_k(\theta)$  the value of  $J(\theta)$  when  $F(x)$  is given by (4.9), we find after using Mehler’s representation that

$$J_k(\theta) = (k + \frac{1}{2})P_k(\cos \theta).$$

Substituting into (3.13) and rearranging we obtain (4.10).

Finally we consider the derivation of (4.12) and (4.13). Let us denote by  $I_k(\theta)$  the value of  $I(\theta)$  when  $F(x)$  is given by (4.11). Using Mehler's representation and the addition formula for cosines we see that

$$I_k(\theta) = \frac{k}{2} (P_k(\cos \theta) + P_{k-1}(\cos \theta)).$$

Equations (4.12) and (4.13) follow upon inserting this expression into (3.15) and (3.16) respectively.

#### REFERENCES

1. A. A. Bablojan, The solution of some dual series (Russian), *Akad. Nauk Armjan. SSR Dokl.* **39**, 149–157 (1964).
2. C. J. Tranter, An improved method for dual trigonometric series, *Proc. Glasgow math. Assoc.* **6**, 136–140 (1964).
3. C. J. Tranter, Dual trigonometric series, *Proc. Glasgow math. Assoc.* **4**, 49–57 (1959).
4. C. J. Tranter, A further note on dual trigonometric series, *Proc. Glasgow math. Assoc.* **4**, 198–200 (1960).
5. B. Noble and J. R. Whiteman, Solution of dual trigonometric series using orthogonality relations, *SIAM J. appl. Math.* **18**, 372–379 (1970).
6. R. P. Srivastav, Dual series relations III, Dual relations involving trigonometric series, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A*, **66**, 173–209 (1964).
7. R. B. Kelman, A Dirichlet–Jordan theorem for dual trigonometric series, *Pacif. J. Math.* **59**, 113–123 (1975).
8. R. B. Kelman and J. T. Simpson, An algorithm for solving a dual cosine series, *Comput. Math. Applic.* **1**, 195–199 (1975).
9. I. N. Sneddon, *Mixed Boundary Value Problems in Potential Theory*. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1966).
10. J. C. Cooke, Note on a pair of dual trigonometric series, *Glasgow math. J.* **9**, 30–35 (1968).
11. J. C. Cooke, The solution of some integral equations and their connections with dual integral equations and series, *Glasgow math. J.* **11**, 9–20 (1970).
12. R. B. Kelman and C. A. Koper, Jr., Least squares approximations for dual trigonometric series, *Glasgow math. J.* **14**, 112–119 (1973).
13. R. P. Srivastav, Dual series relations V: A generalized Schlomlich series and the uniqueness of the solution of dual equations involving trigonometric series, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh, Sect. A*, 173–184 (1962/64).
14. C. J. Tranter, *Integral Transforms in Mathematical Physics*, 3rd edn. Methuen, London (1966).
15. S. C. Das, On Tranter's method of solution of dual trigonometric sine series, *J. Tech. Bengal. engng. College* **12**, 75–90 (1967).
16. S. C. Das, On Tranter's method of solution of dual trigonometric cosine series, *J. math. Phys. Sci.* 171–179 (1973).
17. D. H. Schultz, Two test cases for the solution of harmonic mixed boundary value problems, *J. Inst. math. Applic.* **15**, 1–8 (1975).
18. W. E. Williams, Note on the reduction of dual and triple series equations to dual and triple integral equations, *Proc. Cambridge phil. Soc.* **59**, 731–734 (1963).
19. W. M. Shepherd, On trigonometrical series with mixed conditions, *Proc. Lond. math. Soc.* **43**, 366–375 (1937).
20. R. P. Feinerman, R. B. Kelman and C. A. Koper, Jr., Dual orthogonal series: a case study of the influence of computing upon mathematical theory, *Proc. Sympos. appl. Math.* **20**, 129–134 (1974).
21. R. B. Kelman and C. A. Koper, Jr., Separated variables solution for steady temperatures in rectangles, *Trans. ASME Ser. C., J. Heat Transfer* **95**, 130–132 (1973).
22. B. A. Kudriavtsev and Z. V. Parton, Dual trigonometric series in crack and punch problems (Russian), *Prikl. Mat. Meh.* **33**, 844–849 (1969).
23. I. N. Sneddon and M. Lowengrub, *Crack Problems in the Classical Theory of Elasticity*. J. Wiley, New York (1969).
24. M. A. Hussain and S. L. Pu, Dynamic stress intensity factor for an unbounded plate having collinear cracks, *Engng. Fracture Mech.* **4**, 865–876 (1972).
25. T. Kiyono and M. Shimasaki, On the solution of Laplace's equation by certain dual series equations, *SIAM J. appl. Math.* **21**, 245–257 (1971).
26. C. J. Tranter, On some dual cosine series, *J. math. Phys. Sci.* **7**, 337–340 (1973).
27. J. R. Whiteman, Numerical solution of a harmonic mixed boundary value problem by the extension of a dual series method, *Quart. J. mech. appl. Math.* **23**, 449–455 (1970).
28. K. S. Parihar, Some triple trigonometric series equations and their application, *Proc. R. Soc. Edinburgh* **66**, 255–265 (1971).
29. K. N. Srivastava, On dual series relations involving series of generalized Bateman  $K$ -functions, *Proc. Am. math. Soc.* **17**, 796–802 (1966).
30. W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and R. P. Soni, *Formulas and Theorems for the Special Functions of Mathematical Physics*. Springer-Verlag, New York (1966).
31. A. N. Gordon, Dual integral equations, *J. Lond. math. Soc.* **29**, 360–363 (1954).
32. C. Lanczos, *Discourse on Fourier Series*. Hafner, New York (1966).
33. B. Einarsson, Use of Richardson extrapolation for the numerical calculation of Fourier transforms, *J. comput. Phys.* **21**, 365–370 (1976).
34. J. R. Whiteman, Treatment of singularities of a harmonic mixed boundary value problem by the extension of a dual series method, *Quart. J. mech. appl. Math.* **21**, 41–50 (1968).
35. R. B. Kelman, Harmonic mixed boundary value problems in rectangular domains, *Quart. J. mech. appl. Math.* **22**, 549–566 (1970).
36. J. R. Whiteman and J. C. Webb, Convergence of finite difference techniques for a harmonic mixed boundary value problem, *Nordisk Tidskr. Informationsbehandling (BIT)* **10**, 366–374 (1970).
37. N. Papamichael and G. T. Symm, Numerical techniques for two-dimensional Laplacian problems, *Comput. Methods appl. Mech. Engng.* **6**, 175–194 (1975).

38. R. W. Thatcher, Singularities in the solution of Laplace's equation in two dimensions, *J. Inst. math. Applic.*, **16**, 303-319 (1975).
39. L. A. Lyusternik, O. A. Chervonenkis and A. R. Yonpol'skii, *Handbook for Computing Elementary Functions* (translated from the Russian). Pergamon, Oxford (1965).
40. C. T. Fike, *Computer Evaluation of Mathematical Functions*. Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey (1968).
41. W. J. Cody, Chebyshev approximations for the complete elliptic integrals  $K$  and  $E$ , *Math. Comput.* **19**, 105-112 (1965).
42. B. Noble and J. R. Whiteman, The solution of dual cosine series by the use of orthogonality relations, *Proc. Edinburgh math. Soc.* **17**, 47-51 (1970).
43. M. Abramowitz and I. Stegun (Editors), *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington (1964).
44. A. A. Bablojan, Solution of some dual equations in problems of the theory of elasticity (Russian), *Prikl. Mat. Meh.* **31**, 678-689 (1967).
45. *American National Standard FORTRAN* (ANSX 3.9-1966), American National Standards Institute, New York (1966).
46. *Scope Reference Manual*, Version 3.4, CDC Corp. 215 Moffet Dr., Sunnyvale, CA (1973).
47. *Fortran Extended Reference Manual*, Version 3, CDC Corp. 215 Moffet Dr., Sunnyvale, CA. (1971).
48. P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, *Handbook at Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Scientists*, 2nd end, revised. Springer-Verlag, New York (1971).

**Acknowledgements**—It is acknowledged with thanks that this work was supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office under Grant No. DAH CO4 75 G0 162.

```

C***** APPENDIX *****
C
C SUBROUTINE EBLTRN(KASE,NB TRMS,K,SMALL C,CO,CN,IERR,AP)
COMMENTS. THIS SOFTWARE PACKAGE IS THE APPENDIX TO THE PAPER
C KELMAN,K.B.,NAHEFFY,J.P., AND SIMPSON,J.T. ALGORITHMS FOR CLASSIC DUAL
C TRIGONOMETRIC EQUATIONS,COMPUT.MATH.APPL.,1977
C ITS USE IS DESCRIBED IN SEC.5 WHERE DEFINITIONS OF THE PARAMETERS IN THE
C ARGUMENT LIST ARE GIVEN. THE MEANING OF PARAMETERS IN COMMON/BT/ IS OBVIOUS
C FROM ASSIGNMENT STATEMENTS BELOW.
COMMON/BT/GMA,PHI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION AP(1),CN(1)
LOGICAL CASE,DEGNRT
CASE(BOOL) = .NOT.BOOL
DATA PY/3.1415926535898/
C TEST INPUT PARAMETERS
IERR = 0
IF(KASE.LE.0 .OR. KASE.GE.4) IERR=IERR+1
IF(NB TRMS.LT.0) IERR=IERR+2
IF(K.LT.0) IERR=IERR+4
IF(SMALL C.LT.0.0 .OR. SMALL C.GT. PY) IERR = IERR + 8
IF(IERR.NE.0) RETURN
C END TESTING
PI=PY
C DVR 2 = SMALL C/2.0
GMA=COS(SMALL C)
PHI = COS(C DVR 2)
PSI = SIN(C DVR 2)
PSI SQ = PSI**2
DEGNRT=SMALL C.EQ.0 .OR. (KASE.EQ.3.AND.K.EQ.0) .OR. PSI SQ.EQ.0.
IF(CASE(DEGNRT)) GO TO 100
CALL SET ((CO,CN,NB TRMS)
RETURN
C ELSE USE ALGORITHMS TO COMPUTE
100 CALL LGYR((MAX(CN,NB TRMS,K),GMA,AP) CONTINUE
CALL CDEFF(KASE,NB TRMS,CO,CN,AP,K)
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION AM(K,N,AP)
COMMENT. AM IS THE INTEGRAL M(K,N) GIVEN BY FORMULAS (2.4) AND (2.5)
COMMON/BT/GMA,PHI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION AP(1)
LOGICAL CASE,K EQ 0
CASE(BOOL) = .NOT.BOOL
AN=FLOAT(N)
NHOLD = N
KHOLD = K
K EQ 0 = K.EQ.0
IF(CASE(K EQ 0.AND.N.EQ.0)) GO TO 10
AN = -1.0 -GMA + 2.0*ALOG(2.0/(1.0-GMA))
RETURN
10 IF(CASE(K EQ 0)) CONTINUE
GO TO 20
NHOLD=N
NHOLD=0
AN=0.
C ELSE,K.NE.0
20 AM=(AN+AN+1.0)*K*(KHOLD,NHOLD,AP)-AP*(KHOLD+1)*SCHP(NHOLD,AP)
AM =AM/BETA(KHOLD)
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION AN(K,N,AP)
COMMENT. AN IS THE INTEGRAL N(K,N) GIVEN BY FORMULA (2.6)
COMMON/BT/GMA,PHI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION AP(1)
LOGICAL CASE
CASE(BOOL)=.NOT.BOOL
AN = -AM(K,N,AP)
IF(CASE(N.EQ.0)) GO TO 10
AN = AN -2.0/BETA(K)
RETURN
C ELSE,N.NE.0
10 AN = AN *(2.0/BETA(N))*(DELTA(K,N)-DELTA(D,K))
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION BETA(K)
AK=FLOAT(K)
AK1=AK+1.0
BETA=AK*AK1/(AK1+AK)
RETURN
END

```

```

C
SUBROUTINE COEFF(CICASE, NB TRMS, CL, C, P, K)
COMMENT: FOURIER COEFFICIENTS C(N), N=0,1,...,NB TRMS, ARE EVALUATED.
COMMON/GB/UM,PMI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION C(1),P(1)
LOGICAL CASE
CASE(BOOL)=.NOT.600L
DATA IZERO/0/
AK=FLOAT(K)
AKHLF=AK*.5
GO TO (1000,2000,3000),ICASE
1000 CONTINUE
ELE = ELLIP E(PSI SQ)
ELK = ELLIP K(PSI SQ)
IF(CASE(LEW,C))
  CO=(.5/ELK)*(PSI SQ+ELK+2.0*(PI/4.0)*RHALF(IZERO,P,ELE,ELK))
  IF(NB TRMS.EQ.0) RETURN
  DO 1010 N=1,NB TRMS
    L=N+1
    C(N)=CO*(P(L)-.5*(PSI SQ*(P(L)+.5*(IZERO,N,P)))
1010 CONTINUE
RETURN
1100 CONTINUE
ELSE,K=0E-1
CO = SQRT(K,P)*ELK+FLOAT((-1)**K)*PI*AKHLF*RHALF(K,P,ELE,ELK)
CO*(.5/ELK)*(2.-AKHLF*CO)
IF(NB TRMS.EQ.0) RETURN
DO 1020 N=1,NB TRMS
  L=N+1
  C(N)=CO*(P(L)+.5*AKHLF*BETA(N)*AN(K,N,P))
1020 CONTINUE
RETURN
2000 CONTINUE
CO=AKHLF*.5*(DELTA(K,IZERO)/AKHLF-R(K,IZERO,P))
IF(NB TRMS.EQ.0) RETURN
DO 2010 N=1,NB TRMS
  ANHLF=FLOAT(N)*.5
  C(N)=AKHLF*ANHLF*(DELTA(K,N)/ANHLF-R(K,N,P))
2010 CONTINUE
RETURN
3000 CONTINUE
CO=0.0
IF(NB TRMS.EQ.0) RETURN
K MNS 1 = K-1
C(T)=(-AK/2.0)*(R(K,IZERO,P)+R(KMNS1,IZERO,P)-2.*DELTA(IZERO,
1 KMNS1))
IF(NB TRMS.EQ.1) RETURN
NB MNS 1 = NB TRMS - 1
DO 3010 N=1,NB MNS 1
  AN HLF = FLOAT(N) + .5
  TERM=DELTA(K,N)*DELTA(KMNS1,N)-ANHLF*(R(K,N,P)+R(KMNS1,N,P))
  C(N+1)=-C(N)+AK*TERM
3010 CONTINUE
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION DELTA(K,N)
COMMENT: DELTA IS THE KRONECKER DELTA
DELTA=0.0
IF(K.EQ.N)DELTA=1.0
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION ELLIP E (ETA)
C ELLIP E COMPUTES THE ELLIPTIC INTEGRAL E(SQRT(1 - ETA))
DIMENSION A(7), B(7)
DATA A(1),B(1)/ 4.43147 19346 7733 E-1, 2.49999 99844 8655 E-1 /
DATA A(2),B(2)/ 5.68115 68105 3803 E-2, 9.37488 06209 8189 E-2/
DATA A(3),B(3)/ 2.21802 26699 3846 E-2, 5.84950 29706 6166 E-2 /
DATA A(4),B(4)/ 1.56847 70025 9786 E-2, 4.09074 82159 3154 E-2 /
DATA A(5),B(5)/ 1.92284 38902 2977 E-2, 2.35091 60256 4984 E-2 /
DATA A(6),B(6)/ 1.21815 48146 6695 E-2, 6.45662 24731 5060 E-3 /
DATA A(7),B(7)/ 1.55018 74474 5296 E-3, 3.78886 48734 9367 E-4 /
SUMA = 0.
SUMB = 0.
DO 10 I = 1, 7
  J = 6 - I
  SUMA = (SUMA + A(J)) * ETA
  SUMB = (SUMB + B(J)) * ETA
10 CONTINUE
ELLIP E = 1. + SUMA - ALOG( ETA ) * SUMB
RETURN
END
C
FUNCTION ELLIP K (ETA)
C ELLIP K COMPUTES THE ELLIPTIC INTEGRAL K(SQRT(1 - ETA))
DIMENSION A(7), B(7)
DATA A(1),B(1)/9.65736 02051 6771 E-02, 1.24999 99858 5309 E-1 /
DATA A(2),B(2)/5.06909 63386 1795 E-2, 7.03114 10585 3296 E-2 /
DATA A(3),B(3)/1.52618 32062 2534 E-2, 4.87379 51094 5218 E-2 /
DATA A(4),B(4)/1.25585 89354 3271 E-2, 3.57218 44300 7327 E-2 /
DATA A(5),B(5)/1.66695 68396 7517 E-2, 2.09657 67735 6700 E-2 /
DATA A(6),B(6)/1.09423 81066 8623 E-2, 5.81807 96187 1994 E-3 /
DATA A(7),B(7)/1.40704 91549 6101 E-3, 3.42805 71922 9748 E-4 /
SUMA = 0.
SUMB = 0.
DO 10 I = 1, 7
  J = 6 - I
  SUMA = (SUMA + A(J)) * ETA
  SUMB = (SUMB + B(J)) * ETA
10 CONTINUE
ELLIP K = ALOG4 + SUMA - ALOG( ETA ) * (.5 + SUMB)
RETURN
END
C
SUBROUTINE LGNDR(N,K,AP)
COMMENT: GENERATES LEGENDRE POLYNOMIALS OF ORDER N=0,1,...,N+1. STORES IN AP
DIMENSION AP(1)
AP(1)=1.0
AP(2)=X
N2=N+2
K=2
10 CONTINUE
RK=2.0-1.0/FLOAT(K)
AP(K+1)=X*RK*AP(K)-(RK-1.0)*AP(K-1)
K=K+1
IF(K.LE.N2) GO TO 10
RETURN
END

```

```

C
FUNCTION R(K,N,P)
COMMENT: R IS INTEGRAL EVALUATED USING FORMULAS(2.1) AND (2.2) .
COMMON/BT/GMA,PHI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION P(1)
LOGICAL CASE
CASE(BDUL)=-.NOT.BDUL
AK=FLOAT(K)
AN=FLOAT(N)
AK2=AK*AK
L=K+1
M=N+1
IF(CASE(K,ML,N))
R=BETA(N)*SCHP(N,P)+I(L)-BETA(K)*SCHP(K,P)+P(M) GO TO 100
R=(AN*(AN+1.0)-AK*(AK+1.0))
RETURN
C
ELSE=K.EU.N CONTINUE
100 DENOM=AK2+1.0
IF(K.EQ.0) PK=0.0
IF(K.GT.0) PK=P(K)
R=1.0+GMA*P(L)**2 - ((AK2-2.0)/(AK2-1.0))*P(L)*PK
R=R/DENOM
IF(K.LE.1)RETURN
C
K=L+1 .AND. K.GE.2,SO FORM SUM
SUM=0.0
KK=K
104 CONTINUE
AKK2=FLOAT(2*KK)
SUM=SUM+(P(KK)**2)*P(KK-1)/((AKK2-1.0)*(AKK2-3.0))
KK=KK-1
IF(KK.GE.2) GO TO 104
R=R+(2.*SUM)/DENOM
RETURN
E N D

C
FUNCTION RHALF(N,P,ELK,ELK)
COMMENTS: RHALF(N) IS THE INTEGRAL EVALUATED USING FORMULA (2.3) WITH GMA
C REPLACED BY -GMA.
COMMON/BT/GMA,PHI,PI,PSI,PSI SQ
DIMENSION P(1)
M=N+1
IF(N.EQ.0) GO TO 30
GO TO 41
30 RHALF=-1.0* ELK- (PSI SQ)*ELK
RHALF = -2.*RHALF/F1
RETURN
CONTINUE
40 CONTINUE
C
IF(N.GE.1)
RN=FLOAT(N)
TERM=(PSI SQ - RN*GMA)* P(N) + NN*P(N)
TERM=TERM*ELK
TERM=TERM - P(M)*ELK + 1.0
RHALF = 2.0*((-1)**N)/(F1*((RN+.5)**2))
RHALF=RHALF+TERM
RETURN
E N D

```