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#### Abstract

A method introduced by Leighton [J. Math. Anal. Appl. 35, 381-388 (1971)] for bounding eigenvalues has been extended to include problems of the form $-y^{\prime \prime}+p(x) y=\lambda y$, when $p(x) \geqslant 0$ on [0,1]. The boundary conditions are the general homogeneous conditions $y(0)-a y^{\prime}(0)=0=y(1)+b y^{\prime}(1)$, where $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant \infty$. Upper and lower bounds for the eigenvalues of these problems are obtained, and these bounds may be made as close together as desired, thereby allowing $\lambda$ to be estimated precisely.


## 1. Introduction

Leighton [4] introduced a new method for obtaining two explicit sequences of upper and lower bounds on eigenvalues for a certain class of SturmLiouville problems. In this paper we extend that method to include problems of the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& -y^{\prime \prime}+q(x) y=\lambda y, \\
& y(0)-a y^{\prime}(0)=0=y(1)+b y^{\prime}(1), \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $q(x) \geqslant 0$ on $[0,1]$ and where $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant \infty$. The corresponding terms in the two sequences can be made extremely close together, which thereby provides precise approximations for the eigenvalues of problem (1).
The problem of bounding eigenvalues is certainly a classical one, and the most famous methods such as Rayleigh-Ritz and the Courant Minimax principle have in theory produced the desired eigenvalues. The method presented here leads to a simple systematic scheme for generating a sequence of upper bounds and a sequence of lower bounds. This method is easily adapted to the computer and consequently generates almost effortlessly excellent cigenvaluc approximations.

## 2. The General Method

The basic idea involved here is to subdivide the unit interval into $n$ equal subintervals and to replace $q(x)$ by its maximum (or minimum) value over
each subinterval in order to obtain an upper (or lower) bound for the eigenvalue $\lambda$. This result follows by a simple extension of one of the basic comparison theorems for eigenfunctions, as found in Swanson [5, p. 26]. Although this theorem is valid only for the first eigenvalue, our method also gives appropriate bounds for any eigenvalue.

We shall first work through problem (1) for the case $a=b=\infty$ and then point out the modifications that must be made when $0 \leqslant a, b \leqslant \infty$. Also, we shall initially assume that $q(x)$ is monotonically increasing on the unit interval and then explain why this assumption is not necessary.

Initially, we shall find an upper bound for the first eiegenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ by identifying $a_{k}$ as the maximum value of $q(x)$ in the $k$ th interval:

$$
(k-1) h \leqslant x \leqslant k h \quad \text { for } k=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Then the problem in the first subinterval becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+a_{1} y=\lambda y \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}(0)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution to problem (2) is $y(x)=B_{1} \cos c_{1} x$, where $c_{1}=\left(\lambda_{1}-a_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. In case $\lambda_{1}$ turns out to be less $a_{1}$, we shall see later the appropriate change needed.

In the second subinterval, we have $y(x)=A_{2} \sin c_{2} x+B_{2} \cos c_{2} x$ where $c_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}-a_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and where $A_{2}$ and $B_{2}$ are determined so that $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are continuous at $x=h$. Consequently, we have

$$
A_{2} \sin c_{2} h+B_{2} \cos c_{2} h=B_{1} \cos c_{1} h
$$

and

$$
c_{2} A_{2} \cos c_{2} h-c_{2} B_{2} \sin c_{2} h=-B_{1} c_{1} \sin c_{1} h
$$

For the succeeding intervals, we shall have similar continuity requirements. In the $k$ th subinterval we have

$$
y(x)=A_{k} \sin c_{k} h+B_{k} \cos c_{k} h
$$

where $c_{k}=\left(\lambda_{1}-a_{k}\right)^{1 / 2}$ and where our continuity requirements lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{k} \sin (k-1) c_{k} h+B_{k} \cos (k-1) c_{k} h \\
& \quad=A_{k-1} \sin (k-1) c_{k-1} h+B_{k-1} \cos (k-1) c_{k-1} h
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{k} A_{k} \cos (k-1) c_{k} h-c_{k} B_{k} \sin (k-1) c_{k} h  \tag{4}\\
& \quad=c_{k-1} A_{k-1} \cos (k-1) c_{k-1} h-c_{k-1} B_{k-1} \sin (k-1) c_{k-1} h
\end{align*}
$$

The process is not continued for all the subintervals, however, since we shall eventually reach some $j$ th interval where $\lambda_{1}<a_{j}$. In this case our solution looks like

$$
y(x)=A_{j} \sinh c_{j} h+B_{j} \cosh c_{j} h,
$$

where now $c_{j}=\left(a_{j}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Thus, our continuity requirements at $x=(j-1) h$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{j} \sinh (j-1) c_{j} h+B_{j} \cosh (j-1) c_{j} h \\
& \quad=A_{j-1} \sin (j-1) c_{j-1} h+B_{j-1} \cos (j-1) c_{j-1} h
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{j} A_{j} \cosh (j-1) c_{j} h+c_{j} B_{j} \cosh (j-1) c_{j} h  \tag{5}\\
& \quad=c_{j 1} A_{j 1} \cos (j-1) c_{j .1} h-c_{j 1} B_{j-1} \sin (j-1) c_{j-1} h .
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are assuming that $q(x)$ is monotonically increasing, all expressions for $y$ after the $j$ th interval will also be linear combinations of $\sinh c_{k} x$ and $\cosh c_{k} x$, where $c_{k}=\left(a_{k}-\lambda_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $k \geqslant j$. Therefore, our continuity requirements for $j+1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{k} \sinh (k-1) c_{k} h+B_{k} \cosh (k-1) c_{k} h \\
& \quad=A_{k-1} \sinh (k-1) c_{k-1} h+B_{k-1} \cosh (k-1) c_{k-1} h \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{k} A_{k} \cosh (k-1) c_{k} h+c_{k} B_{k} \sinh (k-1) c_{k} h \\
& \quad=c_{k-1} A_{k-1} \cosh (k-1) c_{k-1} h+c_{k-1} B_{k-1} \sinh (k-1) c_{k-1} h
\end{aligned}
$$

Our final boundary condition at $x=1=n h$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(1)=0=c_{n}\left(A_{n} \cosh c_{n}+B_{n} \sinh c_{n}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, since $c_{n} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n} \cosh c_{n}+B_{n} \sinh c_{n}=0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can simplify the above system of equations by defining

$$
\cos \phi_{k}=A_{k k} / P_{k}, \quad \sin \phi_{k}=B_{k} / P_{k},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{k}{ }^{2}=A_{k}{ }^{2}+B_{k}{ }^{2} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots, j-1$ and

$$
\cosh \theta_{k}=A_{k} / R_{k}, \quad \sinh \theta_{k}=B_{k} / R_{k},
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}{ }^{2}=A_{k}{ }^{2}-B_{k c}{ }^{2} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k=j, j+1, \ldots, n-1$. We shall choose

$$
\sinh \theta_{n}=A_{n} / R_{n}, \quad \cosh \theta_{n}=B_{n} / R_{n}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}{ }^{2}=B_{n}{ }^{2}-A_{n}{ }^{2} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our system of equations then becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{2} \sin \left(c_{2} h+\phi_{2}\right)=\cos c_{1} h, \\
& c_{2} P_{2} \cos \left(c_{2} h+\phi_{2}\right)=-c_{1} \sin c_{1} h, \\
& P_{3} \sin \left(2 c_{3} h+\phi_{3}\right)=P_{2} \sin \left(2 c_{2} h+\phi_{2}\right), \\
& c_{3} P_{3} \cos \left(2 c_{3} h+\phi_{3}\right)=c_{2} P_{2} \cos \left(2 c_{2} h+\phi_{2}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
& P_{j-1} \sin \left((j-2) c_{j-1} h+\phi_{j-1}\right)=P_{j-2} \sin \left((j-2) c_{j-2} h+\phi_{j-2}\right), \\
& c_{j-1} P_{j-1} \cos \left((j-2) c_{j-1} h+\phi_{j-1}\right)=c_{j-2} P_{j-2} \cos \left((j-2) c_{j-2} h+\phi_{j-2}\right), \\
& R_{j} \sinh \left((j-1) c_{j} h+\theta_{j}\right)=P_{j-1} \sin \left((j-1) c_{j-1} h+\phi_{j-1}\right), \\
& c_{j} R_{j} \cosh \left((j-1) c_{j} h+\theta_{j}\right)=c_{j-1} P_{j-1} \cos \left((j-1) c_{j-1} h+\phi_{j-1}\right), \\
& R_{j+1} \sinh \left(j c_{j+1} h+\theta_{j+1}\right)=R_{j} \sinh \left(j c_{j} h+\theta_{j}\right), \\
& c_{j+1} R_{j+1} \cosh \left(j c_{j+1} h+\theta_{j+1}\right)=c_{j} R_{j} \cosh \left(j c_{j} h+\theta_{j}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
& R_{n-1} \sinh \left((n-2) c_{n-1} h+\theta_{n-1}\right)=R_{n-2} \sinh \left((n-2) c_{n-2} h+\theta_{n-2}\right), \\
& c_{n-1} R_{n-1} \cosh \left((n-2) c_{n-1} h+\theta_{n-1}\right) \\
&=c_{n-2} R_{n-2} \cosh \left((n-2) c_{n-2} h+\theta_{n-2}\right), \\
& R_{n} \cosh \left((n-1) c_{n} h+\theta_{n}\right)=R_{n-1} \sinh \left((n-1) c_{n-1} h+\theta_{n-1}\right), \\
& c_{n} R_{n} \sinh \left((n-1) c_{n} h+\theta_{n}\right)=c_{n-1} R_{n-1} \cosh \left((n-1) c_{n-1} h+\theta_{n-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)=0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we introduce the angles

$$
\psi_{k}=(k-1) c_{k} h+\phi_{k}
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots, j-1$ and

$$
\chi_{k}=(k-1) c_{k} h+\theta_{k}
$$

for $k=j, j+1, \ldots, n$, and we divide the first $2 n-2$ equations pairwise in system (12) to obtain
and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tan \psi_{2} & =-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \cot c_{1} h, \\
\tan \psi_{3} & =\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}} \tan \left(c_{2} h+\psi_{2}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
\tan \psi_{j-1} & =\frac{c_{j-1}}{c_{j-2}} \tan \left(c_{j-2} h+\psi_{j-2}\right),  \tag{13}\\
\tanh \chi_{j} & =\frac{c_{j}}{c_{j-1}} \tan \left(c_{j-1} h+\psi_{j-1}\right), \\
\tanh \chi_{j+1} & =\frac{c_{j+1}}{c_{j}} \tanh \left(c_{j} h+\chi_{j}\right), \\
& \vdots \\
\tanh \chi_{n-1} & =\frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{n-2}} \tanh \left(c_{n-2} h+\chi_{n-2}\right), \\
\operatorname{coth} \chi_{n} & =\frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1}} \tanh \left(c_{n-1} h+\chi_{n-1}\right), \\
c_{n}+\theta_{n} & =n h c_{n}+\left(\chi_{n}-(n-1) c_{n}\right) \\
& =c_{n} h+\chi_{n}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

Finally, by setting

$$
z_{k}=\tan \psi_{k}
$$

for $k=2,3, \ldots, j-1$,

$$
z_{k}=\tanh \chi_{k}
$$

for $k=j, j+1, \ldots, n-1$, and

$$
z_{n}=\operatorname{coth} \chi_{n}
$$

we obtain the following $n$ equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \cot c_{1} h, \\
& z_{2}=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}} \frac{z_{2}+\tan c_{2} h}{1-z_{2} \tan c_{2} h}, \\
& \vdots \\
& z_{j-1}=\frac{c_{j-1}}{c_{j-2}} \frac{z_{j-2}+\tan c_{j-2} h}{1-z_{j-2} \tan c_{j-2} h},  \tag{14}\\
& z_{j}=\frac{c_{j}}{c_{j-1}} \frac{z_{j-1}+\tan c_{j-1} h}{1-z_{j-1} \tan c_{j-1} h}, \\
& z_{j+1}=\frac{c_{j+1}}{c_{j}} \frac{z_{j}+\tanh c_{j} h}{1+z_{j} \tanh c_{j} h}, \\
& \vdots \\
& z_{n-1}=\frac{c_{n-1}}{c_{n-2}} \frac{z_{n-2}+\tanh c_{n-2} h}{1+z_{n} \tanh c_{n-2} h}, \\
& z_{n}=\frac{c_{n}}{c_{n-1}} \frac{z_{n-1}+\tanh c_{n-1} h}{1+z_{n-1} \tanh c_{n-1} h}, \\
& c_{n} h+\operatorname{coth}{ }^{-1} z_{n}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

and

Any of the standard numerical methods may be used to solve these nonlinear equations simultaneously and thereby obtain an upper bound for $\lambda_{1}$. The preceding derivation can be repeated verbatim except with $a_{k}$, the minimum value of $q(x)$ where $(k-1) h \leqslant x \leqslant k h$, to obtain a lower bound for $\lambda_{1}$. Of course the transitional value $x=(j-1) h$ will be different.

The modifications that must be made to system (14) to accommodate the general positive function $q(x)$ and the general boundary conditions $0 \leqslant a$, $b \leqslant \infty$ are embarrassingly simple. First of all, let us consider all the equations in system (14) except the first and the last. The only decision that must be made for the general $q(x)$ is whether $\lambda_{1}<a_{k}$ or $\lambda_{1}>a_{k}$ in the $k$ th interval. If $\lambda_{1}<a_{k}$, the $k$ th equations of system (14) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k+1}=\frac{c_{k+1}}{c_{k}} \frac{z_{k}+\tanh c_{k} h}{1+z_{k} \tanh c_{k} h} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, if $\lambda_{1}>a_{k}$, the $k$ th equation of system (14) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k+1}=\frac{c_{k+1}}{c_{k}} \frac{z_{k}+\tan c_{k} h}{1-z_{k} \tan c_{k} h} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, we are reduced to looking at the modifications required in the first and the last equations of system (14).

In the first equation, if $\lambda_{1}>a_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\tan \left(c_{1} h+\phi_{1}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\cos \phi_{1}=1 / P_{1}, \quad \sin \phi_{1}=a c_{1} / P_{1}
$$

and

$$
P_{1}^{2}=a^{2} c_{1}^{2}+1
$$

with $a=\infty$ assumed to mean $\phi_{1}=\pi / 2$. If $\lambda_{1}<a_{1}$, then the first equation can be either

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\tanh \left(c_{1} h+\theta_{1}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a c_{1}<1$, where

$$
\cosh \theta_{1}=1 / R_{1}, \quad \sinh \theta_{1}=a c_{1} / R_{1}
$$

and

$$
R_{1}^{2}=1-a^{2} c_{1}^{2}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{2}=\operatorname{coth}\left(c_{1} h+\theta_{1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $a c_{1}>1$, where

$$
\cosh \theta_{1}=a c_{1} / R_{1}, \quad \sinh \theta_{1}=1 / R_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{1}^{2}=a^{2} c_{1}^{2}-1 \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case we considered in system (14) falls under the option $\lambda_{1}>a_{1}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{I}}=\pi / 2$. Thus, our first equation is

$$
z_{2}=\tan \left(c_{1} h+\pi / 2\right)=-\cot c_{1} h .
$$

The last equation also has an option. If $a_{n}<\lambda_{1}$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \left(c_{n}+\phi_{n}\right)+b c_{n} \cos \left(c_{n}+\phi_{n}\right)=0 \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for our last equation in system (14), where by $b=\infty$ we mean

$$
\cos \left(c_{n}+\phi_{n}\right)=0
$$

In the other case, $a_{n}>\lambda_{1}$, we have a second choice for the final equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sinh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)+b c_{n} \cosh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $b c_{n}<1$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)+b c_{n} \sinh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)=0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $b c_{n}>1$. The extreme case of $b=\infty$ is taken from Eq. (25) to be

$$
\sinh \left(c_{n}+\theta_{n}\right)=0
$$

This is the case we had in system (14). A sequence of upper and lower bounds can be obtained by further subdividing the unit interval.

The other eigenvalues, $\lambda_{2} \leqslant \lambda_{3}<\cdots$, can also be found by the above procedure since some of the equations in the system will be changed; for example, $\lambda_{1}<a_{m}$ but $\lambda_{2}>a_{m}$ for some $m$.

It should be noted that as we successively, bound the eigenvalues, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots$, we shall eventually have $\lambda_{i}>\max q(x)$ on the unit interval, so that our last equation in system (14) will always be Eq. (23). This is the one we need, naturally, since it alone supplies an infinite number of solutions (one for each eigenvalue). For example, if $b=0$, Eq. (23) becomes

$$
\sin \left(c_{n}+\phi_{n}\right)=0
$$

or

$$
c_{n}+\phi_{n}=m \pi
$$

where $m$ assumes positive integral values and therefore accounts for the infinite supply of eigenvalues.

One final observation is that one can find very crude approximations for $\lambda_{i}$ by replacing $q(x)$ by its maximum (or minimum) value on the unit interval and thereby obtain an upper (or lower) bound on $\lambda_{i}$. This is useful in making an initial guess for $\lambda_{i}$.

## 3. Examples

We shall now present results for four examples, using the above procedure.
Example I.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+x y=\lambda y \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}(0)=0=y^{\prime}(1) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0 \leqslant q(x) \leqslant 1$ on the unit interval, we know that $\lambda_{1}$ is somewhere between zero and one. With an initial guess of $\lambda=0.4$ for a lower bound on the first eigenvalue of problem (26) and with $n=4$, we shall then try to satisfy the following system:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{2}=-\frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}} \cot \frac{c_{1}}{4} \\
& z_{3}=\frac{c_{3}}{c_{2}} \frac{z_{2}+\tan \left(c_{2} / 4\right)}{1-z_{2} \tan \left(c_{2} / 4\right)} \\
& z_{4}=\frac{c_{4}}{c_{3}} \frac{z_{3}+\tanh \left(c_{3} / 4\right)}{1+z_{3} \tanh \left(c_{3} / 4\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
c_{4}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)+\operatorname{coth}^{-1} z_{4}=0
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{1}=(0.4-0)^{1 / 2}, \quad c_{2}=(0.4-0.25)^{1 / 2} \\
c_{3}= & (0.5-0.4)^{1 / 2}, \quad \text { and } \quad c_{4}=(0.75-0.4)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since it is unlikely that $\lambda=0.4$ will exactly satisfy system (27), the common numerical techniques may be employed to adjust $\lambda$. The middle two equations of system (27) are flexible; i.e., depending on the value of $\lambda$, the right sides may involve either $\tan \left(c_{i} / 4\right)$ or $\tanh \left(c_{i} / 4\right)$.

A similar system would also exist for finding an upper bound for $\lambda$ in problem (26), except $c_{i}=\left(\left|\lambda-a_{i}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}$ where now $a_{i}=\max q(x)$ on $(i-1) h \leqslant x \leqslant i h$.

Table I summarizes the sequences of upper and lower bounds for the first two eigenvalues of problem (26) with $n=2^{k+1}$ for $k=1,2, \ldots, 8$.

TABLE I

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 356 | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 0.3675 | 0.4294 | 0.4605 | 0.4761 | 0.4839 | 0.4878 | 0.4897 | 0.4907 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 0.6175 | 0.5544 | 0.5230 | 0.5073 | 0.499 | 0.4956 | 0.4936 | 0.4926 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 |  |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 10.251 | 10.314 | 10.345 | 10.361 | 10.368 | 10.372 | 10.374 |  |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 10.501 | 10.439 | 10.407 | 10.392 | 10.384 | 10.380 | 10.378 |  |

Example II. Table II summarizes the sequences of upper and lower bounds for the first two eigenvalues of the problem
$-y^{\prime \prime}+\left(x^{2}+4 x+1\right) y=\lambda y \quad$ and $\quad y(0)=0=y(1)+y^{\prime}(1)$.
TABLE II

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 7.518 | 7.860 | 8.027 | 8.111 | 8.152 | 8.173 | 8.183 | 8.189 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 8.836 | 8.519 | 8.357 | 8.276 | 8.235 | 8.214 | 8.204 | 8.199 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 27.022 | 27.372 | 27.539 | 27.621 | 27.661 | 27.681 | 27.691 | 27.697 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 28.291 | 28.009 | 27.858 | 27.780 | 27.741 | 27.721 | 27.711 | 27.706 |

Example 1II. Table III summarizes the sequences of upper and lower bounds for the first two eigenvalues of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime \prime} \mid 2 e^{-x} y=\lambda y \quad \text { and } \quad y(0)=0=y(1) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE III

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 10.959 | 11.027 | 11.063 | 11.082 | 11.091 | 11.096 | 11.099 | 11.100 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 11.269 | 11.181 | 11.140 | 11.120 | 11.111 | 11.106 | 11.104 | 11.102 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 40.592 | 40.660 | 40.697 | 40.716 | 40.726 | 40.730 | 40.733 | 40.735 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 40.908 | 40.817 | 40.775 | 40.755 | 40.745 | 40.740 | 40.738 | 40.737 |

Example IV. Table IV summarizes the sequences of upper and lower bounds for the first two eigenvalues of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
-y^{\prime \prime}+20 x e^{-2 x} y=\lambda y \quad \text { and } \quad y(0)=0=y(1)+y^{\prime}(1) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

TABLE IV

| $\lambda_{1}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 7.030 | 7.263 | 7.352 | 7.391 | 7.410 | 7.419 | 7.424 | 7.426 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 7.642 | 7.549 | 7.493 | 7.462 | 7.445 | 7.437 | 7.432 | 7.430 |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | $n=4$ | 8 | 16 | 32 | 64 | 128 | 256 | 512 |
| $\lambda_{L}$ | 26.515 | 26.958 | 27.116 | 27.185 | 27.217 | 27.233 | 27.241 | 27.245 |
| $\lambda_{U}$ | 27.581 | 27.447 | 27.357 | 27.305 | 27.277 | 27.263 | 27.256 | 27.252 |
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