

**ScienceDirect** 



# **X chromosome inactivation: recent advances and a look forward** Sharon F Briggs<sup>1</sup> and Renee A Reijo Pera<sup>2,3</sup>



X chromosome inactivation, the transcriptional inactivation of one X chromosome in somatic cells of female mammals, has revealed important advances in our understanding of development, epigenetic control, and RNA biology. Most of this knowledge comes from extensive studies in the mouse; however, there are some significant differences when compared to human biology. This is especially true in pluripotent cell types and, over the past few years, a significant amount of work has been dedicated to understanding these differences. This review focuses specifically on recent advances in the mechanism of *Xist* spreading, the role of *Xist* in cancer, the effects of reprogramming on X chromosome inactivation in human induced pluripotent stem cells, and new tools for studying X chromosome inactivation.

#### Addresses

 <sup>1</sup> Department of Genetics, Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Stanford University, CA, USA
 <sup>2</sup> Department of Cell Biology and Neurosciences, Montana State University, 207 Montana Hall, Bozeman, MT 59711-2460, USA
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Montana State University, 207 Montana Hall, Bozeman, MT 59711-2460, USA

Corresponding author: Reijo Pera, Renee A (renee.reijopera@montana.edu)

Current Opinion in Genetics & Development 2014, 28:78-82

This review comes from a themed issue on **Cell reprogramming,** regeneration and repair

Edited by José CR Silva and Renee A Reijo Pera

For a complete overview see the <u>Issue</u> and the <u>Editorial</u>

Available online 27th October 2014

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2014.09.010

0959-437X/ $\odot$  2014 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creative-commons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/).

## Introduction

The field of X chromosome inactivation (XCI), the process by which one X chromosome in female mammals is transcriptionally inactivated in order to equalize gene expression in males and females, is now in its sixth decade and has produced a substantial understanding of the cell and molecular biology underlying this epigenetic regulation [1,2]. Even though our mechanistic understanding of the events in XCI is quite sophisticated, we are still identifying new players and further refining our understanding as illustrated by recent advances. With the discovery of induced pluripotent stem cells (iPSCs) in 2006 [3], a new subfield of XCI emerged to characterize X chromosome state in these cells and their derivatives. This new technology made it possible to examine the same cells in a somatic context as well as an embryoniclike context to determine changes to the X chromosome during cell fate decisions, providing tools to interrogate reprogramming and pluripotency.

This review will address new mechanistic advances in mouse and human XCI biology, the role of XCI in cancer initiation and progression, and new data on X chromosome state following reprogramming. Finally, it will discuss a new tool that has the ability to mark XCI in individual cells, which may be able to address many outstanding questions in the field. These recent advances and future discoveries in X chromosome biology are certain to aid in the translation of cell based therapies to the clinic (Table 1).

## New mechanisms of XCI

Much of the mechanism of X chromosome inactivation has been extensively studied and well characterized. including understanding the role of the antisense inhibitor, *Tsix*, to the proteins recruited to maintain the chromosome-wide inactivation, and the DNA-RNA-protein interactions that maintain X inactivation [4-8]. However, a recent breakthrough was made in understanding how Xist is able to spread along the length of the entire chromosome without silencing other chromosomes or active areas of the X chromosome. Engreitz et al. using 1054 tiled probes to the 17-kb Xist transcript, pulled down unique sequences of genomic DNA bound to Xist at five time points during differentiation as Xist becomes induced. After ruling out the role of sequence motifs with Xist-recruiting ability, they found that the initial DNA sites bound by Xist were spatially proximal (based on Hi-C data) to the *Xist* locus [9<sup>••</sup>]. These results support a model that *Xist* spreads along the length of the chromosome by binding to distal sites that are spatially organized close to the newly transcribed *Xist* RNA. By being able to modify chromatin structure at these regions, Xist is able to spread to newly silenced regions of the genome. Furthermore, regions that escape XCI are able to loop out and remain active while still permitting spatial spread of *Xist.* Since much more of the genome escapes XCI in humans compared to mouse, it will be interesting to determine if this mechanism is conserved in humans.

Other work has identified a new long non-coding RNA, *XACT*, specifically in human pluripotent stem cells [ $10^{\bullet\bullet}$ ]. While not expressed in mice, *XACT* coats the active X

#### Table 1

Understanding mechanisms of X chromosome inactivation can improve all aspects of developing clinical therapies.

| Reprogramming   | Controlling XCI during reprogramming will allow<br>specific X chromosome states to be achieved<br>in resulting iPSCs.<br>Dual color reporter system may be able to<br>elucidate the timing of reactivation at a fine<br>tuned resolution. |
|-----------------|---|
| iPSCs           | Discoveries, such as <i>XACT</i> , show how unique human pluripotent biology is compared to mouse and suggest new ways to control XCI.  |
| Differentiation | Maintaining XCI during differentiation will be<br>crucial to limit the presence of potentially<br>oncogenic cells.  |
| Somatic cells   | The more we uncover about different states of XCI in human cells, the better we will be able to determine if <i>in vitro</i> cells are replicating the biology of <i>in vivo</i> cells.   |
| Transplantation | Monitoring how XCI state changes over long<br>periods of time as well as changes that may<br>occur <i>in vivo</i> will be necessary to ensure cells<br>maintain the desired state.  |

chromosome and, in the absence of XIST, coats both chromosomes. Perhaps this reflects a human-specific mechanism by which cells prevent silencing of both X chromosome, instead of, as in mouse, using TSIX as an antisense repressor. It is known that the human TSIX RNA has significantly less complementarity to human XIST than mouse Tsix and Xist, and its ability to act as an effective suppressor in this way has been questioned [11,12]. This paper begins to shed light on human specific aspects of XCI that may underlie the mechanistic differences between mouse and human.

Finally, two other studies provide additional pieces of the mechanistic puzzle. First is evidence for the role of *Jarid2* in recruiting *PRC2* to *Xist* RNA in helping to mediate inactivation [13<sup>•</sup>]. The second is the surprising finding that the first intron of *Xist* seems dispensable for *Xist* expression and normal function during XCI in stem cells and during development, despite the fact that the region exhibits strong pluripotency factor binding [14<sup>•</sup>]. Taken together these mechanistic results illustrate that there is still much to learn about XCI in both humans and mice.

#### XCI and cancer

The role of the X chromosome in cancer has been well documented but much data is only correlational [15–18]. Recent papers provide genetic and developmental evidence that X chromosome changes in somatic cells can cause cancer. Using human breast cancer as a model, researchers found that half of the sporadic basal-like cancers were characterized by duplication of the active X chromosome and loss of the inactive X chromosome [19]. While these abnormalities did not contribute to global increases of gene expression from the X chromosome, it was associated with overexpression of a subset of genes. In addition, another paper provided evidence that the inactive X chromosomes accumulates more mutations than any other autosome in cancer genomes compared to non-tumorigenic samples [20], suggesting an inability to successfully repair damage. If this inactive X chromosome later becomes active, it could further contribute to genetic mutation load during cancer progression.

An elegant and convincing study in mouse showed direct evidence that Xist loss causes cancer. Researchers conditionally knocked out Xist in vivo in mouse hematopoietic stem cells after random X chromosome inactivation had already taken place. A female specific, fully penetrant, lethal blood cancer developed that began killing mice at 1.5 months. After two years, only 10 percent of the mice were still alive and neither homozygous nor heterozygous female mice have escaped the lethal phenotype at the time the research was published [21\*\*]. While this was only demonstrated in one lineage in the mouse, other data suggest that the loss of XIST in human iPSCs is strongly correlated with increased expression of X-linked oncogenes [22<sup>••</sup>]. Interestingly, male iPSCs, compared to female iPSCs, are more homogeneous and do not overexpress these genes suggesting a potential increased risk of tumorigenesis in female stem cells. This is a major hurdle in the clinical translation of female stem cells and will require much more work to understand the different potentials of stem cells with different XCI states (Table 1).

#### **Reprogramming and XCI state**

Early mouse studies have revealed simple binaries: pluripotent cell types have two active X chromosomes (XaXa) (extensively reviewed in [2,23]), and somatic cell types have one active and one inactive X chromosome (XaXi) [24]. Differentiation of a mouse pluripotent cell into a somatic cell results in the inactivation of one X chromosome [25]. This is true for both embryonic stem (ES) cells and iPSCs in the mouse with the exception of ES cells derived from the epiblast. Epiblast stem cells (EpiSC) are thought to represent a distinct state of pluripotency, as they cannot contribute to blastocyst chimeras, have variable differentiation bias, and are characterized by an inactive X chromosome [26,27]. However, they can be converted to ES, reactivating the inactive X chromosome in the process [28]. These relationships in mouse have not directly translated to human biology. There is no universal rule governing the X chromosome state in human pluripotent cell types; indeed, a range of states are common (Figure 1). While these differences could be species specific, they may be due to differences in pluripotent state as human ES and mouse EpiSC are similar culture conditions and gene expression and the inactivation of an X chromosome [26,27].

In addition to examining human ES cells, several groups have analyzed iPSCs for X chromosome state and have



Figure 1

Three classes of X chromosome states exist in human pluripotent cells. Three classes of X chromosome state have been shown to exist in human stem cells. The first represents cells with two active X chromosomes which can be achieved by changes in culturing conditions by reprogramming, which occasionally produces cells with two active X chromosomes. The second class of stem cell has an inactive X chromosome, which can also be induced by culturing conditions or due to differentiation. Finally, as many people have shown, XaXi cells can result from the reprogramming process itself. The third class is characterized by partial XCI in that *XIST* is not present but much of the chromosome is still inactivated. Over time, more transcripts across the entire X chromosome can become expressed, known as erosion, and this phenomenon is known to be the result of continued passaging. Questions about the relationship of these three classes still exist. It is unclear whether differentiation can rescue a partially inactivated X chromosome to a fully inactivated one. Additionally it has not been shown whether extensive passaging could render a previously inactivated X chromosome completely reactivated.

generated seemingly conflicting results. Some groups report reactivation of the X chromosome in iPSCs (XaXa) [31–33] while others show that the X chromosome remains inactive (XaXi) [29,34]. Interestingly, there are reports on the variability in XCI (same reprogramming method leading to multiple states; single clone containing cells of different states) suggesting that the variability is biologically, not methodologically, based [33,35]. These differences again raise questions about the suitability of these cell and their byproducts in clinical settings and suggests a need for careful characterization of these cells and their properties (Figure 1).

In spite of these advances, studies have not yet documented an ability to control the X chromosome state in cells, especially iPSCs. However, recent work in this area has provided some exciting insights. A group let by Shinya Yamanaka was able to change culture conditions to affect the outcome of reprogramming. By culturing fibroblasts on SNL feeders, which produce high levels of leukemia inhibitory factor, Tomoda et al. were able to produced human iPSCs that were characterized by X chromosome reactivation [36\*\*]. Human iPSCs produced in this manner reactivated XIST upon differentiation and iPSCs derived under other conditions and subsequently moved to SNL feeders could be coaxed to reactivate the inactive X chromosome. Interestingly, the SNL feeders provide additional factors other than increased LIF, as rLIF alone only caused biallelic expression of a subset of X chromosome genes compared to those cells grown on

the SNL feeders. Supporting their work, many other groups have reported the effects of culture conditions on ES cell XCI state suggesting that different conditions could also control XCI in iPSCs [30°,37,38]. This system provides an exciting opportunity to understand the human biology of XCI changes as a proportion of cells can be forced to switch between XaXa and XaXi states.

Taken together, it is important to determine what constitutes an ideal state of human pluripotent cells, but it is not as easy as deciding on two active X chromosomes or one. How these states are reached is also important: some human iPSCs with two active X chromosomes are due to erosion of XCI and have poor differentiation ability [29], while pluripotent cells can also be converted under defined conditions to replicate the pluripotency state found in mouse ES cells including a reactivated X chromosome [30<sup>•</sup>]. While XaXa cells are the gold standard in mouse, perhaps cells with two active X chromosomes represent an epigenetic abnormality rather than the ideal state for in human pluripotency. These data suggest that different mechanisms may be involved and perhaps one is preferable to another in generating the ideal state.

## Simple tools provide big possibilities

New tools have been developed recently that have aided our understanding of the mechanisms of XCI, especially, as mentioned before, new methods to identify DNA bound to RNA. However a recent paper took a simple approach that is likely to answer fundamental questions

about XCI during development that have yet to been sufficiently studied. Wu et al. developed a dual color mouse line by integrating Cre-inducible, fluorescent proteins into the Hprt1 locus, a locus known to obey XCI, on both X chromosomes [39<sup>••</sup>]. Using this elegant system, they were able to generate mice in which every single cell was labeled either green or red, reflecting which X chromosome remained active in a given cell. They were able to generate maps of XCI in all the tissues of the body, down to single cell resolution.

This valuable tool opens a number of interesting areas of follow up. While X chromosome reactivation during reprogramming is well known, the precise timing of these events are difficult to study due to the small fraction of cells that eventually become reprogrammed. Using cell lines derived from these mice, one could determine the precise timing of reactivation of the X chromosome in relation to obvious morphological changes or presence of gene expression profile changes. Female germ cell differentiation from stem cells could also benefit from this technology, as they are the only in vivo cell type with two active X chromosomes. This type of tool would be extremely useful in a human cell line, where XCI is more variable and less well understood. In the context of reprogramming, it would likely reveal important understanding of the relationship between the three XCI states that exist in human iPSCs (XaXa, XaXi, XaXi\*, see Figure 1).

## Conclusions: moving toward the clinic

Even after 50 years, the field of XCI is still providing new insights as highlighted by the recent finding of XACT in human pluripotent cells. As technologies become more sophisticated and we are better able to profile single cells, we are sure to understand even more about X chromosome biology. As the field moves forward, there are a number of unanswered questions that remain, especially in the human system. Specifically, how will we utilize our knowledge of XCI to impact the future clinical use of stem cells?

Since XCI is a uniquely female biology, it is an important area of study to ensure that patient-specific therapies enter the clinic at similar rates for men and women. As such, there are a number of areas that need to be addressed. First, how do we direct XCI in cell types of interest and how can we ensure that the X chromosome remains inactive? While the mouse has provided incredible insight, many of these studies will need to be conducted in human cell lines to address the human-specific differences. Additionally, what are the in vivo consequences of changes in XCI? We know that the mouse hematopoietic system undergoes drastic changes [21<sup>••</sup>]; are these changes more broadly applicable? Are they relevant in human biology? Initial findings suggest a possible link to cancer in human iPSCs but more work is surely needed [22<sup>••</sup>]. The X chromosome is full of surprises and if the future of the field is anything like the last few years, it would seem we have much to look forward to.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NIH/NHGRI T32 HG000044 and U01-HL100397.

#### References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest
- 1. Lyon MF: Gene action in the X-chromosome of the mouse (Mus musculus L.). Nature 1961, 190:372-373.
- 2. Lessing D. Anguera MC. Lee JT: X chromosome inactivation and epigenetic responses to cellular reprogramming. Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet 2013, 14:85-110.
- 3. Takahashi K. Yamanaka S: Induction of pluripotent stem cells from mouse embryonic and adult fibroblast cultures by defined factors. Cell 2006. 126:663-676
- Sun S, Del Rosario BC, Szanto A, Ogawa Y, Jeon Y, Lee JT: Jpx 4. RNA activates Xist by evicting CTCF. Cell 2013, 153:1537-1551.
- 5. Lee JT, Davidow LS, Warshawsky D: Tsix, a gene antisense to Xist at the X-inactivation centre. Nat Genet 1999. 21:400-404.
- Jeon Y, Lee JT: YY1 tethers Xist RNA to the inactive X 6 nucleation center. Cell 2011. 146:119-133.
- 7. Zhao J, Sun BK, Erwin JA, Song JJ, Lee JT: Polycomb proteins targeted by a short repeat RNA to the mouse X chromosome. Science 2008, 322:750-756.
- 8. Chao W, Huynh KD, Spencer RJ, Davidow LS, Lee JT: CTCF, a candidate trans-acting factor for X-inactivation choice. Science 2002, 295:345-347.
- 9.
- Engreitz JM, Pandya-Jones A, McDonel P, Shishkin A, Sirokman K, Surka C, Kadri S, Xing J, Goren A, Lander ES *et al.*: **The Xist IncRNA exploits three-dimensional genome** •• architecture to spread across the X chromosome. Science 2013. 341:1237973

Using RNA antisense purification, a new method that purifies endogenous RNA and its associated DNA, the authors purified the DNA bound to Xist RNA over the course of Xist induction. They show that Xist spreads to regions that are spatially close rather than using a sequence-based spreading mechanism.

10. Vallot C, Huret C, Lesecque Y, Resch A, Oudrhiri N, Bennaceur-Griscelli A, Duret L, Rougeulle C: XACT, a long noncoding transcript coating the active X chromosome in human pluripotent cells. Nat Genet 2013, 45:239-24

Authors discovered a novel long noncoding RNA, X active coating transcript XACT, that binds the active X chromosome specifically in human pluripotent stem cells. In cells without XIST expression, XACT is found coating both X chromosomes. As this transcript has not been implicated in mouse biology, it appears to underlie some of the speciesspecific mechanistic differences in X chromosome inactivation.

- Migeon BR, Chowdhury AK, Dunston JA, McIntosh I: Identification 11. of TSIX, encoding an RNA antisense to human XIST, reveals differences from its murine counterpart: implications for X inactivation. Am J Hum Genet 2001, 69:951-960.
- Migeon BR, Lee CH, Chowdhury AK, Carpenter H: Species differences in TSIX/Tsix reveal the roles of these genes in X-12 chromosome inactivation. Am J Hum Genet 2002, 71:286-293.
- 13. da Rocha ST, Boeva V, Escamilla-Del-Arenal M, Ancelin K
- Granier C, Matias NR, Sanulli S, Chow J, Schulz E, Picard C et al.: Jarid2 is implicated in the initial xist-induced targeting of PRC2 to the inactive X chromosome. Mol Cell 2014, 53:301-316.

This paper explores the recruitment of chromatin remodelling complexes by Xist during the inactivation process. It had been known the Xist played an essential role in recruiting PRC2 but the authors show that Jarid2 is first recruited independently and facilitates the targeting of PRC2 to the X chromosome during inactivation.

- 14. Minkovsky A. Barakat TS. Sellami N. Chin MH. Gunhanlar N.
- Gribnau J, Plath K: The pluripotency factor-bound intron 1 of Xist is dispensable for X chromosome inactivation and reactivation in vitro and in vivo. Cell Rep 2013, 3:905-918

Despite its role in binding pluripotency transcription factors, the first intron of Xist is dispensable for Xist repression, transcriptional upregulation during differentiation, and silencing during reprogramming. Additionally, it is not required for maintaining developmental specific-dynamics, as mutant mice maintain Mendelian ratios.

- 15. Moore KL, Barr ML: The sex chromatin in benign tumours and related conditions in man. Br J Cancer 1955, 9:246-252
- 16. Pageau GJ, Hall LL, Ganesan S, Livingston DM, Lawrence JB: The disappearing Barr body in breast and ovarian cancers. Nat Rev Cancer 2007. 7:628-633
- 17. Agrelo R, Wutz A: X inactivation and disease. Semin Cell Dev Biol 2010. 21:194-200
- Huang KC, Rao PH, Lau CC, Heard E, Ng SK, Brown C, Mok SC, Berkowitz RS, Ng SW: Relationship of XIST expression and responses of ovarian cancer to chemotherapy. Mol Cancer Ther 2002, 1:769-776.
- 19. Richardson AL, Wang ZC, De Nicolo A, Lu X, Brown M, Miron A, Liao X, Iglehart JD, Livingston DM, Ganesan S: X chromosomal abnormalities in basal-like human breast cancer. Cancer Cell 2006. 9:121-132
- 20. Jager N, Schlesner M, Jones DT, Raffel S, Mallm JP, Junge KM, Weichenhan D, Bauer T, Ishague N, Kool M et al.: Hypermutation of the inactive X chromosome is a frequent event in cancer. Cell 2013. 155:567-581.
- 21. Yildirim E, Kirby JE, Brown DE, Mercier FE, Sadreyev RI,
  Scadden DT, Lee JT: Xist RNA is a potent suppressor of hematologic cancer in mice. Cell 2013, 152:727-742.

By knocking out Xist conditionally in the hematopoietic system, authors showed that Xist is a suppressor of cancer. Female mutant mice, both homozygous and heterozygous, develop 100% penetrant lethal blood cancer. This is the first study to show the cancerous effects of Xist-cells in vivo

- 22.
- Anguera MC, Sadreyev R, Zhang Z, Szanto A, Payer B, Sheridan SD, Kwok S, Haggarty SJ, Sur M, Alvarez J et al.: Molecular signatures of human induced pluripotent stem cells highlight sex differences and cancer genes. Cell Stem Cell 2012, 11:75-90.

This paper shows that female iPSCs have higher expression of oncogenes than do male counterparts. This suggests an increase risk of tumorigenesis of transplanted female cells following incomplete differentiation.

- Ohhata T, Wutz A: Reactivation of the inactive X chromosome 23. in development and reprogramming. Cell Mol Life Sci 2013, 70:2443-2461
- 24. Lyon MF: Genetic activity of sex chromosomes in somatic cells of mammals. Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci 1970, 259:41-52.
- Maherali N, Sridharan R, Xie W, Utikal J, Eminli S, Arnold K, 25. Stadtfeld M, Yachechko R, Tchieu J, Jaenisch R et al.: Directly reprogrammed fibroblasts show global epigenetic remodeling and widespread tissue contribution. Cell Stem Cell 2007, 1:55-70
- 26. Nichols J, Smith A: Naive and primed pluripotent states. Cell Stem Cell 2009, 4:487-492.
- 27. Tesar PJ, Chenoweth JG, Brook FA, Davies TJ, Evans EP, Mack DL, Gardner RL, McKay RD: New cell lines from mouse

epiblast share defining features with human embryonic stem cells. Nature 2007, 448:196-199.

- 28. Guo G, Yang J, Nichols J, Hall JS, Eyres I, Mansfield W, Smith A: Klf4 reverts developmentally programmed restriction of ground state pluripotency. Development 2009, 136:1063-1069.
- Mekhoubad S, Bock C, de Boer AS, Kiskinis E, Meissner A, 29. Eggan K: Erosion of dosage compensation impacts human iPSC disease modeling. Cell Stem Cell 2012, 10:595-609.
- Gafni O, Weinberger L, Mansour AA, Manor YS, Chomsky E, Ben-Yosef D, Kalma Y, Viukov S, Maza I, Zviran A et al.: Derivation of novel human ground state naive pluripotent stem cells. Nature 2013. 504:282-286.

Human ES cells, inner cell mass cells, and reprogrammed iPSCs can all be converted to a more naïve state, similar to mouse ES cells, using defined conditions. This is the first time this state has been achieved in human pluripotent cell types.

- 31. Lagarkova MA, Shutova MV, Bogomazova AN, Vassina EM, Glazov EA, Zhang P, Rizvanov AA, Chestkov IV, Kiselev SL Induction of pluripotency in human endothelial cells resets epigenetic profile on genome scale. Cell Cycle 2010, 9:937-946.
- 32. Marchetto MC, Carromeu C, Acab A, Yu D, Yeo GW, Mu Y, Chen G, Gage FH, Muotri AR: A model for neural development and treatment of Rett syndrome using human induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell 2010, 143:527-539
- 33. Bogomazova AN, Lagarkova MA, Panova AV, Nekrasov ED, Kiselev SL: Reactivation of X chromosome upon reprogramming leads to changes in the replication pattern and 5hmC accumulation. Chromosoma 2014, 123:117-128.
- 34. Tchieu J, Kuoy E, Chin MH, Trinh H, Patterson M, Sherman SP, Aimiuwu O, Lindgren A, Hakimian S, Zack JA et al.: Female human iPSCs retain an inactive X chromosome. Cell Stem Cell 2010, 7:329-342
- 35. Bruck T, Benvenisty N: Meta-analysis of the heterogeneity of X chromosome inactivation in human pluripotent stem cells. Stem Cell Res 2011, 6:187-193.
- 36. Tomoda K, Takahashi K, Leung K, Okada A, Narita M,
  Yamada NA, Eilertson KE, Tsang P, Baba S, White MP *et al.*: Derivation conditions impact X-inactivation status in female human induced pluripotent stem cells. Cell Stem Cell 2012, **11**:91-99

By culturing cells on LIF producing feeder cells, the authors show that they can control the X chromosome inactivation status of human iPSCs. This is the first paper to show a specific method for altering XCI state in stem cells.

- 37. Lengner CJ, Gimelbrant AA, Erwin JA, Cheng AW, Guenther MG, Welstead GG, Alagappan R, Frampton GM, Xu P, Muffat J et al.: Derivation of pre-X inactivation human embryonic stem cells under physiological oxygen concentrations. Cell 2010, 141.872-883
- 38. Enver T, Soneji S, Joshi C, Brown J, Iborra F, Orntoft T, Thykjaer T, Maltby E, Smith K, Abu Dawud R et al.: Cellular differentiation hierarchies in normal and culture-adapted human embryonic stem cells. Hum Mol Genet 2005, 14:3129-3140.
- 39. Wu H, Luo J, Yu H, Rattner A, Mo A, Wang Y, Smallwood PM, Erlanger B, Wheelan SJ, Nathans J: Cellular resolution maps of X chromosome inactivation: implications for neural development, function, and disease. Neuron 2014, 81:103-119

By targeting the *Hprt1* locus on both X chromosomes in a mouse, the authors have developed a mouse line that marks each cells based on which X chromosome is inactivated. This is an incredibly powerful tool to study X chromosome inactivation, as the readout can be assayed in living cells.