

ON THE STRUCTURE OF COMPLETE GRAPHS WITHOUT ALTERNATING CYCLES

C.C. CHEN

Department of Mathematics, Nanyang University, Singapore, 22, Republic of Singapore

Received 7 September 1978

Revised 15 March 1979

The structure of edge-coloured complete graphs K_p which do not contain cycles with adjacent lines differently coloured is completely characterized. This characterization further enables us to prove that every such K_p ($p \geq 2$) has exactly one connected monochromatic spanning subgraph. Moreover, assume that edges in K_p are coloured by k colours so that no vertex is on more than Δ edges of each colour and less than δ edges of each colour. If such a K_p exists then $p \leq \Delta + 1$ and $p \geq k\delta + 1$; whereas, any one of these inequalities will ensure the existence of such a K_p .

1. Introduction

Recently quite a number of articles have been devoted to the study of global or local conditions on the colouring of edges of complete graphs which ensure that a particular kind or class of coloured graphs exist (or do not exist) as subgraphs. For instance, in [1] and [3], the structure of edge-coloured complete graphs with no triangles having edges of different colours is studied; and [1] further provides solutions to some of the problems raised in [2]. In [4], a sufficient condition is given for the existence of cycles of every possible size with adjacent edges differently coloured in an edge-coloured complete graph. Also in [5], some sufficient conditions are obtained to ensure the existence of subgraphs of certain size which contains all the colours in an edge-coloured complete graph.

Throughout this paper, K_p will denote a complete graph on p vertices whose edges are coloured by k colours so that no vertex is on more than Δ edges and less than δ edges of each colour. A cycle in K_p is called an *alternating cycle* if every two adjacent edges in the cycle are differently coloured. The main aim of this paper is to characterize all K_p without alternating cycles. This characterization enables us to prove that every K_p ($p \geq 2$) without alternating cycles has exactly one connected monochromatic spanning subgraph. If a K_p without alternating cycles exists then $p \leq \Delta + 1$ and $p \geq k\delta + 1$; and any one of these equalities will guarantee the existence of a K_p without alternating cycles.

2. The structure theorem

Let A_i be a complete graph on p_i vertices ($i = 1, \dots, n$). To each A_i , there is associated a colour c_i such that all edges in A_i are coloured c_i if A_i has more than

one element. We shall denote by $A_i(n)$ the edge-coloured complete graph on $p_1 + \dots + p_n$ vertices obtained by first taking the disjoint union of the A_i 's and next joining each vertex of A_j to each vertex of A_k by an edge coloured c_j for all $1 \leq j < k \leq n$.

Lemma 1. $A_i(n)$ contains no alternating cycles.

Proof. Let $a_0 a_1 \dots a_n$ be any cycle in $A_i(n)$ with a_j in $A_{i(j)}$ for $j=0, 1, \dots, n$. We assume that $i(1) \leq i(k)$ for all $k=0, 1, \dots, n$. Then from the structure of $A_i(n)$, the colours of $a_0 a_1$ and $a_1 a_2$ are both c_1 . Hence $a_0 a_1 \dots a_n$ is not an alternating cycle in $A_i(n)$. This proves Lemma 1.

The main object of this paper is to prove the following:

Theorem 1. Let K_p be an edge-coloured complete graph on p vertices. Then K_p contains no alternating cycles if and only if there exist complete graphs A_i associated with colours c_i ($i=1, \dots, n$) such that $c_j \neq c_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \dots, n-1$ and $K_p = A_i(n)$.

Proof. The sufficiency follows from Lemma 1.

We shall prove the necessity by induction on p . If $p=1$, then the result is obvious. Assume that the result holds for $p=q \geq 1$ and consider an edge-coloured complete graph K_{q+1} without alternating cycles. Pick any vertex a in K_{q+1} and consider the complete graph K_q obtained from K_{q+1} by deleting a and all edges incident with a . Then K_q contains no alternating cycles and so by induction hypothesis, there exist complete graphs A_i associated with colours c_i ($i=1, \dots, n$) such that $c_j \neq c_{j+1}$ for $j=1, \dots, n-1$ and $K_q = A_i(n)$.

If all edges from a to each A_i is coloured c_i , then immediately $K_{q+1} = B_i(n)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i=1, \dots, n-1$, whereas, $B_n = A_n \cup \{a\}$. On the other hand, let j be the smallest number such that a is joined to a vertex a_j in A_j by an edge with colour c different from c_j . Then for any vertex b in A_j , since $aba_j a$ is not an alternating cycle, cb must be coloured either c or c_j . Let B be the set of all vertices y of A_j such that ay is coloured c . We have the following four cases:

Case 1. $j=n$ and $A_j = B$.

In this case, if $c = c_{j-1}$, then $K_{q+1} = B_i(n)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i=1, \dots, j-2$; $B_{j-1} = A_{j-1} \cup \{a\}$; $B_j = A_j$ and the colours associated with B_{j-1} and B_j are respectively c_{j-1} and c_j .

On the other hand, if $c_{j-1} \neq c$, then $K_{q+1} = B_i(j+1)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i=1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = \{a\}$; $B_{j+1} = A_j$ and the colours associated with B_j and B_{j+1} are respectively c and c_j .

Case 2. $j=n$ and $B \neq A_j$.

In this case, $K_{q+1} = B_i(n+2)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i=1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = A_j \setminus B$; $B_{j+1} = \{a\}$; $B_{j+2} = B$ and the colours associated with B_j , B_{j+1} and B_{j+2} are respectively c_j , c and c_j .

Case 3. $j < n$ and $B = A_j$.

In this case, for each y in A_{j+1} , the edge ay is coloured either c or c_j since aa_y is not alternating. Assume first that ay is coloured c_j .

Claim 1. A_{j+1} is a singleton and $j+1 = n$.

Indeed, if z is an element of A_{j+1} other than y , then az must have colour c_j or c_{j+1} (otherwise $azya$ is alternating); if az has colour c_j , then aa_jza is alternating. If az has colour c_{j+1} , then aza_j is alternating unless $c = c_{j+1}$, but in this case aa_jzya is alternating. Hence A_{j+1} is a singleton. Next, if $j+1 < n$, then let u be any element in A_n . Then aa_jua and $ayua$ are both non-alternating cycles. So au must be coloured c_j . But then, we would obtain an alternating cycle, viz. aa_jyua , a contradiction. This establishes Claim 1.

From Claim 1, it is clear that $K_{n+1} = B_i(n+1)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = A_{j+1}$; $B_{j+1} = \{a\}$; $B_{j+2} = B$ and the colours associated with B_j , B_{j+1} and B_{j+2} are respectively c_j , c and c_j .

Next, assume that ay is coloured c .

Claim 2. For each vertex z in A_k , $k = j+1, \dots, n$, the edge az is coloured c .

Indeed, since aa_jza is non-alternating, so az must be coloured either c or c_j . If az is coloured c_j , then aa_jza is an alternating cycle, a contradiction. Hence az must be coloured c .

With Claim 2, we have $K_{n+1} = B_i(n+1)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = \{a\}$; $B_{j+1} = A_j$; $B_k = A_{k-1}$ for $k = j+2, \dots, n+1$ and the colours associated with B_j , B_{j+1} are c and c_j respectively (provided that $c_{j+1} \neq c$). However, if $c_{j+1} = c$, then $K_n = B_i(n)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-2, j, \dots, n$; $B_{j-1} = A_{j-1} \cup \{a\}$ and the colour associated with B_{j-1} is c .

Case 4. $j < n$ and $B \neq A_j$.

In this case, for each y in A_{j+1} , the edge cy is coloured either c_j or c . If ay is coloured c_j , by Claim 1, A_{j+1} is a singleton and $j+1 = n$. Hence $K_{n+1} = B_i(n+1)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = (A_j \setminus B) \cup A_{j+1}$; $B_{j+1} = \{a\}$ and $B_{j+2} = B$ and the colours associated with B_j , B_{j+1} and B_{j+2} are respectively c_j , c and c_j .

On the other hand if ay is coloured c , then by Claim 2, az is coloured c for all z in A_k , $k = j+1, \dots, n$. Then $K_{n+1} = B_i(n+2)$ where $B_i = A_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j-1$; $B_j = A_j \setminus B$; $B_{j+1} = \{a\}$; $B_{j+2} = B$; $B_k = A_{k-2}$ for $k = j+3, \dots, n+2$ and the colours associated with B_j , B_{j+1} , and B_{j+2} are respectively c_j , c and c_j .

Now, since all four possible cases have been settled, the proof of Theorem 1 is thus complete.

3. A few applications

As claimed in the first section, we shall derive the following consequences out of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Every edge-coloured complete graph K_p ($p \geq 2$) with out alternating cycles contains exactly one connected monochromatic spanning subgraph.

Proof. By Theorem 1, $K_p = A_1(n)$. Consider the subgraph induced by edges coloured c_1 . Then from the construction of $A_1(n)$, it follows that this is the only connected monochromatic spanning subgraph of K_p .

Theorem 3. *There exists a complete graph K_p without alternating cycles whose edges are coloured with k colours such that no vertex is on less than δ edges of each colour if and only if $p \geq k\delta + 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $p \geq k\delta + 1$. Let $K_p = A_1(k)$ where $|A_i| = \delta$ for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$; $|A_k| = p - (k-1)\delta \geq \delta + 1$ and the colours associated with each A_i is c_i ($c_i \neq c_{i+1}$). It then follows from the structure of $A_1(k)$ that each vertex is incident with at least δ edges of each colour.

Next assume that there exists a complete graph K_p without alternating cycles whose edges are coloured with k colours such that no vertex is on less than δ edges of each colour. Then by Theorem 1, $K_p = A_1(n)$ with $n = k$ or $k+1$. Assume first that $n = k$. Let a be any vertex in A_n . Since a must be incident with at least δ edges of colour c_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$), we must have $|A_i| \geq \delta$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$ and $|A_k| \geq \delta + 1$. Therefore

$$p = |A_1| + \dots + |A_k| \geq (k-1)\delta + \delta + 1 = k\delta + 1.$$

On the other hand, if $n = k+1$, then A_n must be a singleton $\{a\}$, or else we would have $k+1$ colours. Again since a is incident with at least δ edges of colour c_i ($i = 1, \dots, k$), we must have $|A_i| \geq \delta$ for all $i = 1, \dots, k$. Therefore, $p = |A_1| + \dots + |A_k| + |A_n| \geq k\delta + 1$, completing the proof.

Theorem 4. *There exists an edge-coloured complete graph K_p without alternating cycles such that no vertex is on more than Δ edges of each colour if and only if $p \leq \Delta + 1$.*

Proof. Assume that $p \leq \Delta + 1$. Consider the complete graph K_p with all edges coloured c_1 . Then K_p has no alternating cycles and every vertex is on $p-1 \leq \Delta$ edges of each colour.

Next assume that there exists an edge-coloured complete graph K_p without alternating cycles such that no vertex is on more than Δ edges of each colour. Then by Theorem 1, $K_p = A_1(n)$. Let a be any vertex of A_1 . Then a is on $p-1$ edges of colour c_1 . Hence $p-1 \leq \Delta$ which implies that $p \leq \Delta + 1$, as required.

References

- [1] N.G. Bate, The structure of complete graphs with no polychromatic triangles, to appear.
- [2] C.C. Chen and D.E. Daykin, Problem 6157, Amer. Math. Monthly, 84 (1977) 491.
- [3] C.C. Chen, Coloured Triangles in graphs, to appear in the Proc. of the Third Southeast Asian Math. Symposium, Universiti Kebangsaan, Malaysia (1978).

On the structure of complete graphs without alternating cycles

- C.C. Chen and D.E. Daykin, Graphs with Hamiltonian cycles having adjacent lines of colours, *J. Combinatorial Theory* 21 (1976) 125-139.
- C.C. Chen, D.E. Daykin and P. Erdős, Subgraphs with all colours in a line-coloured graph. *of the fifth British Combinatorial Conference* 1975, University of Aberdeen, Aberdeen 101-112.