

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 352 (2009) 77–84

---



---

*Journal of*  
**MATHEMATICAL  
 ANALYSIS AND  
 APPLICATIONS**


---



---

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa)

# Boundary behaviour of the unique solution to a singular Dirichlet problem with a convection term <sup>☆</sup>

Zhijun Zhang <sup>\*</sup>, Yiming Guo, Huabing Feng

*School of Mathematics and Informational Science, Yantai University, Yantai, Shandong 264005, PR China*

Received 4 December 2007

Available online 2 February 2008

Submitted by V. Radulescu

## Abstract

By Karamata regular variation theory and constructing comparison functions, we derive that the boundary behaviour of the unique solution to a singular Dirichlet problem  $-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u|^q$ ,  $u > 0$ ,  $x \in \Omega$ ,  $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ , which is independent of  $\lambda|\nabla u_\lambda|^q$ , where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q \in (0, 2]$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$ , and  $b$  is non-negative on  $\Omega$ , which may be vanishing on the boundary.

© 2008 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Semilinear elliptic equations; Dirichlet problem; Singularity; Convection term; Weight; Karamata regular variation theory; Unique solution; Boundary behaviour

## 1. Introduction and the main results

The purpose of this paper is to investigate the boundary behaviour of the unique classical solution to the following model problem

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u) + \lambda|\nabla u|^q, \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain with smooth boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  ( $N \geq 1$ ),  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q \in (0, 2]$ ,  $g$  satisfies

(g<sub>1</sub>)  $g \in C^1((0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ ,  $g'(s) < 0$  for all  $s > 0$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} g(s) = +\infty$ ;

and  $b$  satisfies

(b<sub>1</sub>)  $b \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega})$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , is non-negative in  $\Omega$  and positive near the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .

<sup>☆</sup> This work is supported in part by NNSF of PR China under Grant number 10671169.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* zhangzj@ytu.edu.cn (Z. Zhang).

The problem arises in the study of non-Newtonian fluids, boundary layer phenomena for viscous fluids, chemical heterogeneous catalysts, as well as in the theory of heat conduction in electrical materials (see [4,7,10,17,21]).

For  $\lambda = 0$ , i.e., problem (1.1) reads the following one

$$-\Delta u = b(x)g(u), \quad u > 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Problem (1.2) was discussed in a number of works; see, for instance, [3,4,7,8,13–15,20–24,26,27].

For  $b \equiv 1$  on  $\Omega$ : when  $g$  satisfies  $(g_1)$ , Fulks and Maybee [7], Stuart [21], Crandall, Rabinowitz and Tartar [4] showed that problem (1.2) has a unique solution  $u \in C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega})$ . Moreover, Theorems 2.2 and 2.5 in [4] showed that if  $\varphi_1 \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a]$  is the local solution to the problem

$$-\varphi_1''(s) = g(\varphi_1(s)), \quad \varphi_1(s) > 0, \quad 0 < s < a, \quad \varphi_1(0) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

then there exist positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that

$$(I) \quad C_1\varphi_1(d(x)) \leq u(x) \leq C_2\varphi_1(d(x)) \text{ near } \partial\Omega, \text{ where } d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega).$$

In particular, when  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $u$  has the property:

$$(I_1) \quad C_1[d(x)]^{2/(1+\gamma)} \leq u(x) \leq C_2[d(x)]^{2/(1+\gamma)} \text{ near } \partial\Omega.$$

In [15], by constructing a pair of global subsolution and supersolution, Lazer and McKenna showed that  $(I_1)$  continues to hold on  $\overline{\Omega}$ . Then  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  if and only if  $\gamma < 3$ . This is a basic character to problem (1.2) for  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > 0$ .

When  $\lambda = \pm 1$ ,  $0 < q < 2$ ,  $b(x) \equiv 1$  on  $\Omega$  and the function  $g : (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is locally Lipschitz continuous and decreasing, Giarrusso and Porru [11] showed that if  $g$  satisfies the following conditions:

$$(g_2) \quad \int_0^1 g(s) ds = \infty, \quad \int_1^\infty g(s) ds < \infty,$$

$$(g_3) \quad \text{let } G_1(t) = \int_t^\infty g(s) ds, \quad t > 0; \text{ there exist positive constants } \delta \text{ and } M \text{ with } M > 1 \text{ such that } G_1(t) < MG_1(2t), \forall t \in (0, \delta),$$

then the unique solution  $u$  to problem (1.1) has the properties:

$$(II_1) \quad |u(x) - \varphi_2(d(x))| < C_0d(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ for } 0 < q \leq 1;$$

$$(II_2) \quad |u(x) - \varphi_2(d(x))| < C_0d(x)[G_1(\varphi_2(d(x)))]^{(q-1)/2}, \quad \forall x \in \Omega \text{ for } 1 < q < 2, \text{ where } C_0 \text{ is a suitable positive constant and } \varphi_2 \in C[0, \infty) \cap C^2(0, \infty) \text{ is uniquely determined by}$$

$$\int_0^{\varphi_2(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G_1(s)}} = t, \quad t > 0. \tag{1.4}$$

These imply that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x)}{\varphi_2(d(x))} = 1. \tag{1.5}$$

In particular, if  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$ ,  $\gamma > 1$ , then  $\varphi_2(s) = cs^{2/(1+\gamma)}$ ,  $c = [\frac{(1+\gamma)^2}{2(\gamma-1)}]^{1/(1+\gamma)}$ .

For other works, see [5,6,9,10,25,28,30] and the references therein.

Our approach relies on Karamata regular variation functions, which was first introduced and established by Karamata in 1930 and is a basic tool in stochastic process, see [16,18,19], and has been applied to study the boundary behaviour of solutions to boundary blow-up elliptic problems (see [1,2,29]) and singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems (see [24,26–28,30]).

**Definition 1.1.** A positive measurable function  $f$  defined on  $[a, \infty)$ , for some  $a > 0$ , is called *regularly varying at infinity* with index  $\rho$ , written  $f \in RV_\rho$ , if for each  $\xi > 0$  and some  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\xi s)}{f(s)} = \xi^\rho. \tag{1.6}$$

In particular, when  $\rho = 0$ ,  $f$  is called *slowly varying at infinity*.

Clearly, if  $g \in RV_\rho$ , then  $L(s) := f(s)/s^\rho$  is slowly varying at infinity.

Some basic examples of slowly varying functions at infinity are:

- (i) every measurable function on  $[a, \infty)$  which has a positive limit at infinity;
- (ii)  $(\ln s)^q$  and  $(\ln(\ln s))^q$ ,  $q \in \mathbb{R}$ ;
- (iii)  $e^{(\ln s)^p}$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ .

We also see that a positive measurable function  $g$  defined on  $(0, a)$  for some  $a > 0$ , is *regularly varying at zero* with index  $\sigma$  (write  $g \in RVZ_\sigma$ ) if  $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$  belongs to  $RV_{-\sigma}$ .

**Proposition 1.1** (Uniform convergence theorem). *If  $f \in RV_\rho$ , then (1.6) holds uniformly for  $\xi \in [a, b]$  with  $0 < a < b$ .*

**Proposition 1.2** (Representation theorem). *A function  $L$  is slowly varying at infinity if and only if it may be written in the form*

$$L(s) = \phi(s) \exp\left(\int_a^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a, \tag{1.7}$$

for some  $a > 0$ , where the functions  $\phi$  and  $y$  are measurable and for  $s \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $y(s) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\phi(s) \rightarrow c_0$ , with  $c_0 > 0$ .

We call that

$$\hat{L}(s) = c_0 \exp\left(\int_a^s \frac{y(\tau)}{\tau} d\tau\right), \quad s \geq a, \tag{1.8}$$

is *normalised slowly varying at infinity* and

$$f(s) = c_0 s^\rho \hat{L}(s), \quad s \geq a, \tag{1.9}$$

is *normalised regularly varying at infinity* with index  $\rho$  (write  $f \in NRV_\rho$ ).

Similarly,  $g$  is called *normalised regularly varying at zero* with index  $\sigma$ , written  $g \in NRVZ_\sigma$  if  $t \rightarrow g(1/t)$  belongs to  $NRV_{-\sigma}$ .

A function  $f \in RV_\rho$  belongs to  $NRV_\rho$  if and only if

$$f \in C^1[b, \infty) \quad \text{for some } b > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{sf'(s)}{f(s)} = \rho. \tag{1.10}$$

In this paper, by Karamata regular variation theory and constructing comparison functions, we derive the boundary behaviour to the unique solution to problem (1.1) for the weight  $b$  which may be vanishing on the boundary.

Our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $q \in (0, 2]$ ,  $b$  satisfy  $(b_1)$ ,  $g$  satisfy  $(g_1)$  and  $g \in NRVZ_{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > 1$ . Suppose that there exist a positive non-decreasing  $C^1$ -function  $k \in NRVZ_{\sigma/2}$  with  $\sigma \in [0, \gamma - 1)$  and a positive constant  $b_0$  such that*

$$(b_2) \quad \lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{b(x)}{k^2(d(x))} = b_0,$$

then the unique solution  $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^2(\Omega)$  to problem (1.1) satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} = \xi_0, \tag{1.11}$$

where  $\xi_0^{-\gamma-1} = \frac{2(\gamma-\sigma-1)}{b_0(2+\sigma)(\gamma-1)}$  and  $\varphi_1 \in C[0, a] \cap C^2(0, a]$  is the solution to problem (1.3), i.e.,

$$\int_0^{\varphi_1(t)} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G_2(s)}} = t, \quad t \in [0, a] \text{ for small } a > 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$K(t) = \int_0^t k(s) ds, \quad t \in [0, a]; \quad G_2(t) = \int_t^b g(s) ds, \quad t \in (0, b], \quad b > 0. \quad (1.13)$$

Moreover,  $\varphi_1 \in NR\mathcal{V}_{2/(1+\gamma)}$  and there exists  $y_2 \in C(0, a]$  with  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y_2(s) = 0$  such that  $\varphi_1(t) = t^{2/(1+\gamma)} e^{\int_t^a \frac{y_2(s)}{s} ds}$ ,  $t \in (0, a]$ .

**Corollary 1.1.** When  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > 1$  in Theorem 1.1,  $u_\lambda$  satisfies

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_\lambda(x)}{[K(d(x))]^{2/(1+\gamma)}} = \left[ \frac{b_0(2+\sigma)(1+\gamma)^2}{4(\gamma-\sigma-1)} \right]^{1/(1+\gamma)}. \quad (1.14)$$

**Remark 1.1.** By (1.12), we see that the asymptotic behaviour of  $u_\lambda$  is independent of  $\lambda|\nabla u_\lambda|^q$ .

**Remark 1.2.** By  $(g_1)$  and the proof of the maximum [12, Theorems 10.1 and 10.2], we see that problem (1.1) has at most one solution in  $C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$ . For the existence of solutions to problem (1.1), see [28].

**Remark 1.3.** Some examples of the functions which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 1.1 are:

- (1)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma}$ , where  $\gamma > 1$ ;
- (2)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} \arctan(u^{-1})$ , where  $\gamma > 1$ ;
- (3)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma_1} (\ln(1+u))^{-\gamma_2}$ , where  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_2 > 0$  and  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > 1$ ;
- (4)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma_1} (e^u - 1)^{-\gamma_2}$ , where  $\gamma_1 > 0$ ,  $\gamma_2 > 0$  and  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 > 1$ ;
- (5)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} (-\ln u)^p$ , where  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < u < a < 1$ ;
- (6)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} e^{(-\ln u)^p}$ , where  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p \in (0, 1)$ ,  $0 < u < a < 1$ ;
- (7)  $g(u) = u^{-\gamma} (\ln(-\ln u))^p$ , where  $\gamma > 1$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $0 < u < a < 1$ .

**Remark 1.4.** The key of the paper is the estimation of  $|\nabla u|^q$  which is very different from that one in [28] and [30] where the weight  $b$  is singular on the boundary.

The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall some basic the properties to Karamata regular variation theory. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 3.

## 2. Some basic definitions and the properties to Karamata regular variation theory

We recall some basic properties to Karamata regular variation theory (see [16,18,19]).

**Proposition 2.1.** If functions  $L, L_1$  are slowly varying at infinity, then:

- (i)  $L^\sigma$  for every  $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $c_1 L + c_2 L_1$  ( $c_1 \geq 0$ ,  $c_2 \geq 0$  with  $c_1 + c_2 > 0$ ),  $L \circ L_1$  (if  $L_1(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ) are also slowly varying at infinity.
- (ii) For every  $\theta > 0$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,

$$t^\theta L(t) \rightarrow +\infty, \quad t^{-\theta} L(t) \rightarrow 0.$$

- (iii) For  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $\ln(L(t))/\ln t \rightarrow 0$  and  $\ln(t^\rho L(t))/\ln t \rightarrow \rho$ .

**Proposition 2.2** (Asymptotic behaviour). *If a function  $H$  is slowly varying at zero, then for  $a > 0$  and  $t \rightarrow 0^+$ :*

- (i)  $\int_0^t s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (\beta + 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t)$ , for  $\beta > -1$ ;
- (ii)  $\int_t^a s^\beta H(s) ds \cong (-\beta - 1)^{-1} t^{1+\beta} H(t)$ , for  $\beta < -1$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be non-decreasing on  $\mathbb{R}$ , we define (as in [18]) the inverse of  $\Psi$  by

$$\Psi^{\leftarrow}(t) = \inf\{s: \Psi(s) \geq t\}. \tag{2.1}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** (See [18, Proposition 0.8].) *The following hold:*

- (i) if  $f_1 \in RV_{\rho_1}$ ,  $f_2 \in RV_{\rho_2}$ , then  $f_1 \cdot f_2 \in RV_{\rho_1+\rho_2}$ ;
- (ii) if  $f_1 \in RV_{\rho_1}$ ,  $f_2 \in RV_{\rho_2}$ , with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} f_2(t) = +\infty$ , then  $f_1 \circ f_2 \in RV_{\rho_1\rho_2}$ ;
- (iii) if  $f$  is non-decreasing on  $(a, \infty)$ ,  $f \in RV_\rho$  with  $\rho > 0$ , then  $f^{\leftarrow} \in RV_{\rho-1}$ .

By the above propositions, we can directly obtain the following results.

**Corollary 2.1.** *If  $g$  satisfies (g<sub>1</sub>) and  $g \in NRVZ_{-\gamma}$  with  $\gamma > 1$ , then:*

- (i)  $g(t) = t^{-\gamma} \exp(\int_t^a \frac{y(s)}{s} ds)$ ,  $0 < t < a$ ,  $y \in C(0, a]$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y(s) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} g(t) = +\infty = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} G_2(t)$ ;  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(t)}{g(t)} = 0 = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{G_2(t)}}{g(t)}$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(t)}{tg(t)} = \frac{1}{\gamma-1}$ ;  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tg'(t)}{g(t)} = -\gamma$ .

**Corollary 2.2.**  *$k$  in Theorem 1.1 has the following properties:*

- (i)  $k(t) = t^{\sigma/2} \exp(\int_t^a \frac{y_1(s)}{s} ds)$  for  $t \in (0, a)$ ,  $y_1 \in C(0, a]$ ,  $\lim_{s \rightarrow 0^+} y_1(s) = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{k(t)} = 0$ ;  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk'(t)}{k(t)} = \frac{\sigma}{2}$ ;  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{tk(t)} = \frac{2}{2+\sigma}$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k'(t)K(t)}{k^2(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{tk'(t)}{k(t)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{K(t)}{tk(t)} = \frac{\sigma}{2+\sigma}$ .

### 3. The exact asymptotic behaviour

First we give some preliminary considerations.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Under the assumption in Theorem 1.1:*

- (i)  $\varphi_1 \in NRVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$ ;
- (ii)  $(g \circ \varphi_1 \circ K)^{q-1} \cdot K^q \cdot k^{q-2} \in RVZ_\beta$  with  $\beta = \frac{(2-q)\gamma+q(\sigma+1)-\sigma}{1+\gamma}$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $f_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G_2(s)}}$ ,  $\forall t \in (0, a)$ . By l'Hospital's rule and Proposition 2.3(iii), we can easily see that  $f_1 \in RVZ_{(1+\gamma)/2}$  and  $\varphi_1 = f_1^{-1} \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$ . Moreover, we see by (1.10), the following Lemma 3.2(i) and Proposition 2.2(i) that  $\varphi_1' \in NRVZ_{-(\gamma-1)/(\gamma+1)}$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{t\varphi_1'(t)}{\varphi_1(t)} = \frac{2}{\gamma+1}$ . Thus  $\varphi_1 \in RVZ_{2/(1+\gamma)}$ .

(ii) follows by (i) and Proposition 2.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $g$ ,  $k$  and  $\varphi_1$  be as in Theorem 1.1, then:*

- (i)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_1'(t)}{t\varphi_1''(t)} = -\frac{\gamma+1}{\gamma-1}$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^q}{\varphi_1''(t)} = 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 2]$ ;
- (iii)  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k^q(t)(\varphi_1'(K(t)))^q}{k^2(t)\varphi_1''(K(t))} = 0$ ,  $q \in (0, 2]$ .

**Proof.** We see by (1.12) and a direct calculation that

$$\varphi_1'(t) = \sqrt{2G_2(\varphi(t))}, \quad -\varphi_1''(t) = g(\varphi_1(t)), \quad 0 < t < a.$$

(i) It follows by Corollary 2.1 and l'Hospital's rule that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\varphi_1'(t)}{t\varphi_1''(t)} &= - \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2G_2(\varphi(t))}}{tg(\varphi_1(t))} = - \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\sqrt{2G_2(u)}/g(u)}{\int_0^u \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2G_2(s)}}} = - \left( 1 + 2 \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g'(u)G_2(u)}{g^2(u)} \right) \\ &= - \left( 1 + 2 \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{ug'(u)}{g(u)} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G_2(u)}{ug(u)} \right) = - \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) It follows by Corollary 2.1 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^2}{\varphi_1''(t)} = -2 \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{G(u)}{g(u)} = 0.$$

Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi_1'(t) = +\infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^q}{\varphi_1''(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{(\varphi_1'(t))^2}{\varphi_1''(t)} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (\varphi_1'(t))^{q-2} = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < q < 2.$$

(iii) When  $q = 2$ , (ii) implies (iii). For  $q \in (0, 2)$ , since  $\gamma > 1$  and  $\sigma \in [0, \gamma - 1)$ , we see that  $q(1 + \sigma) > \sigma$  for  $q \in [1, 2)$  and  $(2 - q)\gamma > \gamma > \sigma$  for  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Thus  $(2 - q)\gamma + q(1 + \sigma) - \sigma > 0$  for  $q \in (0, 2)$ . Since  $\beta > 0$ , we see by Lemma 3.1(ii) and Proposition 2.1(ii) that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (g(\varphi_1(K(t))))^{q-1} K^q(t) k^{q-2}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} t^\beta H(t) = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $H$  is slowly varying at zero.

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{k^q(t)(\varphi_1'(K(t)))^q}{k^2(t)\varphi_1''(K(t))} &= \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \left( \frac{\varphi_1'(K(t))}{-K(t)\varphi_1''(K(t))} \right)^q \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (-\varphi_1''(K(t)))^{q-1} K^q(t) k^{q-2}(t) \\ &= \left( \frac{\gamma + 1}{\gamma - 1} \right)^q \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} (g(\varphi_1(K(t))))^{q-1} K^q(t) k^{q-2}(t) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is finished.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\xi_0^{-(1+\gamma)} = \tau_0/b_0$ , where

$$\tau_0 = \frac{2(\gamma - \sigma - 1)}{(2 + \sigma)(\gamma - 1)} > 0, \quad 1 - \tau_0 = \frac{2(\gamma + 1)}{(2 + \sigma)(\gamma - 1)} > 0.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon \in (0, \tau_0/4)$  and let

$$\xi_{1\varepsilon} = \left( \frac{b_0}{\tau_0 - 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}, \quad \xi_{2\varepsilon} = \left( \frac{b_0}{\tau_0 + 2\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

It follows that

$$\left( \frac{2b_0}{3\tau_0} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)} = C_1 < \xi_{2\varepsilon} < \xi_0 < \xi_{1\varepsilon} < C_2 = \left( \frac{2b_0}{\tau_0} \right)^{1/(1+\gamma)}.$$

Since  $\partial\Omega \in C^2$ , there exists a constant  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0/2)$  which only depends on  $\Omega$  such that

(i)  $d(x) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_\delta})$  and  $|\nabla d| \equiv 1$  on  $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega: d(x) < \delta\}$ .

By (b<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>2</sub>), Corollary 2.2 and Lemma 3.2, we see that corresponding to  $\varepsilon$ , there is  $\delta_\varepsilon \in (0, \delta)$  sufficiently small such that:

(ii) for  $i = 1, 2$ ,

$$\left| \frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(s)}{s\varphi_1''(s)} - (\tau_0 - 1) + \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(s)}{s\varphi_1''(s)} \Delta d(x) + \frac{\lambda \xi_{i\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{(\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^q}{\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right| < \varepsilon,$$

$$\forall (x, s) \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} \times (0, \delta_\varepsilon);$$

(iii)  $\frac{\xi_{2\varepsilon} k^2(d(x)) g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 + \varepsilon) < b(x) < \frac{\xi_{1\varepsilon} k^2(d(x)) g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))} (\tau_0 - \varepsilon), \quad x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}.$

Let  $\bar{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x)))$ ,  $\underline{u}_\varepsilon = \xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x)))$ ,  $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$ . We see that for  $x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda |\nabla \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^q \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1''(K(d(x))) k^2(d(x)) + \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1'(K(d(x))) k'(d(x)) + \xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1'(K(d(x))) k(d(x)) \Delta d(x) \\ & \quad + b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x)))) + \lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^q (\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^q k^q(d(x)) \\ &= \xi_{1\varepsilon} g(\varphi_1(K(d(x)))) k^2(d(x)) \left[ \frac{b(x)g(\xi_{1\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{1\varepsilon} k^2(d(x))g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda \xi_{1\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ & \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) + b(x)g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)) + \lambda |\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)|^q \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1''(K(d(x))) k^2(d(x)) + \xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1'(K(d(x))) k'(d(x)) + \xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1'(K(d(x))) k(d(x)) \Delta d(x) \\ & \quad + b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x)))) + \lambda \xi_{2\varepsilon}^q k^q(d(x)) (\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^q \\ &= \xi_{2\varepsilon} g(\varphi_1(K(d(x)))) k^2(d(x)) \left[ \frac{b(x)g(\xi_{2\varepsilon} \varphi_1(K(d(x))))}{\xi_{2\varepsilon} k^2(d(x))g(\varphi_1(K(d(x))))} - \tau_0 \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \left( \frac{k'(d(x))K(d(x))}{k^2(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} - (\tau_0 - 1) \right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{K(d(x))}{k(d(x))} \frac{\varphi_1'(K(d(x)))}{K(d(x))\varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \Delta d(x) - \frac{\lambda \xi_{2\varepsilon}^{q-1} k^q(d(x)) (\varphi_1'(K(d(x))))^q}{k^2(d(x)) \varphi_1''(K(d(x)))} \right] \\ & \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u_\lambda \in C(\bar{\Omega}) \cap C^{2+\alpha}(\Omega)$  be the unique solution to problem (1.1). We assert  $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) \leq u_\lambda(x) \leq \bar{u}_\varepsilon(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon}$ . In fact, denote  $\Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} = \Omega_{\delta_+} \cup \Omega_{\delta_-}$ , where  $\Omega_{\delta_+} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : u_\lambda(x) \geq \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)\}$  and  $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \{x \in \Omega_{\delta_\varepsilon} : u_\lambda(x) < \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x)\}$ . We need to show  $\Omega_{\delta_-} = \emptyset$ . Assume the contrary, we see that there exists  $x_0 \in \Omega_{\delta_-}$  (note that  $\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) = u_\lambda(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \partial\Omega_{\delta_-}$ ) such that

$$0 < \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) - u_\lambda(x_0) = \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}_{\delta_-}} (\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x) - u_\lambda(x))$$

and

$$\nabla \underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0) = \nabla u_\lambda(x_0), \quad \Delta(\underline{u}_\varepsilon - u_\lambda)(x_0) \leq 0.$$

On the other hand, we see by (b<sub>1</sub>) and (g<sub>1</sub>) that

$$-\Delta(u_\lambda - \underline{u}_\varepsilon)(x_0) = b(x_0)(g(\underline{u}_\varepsilon(x_0)) - g(u_\lambda(x_0))) < 0,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence  $\Omega_{\delta-} = \emptyset$ , i.e.,  $u(x) \geq u_{\varepsilon}(x)$  in  $\Omega_{\delta}$ . In the same way, we can see that  $u_{\lambda}(x) \leq \bar{u}_{\varepsilon}(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \Omega_{\delta}$ . It follows that

$$\xi_{2\varepsilon} \leq \liminf_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_{\lambda}(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} \leq \limsup_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_{\lambda}(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} \leq \xi_{1\varepsilon}.$$

Thus let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we see that

$$\lim_{d(x) \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_{\lambda}(x)}{\varphi_1(K(d(x)))} = \xi_0.$$

The last part of the proof follows from Lemma 3.1(i).  $\square$

## References

- [1] F. Cirstea, V.D. Rădulescu, Uniqueness of the blow-up boundary solution of logistic equations with absorption, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* 335 (2002) 447–452.
- [2] F. Cirstea, V.D. Rădulescu, Nonlinear problems with boundary blow-up: A Karamata regular variation theory approach, *Asymptot. Anal.* 46 (2006) 275–298.
- [3] F. Cirstea, M. Ghergu, V.D. Rădulescu, Combined effects of asymptotically linear and singular nonlinearities in bifurcation problems of Lane–Emden–Fowler type, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (J. Liouville)* 84 (2005) 493–508.
- [4] M.G. Crandall, P.H. Rabinowitz, L. Tartar, On a Dirichlet problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* 2 (1977) 193–222.
- [5] F. Cuccu, E. Giarrusso, G. Porru, Boundary behaviour for solutions of elliptic singular equations with a gradient term, *Nonlinear Anal.*, in press.
- [6] S. Cui, Existence and nonexistence of positive solutions for singular semilinear elliptic boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 41 (2000) 149–176.
- [7] W. Fulks, J.S. Maybee, A singular nonlinear elliptic equation, *Osaka J. Math.* 12 (1960) 1–19.
- [8] M. Ghergu, V.D. Rădulescu, Bifurcation and asymptotics for the Lane–Emden–Fowler equation, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* 337 (2003) 259–264.
- [9] M. Ghergu, V.D. Rădulescu, Bifurcation for a class of singular elliptic problems with a quadratic convection term, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I* 338 (2004) 831–836.
- [10] M. Ghergu, V. Rădulescu, Multiparameter bifurcation and asymptotics for the singular Lane–Emden–Fowler equation with a convection term, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 135 (2005) 61–84.
- [11] E. Giarrusso, G. Porru, Boundary behaviour of solutions to nonlinear elliptic singular problems, in: J.C. Misra (Ed.), *Appl. Math. in the Golden Age*, Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India, 2003, pp. 163–178.
- [12] D. Gilbarg, N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order*, third ed., Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
- [13] C. Gui, F.H. Lin, Regularity of an elliptic problem with a singular nonlinearity, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 123 (1993) 1021–1029.
- [14] A.V. Lair, A.W. Shaker, Classical and weak solutions of a singular elliptic problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 211 (1997) 371–385.
- [15] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On a singular elliptic boundary value problem, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 111 (1991) 721–730.
- [16] V. Maric, *Regular Variation and Differential Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1726, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [17] A. Nachman, A. Callegari, A nonlinear singular boundary value problem in the theory of pseudoplastic fluids, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 28 (1980) 275–281.
- [18] S.I. Resnick, *Extreme Values, Regular Variation, and Point Processes*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, 1987.
- [19] R. Seneta, *Regular Varying Functions*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 508, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [20] J. Shi, M. Yao, Positive solutions for elliptic equations with a singular nonlinearity, *Electron. J. Differential Equations* 2005 (4) (2005) 1–11.
- [21] C.A. Stuart, Existence and approximation of solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Math. Z.* 147 (1976) 53–63.
- [22] H. Usami, On a singular nonlinear elliptic boundary value problem in a ball, *Nonlinear Anal.* 13 (1989) 1163–1170.
- [23] Z. Zhang, J. Cheng, Existence and optimal estimates of solutions for singular nonlinear Dirichlet problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 57 (2004) 473–484.
- [24] Z. Zhang, The asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution for the singular Lane–Emden–Fowler equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 312 (2005) 33–43.
- [25] Z. Zhang, J. Yu, On a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem with a convection term, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 32 (2000) 916–927.
- [26] Z. Zhang, J. Yu, The exact asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution to a singular nonlinear Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 329 (2007) 1330–1342.
- [27] Z. Zhang, J. Yu, The exact asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution to a singular Dirichlet problem, *Bound. Value Probl.* 2006 (2006), Article ID 75674, 10 pp.
- [28] Z. Zhang, The existence and asymptotical behaviour of the unique solution near the boundary to a singular Dirichlet problem with a convection term, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 136 (2006) 209–222.
- [29] Z. Zhang, Boundary blow-up elliptic problems with nonlinear gradient terms, *J. Differential Equations* 228 (2006) 661–684.
- [30] Z. Zhang, Asymptotic behaviour of the unique solution to a singular elliptic problem with nonlinear convection term and singular weight, *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.*, in press.