

Generalized Information Functions

ZOLTÁN DARÓCZY

Department of Mathematics, University of L. Kossuth, Debrecen, Hungary

The concept of information functions of type β ($\beta > 0$) is introduced and discussed. By means of these information functions the entropies of type β are defined. These entropies have a number of interesting algebraic and analytic properties similar to Shannon's entropy. The capacity of type β ($\beta > 1$) of a discrete constant channel is defined by means of the entropy of type β . Examples are given for the computation of the capacity of type β , from which the Shannon's capacity can be derived as the limiting case $\beta = 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of information functions has been introduced by the author [2].

DEFINITION 1. We call the real function f defined in $[0, 1]$ an *information function* if it satisfies the boundary conditions

$$f(0) = f(1); \quad f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1, \quad (1.1)$$

and the functional equation

$$f(x) + (1-x)f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = f(y) + (1-y)f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$, where

$$D = \{(x, y): 0 \leq x < 1, 0 \leq y < 1, x + y \leq 1\}. \quad (1.3)$$

If f is an information function and (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) ($p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$) is a finite discrete probability distribution, then we define the *entropy* of the distribution (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) with respect to f by the quantity

$$H_n^f(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=2}^n s_i f\left(\frac{p_i}{s_i}\right), \quad (s_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i; i = 2, \dots, n). \quad (1.4)$$

In (1.4) the convention $0f(0/0) = 0$ is adopted. We summarize the known results on the information functions in the following

THEOREM 1. *Let f be an information function. If f is*

- (a) *measurable in the open interval $(0, 1)$, or*
- (b) *continuous at the point $x = 0$, or*
- (c) *nonnegative bounded in $[0, 1]$, then we have*

$$f(x) = S(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1],$$

where $S(x)$ is the Shannon's information function defined by

$$S(x) = \begin{cases} -x \log_2 x - (1-x) \log_2(1-x) & \text{if } x \in (0, 1) \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } x = 1. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The entropy of a probability distribution (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) with respect to S is the Shannon's entropy

$$H_n^s(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = H_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_2 p_i. \quad (1.6)$$

This theorem was proved by Lee [9] under assumption (a). The proof of the theorem can be found in Daróczy [2] under assumption (b). Finally, the theorem was proved by Daróczy and Kátai [4] in case (c). This theorem contains the results of Fadeev [6], Tverberg [11], Kendall [8] and Borges [1]. It is important to remark that there are information functions different from S (see Lee [9] or Daróczy [2]).

In this paper we generalize the concept of information functions as following.

DEFINITION 2. Let β be a positive number. We call the real function f defined in $[0, 1]$ an *information function of type β* if it satisfies the boundary conditions (1.1) and the functional equation

$$f(x) + (1-x)^\beta f\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) = f(y) + (1-y)^\beta f\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) \quad (1.7)$$

for all $(x, y) \in D$.

On the analogy of the entropy H_n^f we define the *entropy of type β* of a probability distribution (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) by the quantity

$$H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \sum_{i=2}^n s_i^\beta f\left(\frac{p_i}{s_i}\right), \quad (s_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i; i = 2, \dots, n) \quad (1.8)$$

where f is an information function of type β .

It is clear that an information function is an information function of type β with $\beta = 1$.

In Section 2 of this paper we determine all information functions of type β with $\beta \neq 1$. In the discussion of Section 3, we summarize the algebraic properties of the entropy of type β and we give another characterization for these entropies. In Section 4 we consider the analytic properties of the entropy of type β . In Section 5 we define the joint and conditional entropy of type β of two discrete finite random variables and we discuss the properties of these quantities. In Section 6 we define and consider the capacity of type β of a discrete constant channel by means of the conditional entropy of type β , where $\beta > 1$.

2. INFORMATION FUNCTIONS OF TYPE β

It may be seen, that we have a number of interesting results on the information functions. Therefore, the following theorem is very unexpected.

THEOREM 2. *Let f be an information function of type β with $\beta \neq 1$. Then we have*

$$f(x) = S_\beta(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1],$$

where

$$S_\beta(x) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} [x^\beta + (1-x)^\beta - 1] \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let f be an information function of type β ($\beta > 0$). If we take $x = 0$ in (1.7), then we have $f(0) = 0$. Taking $y = 1 - x$ into (1.7), we obtain by $f(1) = f(0) = 0$

$$f(x) = f(1 - x) \quad (2.2)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$.

Let p, q be two arbitrary numbers from the open interval $(0, 1)$. We take $p = 1 - x$ and $q = y/1 - x$ in (1.7), then we obtain by (2.2)

$$f(p) + p^\beta f(q) = f(pq) + (1 - pq)^\beta f\left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right). \quad (2.3)$$

We shall prove the following assertion. If $p, q \in (0, 1)$ are arbitrary, then the function $F(p, q)$ defined by

$$F(p, q) = f(p) + [p^\beta + (1 - p)^\beta]f(q) \quad (2.4)$$

is *symmetric*, i.e.

$$F(p, q) = F(q, p). \quad (2.5)$$

This assertion is trivial in the case $\beta = 1$. Let us put $\beta \neq 1$ ($\beta > 0$), then we have by (2.3)

$$\begin{aligned} F(p, q) &= f(p) + p^\beta f(q) + (1 - p)^\beta f(q) \\ &= f(pq) + (1 - pq)^\beta f\left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right) + (1 - p)^\beta f(q) \\ &= f(pq) + (1 - pq)^\beta \left[f\left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right)^\beta f(q) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for all $p, q \in (0, 1)$. On the other hand, we obtain from (2.3) and (2.2) with the notation $p^* = 1 - p/1 - pq$

$$\begin{aligned} A(p, q) &= f\left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - p}{1 - pq}\right)^\beta f(q) \\ &= f(p^*) + p^{*\beta} f(q) = f(p^*q) + (1 - p^*q)^\beta f\left(\frac{1 - p^*}{1 - p^*q}\right) \\ &= f(1 - p^*q) + (1 - p^*q)^\beta f\left(\frac{1 - p^*}{1 - p^*q}\right) \\ &= f\left(\frac{1 - q}{1 - pq}\right) + \left(\frac{1 - q}{1 - pq}\right)^\beta f(p) = A(q, p). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.6)

$$F(p, q) - F(q, p) = (1 - pq)^\beta [A(p, q) - A(q, p)] = 0.$$

Thus, the assertion (2.5) is proved. We now take $q = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2.5), then we have by the definition of $F(p, q)$ and by the boundary condition $f(\frac{1}{2}) = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F(p, \tfrac{1}{2}) - F(\tfrac{1}{2}, p) \\ &= f(p) + [p^\beta + (1-p)^\beta] - 1 - \frac{1}{2^{\beta-1}}f(p), \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows

$$f(p) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} [p^\beta + (1-p)^\beta - 1]$$

for all $p \in (0, 1)$. This formula is true in the case $p = 0$ or $p = 1$ by the boundary conditions (1.1), too. Thus the theorem 2 is proved.

It is very simple to see that

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} S_\beta(x) = S(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1]. \quad (2.7)$$

This remark shows that $S_\beta(x)$ is a natural generalization of the Shannon's information function (1.5).

3. ENTROPIES OF TYPE β

From the theorem 2 we obtain the following

THEOREM 3. *Let β be a positive number with $\beta \neq 1$. Then we have for the entropy of type β of a probability distribution (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)*

$$H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta - 1 \right). \quad (3.1)$$

From (2.7) it follows that the Shannon's entropy $H_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ is a limiting function of $H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$, i.e.

$$H_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1} H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n).$$

Previously Rényi [10] has extended the concept of Shannon's entropy by defining the *entropy of order β* ($\beta > 0, \beta \neq 1$) of a probability distribution (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) as

$${}_\beta H_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = (1 - \beta)^{-1} \log_2 \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta. \quad (3.2)$$

From (3.1) and (3.2) we have the following relations between the entropy of order β and the entropy of type β :

$${}_{\beta}H_n = (1 - \beta)^{-1} \log_2[(2^{1-\beta} - 1) H_n^{\beta} + 1] \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$H_n^{\beta} = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} [2^{(1-\beta)\beta H_n} - 1]. \quad (3.4)$$

We denote by

$$\Delta_n = \left\{ (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) : p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \right\} \quad (3.5)$$

the set of all n -ary probability distributions. The entropy of type β is a real function defined on Δ_n ($n = 2, 3, \dots$), i.e.,

$$H_n^{\beta} : \Delta_n \rightarrow R \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots),$$

where R is the set of real numbers. In the following theorem we summarize the algebraic properties of the entropy of type β .

THEOREM 4. *The entropies $H_n^{\beta} : \Delta_n \rightarrow R$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots; \beta > 0$) have the following properties:*

1⁰ *Symmetric:* $H_n^{\beta}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ is a symmetric function of its variables;

2⁰ *Normalized:* $H_2^{\beta}(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1$;

3⁰ *Expansible:* $H_n^{\beta}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = H_{n+1}^{\beta}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, 0)$;

4⁰ *Strongly additive type β :*

$$\begin{aligned} & H_{nm}^{\beta}(p_1q_{11}, \dots, p_1q_{m1}; p_2q_{12}, \dots, p_2q_{m2}; \dots; p_nq_{1n}, \dots, p_nq_{mn}) \\ &= H_n^{\beta}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^{\beta} H_m^{\beta}(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ and $(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}) \in \Delta_m$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$);

5⁰ *Recursive type β :*

$$\begin{aligned} & H_n^{\beta}(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) - H_{n-1}^{\beta}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) \\ &= (p_1 + p_2)^{\beta} H_2^{\beta}([p_1/p_1 + p_2], [p_2/p_1 + p_2]) \end{aligned}$$

for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ with $p_1 + p_2 > 0$ ($n \geq 3$).

Proof. The properties 1^o, 2^o and 3^o are obvious consequences of Theorem 3. We prove 4^o by a direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta H_m^\beta(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}) \\
 &= (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta - 1 \right) + (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \left(\sum_{k=1}^m q_{ki}^\beta - 1 \right) \\
 &= (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m p_i^\beta q_{ki}^\beta - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \right) \\
 &= (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m (p_i q_{ki})^\beta - 1 \right] \\
 &= H_{nm}^\beta(p_1 q_{11}, \dots, p_1 q_{m1}; \dots; p_n q_{1n}, \dots, p_n q_{mn}).
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof of 5^o is very easy

$$\begin{aligned}
 & H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) - H_{n-1}^\beta(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) \\
 &= (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[p_1^\beta + p_2^\beta + \sum_{i=3}^n p_i^\beta - 1 - (p_1 + p_2)^\beta - \sum_{i=3}^n p_i^\beta + 1 \right] \\
 &= (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} [p_1^\beta + p_2^\beta - (p_1 + p_2)^\beta] \\
 &= (p_1 + p_2)^\beta (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^\beta + \left(\frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right)^\beta - 1 \right] \\
 &= (p_1 + p_2)^\beta H_2^\beta \left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall give an another characterization of the entropy of type β . The problem is the following. What properties have to be imposed upon a sequence

$$I_n : \mathcal{A}_n \rightarrow R \quad (n = 2, 3, \dots)$$

of functions in order that the following identical equality should hold $I_n(p_1, \dots, p_n) = H_n^\beta(p_1, \dots, p_n)$ for all $(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, where $\beta > 0$ and $\beta \neq 1$. The following theorem is a generalization of a result given by the author (see Daróczy [3]).

THEOREM 5. Let $I_n : \Delta_n \rightarrow R$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$) be a sequence of mappings and let β be a positive number different from one. If I_n satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $I_3(p_1, p_2, p_3)$ is a symmetric function of its variables;
- (ii) $I_2(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) = 1$;
- (iii) $I_n(p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n) - I_{n-1}(p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n)$
 $= (p_1 + p_2)^\beta I_2\left(\frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2}\right)$
for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ ($n = 3, 4, \dots; p_1 + p_2 > 0$),

then we have

$$I_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$$

for all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$).

Proof. First we prove that the function f defined by $f(x) = I_2(x, 1 - x)$ ($x \in [0, 1]$) is an information function of type β . Let $(x, y) \in D$ be arbitrary, then we have by (i)

$$I_3(y, 1 - x - y, x) = I_3(x, 1 - y - x, y),$$

from which it follows by (iii)

$$f(1 - x) + (1 - x)^\beta f\left(\frac{y}{1 - x}\right) = f(1 - y) + (1 - y)^\beta f\left(\frac{x}{1 - y}\right). \quad (3.6)$$

We take $x = 0, y = \frac{1}{2}$ in (3.6), then we have

$$f(1) = 2^{-\beta} f(0). \quad (3.7)$$

By (iii) we have $I_3(1, 0, 0) = 2f(1)$ and $I_3(0, 1, 0) = f(1) + f(0)$, from which we obtain by (i)

$$f(1) = f(0). \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.7) and (3.8) we get $f(1) = f(0) = 0$. Therefore, we have

$$f(1 - x) = f(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in [0, 1] \quad (3.9)$$

from (3.6) with the substitution $y = 1 - x$. By (3.9) the functional Eq. (3.6) yields Eq. (1.7), that is, the function f is an information function of type β .

By Theorem 2 we have $f(x) = S_\beta(x)$, hence, the theorem is proved by (iii) with induction.

4. ANALYTIC PROPERTIES OF THE ENTROPY OF TYPE β

We begin with the following

THEOREM 6. For all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$)

$$0 \leq H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \leq H_n^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(n^{1-\beta} - 1). \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. We define the function $l_\beta(x)$ by

$$l_\beta(x) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(x^\beta - x) \quad (\beta > 0, \beta \neq 1) \quad (4.2)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$. The function $l_\beta(x)$ is a *nonnegative* and *concave* function in $[0, 1]$. By the concavity of l_β we have

$$\begin{aligned} H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^n l_\beta(p_i) \leq n l_\beta\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i\right) \\ &= n l_\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = H_n^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(n^{1-\beta} - 1), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem.

It is interesting to remark that the function

$$\varphi_\beta(n) = H_n^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right)$$

is monotonic, i.e., $\varphi_\beta(n) \leq \varphi_\beta(n+1)$. This is a simple consequence of Theorems 6 and 5:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\beta(n) &= H_n^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right) = H_{n+1}^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, 0\right) \\ &\leq H_{n+1}^\beta\left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \dots, \frac{1}{n+1}\right) = \varphi_\beta(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

If $\beta > 1$, then we have by the monotonicity of φ_β : For all $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ ($n = 2, 3, \dots$)

$$H_n^\beta(p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq \varphi_\beta(n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\beta(n) = (1 - 2^{1-\beta})^{-1}. \quad (4.3)$$

In the case $0 < \beta < 1$ this assertion is not true, while

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\beta(n) = +\infty.$$

THEOREM 7. *Suppose $\beta > 1$. For $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$,*

$$(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}) \in \mathcal{A}_m \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta H_m^\beta(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}) \\ & \leq H_m^\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{1i}, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{2i}, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{mi} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. Using the concavity of $l_\beta(x)$ defined by (4.2), we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i l_\beta(q_{ki}) \leq l_\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ki} \right) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

Let us add these inequalities with respect to k , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ki} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

Using the assumption $\beta > 1$,

$$p_i^\beta \leq p_i \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

therefore, by the nonnegativity of l_β

$$p_i^\beta \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}) \leq p_i \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}).$$

If we add these inequalities with respect to i , then we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}). \quad (4.6)$$

From (4.6) and (4.5) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta(q_{ki}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^m l_\beta \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ki} \right).$$

This exactly is (4.4).

5. ENTROPIES OF TYPE β OF DISCRETE RANDOM VARIABLES

Let ξ be a discrete finite random variable with the set x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n of possible values of ξ . We define the *entropy of type β of the random variable ξ* by

$$H^\beta(\xi) = H_n^\beta(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n), \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$p_i = P(\xi = x_i) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n). \quad (5.2)$$

Correspondingly, for a two-dimensional discrete finite random variable (ξ, η) with the joint discrete probability distribution

$$\pi_{ik} = P(\xi = x_i, \eta = y_k) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m),$$

we have the following notions:

$$H^\beta(\xi, \eta) = H_{nm}^\beta(\pi_{11}, \dots, \pi_{1m}; \dots; \pi_{n1}, \dots, \pi_{nm}) \quad (5.3)$$

and

$$H^\beta(\eta | \xi) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta H_m^\beta(q_{1i}, q_{2i}, \dots, q_{mi}), \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$p_i = P(\xi = x_i) = \sum_{k=1}^m \pi_{ik} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and

$$q_{ki} = P(\eta = y_k | \xi = x_i) = \frac{\pi_{ik}}{p_i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n; k = 1, 2, \dots, m).$$

We call the quantity (5.3) *joint entropy of type β of (ξ, η)* and we call the quantity (5.4) *conditional entropy of type β of the random variable η with respect to ξ* . It is clear that in the limiting case $\beta = 1$ we have the usual quantities of the information theory (see Fano [7]).

By the algebraic and analytic properties of the entropy of type β , we have the following

THEOREM 8. *If ξ and η are discrete finite random variables, then*

$$H^\beta(\xi, \eta) = H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta | \xi), \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$H^\beta(\eta \mid \xi) \leq H^\beta(\eta) \quad \text{if } \beta > 1. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Equation (5.5) comes from Theorem 4. The inequality (5.6) is equivalent with the inequality (4.1) presented in Theorem 6.

It is simple to see that the statements of Theorem 8 are generalizations of the known equality and inequality for the Shannon's entropy. The following problem is very natural: what is the situation in the case of independent random variables?

THEOREM 9. *If ξ and η are discrete independent random variables, then*

$$H^\beta(\xi, \eta) = H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta) + (2^{1-\beta} - 1) H^\beta(\xi) H^\beta(\eta). \quad (5.7)$$

Proof. In this case we have

$$P(\eta = y_k \mid \xi = x_i) = q_{ki} = q_k \quad (i = 1, \dots, n; k = 1, \dots, m),$$

where

$$q_k = P(\eta = y_k).$$

By (5.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} H^\beta(\xi, \eta) &= H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta \mid \xi) \\ &= H^\beta(\xi) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left(\sum_{k=1}^m q_k^\beta - 1 \right) \\ &= H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \right) \\ &= H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta) + H^\beta(\eta) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta - 1 \right) \\ &= H^\beta(\xi) + H^\beta(\eta) + (2^{1-\beta} - 1) H^\beta(\xi) H^\beta(\eta), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the theorem. In the limiting case $\beta \rightarrow 1$, we have the known additivity property of Shannon's entropy.

6. CAPACITY OF TYPE β

A discrete constant channel with the space $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of input symbols and with the space $Y = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ of output symbols is characterized by the $(m \times n)$ transition matrix

$$\text{with } \left. \begin{aligned} Q &= (q_{ki}) & (k = 1, \dots, m; i = 1, \dots, n) \\ q_{ki} &\geq 0, & \sum_{k=1}^m q_{ki} = 1 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n). \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (6.1)$$

q_{ki} represents the conditional probability for receiving the k -th output symbol if the j -th input symbol has been transmitted.

Consider an arbitrary input probability distribution $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n$ on the space of input symbols, which induces the distribution

$$(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_m) \in \Delta_m$$

on the space of output symbols given by

$$q_k = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ki} \quad (k = 1, \dots, m). \quad (6.2)$$

The spaces of input and output symbols can now be viewed as the space of values for discrete random variables, say, ξ and η , respectively. Now, we define the *capacity of type β* of the discrete constant channel characterized by Q as the quantity

$$C_\beta = \max_{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \Delta_n} [H^\beta(\eta) - H^\beta(\eta | \xi)], \quad (6.3)$$

where $\beta > 1$ and the distributions of η and ξ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} P(\xi = x_i) &= p_i & (i = 1, \dots, n), \\ P(\eta = y_k) &= q_k = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i q_{ki}, & (k = 1, \dots, m), \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

$$P(\eta = y_k | \xi = x_i) = q_{ki}, \quad (k = 1, \dots, m; i = 1, \dots, n).$$

If $\beta \rightarrow 1+$, then we have the known concept of the Shannon's capacity of a discrete constant channel (see Fano [7]):

$$C = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1+} C_\beta. \quad (6.5)$$

THEOREM 10. *If the transition matrix of a discrete constant channel has the form*

$$g_{ki} = \begin{cases} 1 - p & \text{if } i = k \\ \frac{p}{n-1} & \text{if } i \neq k \end{cases} \quad (n = m), \quad (6.6)$$

then we have

$$C_\beta = (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(n^{1-\beta} - 1) - n^{1-\beta}(2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}[(1 - p)^\beta + p^\beta(n - 1)^{1-\beta} - 1]. \quad (6.7)$$

Proof. By (6.6) and by Theorem 6, we have

$$\begin{aligned} H^\beta(\eta) - H^\beta(\eta | \xi) &\leq (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(n^{1-\beta} - 1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1} \left[(1 - p)^\beta + (n - 1) \left(\frac{p}{n-1} \right)^\beta - 1 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the convexity of t^β ($\beta > 1$), we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i^\beta \geq n \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)^\beta = n^{1-\beta}.$$

From these inequalities it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} H^\beta(\eta) - H^\beta(\eta | \xi) &\leq (2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}(n^{1-\beta} - 1) \\ &\quad - n^{1-\beta}(2^{1-\beta} - 1)^{-1}[(1 - p)^\beta + p^\beta(n - 1)^{1-\beta} - 1] \\ &= K_\beta. \end{aligned}$$

But K_β is the value of the function $H^\beta(\eta) - H^\beta(\eta | \xi)$ at the point $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = (1/n, 1/n, \dots, 1/n) \in \mathcal{A}_n$, from which we obtain

$$K_\beta = \max_{(p_1, \dots, p_n) \in \mathcal{A}_n} [H^\beta(\eta) - H^\beta(\eta | \xi)] = C_\beta.$$

Thus, the theorem is proved.

This theorem is a generalization of a known result for the Shannon's capacity (see Fano [7])

$$\lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1^+} C_\beta = C = \log_2 n - p \log_2(n - 1) + p \log_2 p + (1 - p) \log_2(1 - p).$$

For a binary symmetric channel we have with $n = 2$

$$C_\beta = 1 + (2^{\beta-1} - 1)^{-1} [(1 - p)^\beta + p^\beta - 1], \quad (6.8)$$

from which it follows

$$C = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow 1+} C_\beta = 1 + p \log_2 p + (1 - p) \log_2(1 - p). \quad (6.9)$$

A further result is given by

THEOREM 11. *For an arbitrary $(m \times 2)$ transition matrix of a discrete constant channel we have*

$$C_2 = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m q_{k1} q_{k2}. \quad (6.10)$$

Proof. We take $(p_1, p_2) = (x, 1 - x) \in \Delta_2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & H^2(\eta) - H^2(\eta | \xi) \\ &= 2 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m \{ (x q_{k1})^2 + [(1 - x) q_{k2}]^2 - [x q_{k1} + (1 - x) q_{k2}]^2 \} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + x^2 + (1 - x)^2 - 1 \right\} = T(x). \end{aligned}$$

It is easily shown that the function $T(x)$ has an unique maximum value at the point $x = 1/2$. From this assertion we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_2 &= \max_{(p_1, p_2) \in \Delta_2} [H^2(\eta) - H^2(\eta | \xi)] \\ &= \max_{x \in (0, 1)} T(x) = T\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m q_{k1} q_{k2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the theorem is proved.

For a binary symmetric channel ($q_{11} = q_{22} = 1 - p$ and $q_{12} = q_{21} = p$), we get from (6.10)

$$C_2 = 1 - 2p(1 - p) = (1 - p)^2 + p^2,$$

which is (6.8) in the case $\beta = 2$. It is clear that we can determine C_2 , generally, with the method of Lagrange multipliers. The computation C_β is in general an open question. This problem can be solved with an iterative method which is similar to the method for calculation of the Shannon's capacity (see Eisenberg [5]).

RECEIVED: June 3, 1969

REFERENCES

1. T. BORGES, Zur Herleitung der Shannonschen Information, *Math. Z.* **96** (1967), 282–287.
2. Z. DARÓCZY, Az információ Shannon-féle mértékéről (On the Shannon's measure of information) MTA III. Oszt. Közleményei, Budapest, (1968) (in press).
3. Z. DARÓCZY, On the energy of finite probability distributions, *Bull. Math.* (Bucarest) (1969) (in press).
4. Z. DARÓCZY AND I. KÁTAI, Additive zahlentheoretische Funktionen und das Mass der Information, *Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math.* (1969) (in press).
5. E. EISENBERG, "On Channel Capacity," Internal Technical Memorandum M-35, Electronics Research Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California, 1963.
6. D. K. FADEEV, On the concept of entropy of a finite probabilistic scheme (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* **11** (1956), 227–231.
7. R. M. FANO, "Transmission of Information," M.I.T. Press, Cambridge, Mass., 1961.
8. D. G. KENDALL, Functional equations in information theory, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete* **2** (1963), 225–229.
9. P. M. LEE, On the axioms of information theory, *Ann. Math. Statist.* **35** (1964), 414–418.
10. A. RÉNYI, "On Measures of Entropy and Information," Vol. I, p. 547–561, Proc. 4-th Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. and Probability 1960, Univ. of Calif. Press, Berkeley, Calif., 1961.
11. H. TVERBERG, A new derivation of the information function, *Math. Scand.* **6** (1958), 297–298.