JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 17, 214-220 (1967)

# Spectra of Products and Numerical Ranges<sup>1</sup>

JAMES P. WILLIAMS

Institute of Science and Technology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan Submitted by Peter D. Lax

## 1. INTRODUCTION

If A is bounded linear transformation from a complex Hilbert space H into itself, then the *numerical range* of A is by definition the set

$$W(A) = \{ \langle Ax, x \rangle : ||x|| = 1 \}.$$

It is wellknown and easy to prove that if  $\sigma(A)$  denotes the spectrum of A, then

$$\sigma(A) \subset \overline{W(A)},$$

where the bar indicates closure.

The purpose of this paper is two-fold. We first present an extension of the foregoing relation and the proceed to indicate how the extension may be used in two other situations, namely bounded linear operators on a Banach space, and certain nonlinear transformations on a real or complex Hilbert space. The extension is mild, Specifically, we will show that if  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ , then

$$\sigma(A^{-1}B) \subset \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}$$

for any operator B on H. Here the set on the right is by definition the set of quotients b/a with  $b \in \overline{W(B)}$  and  $a \in \overline{W(A)}$ .

The extension has interesting consequences. For example it implies that if A is strictly positive and  $B \ge 0$ , then the product AB has a nonnegative spectrum. Also, if A is positive and B is self-adjoint then the product AB has real spectrum.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-524.

## 2. LINEAR OPERATORS ON A HILBERT SPACE

We begin with the proof of the extension.

THEOREM 1. Let A and B operators on the complex Hilbert space H. If  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$  then

$$\sigma(A^{-1}B) \subset \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}.$$

**PROOF.** Observe first of all that since  $\sigma(A) \subset \overline{W(A)}$ , the hypothesis guarantees that  $A^{-1}$  exists (as a bounded linear operator on H). Secondly, the identity

$$A^{-1}B - \lambda = A^{-1}(B - \lambda A)$$

shows that if  $\lambda \in \sigma(A^{-1}B)$ , then  $0 \in \sigma(B - \lambda A)$ . This in turn implies that

$$0\in \overline{W(B-\lambda A)}\subset \overline{W(B)}-\lambda \overline{W(A)},$$

and this means that

$$\lambda \in \overline{W(A)}/\overline{W(A)}.$$

We indicated two corollaries above. To get another we recall that any operator A on H has a "polar decomposition"

$$A = UP$$

and that if A is invertible, then U is unitary and P is strictly positive. Following Berberian [1] we call the unitary operator U cramped if its spectrum is contained in an arc of the unit circle with central angle  $< \pi$ .

COROLLARY (Berberian). If  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ , then the unitary part of A is cramped.

**PROOF.** Use the fact that  $\overline{W(A)}$  is convex to see that if  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ , then  $\overline{W(A)}$  is contained in a sector

$$S = \{ re^{i\theta} : r > 0 : \theta_1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_2 \}$$

with  $\theta_2 - \theta_1 < \pi$ . Then write  $U = A \cdot P^{-1}$  and apply the theorem to see that  $\sigma(U)$  is a subset of the arc

$$\{e^{i\theta}: \theta_1 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_2\}.$$

**REMARK.** (i) The inclusion  $\sigma(A^{-1}B) \subset \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}$  is not valid with the

409/17/2-2

weaker assumption that A is merely invertible. Indeed if A and B are self-adjoint  $\sigma(AB)$  need not even be real. This follows from the computation

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

in two-dimensional Hilbert space

(ii). The more symmetic statement

$$\sigma(AB) \subset \overline{W(A)} \cdot \overline{W(B)}$$
 if  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)} \cup \overline{W(B)}$ 

is also not valid. To see this let A be the operator

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $W(A) = W(A^*)$  is the disk of radius 1/2 about 1 and so the set  $W(A) \cdot W(A^*)$  lies to the left of Rez = 9/4. On the other hand  $9/4 < 1/2(3 + \sqrt{5}) \in \sigma(AA^*)$ .

Returning to the theorem, the reader will note that the proof really does not concern operators on a Hilbert space at all. Indeed, the essential ingredients are these: An algebra  $\mathscr{A}$  with unit, and two mappings  $A \rightarrow \sigma(A)$ ,  $A \rightarrow W(A)$  from  $\mathscr{A}$  to subsets of the complex plane which have the following properties:

- (1)  $W(A + B) \subset W(A) + W(B)$
- (2)  $W(\lambda A) \subset \lambda W(A)$
- (3)  $\sigma(A) \subseteq \overline{W(A)}$
- (4)  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$  if and only if  $(A \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathscr{A}$ .

(We write  $B^{-1} \in \mathscr{A}$  to mean that the element B of  $\mathscr{A}$  has an inverse and that this inverse in fact belongs to  $\mathscr{A}$ .) In what follows we will indicate how this observation extends the theorem to two other situations.

### 3. LINEAR OPERATORS ON A BANACH SPACE

For our first application we need a few facts about Banach spaces. First, if X is a Banach space then the Hahn-Banach theorem guarantees that for each  $x \in X$  there is an  $x^* \in X^*$  of norm 1 such that  $\langle x, x^* \rangle = ||x||$ . The space X (or more properly, the unit ball of X) is called *smooth* [2] if there is exactly one such  $x^*$  for each  $x \in X$ . Thus in a smooth space there is a unique map  $\varphi$  form X to X\* such that

$$|| \varphi(x)|| = || x ||, \qquad \langle x, \varphi(x) \rangle = || x ||^2 \qquad (x \in X).$$

As an example the reader can easily verify that  $L^p$  is smooth for 1 . $The isometry <math>\varphi$  sends  $f \in L^p$  to

$$f \frac{\|f\|^{p-2}}{\|f\|^{p-2}}$$
.

If X is smooth and  $\varphi$  is the indicated mapping, then it is easy to see that  $\varphi$  is conjugate homogeneous:

$$\varphi(\alpha x) = \bar{\alpha}\varphi(x), \quad \alpha \text{ complex.}$$

(However, if  $\varphi$  is additive, then the norm in X satisfies the parallelogram law and hence X is a Hilbert space.) Again, if X is smooth and  $f \in X^*$  attains its supremum on the unit ball of X, then f belongs to the range of  $\varphi$ . Now a result of Bishop and Phelps [3] states that for any Banach space X the collection of bounded linear functionals on X which attain their suprema on the unit ball of X is always (norm) dense in  $X^*$ . By using this fact and the preceding remark it follows that if X is smooth, then the range of  $\varphi$  is dense in  $X^*$ .

Now using the function  $\varphi$  we can define a "semi-inner-product" on X by

$$[x, y] = \langle x, \varphi(y) \rangle \qquad (x, y \in X).$$

It is readily verified that the following hold:

$$[x, x] = ||x||^{2}$$

$$[x_{1} + x_{2}, y] = [x_{1}, y] + [x_{2}, y]$$

$$[\lambda x, y] = \lambda[x, y], [x, \lambda y] = \overline{\lambda}[x, y]$$

$$|[x, y]| \leq ||x|| ||y||.$$

If now A is a bounded linear operator on X we can define the *numerical* range of A by setting

$$W(A) = \{ [Ax, x] : || x || = 1 \}.$$

Clearly we will have

$$W(A + B) \subset W(A) + W(B).$$
  
$$W(\lambda A) \subset \lambda W(A).$$

Lumer [4] also shows that the boundary of  $\sigma(A)$  is a subset of  $\overline{W(A)}$ . We need the following stronger result:

PROPOSITION.  $\sigma(A) \subset \widetilde{W(A)}$ .

**PROOF.** The argument parallels the linear case: If  $\lambda$  is at a positive distance  $\delta$  from  $\overline{W(A)}$ , then for unit vectors x

$$||(A - \lambda)x|| \ge |[(A - \lambda)x, x]| = |[Ax, x] - \lambda| \ge \delta = \delta ||x||$$

and

$$\|(A-\lambda)^*arphi(x)\|\geqslant|\langle x,(A-\lambda)^*arphi(x)
angle|=|[(A-\lambda)x,x]|\geqslant\delta=\delta\|arphi(x)\|_{L^2}$$

The first of these implies that  $A - \lambda$  is one-to-one with a closed range. The second implies that  $(A - \lambda)^*$  is bounded below on the range of  $\varphi$  and since this is dense in  $X^*$ ,  $(A - \lambda)^*$  is bounded below, hence one-to-one, and this means that  $A - \lambda$  has a dense range. It now follows from the Open Mapping Theorem that  $A - \lambda$  has a bounded inverse. Hence  $\lambda \notin \overline{W(A)}$  implies  $\lambda \notin \sigma(A)$  as asserted.

We may summarize the preceding discussion as follows:

THEOREM 2. Let X be a smooth Banach space and define W(A) as above. Then if  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$  we have

$$\sigma(A^{-1}B) \subset \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}$$

for any operator B on X.

If the Banach space X is not smooth then there will be many isometries  $\varphi_{\alpha}$  from X to  $x^*$  satisfying

$$\langle x, arphi_{lpha}(x) 
angle = \parallel x \parallel^2 \qquad (x \in X).$$

Each of these maps defines a semi-inner product  $[, ]_{\alpha}$  on X and a bounded linear operator T on X has corresponding numerical ranges  $W_{\alpha}(T)$ . It is natural to define the *numerical range* of T on X by

$$W(T) = \bigcup_{\alpha} W_{\alpha}(T).$$

The argument used for the smooth case is easily adapted to prove that  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{W(T)}$  is still valid and so we can conclude that Theorem 2 holds without the hypothesis that X is smooth.

In this connection Lumer has shown [4] that W(T) is real (or positive) if and only if some  $W_{\alpha}(T)$  is real (or positive). Thus  $T = T^*$  (or  $T \ge 0$ ) has intrinsic meaning and with these conventions we can state the following corollary:

COROLLARY. If A > 0,  $B \ge 0$  and  $C = C^*$ , then  $\sigma(AB)$  is positive and  $\sigma(AC)$  is real.

## 4. NONLINEAR OPERATORS ON A HILBERT SPACE

Our final application is more delicate. Here we let H be a real or complex Hilbert space and let  $\mathscr{A}$  be the collection of maps from H to itself which are

218

continuous and which send bounded sets into bounded sets. Clearly  $\mathscr{A}$  is an algebra with unit. We take the numerical range of  $A \in \mathscr{A}$  to be

$$W(A) = \left\{ \frac{\langle Ax_1 - Ax_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle : x_1 \neq x_2}{\|x_1 - x_2\|^2} \right\}.$$

There are two possible definitions of the spectrum of  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , namely,  $\sigma(A)$ , and  $\sigma_1(A)$  defined respectively as the complements of the sets

$$\rho(A) = \{\lambda : (A - \lambda)^{-1} \in \mathscr{A}\}$$
  
 $\rho_1(A) = \{\lambda : (A - \lambda)^{-1} \text{ exists and is Lipschitzian}\}.$ 

(By definition, B is Lipschitzian if

$$\|Bx_1 - Bx_2\| \leqslant M \cdot \|x_1 - x_2\|$$

for some constant M > 0 and all  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ .)

It is easy to see that  $\sigma(A) \subset \sigma_1(A)$ . Moreover, a theorem of Zarantonello [5] asserts that, with W(A) as defined above, we have the inclusion

$$\sigma_1(A) \subset \overline{W(A)}.$$

Taking  $\sigma(A)$  as the definition of the spectrum of A and applying Theorem 1, we get the following result:

THEOREM 3. Let A and B be bounded and continuous on H. If  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ , then for each  $\lambda \notin \overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}$  the mapping  $A^{-1}B - \lambda$  has a bounded, continuous inverse defined on H.

Taking  $\sigma_1(A)$  as the definition of the spectrum of A we get:

THEOREM 4. Let B be bounded and continuous, let A be Lipschitzian and suppose  $0 \notin \overline{W(A)}$ . Then for each  $\lambda$  outside the set  $\overline{W(B)}/\overline{W(A)}$  the transformation  $A^{-1}B - \lambda$  has a Lipschitzian inverse defined on H.

**PROOF.** If  $0 \notin \sigma_1(B - \lambda A)$ , then  $(B - \lambda A)^{-1}$  exists and is Lipschitzian. Hence the product  $(B - \lambda A)^{-1}A$  is also Lipschitzian. Since however

$$(A^{-1}B - \lambda)(B - \lambda A)^{-1}A = A^{-1}(B - \lambda A)(B - \lambda A)^{-1}A = 1,$$

this implies that  $A^{-1}B - \lambda$  has a Lipschitzian inverse and so  $\lambda \notin \sigma_1(A^{-1}B)$ . In other words,

$$\lambda \in \sigma_1(A^{-1}B) \Rightarrow 0 \in \sigma_1(B - \lambda A)$$

and the remainder of the proof is as before.

### WILLIAMS

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am indebted to Professors William A. Porter and Richard A. Volz for stimulating discussions which led to the results of this paper. I am further indebted to Professor Lumer for his observations (a) that my proof of the inclusion  $\sigma(T) \subset \overline{W(T)}$  is valid in an arbitrary Banach space so that (b) the corollary of Theorem 2 does not require smoothness of X. He has also informed me of the following elegant proof of that corollary for Hilbert spaces:  $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(BA) \pm (0)$  so that if  $A \ge 0$ , then  $\sigma(AB) = \sigma(A^{1/2}BA^{1/2}) \pm (0)$ , and the operator  $A^{1/2}BA^{1/2}$  is self-adjoint (or positive) if  $B = B^*$  (or  $B \ge 0$ ).

#### References

- 1. S. K. Berberian. The numerical range of a normal operator. Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 479-483.
- 2. M. M. DAY. "Normed Linear Spaces." Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1962.
- 3. E. BISHOP AND R. R. PHELPS. A proof that every Banach space is subreflexive. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 67 (1961), 97-98.
- 4. G. LUMER. Semi-inner-product spaces. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1961), 29-43.
- 5. E. G. ZARANTONELLO. The closure of the numerical range contains the spectrum. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 70 (1964), 781-787.