We have extended the existing method of ionic dialysance measurement in the diffusive regime to the highly convective online hemodiafiltration regimen by developing a method that is applicable to various modes of substitution (post-, pre-, and mixed dilution). In contrast to other theoretical methods, all required quantities can be measured to a high precision without the knowledge of blood and dialyzer properties. In our in vivo study, we have validated our approach by taking the blood-side urea clearance as a reference. We did not intend to do a systematic analysis of the dependence of the dialysance on the dialysis conditions.

In their in vitro experiment, Ficheux et al.<sup>2,3</sup> evaluated the impact of increasing ultrafiltration flow and varying site of substitution on total ionic clearance. They nicely illustrated that ionic dialysance is linearly correlated with ultrafiltration flow. In contrast to our study, they did not provide a technically feasible method to measure ionic dialysance in vivo as their model either requires the measurement of blood-side conductivity or the a priori knowledge of the dialysance without online substitution.

In our study, 26 measurements have been omitted due to errors in the sampling or processing of the corresponding blood reference samples. During our experimental study, size and shape of the transient conductivity change were varied to determine their optimal values. From the statistical analysis of the measurement, error threshold values for these parameters were fixed. In 22 cases, the conductivity change was suboptimal and the corresponding measurements were excluded from the final analysis. No individual measurements were excluded a posteriori as statistical outliers.

We conclude that in contrast to what the authors of this letter claim, our modeling approach is quite original. It allows the determination of the ionic dialysis in online hemodiafiltration treatments by measurements on the dialysate side alone. This approach provides a safe and reliable tool to evaluate routinely in clinic the dialysis dose delivered by online HDF.

- 1. Gross M, Maierhofer A, Tetta C et al. Online clearance measurement in high-efficiency hemodiafiltration. Kidney Int 2007; 72: 1550-1553.
- Ficheux A, Argilés A, Bosc JY et al. Analysis of the influence of the infusion site on dialyser clearances measured in an in vitro system mimicking haemodialysis and haemodiafiltration. Blood Purif 1999; 17:
- Ficheux A, Argilés A, Mion H et al. Influence of convection on small molecule clearances in online hemodiafiltration. Kidney Int 2000; 57: 1755-1763.

Malte Gross<sup>1</sup>, Andreas Maierhofer<sup>1</sup>, Ciro Tetta<sup>1</sup> and Bernard Canaud<sup>2,3</sup>

Correspondence: Malte Gross, R&D International, Fresenius Medical Care, Hafenstrasse 9, Schweinfurt 97424, Germany.

E-mail: malte.gross@fmc-ag.com

## Thiazide diuretics: rat versus human

Kidney International (2008) 74, 830; doi:10.1038/ki.2008.275

To the Editor: I read with interest the study by Reungjui et al.<sup>1,2</sup> and the accompanied editorial published in the same issue of Kidney International. The study by Reungjui et al. included 20-24 rats divided into four groups, with two of them being subjected to thiazide diuretics. The authors convincingly show that low kidney function and glomerular and tubular injury manifest more commonly in thiazidetreated rats, an effect that cannot be explained by hypokalemia alone. Various credible explanations were also provided and are all well taken. However, in the conclusion section as well as in the editorial that accompanied this article, the authors make a connection between the pathological findings in rats and the results of various clinical hypertensive studies done in humans. Although I do not disagree or agree with the authors' interpretations and arguments regarding the design and outcome of these cited clinical trials, I find it very puzzling to make a link between pathological findings in rats taking thiazide diuretics and clinical studies that used thiazides in humans. Current knowledge indicates that rat kidneys differ not only from humans but there is also intraspecies variability.<sup>3,4</sup> A few other questions come to mind. Assuming that the rats actually drank all the thiazide-loaded water and accordingly received 1.5-1.75 mg thiazide/day, how does this dose translate to clinical practice or to the dose used in the ALLHAT or AASK trials? What is the equivalent of 20 weeks of rat age in human life? Can the same findings be reproduced if another rat species was used?

Basic science research continues to be the best tool to build breakthrough clinical research, but until studies dedicated to examine the effect of chronic thiazide use on histological findings and/or kidney function in humans become available, I think extrapolating from animal studies risks unwarranted changes in clinical practices and public confusion.

- 1. Reungjui S, Hu H, Mu W et al. Thiazide-induced subtle renal injury not observed in states of equivalent hypokalemia. Kidney Int 2007; 72: 1483-1492.
- Rovin BH, Hebert LA. Thiazide diuretic monotherapy for hypertension: diuretic's dark side just got darker. Kidney Int 2007; **72**: 1423-1426.
- Baylis C, Corman B. The aging kidney: insights from experimental studies. J Am Soc Nephrol 1998; 9: 699-709.
- Melk A, Kittikowit W, Sandhu I et al. Cell senescence in rat kidneys in vivo increases with growth and age despite lack of telomere shortening. *Kidney Int* 2003; **63**: 2134–2143.

Hani M. Wadei<sup>1,2</sup> and William E. Haley<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Florida, USA and <sup>2</sup>Department of Transplantation, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, Jacksonville, Florida, USA

Correspondence: Hani M. Wadei, Department of Transplantation, Mayo Clinic College of Medicine, 4205 Belfort Road, Suite 1100, Jacksonville, Florida 32216, USA. E-mail: wadei.hani@mayo.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>R&D International, Fresenius Medical Care, Bad Homburg, Germany,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Nephrology, Dialysis and Intensive Care Unit, Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France and <sup>3</sup>Dialysis Research and Training Institute, Lapeyronie University Hospital, Montpellier, France