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#### Abstract

For any 1-reduced simplicial set $K$ we define a canonical, coassociative coproduct on $\Omega C(K)$, the cobar construction applied to the normalized, integral chains on $K$, such that any canonical quasi-isomorphism of chain algebras from $\Omega C(K)$ to the normalized, integral chains on $G K$, the loop group of $K$, is a coalgebra map up to strong homotopy. Our proof relies on the operadic description of the category of chain coalgebras and of strongly homotopy coalgebra maps given in [K. Hess, P.-E. Parent, J. Scott, Bimodules over operads characterize morphisms, preprint, math.AT/0505559, 2005].
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## 0. Introduction

Let $X$ be a topological space. It is, in general, quite difficult to calculate the algebra structure of the loop space homology $H_{*} \Omega X$ directly from the (singular or cubical) chain complex $C_{*} \Omega X$. An algorithm that associates to a space $X$ a differential graded algebra whose homology is relatively easy to calculate and isomorphic as an algebra to $H_{*} \Omega X$ is therefore of great value.

In 1955 [1], Adams and Hilton invented such an algorithm for the class of simply-connected CW-complexes, which can be summarized as follows. Let $X$ be a CW-complex such that $X$ has exactly one 0 -cell and no 1 -cells, and such that every attaching map is based with respect to the unique 0 -cell of $X$. There exists a morphism of differential graded algebras inducing an isomorphism on homology-a quasi-isomorphism-

$$
\theta_{X}:(T V, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C_{*} \Omega X,
$$

such that $\theta_{X}$ restricts to quasi-isomorphisms $\left(T V_{\leqslant n}, d\right) \xlongequal{\leftrightharpoons} C_{*} \Omega X_{n+1}$, where $X_{n+1}$ denotes the ( $n+1$ )-skeleton of $X, T V$ denotes the free (tensor) algebra on a free, graded $\mathbb{Z}$-module $V, \Omega X$ is the space of Moore loops on $X$, and $C_{*}$ denotes the cubical chains. The morphism $\theta_{X}$ is called an Adams-Hilton model of $X$ and satisfies the following properties.

- If $X=* \cup \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} e^{n_{\alpha}+1}$, then $V$ has a degree-homogeneous basis $\left\{v_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in A\right\}$ such that $\operatorname{deg} v_{\alpha}=n_{\alpha}$.
- If $f_{\alpha}: S_{n_{\alpha}} \rightarrow X_{n_{\alpha}}$ is the attaching map of the cell $e^{n_{\alpha}+1}$, then $\left[\theta\left(d v_{\alpha}\right)\right]=\mathcal{K}_{n_{\alpha}}\left[f_{\alpha}\right]$. Here, $\mathcal{K}_{n_{\alpha}}$ is defined so that

commutes, where $h$ denotes the Hurewicz homomorphism.
It follows that $(T V, d)$ is unique up to isomorphism.
The Adams-Hilton model has proved to be a powerful tool for calculating the loop space homology algebra of CW-complexes. Many common spaces have Adams-Hilton models that are relatively simple and thus well adapted to computations. Difficulties start to arise, however, when one wishes to use the Adams-Hilton model to compute the algebra homomorphism induced by a cellular map $f: X \rightarrow Y$.

If $\theta_{X}:(T V, d) \rightarrow C_{*} \Omega X$ and $\theta_{Y}:(T W, d) \rightarrow C_{*} \Omega Y$ are Adams-Hilton models, then there exists a unique homotopy class of morphisms $\varphi:(T V, d) \rightarrow(T W, d)$ such that

commutes up to derivation homotopy. Any representative $\varphi$ of this homotopy class can be said to be an Adams-Hilton model of $f$.

As the choice of $\varphi$ is unique only up to homotopy, the Adams-Hilton model is not a functor. The essential problem is that choices are made at each stage of the construction of $\theta_{X}$ and $\theta_{Y}$ : they are not canonical. For many purposes this lack of functoriality does not cause any problems. When one needs to use Adams-Hilton models to construct new models, however, then it can become quite troublesome, as seen in, e.g., [7].

Similarly, when constructing algebraic models based on Adams-Hilton models, one often needs the models to be enriched, i.e., there should be a chain algebra map $\psi:(T V, d) \rightarrow$ $(T V, d) \otimes(T V, d)$ such that

commutes up to homotopy, where $A W$ denotes the Alexander-Whitney equivalence. Thus $\theta_{X}$ is a coalgebra map up to homotopy. Since the underlying algebra of $(T V, d)$ is free, such a coproduct always exists and can be constructed degree by degree. Again, however, choices are involved in the construction of $\psi$, so that one usually knows little about it, other than that it exists. In particular, since the diagram above commutes and $A W \circ C_{*} \Omega \Delta_{X}$ is cocommutative up to homotopy and strictly coassociative, $\psi$ is coassociative and cocommutative up to homotopy, i.e., $(T V, d, \psi)$ is a Hopf algebra up to homotopy [2]. For many constructions, however, it would be very helpful to know that there is a choice of $\psi$ that is strictly coassociative.

Motivated by the need to rigidify the Adams-Hilton model construction and its enrichment, we work here with simplicial sets rather than topological spaces. Any topological space $X$ that is equivalent to a finite-type simplicial complex is homotopy-equivalent to the realization of a finite-type simplicial set. There is an obvious candidate for a canonical Adams-Hilton model of a 1 -reduced simplicial set $K: \Omega C(K)$, the cobar construction on the integral, normalized chains on $K$, which is a free algebra on generators in one-to-one correspondence with the nondegenerate simplices of $K$. It follows easily by acyclic models methods (see, e.g., [18]) that there exists a natural quasi-isomorphism of chain algebras

$$
\theta_{K}: \Omega C(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(G K),
$$

where $G K$ denotes the Kan loop group on $K$. There is also an explicit formula for such a natural transformation, due to Szczarba [22].

In this article we provide a simple definition of a natural, strictly coassociative coproduct, the canonical cobar diagonal,

$$
\psi_{K}: \Omega C(K) \rightarrow \Omega C(K) \otimes \Omega C(K)
$$

where $K$ is any 1-reduced simplicial set. Furthermore, any natural quasi-isomorphism of chain algebras $\theta_{K}: \Omega C(K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} C(G K)$ is a strongly homotopy coalgebra map with respect to $\psi_{K}$. In other words, $\theta_{K}$ is a coalgebra map up to homotopy; the homotopy in question is a coderivation
up to a second homotopy; etc. The map $\theta_{K}$ has already proved extremely useful in constructing a number of interesting algebraic models, such as in [4,12,13].

Ours is not the only definition of a canonical, coassociative coproduct on $\Omega C(K)$. In [3] Baues defined combinatorially an explicit coassociative coproduct $\tilde{\psi}_{K}$ on $\Omega C(K)$, together with an explicit derivation homotopy insuring cocommutativity up to homotopy. He showed that there is an injective quasi-isomorphism of chain Hopf algebras from $\left(\Omega C(K), \tilde{\psi}_{K}\right)$ into (the first Eilenberg subcomplex of) the cubical cochains on the geometrical cobar construction on $K$.

We show in Section 5 of this article that Baues's coproduct is equal to the canonical cobar diagonal, a result that is surprising at first sight. It is clear from the definition of Baues's coproduct that its image lies in $\Omega C(K) \otimes s^{-1} C_{+}(K)$, so that its form is highly asymmetric. That asymmetry is well hidden in our definition of the canonical cobar diagonal.

Even though the two definitions are equivalent, our approach is still interesting, as the canonical cobar diagonal is given explicitly in terms of only two fundamental pieces: the diagonal map on a simplicial set and the Eilenberg-Zilber strong deformation retract

$$
C(K) \otimes C(K) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}} C(K \times K) \circlearrowleft \varphi .
$$

(See Section 2.) Furthermore, it is very helpful for construction purposes to have an explicit equivalence $\theta_{K}: \Omega C(K) \rightarrow C(G K)$ that is a map of coalgebras up to strong homotopy and a map of algebras, as the articles $[4,12,13]$ amply illustrate.

In a subsequent article [15], we will further demonstrate the importance of our coproduct definition on the cobar construction, when we treat the special case of suspensions. In particular we will show that the Szczarba equivalence is a strict coalgebra map when $K$ is a suspension.

After recalling a number of elementary definitions at the end of this introduction, we devote Section 1 to Gugenheim and Munkholm's category DCSH, the category of chain coalgebras and strongly homotopy coalgebra maps. In particular, we recall and expand upon the "operadic" description of DCSH developed in [14]. In Section 2 we introduce homological perturbation theory and its interaction with morphisms in DCSH, expanding the discussion to include twisting cochains and twisting functions in Section 3. The heart of this article is Section 4, where we define the canonical cobar diagonal, show that it is cocommutative up to homotopy and strictly coassociative, and prove that the Szczarba equivalence is a strongly homotopy coalgebra map. We conclude Section 4 with a discussion of the relationship of our work to the problem of iterating the cobar construction. In Section 5 we prove that the canonical cobar diagonal is equal to Baues's coproduct on $\Omega C(K)$.

In a forthcoming paper we will explain how the canonical Adams-Hilton model enables us to carry out Bockstein spectral sequence calculations using methods previously applied only in the "Anick" range (cf. [21]) to spaces well outside of that range.

### 0.1. Preliminary definitions, terminology and notation

We recall here certain necessary elementary definitions and constructions. We also introduce notation and terminology that we use throughout the remainder of this paper.

We consider that the set of natural numbers $\mathbb{N}$ includes 0 .
If $\mathbf{C}$ is a category and $A$ and $B$ are objects in $\mathbf{C}$, then $\mathbf{C}(A, B)$ denotes the collection of morphisms from $A$ to $B$. We write $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow$ for the category of morphisms in $\mathbf{C}$.

Given chain complexes $(V, d)$ and $(W, d)$, the notation $f:(V, d) \xrightarrow{\simeq}(W, d)$ indicates that $f$ induces an isomorphism in homology. In this case we refer to $f$ as a quasi-isomorphism.

The suspension endofunctor $s$ on the category of graded modules is defined on objects $V=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}$ by $(s V)_{i} \cong V_{i-1}$. Given a homogeneous element $v$ in $V$, we write $s v$ for the corresponding element of $s V$. The suspension $s$ admits an obvious inverse, which we denote $s^{-1}$.

A graded $R$-module $V=\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} V_{i}$ is connected if $V_{<0}=0$ and $V_{0} \cong R$. It is simply connected if, in addition, $V_{1}=0$. We write $V_{+}$for $V_{>0}$.

Let $V$ be a positively-graded $R$-module. The free associative algebra on $V$ is denoted $T V$, i.e.,

$$
T V \cong R \oplus V \oplus(V \otimes V) \oplus(V \otimes V \otimes V) \oplus \cdots
$$

A typical basis element of $T V$ is denoted $v_{1} \cdots v_{n}$, i.e., we drop the tensors from the notation. We say that $v_{1} \cdots v_{n}$ is of length $n$ and let $T^{n} V=V^{\otimes n}$ be the submodule of words of length $n$. The product on $T V$ is then defined by

$$
\mu\left(u_{1} \cdots u_{m} \otimes v_{1} \cdots v_{n}\right)=u_{1} \cdots u_{m} v_{1} \cdots v_{n}
$$

Throughout this paper $\pi: T^{>0} V \rightarrow V$ denotes the projection map such that $\pi\left(v_{1} \cdots v_{n}\right)=0$ if $n>1$ and $\pi(v)=v$ for all $v \in V$. When we refer to the linear part of an algebra map $f: T V \rightarrow$ $T W$, we mean the composite $\left.\pi \circ f\right|_{V}: V \rightarrow W$.

Definition. Let $(C, d)$ be a simply-connected chain coalgebra with reduced coproduct $\bar{\Delta}$. The cobar construction on $(C, d)$, denoted $\Omega(C, d)$, is the chain algebra $\left(T s^{-1}\left(C_{+}\right), d_{\Omega}\right)$, where $d_{\Omega}=-s^{-1} d s+\left(s^{-1} \otimes s^{-1}\right) \bar{\Delta} s$ on generators.

Observe that for every pair of simply-connected chain coalgebras $(C, d)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ there is a natural quasi-isomorphism of chain algebras

$$
\begin{equation*}
q: \Omega\left((C, d) \otimes\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Omega(C, d) \otimes \Omega\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \tag{0.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

specified by $q\left(s^{-1}(x \otimes 1)\right)=s^{-1} x \otimes 1, q\left(s^{-1}(1 \otimes y)\right)=1 \otimes s^{-1} y$ and $q\left(s^{-1}(x \otimes y)\right)=0$ for all $x \in C_{+}$and $y \in C_{+}^{\prime}[19$, Theorem 7.4].

Definition. Let $f, g:(A, d) \rightarrow(B, d)$ be two maps of chain algebras. An $(f, g)$-derivation is a linear map $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$ of degree +1 such that $\varphi \mu=\mu(\varphi \otimes g+f \otimes \varphi)$, where $\mu$ denotes the multiplication on $A$ and $B$. A derivation homotopy from $f$ to $g$ is an $(f, g)$-derivation $\varphi$ that satisfies $d \varphi+\varphi d=f-g$.

If $f$ and $g$ are maps of chain coalgebras, there is an obvious dual definition of an $(f, g)$ coderivation and of $(f, g)$-coderivation homotopy.

Definition. Let $K$ be a simplicial set, and let $\mathcal{F}_{\text {ab }}$ denote the free abelian group functor. For all $n>0$, let $D K_{n}=\bigcup_{i=0}^{n-1} s_{i}\left(K_{n-1}\right)$, the set of degenerate $n$-simplices of $K$. The normalized chain complex on $K$, denoted $C(K)$, is given by

$$
C_{n}(K)=\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left(K_{n}\right) / \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ab}}\left(D K_{n}\right)
$$

Given a map of simplicial sets $f: K \rightarrow L$, the induced map of normalized chain complexes is denoted $f_{\sharp}$.

Definition. Let $K$ be a reduced simplicial set, and let $\mathcal{F}$ denote the free group functor. The loop group $G K$ on $K$ is the simplicial group such that $(G K)_{n}=\mathcal{F}\left(K_{n+1} \backslash \operatorname{Im} s_{0}\right)$, with faces and degeneracies specified by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{0} \bar{x}=\left(\overline{\partial_{0} x}\right)^{-1} \overline{\partial_{1} x}, \\
& \partial_{i} \bar{x}=\overline{\partial_{i+1} x} \\
& s_{i} \bar{x}=\overline{s_{i+1} x} \quad \text { for all } i>0, \\
& \text { for } i \geqslant 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\bar{x}$ denotes the class in $(G K)_{n}$ of $x \in K_{n+1}$.
Observe that for each pair of reduced simplicial sets $(K, L)$ there is a unique homomorphism of simplicial groups $\rho: G(K \times L) \rightarrow G K \times G L$, which is specified by $\rho(\overline{(x, y)})=(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$.

## 1. The category DCSH and its relatives

The category DCSH of coassociative chain coalgebras and of coalgebra morphisms up to strong homotopy was first defined by Gugenheim and Munkholm in the early 1970s [11], when they were studying extended naturality of the functor Cotor. The objects of DCSH have a relatively simple algebraic description, while that of the morphisms is rich and complex. Its objects are augmented, coassociative chain coalgebras, and a morphism from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$ is a map of chain algebras $\Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C^{\prime}$.

In a slight abuse of terminology, we say that a chain map between chain coalgebras $f: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$ is a DCSH map if there is a morphism in $\operatorname{DCSH}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ of which $f$ is the linear part. In other words, there is a map of chain algebras $g: \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left.g\right|_{s^{-1} C_{+}}=s^{-1} f s+\text { higher-order terms }
$$

In a further abuse of notation, we sometimes write $\widetilde{\Omega} f: \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C^{\prime}$ to indicate one choice of chain algebra map of which $f$ is the linear part.

It is also possible to broaden the definition of coderivation homotopy to homotopy of DCSH maps. Given two DCSH maps $f, f^{\prime}: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$, a DCSH homotopy from $f$ to $f^{\prime}$ is a ( $\widetilde{\Omega} f, \widetilde{\Omega} f^{\prime}$ )derivation homotopy $h: \Omega(C, d) \rightarrow \Omega\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$. We sometimes abuse terminology and refer to the linear part of $h$ as a DCSH homotopy from $f$ to $f^{\prime}$.

The category DCSH plays an important role in topology. For any reduced simplicial set $K$, the usual coproduct on $C(K)$ is a DCSH map, as we explain in detail in Section 2. Furthermore, we show in Section 4 that given any natural, strictly coassociative coproduct on $\Omega C(K)$, any natural map of chain algebras $\Omega C(K) \rightarrow C(G K)$ is also a DCSH map.

In [14] the authors provided a purely operadic description of DCSH. Before recalling and elaborating upon this description, we briefly explain the framework in which it is constructed. We refer the reader to [14, Section 2] for further details.

Let $\mathbf{M}$ denote the category of chain complexes over a PID $R$, and let $\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}$ denote the category of symmetric sequences of chain complexes. An object $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}$ is a family $\{\mathcal{X}(n) \in \mathbf{M} \mid n \geqslant 0\}$ of objects in $\mathbf{M}$ such that $\mathcal{X}(n)$ admits a right action of the symmetric group $\Sigma_{n}$, for all $n$. There is a faithful functor $\mathcal{T}: \mathbf{M} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}$ where, for all $n, \mathcal{T}(A)(n)=A^{\otimes n}$, where $\Sigma_{n}$ acts by permuting
the tensor factors. The functor $\mathcal{T}$ is strong monoidal, with respect to the level monoidal structure $\left(\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}, \otimes, \mathcal{C}\right)$, where $(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})(n)=\mathcal{X}(n) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(n)$, endowed with the diagonal action of $\Sigma_{n}$, and $\mathcal{C}(n)=R$, endowed with the trivial $\Sigma_{n}$-action.

The category $\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}$ also admits a non-symmetric, right-closed monoidal structure $\left(\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}, \diamond, \mathcal{J}\right)$, where $\diamond$ is the composition product of symmetric sequences, and $\mathcal{J}(1)=R$ and $\mathcal{J}(n)=0$ otherwise. Given symmetric sequences $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y},(\mathcal{X} \diamond \mathcal{Y})(0)=\mathcal{X}(0) \otimes \mathcal{Y}(0)$ and for $n>0$,

$$
(\mathcal{X} \diamond \mathcal{Y})(n)=\coprod_{\substack{k \geqslant 1 \\ i \in I_{k, n}}} \mathcal{X}(k) \otimes_{\Sigma_{k}}\left(Y\left(i_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes Y\left(i_{k}\right)\right) \otimes_{\Sigma_{i}} R\left[\Sigma_{n}\right],
$$

where $I_{k, n}=\left\{\vec{\imath}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{k} \mid \sum_{j} i_{j}=n\right\}$ and $\Sigma_{\vec{\imath}}=\Sigma_{i_{1}} \times \cdots \times \Sigma_{i_{k}}$, seen as a subgroup of $\Sigma_{n}$. For any objects $\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{X}^{\prime}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}$ in $\mathbf{M}^{\Sigma}$, there is an obvious, natural intertwining map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota:\left(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{X}^{\prime}\right) \diamond\left(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow(\mathcal{X} \diamond \mathcal{Y}) \otimes\left(\mathcal{X}^{\prime} \diamond \mathcal{Y}^{\prime}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An operad in $\mathbf{M}$ is a monoid with respect to the composition product. The associative operad $\mathcal{A}$ is given by $\mathcal{A}(n)=R\left[\Sigma_{n}\right]$ for all $n$, endowed with the obvious monoidal structure, induced by permutation of blocks.

Let $\mathcal{P}$ denote any operad in $\mathbf{M}$. A $\mathcal{P}$-coalgebra consists of an object $C$ in $\mathbf{M}$ together with an appropriately equivariant and associative family

$$
\left\{C \otimes \mathcal{P}(n) \rightarrow C^{\otimes n} \mid n \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

of morphisms in $\mathbf{M}$. The functor $\mathcal{T}$ restricts to a faithful functor

$$
\mathcal{T}: \mathcal{P}-\text { Coalg } \rightarrow \operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{P}}
$$

from the category of $\mathcal{P}$-coalgebras to the category of right $\mathcal{P}$-modules.
In [14] the authors constructed a free $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{F}$, called the Alexander-Whitney bimodule. As symmetric sequences of graded modules, $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{S} \diamond \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{S}(n)=R\left[\Sigma_{n}\right] \cdot z_{n-1}$, the free $R\left[\Sigma_{n}\right]$-module on a generator of degree $n-1$. Moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ admits an increasing, differential filtration, given by $F_{n} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{A} \diamond \mathcal{S}_{n} \diamond \mathcal{A}$, where $\mathcal{S}_{n}(m)=\mathcal{S}(m)$ if $m \leqslant n$ and $\mathcal{S}_{n}(m)=0$ otherwise. More precisely, if $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the differential on $\mathcal{F}$, then

$$
\partial_{\mathcal{F}} z_{n}=\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1} \delta \otimes\left(z_{i} \otimes z_{n-i-1}\right)+\sum_{0 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1} z_{n-1} \otimes\left(1^{\otimes i} \otimes \delta \otimes 1^{\otimes n-i-1}\right),
$$

where $\delta \in \mathcal{A}(2)=R\left[\Sigma_{2}\right]$ is a generator.
The Alexander-Whitney bimodule is endowed with a coassociative, counital coproduct

$$
\psi_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F},
$$

where $\diamond_{\mathcal{A}}$ denotes the composition product over $\mathcal{A}$, defined as the obvious coequalizer. In particular,

$$
\psi_{\mathcal{F}}\left(z_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n+1 \\ \vec{i} \in I_{k, n+1}}} z_{k-1} \otimes\left(z_{i_{1}-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{i_{k}-1}\right)
$$

for all $n \geqslant 0$, where $I_{k, n}=\left\{\vec{\imath}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}\right) \mid \sum_{j} i_{j}=n\right\}$.
Furthermore, $\mathcal{F}$ is a level comonoid, i.e., there is a coassociative, counital coproduct

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}
$$

which is specified by

$$
\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\left(z_{n}\right)=\sum_{\substack{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n+1 \\ \vec{i} \in I_{k, n+1}}}\left(z_{k-1} \otimes\left(\delta^{\left(i_{1}\right)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \delta^{\left(i_{k}\right)}\right)\right) \otimes\left(\delta^{(k)} \otimes\left(z_{i_{1}-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes z_{i_{k}-1}\right)\right)
$$

Here, $\delta^{(i)} \in \mathcal{A}(i)$ denotes the appropriate iterated composition product of $\delta^{(2)}=\delta$.
Let $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg denote the category of which the objects are $\mathcal{A}$-coalgebras (i.e., coassociative and counital chain coalgebras) and where the morphisms are defined by

$$
\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right):=\operatorname{Mod}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(\mathcal{T}(C) \circ_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{T}\left(C^{\prime}\right)\right)
$$

Composition in $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg is defined in terms of $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$. Given $\theta \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right)$ and $\theta^{\prime} \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C^{\prime}, C^{\prime \prime}\right)$, their composite $\theta^{\prime} \theta \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ - $\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C, C^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is given by composing the following sequence of (strict) morphisms of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules:

$$
\mathcal{T}(C) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{1_{\mathcal{T}(C)} \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \psi_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{T}(C) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\theta \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} 1_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathcal{T}\left(C^{\prime}\right) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\theta^{\prime}} \mathcal{T}\left(C^{\prime \prime}\right)
$$

We call $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg the $\left(\mathcal{F}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-governed category of $\mathcal{A}$-coalgebras.
The important properties of the Alexander-Whitney bimodule given below follow immediately from the Cobar Duality Theorem in [14].

Theorem 1.1. (See [14].) For any category $\mathbf{D}$, there is a full and faithful functor, called the induction functor,

$$
\text { Ind }:\left(\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\mathbf{C o a l g}\right)^{\mathbf{D}} \rightarrow(\mathcal{A}-\mathbf{A l g})^{\mathbf{D}}
$$

defined on objects by $\operatorname{Ind}(X)=\Omega X$ for all functors $X: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg and on morphisms by

$$
\left.\operatorname{Ind}(\tau)\right|_{s^{-1} X}=\sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} \tau\left(-\otimes z_{k-1}\right) s: s^{-1} X \rightarrow \Omega Y
$$

for all natural transformations $\tau: X \rightarrow Y$.
As an easy consequence of Theorem 1.1, we obtain the following result.
Corollary 1.2. (See [14].) The category DCSH is isomorphic to the $\left(\mathcal{F}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-governed category of coalgebras, $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg.

The isomorphism of the corollary above is given by the identity on objects and Ind on morphisms.

Define a bifunctor $\wedge:\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg $\times\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg $\rightarrow\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg on objects by $C \wedge$ $C^{\prime}:=C \otimes C^{\prime}$, the usual tensor product of chain coalgebras. Given $\theta \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}(C, D)$ and $\theta^{\prime} \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$, we define $\theta \wedge \theta^{\prime}$ to be the composite of (strict) right $\mathcal{A}$-module maps

where $\iota$ is the intertwining map of (1.1). It is straightforward to show that $\wedge$ endows $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ Coalg with the structure of a monoidal category.

Lemma 1.3. The induction functor $\operatorname{Ind}:\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg $\rightarrow \mathcal{A}$-Alg is comonoidal.
Proof. Let $q: \Omega(-\otimes-) \rightarrow \Omega(-) \otimes \Omega(-)$ denote Milgram's natural transformation (0.1) of functors from $\mathcal{A}$-Coalg into $\mathcal{A}$-Alg. It is an easy exercise, based on the explicit formula for $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$, to prove that

$$
q \operatorname{Ind}\left(\theta \wedge \theta^{\prime}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Ind}(\theta) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)\right) q: \Omega\left(C \otimes C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega D \otimes \Omega D^{\prime}
$$

for all $\theta \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ - $\operatorname{Coalg}(C, D)$ and $\theta^{\prime} \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ - $\operatorname{Coalg}\left(C^{\prime}, D^{\prime}\right)$. Milgram's equivalence therefore provides us with the desired natural transformation

$$
q: \operatorname{Ind}(-\wedge-) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ind}(-) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}(-)
$$

In Section 4 of this article we consider objects in the following category related to $\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$ Coalg.

Definition. The objects of the weak Alexander-Whitney category $\mathbf{w F}$ are pairs $(C, \Psi)$, where $C$ is a object in $\mathcal{A}$-Coalg and $\Psi \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)$-Coalg $(C, C \otimes C)$ such that

$$
\Psi\left(-\otimes z_{0}\right): C \rightarrow C \otimes C
$$

is exactly the coproduct on $C$, while

$$
\mathbf{F}\left((C, \Psi),\left(C^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\{\theta \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\mathbf{C o a l g}\left(C, C^{\prime}\right) \mid \Psi^{\prime} \theta=(\theta \wedge \theta) \Psi\right\}
$$

An object of $\mathbf{w F}$ is called a weak Alexander-Whitney coalgebra.

As we establish in the next lemma, the cobar construction provides an important link between the weak Alexander-Whitney category and the following category of algebras endowed with coproducts.

Definition. The objects of the weak Hopf algebra category $\mathbf{w H}$ are pairs $(A, \psi)$, where $A$ is a chain algebra over $R$ and $\psi: A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ is a map of chain algebras, while

$$
\mathbf{w H}\left((A, \psi),\left(A^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)\right)=\left\{f \in \mathcal{A}-\mathbf{A l g}\left(A, A^{\prime}\right) \mid \psi^{\prime} f=(f \otimes f) \psi\right\} .
$$

Lemma 1.4. The cobar construction extends to a functor $\widetilde{\Omega}: \mathbf{w F} \rightarrow \mathbf{w H}$.
Proof. Given an object $(C, \Psi)$ of $\mathbf{w F}$, let $\widetilde{\Omega}(C, \Psi)=(\Omega C, q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi))$, where $\operatorname{Ind}(\Psi): \Omega C \rightarrow$ $\Omega(C \otimes C)$, as in Theorem 1.1, and $q: \Omega(C \otimes C) \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$ is Milgram's equivalence (0.1). In particular, $q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi): \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C$ is indeed a morphism of algebras, as it is a composite of two algebra maps.

On the other hand, given $\theta \in \mathbf{w} \mathbf{F}\left((C, \Psi),\left(C^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)\right)$, let $\widetilde{\Omega} \theta=\operatorname{Ind}(\theta): \Omega C \rightarrow \Omega C^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(q \operatorname{Ind}\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right)\right) \widetilde{\Omega} \theta & =q \operatorname{Ind}\left(\Psi^{\prime}\right) \operatorname{Ind}(\theta)=q \operatorname{Ind}\left(\Psi^{\prime} \theta\right) \\
& =q \operatorname{Ind}((\theta \wedge \theta) \Psi)=q \operatorname{Ind}(\theta \wedge \theta) \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi) \\
& =(\operatorname{Ind}(\theta) \otimes \operatorname{Ind}(\theta)) q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi) \\
& =(\widetilde{\Omega}(\theta) \otimes \widetilde{\Omega}(\theta))(q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi))
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e., $\widetilde{\Omega} \theta$ is indeed a morphism in $\mathbf{w H}$.

We are, of course, particularly interested in those objects $(C, \Psi)$ of $\mathbf{w F}$ for which $\widetilde{\Omega}(C, \Psi)$ is actually a strict Hopf algebra, i.e., such that $q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi)$ is coassociative.

Definition. The Alexander-Whitney category $\mathbf{F}$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{w F}$ such that $(C, \Psi)$ is an object of $\mathbf{F}$ if and only if $q \operatorname{Ind}(\Psi)$ is coassociative. The objects of $\mathbf{F}$ are called AlexanderWhitney coalgebras.

As we explain in Section 4, for any reduced simplicial set $K$, there is a canonical choice of $\Psi_{K}$ such that $\left(C(K), \Psi_{K}\right)$ is an object of $\mathbf{F}$.

From the proof of Lemma 1.4, it is clear that $\widetilde{\Omega}$ restricts to a functor $\widetilde{\Omega}: \mathbf{F} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, where $\mathbf{H}$ is the category of Hopf algebras.

Theorem 1.5. Let $X, Y: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$ be functors, where $\mathbf{D}$ is a category admitting a set of models $\mathfrak{M}$ with respect to which $Y$ is acyclic. Suppose that $X$ factors through $\mathbf{F}$ as follows:

where $C$ is free with respect to $\mathfrak{M}$. Let $\theta: U X \rightarrow U Y$ be any natural transformation of functors into $\mathcal{A}$-Alg, where $U: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$-Alg denotes the forgetful functor. Then there exists a natural
transformation $\hat{\theta}: \Omega X \rightarrow \Omega Y$ extending the desuspension of $\theta$, i.e., for all objects $d$ in $D$,

$$
\hat{\theta}(d)=s^{-1} \theta(d) s+\text { higher-order terms }
$$

The proof of this result depends strongly on the notion of a free functor with respect to a set of models, which we recall in detail, before commencing the proof of the theorem.

Let $\mathbf{D}$ be a category, and let $\mathfrak{M}$ be a set of objects in $\mathbf{D}$. A functor $X: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is free with respect to $\mathfrak{M}$ if there is a set $\left\{e_{M} \in X(M) \mid M \in \mathfrak{M}\right\}$ such that $\left\{X(f)\left(e_{M}\right) \mid f \in \mathbf{D}(M, D), M \in\right.$ $\mathfrak{M}\}$ is an $R$-basis of $X(D)$ for all objects $D$ in $\mathbf{D}$. If $X: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{H}$, then $X$ is free with respect to $\mathfrak{M}$ if $U^{\prime} X: \mathbf{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is free, where $U^{\prime}: \mathbf{H} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}$ is the forgetful functor.

Proof. According to Theorem 1.1, it suffices to construct a natural transformation $\tau: \mathcal{T}(C) \circ_{\mathcal{A}}$ $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(Y)$ of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules such that $\tau\left(-\otimes z_{0}\right)=\theta$. We can then set $\hat{\theta}=\operatorname{Ind}(\tau)$.

Since $\mathcal{T}(C) \circ_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{T}(C) \circ \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{A}$, which is a free right $\mathcal{A}$-module, any natural transformation of functors into the category of symmetric sequences $\mathcal{T}(C) \circ \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(Y)$ can be freely extended to a natural transformation of functors into the category of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules. Furthermore, any family of equivariant natural transformations of functors into the category of graded $R$-modules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\tau_{k}: C \otimes \mathcal{S}(k) \rightarrow Y^{\otimes k} \mid k \geqslant 1\right\} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

induces a natural transformation of functors into symmetric sequences of graded modules $\tau^{\prime}: \mathcal{T}(C) \circ \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(Y)$, given by composites like

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{T}(C)(k) \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(n_{k}\right) \xrightarrow{\cong}\left(C \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(n_{1}\right)\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes\left(C \otimes \mathcal{S}\left(n_{k}\right)\right) \\
\mid \tau_{n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \tau_{n_{k}} \\
\left.\downarrow^{2} \otimes\right)^{\otimes n_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes Y^{\otimes n_{k}} \\
\mid= \\
Y^{\otimes n}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $n=\sum_{i} n_{i}$. The free extension of $\tau^{\prime}$ to $\tau: \mathcal{T}(C) \circ_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(Y)$ will be a natural transformation of functors into $\mathbf{M}$, the category of chain complexes, if for all $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{Y^{\otimes k}} \tau_{k}=\tau^{\prime}\left(\partial_{C} \otimes 1\right)+\tau\left(1 \otimes \partial_{\mathcal{F}}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{Y} \otimes k, \partial_{C}$ and $\partial_{\mathcal{F}}$ are the differentials on $Y^{\otimes k}, C$, and $\mathcal{F}$, respectively. Note that the formula for the restriction of $\tau^{\prime}$ to $(\mathcal{T}(C) \circ \mathcal{S})(k)$ involves only the $\tau_{j}$ 's for $j \leqslant k$, as does the restriction of $\tau$ to $(\mathcal{T}(C) \circ \mathcal{S} \circ \mathcal{A})(k)$.

We construct the family (1.2) recursively. We can choose $\tau_{1}$ to be the "linear part" of $\theta$, i.e., for all objects $d$ in $\mathbf{D}$, the map of graded modules $\tau_{1}(d)\left(-\otimes z_{0}\right)$ is the composite

$$
C(d) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} s^{-1} C(d) \xrightarrow{\left.\theta(d)\right|_{s^{-1}} C(d)} \Omega Y(d) \xrightarrow{\pi} s^{-1} Y(d) \xrightarrow{s} Y(d) .
$$

Assume that $\tau_{k}$ has been defined for all $k<n$ and that $\tau_{n}\left(e_{M} \otimes z_{n-1}\right)$ has been defined for all $M$ such that $\operatorname{deg} e_{M}<m$ so that (1.3) holds. Let $M$ be an element of $\mathfrak{M}$ such that $\operatorname{deg} e_{M}=m$.

According to the induction hypothesis, both $\tau^{\prime}\left(\partial_{C(M)} e_{M} \otimes z_{n-1}\right)$ and $\tau\left(e_{M} \otimes \partial_{\mathcal{F}} z_{n-1}\right)$ have already been defined. Moreover, since $\partial_{C(M)} e_{M} \otimes z_{n-1}+(-1)^{n} e_{M} \otimes \partial \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ is a cycle,

$$
\tau^{\prime}\left(\partial_{C(M)} e_{M} \otimes z_{n-1}\right)+(-1)^{n} \tau\left(e_{M} \otimes \partial_{\mathcal{F}} z_{n-1}\right)
$$

is a cycle in $Y(M)$ and therefore a boundary, since $Y$ is acyclic with respect to $M$. We can thus continue the recursive construction of $\tau_{n}$.

## 2. Homological perturbation theory

In this section we recall those elements of homological perturbation theory that we use in the construction of the canonical cobar diagonal.

Definition. Suppose that $\nabla:(X, \partial) \rightarrow(Y, d)$ and $f:(Y, d) \rightarrow(X, \partial)$ are morphisms of chain complexes. If $f \nabla=1_{X}$ and there exists a chain homotopy $\varphi:(Y, d) \rightarrow(Y, d)$ such that
(1) $d \varphi+\varphi d=\nabla f-1_{Y}$,
(2) $\varphi \nabla=0$,
(3) $f \varphi=0$, and
(4) $\varphi^{2}=0$,
then $(X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi$ is a strong deformation retract $(S D R)$ of chain complexes.
It is easy to show that given a chain homotopy $\varphi^{\prime}$ satisfying condition (1), there exists a chain homotopy $\varphi$ satisfying all four conditions. As explained in, e.g., [17], we can replace $\varphi^{\prime}$ by

$$
\varphi=\left(\nabla f-1_{Y}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(\nabla f-1_{Y}\right) d\left(\nabla f-1_{Y}\right) \varphi^{\prime}\left(\nabla f-1_{Y}\right),
$$

satisfying conditions (1)-(4).
When solving problems in homological or homotopical algebra, one often works with chain complexes with additional algebraic structure, e.g., chain algebras or coalgebras. It is natural to extend the notion of SDRs to categories of such objects.
Definition. An $\operatorname{SDR}(X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi$ is a SDR of chain (co)algebras if
(1) $\nabla$ and $f$ are morphisms of chain (co)algebras, and
(2) $\varphi$ is a (co)derivation homotopy from $\nabla f$ to $1_{Y}$.

The following notion, introduced by Gugenheim and Munkholm, is somewhat weaker than the previous definition for chain coalgebras but perhaps more useful.

Definition. An $\operatorname{SDR}(X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi$ is called Eilenberg-Zilber $(E-Z)$ data if $\left(Y, d, \Delta_{Y}\right)$ and $\left(X, d, \Delta_{X}\right)$ are chain coalgebras and $\nabla$ is a morphism of coalgebras.

Observe that in this case

$$
\left(d \otimes 1_{Y}+1_{Y} \otimes d\right)\left((f \otimes f) \Delta_{Y} \varphi\right)+\left((f \otimes f) \Delta_{Y} \varphi\right) d=\Delta_{X} f-(f \otimes f) \Delta_{Y}
$$

i.e., $f$ is a map of coalgebras up to chain homotopy. In fact, $f$ is a DCSH map, as Gugenheim and Munkholm showed in the following theorem [11, Theorem 4.1], which proves extremely useful in Section 4 of this article.
Theorem 2.1. (See [11].) Let $(X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi$ be $E-Z$ data such that $Y$ is simply connected
and $X$ is connected. Let

$$
F_{1}=f
$$

Given $F_{i}$ for all $i<k$, let

$$
F_{k}=-\sum_{i+j=k}\left(F_{i} \otimes F_{j}\right) \Delta_{Y} \varphi
$$

Similarly, let $\Phi_{1}=\varphi$, and, given $\Phi_{i}$ for all $i<k$, let

$$
\Phi_{k}=\left(\Phi_{k-1} \otimes 1_{Y}+\sum_{i+j=k} \nabla^{\otimes i} F_{i} \otimes \Phi_{j}\right) \Delta_{Y} \varphi
$$

Then

$$
\Omega(X, d) \underset{\widetilde{\Omega} f}{\stackrel{\Omega \nabla}{\rightleftarrows}} \Omega(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi
$$

is an SDR of chain algebras, where $\widetilde{\Omega} f=\sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} F_{k} s$ and $\widetilde{\Omega} \varphi=\sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} \Phi_{k} s$.
Let EZ be the category with as objects E-Z data $(X, d) \stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi$ such that $Y$ is simply connected and $X$ is connected. A morphism in EZ

$$
((X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi) \rightarrow\left(\left(X^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \underset{f^{\prime}}{\stackrel{\nabla^{\prime}}{\rightleftarrows}}\left(Y^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \circlearrowleft \varphi^{\prime}\right)
$$

consists of a pair of morphisms of chain coalgebras $g:(X, d) \rightarrow\left(X^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ and $h:(Y, d) \rightarrow\left(Y^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$ such that $h \nabla=\nabla^{\prime} g, g f=f^{\prime} h$ and $h \varphi=\varphi^{\prime} h$.

Corollary 2.2. There is a functor $A W: \mathbf{E Z} \rightarrow\left(\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)\right.$-Coalg $) \rightarrow$.
Proof. Set $A W((X, d) \underset{f}{\stackrel{\nabla}{\rightleftarrows}}(Y, d) \circlearrowleft \varphi)$ equal to

$$
\operatorname{Ind}^{-1}(\widetilde{\Omega} f) \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}(Y, X)
$$

where $\widetilde{\Omega} f$ is defined as in Theorem 2.1. The evident naturality of the definition of $\widetilde{\Omega} f$ implies that $A W$ is a functor.

We call $A W$ the Alexander-Whitney functor.

Fundamental Example. The natural Eilenberg-Zilber and Alexander-Whitney equivalences for simplicial sets provide the most classic example of E-Z data and play a crucial role in the constructions in this article. Let $K$ and $L$ be two simplicial sets. Define morphisms on their normalized chain complexes

$$
\nabla_{K, L}: C(K) \otimes C(L) \rightarrow C(K \times L) \quad \text { and } \quad f_{K, L}: C(K \times L) \rightarrow C(K) \otimes C(L)
$$

by

$$
\nabla_{K, L}(x \otimes y)=\sum_{(\mu, v) \in \mathcal{S}_{p, q}}(-1)^{\operatorname{sgn}(\mu)}\left(s_{\nu_{q}} \cdots s_{\nu_{1}} x, s_{\mu_{p}} \cdots s_{\mu_{1}} y\right),
$$

where $\mathcal{S}_{p, q}$ denotes the set of $(p, q)$-shuffles, $\operatorname{sgn}(\mu)$ is the signature of $\mu$ and $x \in K_{p}, y \in L_{q}$, and

$$
f_{K, L}((x, y))=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \partial_{i+1} \cdots \partial_{n} x \otimes \partial_{0}^{i} y,
$$

where $(x, y) \in(K \times L)_{n}$. We call $\nabla_{K, L}$ the shuffle (or Eilenberg-Zilber) map and $f_{K, L}$ the Alexander-Whitney map. There is a chain homotopy, $\varphi_{K, L}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(K) \otimes C(L) \underset{f_{K, L}}{\stackrel{\nabla_{K, L}}{\rightleftarrows}} C(K \times L) \circlearrowleft \varphi_{K, L} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an SDR of chain complexes. Furthermore $\nabla_{K, L}$ is a map of coalgebras, with respect to the usual coproducts, which are defined in terms of the natural equivalence $f_{K, L}$. We have thus defined a functor

$$
E Z: \mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}} \times \mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}} \rightarrow \mathbf{E Z}
$$

where $\mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}}$ is the category of 1-reduced simplicial sets. We call EZ the Eilenberg-Zilber functor.
When $K$ and $L$ are 1 -reduced, we can apply Theorem 2.1 to the SDR (2.1) and obtain a new SDR

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(C(K) \otimes C(L)) \underset{\widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}}{\stackrel{\Omega \nabla_{K, L}}{\rightleftarrows}} \Omega C(K \times L) \circlearrowleft \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi_{K, L} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the language of Corollary 2.2, we have a functor from the category $\mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}} \times \mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}}$ to $\left(\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)\right.$-Coalg $) \rightarrow$, given by the following composite:

$$
\mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}} \times \mathbf{s S e t}_{\mathbf{1}} \xrightarrow{E Z} \mathbf{E Z} \xrightarrow{A W}\left(\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\mathbf{C o a l g}\right) \rightarrow .
$$

See May's book [18, Section 28] and the articles of Eilenberg and MacLane [8,9] for further details.

We can apply our knowledge of this fundamental example to proving the following important result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $\mathbf{s S e t}_{1}$ denote the category of 1-reduced simplicial sets. There is a functor $\widetilde{C}: \mathbf{s S e t}_{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{w F}$ such that $U \widetilde{C}=C$, the normalized chains functor, where $U: \mathbf{w F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$-Coalg is the forgetful functor.

Proof. Given a 1 -reduced simplicial set $K$, observe that

$$
(A W \circ E Z)(K, K) \in\left(\mathcal{A}, \psi_{\mathcal{F}}\right)-\operatorname{Coalg}(C(K \times K), C(K) \otimes C(K))
$$

Define $\Psi_{K}$ to be the composite

$$
\mathcal{T}(C(K)) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{T}\left(\left(\Delta_{K}\right)_{\sharp}\right) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} 1} \mathcal{T}(C(K \times K)) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{A W \circ E Z(K, K)} \mathcal{T}(C(K) \otimes C(K)) .
$$

The pair $\left(C(K), \Psi_{K}\right)$ is a weak Alexander-Whitney coalgebra, so we can set

$$
\widetilde{C}(K):=\left(C(K), \Psi_{K}\right)
$$

It is then immediate that $U \widetilde{C}(K)=C(K)$.
Given a morphism $h: K \rightarrow L$ of 1-reduced simplicial sets, let $\widetilde{C}(h) \in \mathbf{F}(\widetilde{C}(K), \widetilde{C}(L))$ be the morphism of right $\mathcal{A}$-modules

$$
\mathcal{T}\left(h_{\sharp}\right) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon: \mathcal{T}(C(K)) \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C(L)),
$$

where $\varepsilon: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ is the counit of $\mathcal{F}$. A straightforward diagram chase enables us to establish that $\Psi_{L} \widetilde{C}(h)=(\widetilde{C}(h) \wedge \widetilde{C}(h)) \Psi_{K}$, ensuring that $\widetilde{C}(h)$ really is a morphism in $\mathbf{F}$. Key to the success of the diagram chase are the naturality of $A W$ and $E Z$ and of the diagonal map on simplicial sets, as well as the fact that $\left(\varepsilon \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} 1\right) \psi_{\mathcal{F}}=I d_{\mathcal{F}}=\left(1 \diamond_{\mathcal{A}} \varepsilon\right) \psi_{\mathcal{F}}$, i.e., that $\varepsilon$ is a counit for $\psi_{\mathcal{F}}$.

## 3. Twisting cochains and twisting functions

We recall here the algebraic notion of a twisting cochain and the simplicial notion of a twisting function, both of which are crucial in this article. We explain the relationship between the two, which is expressed in terms of a perturbation of the Eilenberg-Zilber SDR defined in Section 2. We conclude by recalling an important result of Morace and Prouté [20] concerning the relationship between Szczarba's twisting cochain and the Eilenberg-Zilber equivalence.

Definition. Let $(C, d)$ be a chain coalgebra with coproduct $\Delta$, and let $(A, d)$ be a chain algebra with product $\mu$. A twisting cochain from $(C, d)$ to $(A, d)$ is a degree -1 map $t: C \rightarrow A$ of graded modules such that

$$
d t+t d=\mu(t \otimes t) \Delta
$$

The definition of a twisting cochain $t: C \rightarrow A$ is formulated precisely so that the following two constructions work smoothly. First, let $(A, d) \otimes_{t}(C, d)=\left(A \otimes C, D_{t}\right)$, where $D_{t}=d \otimes 1_{C}+$ $1_{A} \otimes d-\left(\mu \otimes 1_{C}\right)\left(1_{A} \otimes t \otimes 1_{C}\right)\left(1_{A} \otimes \Delta\right)$. It is easy to see that $D_{t}^{2}=0$, so that $(A, d) \otimes_{t}(C, d)$ is a chain complex, which extends $(A, d)$.

Second, if $C$ is connected, let $\theta: T s^{-1} C_{+} \rightarrow A$ be the algebra map given by $\theta\left(s^{-1} c\right)=t(c)$. Then $\theta$ is in fact a chain algebra map $\theta: \Omega(C, d) \rightarrow(A, d)$, and the complex $(A, d) \otimes_{t}(C, d)$ is acyclic if and only if $\theta$ is a quasi-isomorphism. It is equally clear that any algebra map
$\theta: \Omega(C, d) \rightarrow(A, d)$ gives rise to a twisting cochain via the composition

$$
C_{+} \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} s^{-1} C_{+} \hookrightarrow T s^{-1} C_{+} \xrightarrow{\theta} A .
$$

In particular, for any two chain coalgebras $(C, d, \Delta)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}\right)$, the set of DCSH maps from $C$ to $C^{\prime}$ and the set of twisting cochains from $C$ to $\Omega C^{\prime}$ are naturally in bijective correspondence.

The twisting cochain associated to the cobar construction is a fundamental example of this notion. Let $(C, d, \Delta)$ be a simply-connected chain coalgebra. Consider the linear map

$$
t_{\Omega C}: C \rightarrow \Omega C: c \rightarrow s^{-1} c
$$

It is a easy exercise to show that $t_{\Omega C}$ is a twisting cochain and induces the identity map on $\Omega C$. Thus, in particular, $(\Omega C, d) \otimes_{t_{\Omega}}(C, d)$ is acyclic; this is the well-known acyclic cobar construction [16].

Definition/Lemma. Let $t: C \rightarrow A$ and $t^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be twisting cochains. Let $\varepsilon: C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varepsilon^{\prime}: C^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be counits, and let $\eta: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$ and $\eta^{\prime}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be units. Set

$$
t * t^{\prime}=t \otimes \eta^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime}+\eta \varepsilon \otimes t^{\prime}: C \otimes C^{\prime} \rightarrow A \otimes A^{\prime}
$$

Then $t * t^{\prime}$ is a twisting cochain, called the Cartesian product of $t$ and $t^{\prime}$. If $\theta: \Omega C \rightarrow A$ and $\theta^{\prime}: \Omega C^{\prime} \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ are the chain algebra maps induced by $t$ and $t^{\prime}$, then we write $\theta * \theta^{\prime}: \Omega\left(C \otimes C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow$ $A \otimes A^{\prime}$ for the chain algebra map induced by $t * t^{\prime}$.

Remark. Observe that Milgram's equivalence $q: \Omega\left(C \otimes C^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \Omega C \otimes \Omega C^{\prime}$ is exactly $I d_{\Omega C} *$ $I d_{\Omega C^{\prime}}$, which is the chain algebra map induced by $t_{\Omega C} * t_{\Omega C^{\prime}}$.

Definition. Let $K$ be a simplicial set and $G$ a simplicial group, where the neutral element in any dimension is noted $e$. A degree -1 map of graded sets $\tau: K \rightarrow G$ is a twisting function if

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0} \tau(x) & =\left(\tau\left(\partial_{0} x\right)\right)^{-1} \tau\left(\partial_{1} x\right), \\
\partial_{i} \tau(x) & =\tau\left(\partial_{i+1} x\right) \quad \text { for all } i>0, \\
s_{i} \tau(x) & =\tau\left(s_{i+1} x\right) \quad \text { for all } i \geqslant 0, \\
\tau\left(s_{0} x\right) & =e
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in K$.
The definition of a twisting function $\tau: K \rightarrow G$ is formulated precisely so that if $G$ operates on the left on a simplicial set $L$, then we can construct a twisted Cartesian product of $K$ and $L$, denoted $L \times_{\tau} K$, which is a simplicial set such that $\left(L \times_{\tau} K\right)_{n}=L_{n} \times K_{n}$, with faces and degeneracies given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{0}(y, x) & =\left(\tau(x) \cdot \partial_{0} y, \partial_{0} x\right), \\
\partial_{i}(y, x) & =\left(\partial_{i} y, \partial_{i} x\right) \quad \text { for all } i>0, \\
s_{i}(y, x) & =\left(s_{i} y, s_{i} x\right) \quad \text { for all } i \geqslant 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $L$ is a Kan complex, then the projection $L \times_{\tau} K \rightarrow K$ is a Kan fibration [18].

Example. The canonical twisting functions $\lambda_{K}: K \rightarrow G K: x \mapsto \bar{x}$ are particularly important in this article, in particular because the geometric realization of $G K \times{ }_{\lambda_{K}} K$ is acyclic.

Twisting cochains and twisting functions are, not surprisingly, very closely related. The theorem below describes their relationship in terms of a generalization of the Eilenberg-Zilber/Alexander-Whitney equivalences.

Theorem 3.1. For each twisting function $\tau: K \rightarrow G$ there exists a twisting cochain $t(\tau): C(K) \rightarrow$ $C(G)$ and an SDR

$$
C(G) \otimes_{t(\tau)} C(K) \underset{f_{\tau}}{\stackrel{\nabla_{\tau}}{\rightleftarrows}} C\left(G \times_{\tau} K\right) \circlearrowleft \varphi_{\tau} .
$$

Furthermore the choice of $t(\tau), \nabla_{\tau}, f_{\tau}$ and $\varphi_{\tau}$ can be made naturally.

Observe that since the realization of $G K \times{\lambda_{K}} K$ is acyclic, $C(G K) \otimes_{t\left(\lambda_{K}\right)} C(K)$ is acyclic as well, for any natural choice of twisting cochain $t(-)$ fulfilling the conditions of the theorem above. Consequently, the induced chain algebra map $\theta\left(\lambda_{K}\right): \Omega C(K) \rightarrow C(G K)$ is a quasiisomorphism.
E.H. Brown proved the original version of this theorem, for topological spaces, by methods of acyclic models [5]. Somewhat later R. Brown [6] and Gugenheim [10] used homological perturbation theory to prove the existence of $t(\tau)$ in the simplicial case without defining it explicitly. Szczarba was the first to give an explicit, though extremely complex, formula for $t(\tau)$, in [22].

Convention. Henceforth in this article, the notation $s z(\tau)$ will be used exclusively to mean Szczarba's explicit twisting cochain, while $s z_{K}$ will always denote $s z\left(\lambda_{K}\right)$ and $S z_{K}$ the chain algebra map induced by $s z_{K}$.

Recently, in [20] Morace and Prouté provided an alternate, more compact construction of $s z(\tau)$, which enabled them to prove that $s z_{K}$ commutes with the shuffle map, as described below.

Theorem 3.2. (See [20].) Let $K$ and $L$ be reduced simplicial sets. Let $\rho: G(K \times L) \rightarrow G K \times G L$ denote the homomorphism of simplicial groups defined in the introduction. Then the diagram of graded module maps

commutes.

The following corollary of Theorem 3.2 is crucial to the development in the next section.
Corollary 3.3. Let $K$ and $L$ be 1-reduced simplicial sets, and let $\rho$ be as above. Then the diagram of chain algebra maps

commutes up to homotopy of chain algebras.

Proof. Recall that $\nabla$ is always a map of coalgebras, so that it induces a map of chain algebras $\Omega \nabla$ on cobar constructions. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2, we obtain that the diagram of chain algebras

commutes. It suffices to check the commutativity for generators of $\Omega(C(K) \otimes C(L))$, i.e, for elements of $s^{-1}(C(K) \otimes C(L))_{+}$, which is equivalent to the commutativity of the diagram in Theorem 3.2.

If $\Phi=f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi_{K, L}: \Omega C(K \times L) \rightarrow C(G K) \otimes C(G L)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d \otimes 1+1 \otimes d) \Phi+\Phi d & =f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L} \circ \Omega \nabla \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}-f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L} \\
& =f_{G K, G L} \circ \nabla_{G K, G L} \circ S z_{K} * S z_{L} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}-f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L} \\
& =S z_{K} * S z_{L} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}-f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The map $\Phi$ is thus a chain homotopy from $S z_{K_{K}} * S z_{L} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}$ to $f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L}$. Furthermore, since, according to Theorem 2.1, $\widetilde{\Omega} \varphi$ is a $(\Omega \nabla \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f, 1)$-derivation, $\Phi$ is a $\left(S z_{K} * S z_{L} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}, f_{G K, G L} \circ \rho_{\sharp} \circ S z_{K \times L}\right)$-derivation. The diagram in the statement of the theorem commutes therefore up to homotopy of chain algebras.

## 4. The canonical Adams-Hilton model

Our goal in this section is to define and establish the key properties of the cobar diagonal. Throughout the section we abuse notation slightly and write $f_{K}, \nabla_{K}$ and $\varphi_{K}$ instead of $f_{K, K}$, $\nabla_{K, K}$ and $\varphi_{K, K}$. Recall furthermore the functors $\widetilde{\Omega}: \mathbf{w F} \rightarrow \mathbf{w H}\left(\right.$ Lemma 1.4) and $\widetilde{C}: \mathbf{s S e t}_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{w F}$ (Theorem 2.3).

Definition. Let $K$ be a 1-reduced simplicial set. The canonical Adams-Hilton model for $K$ is $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$. The coproduct $\psi_{K}$ on the canonical Adams-Hilton model is called the canonical cobar diagonal.

Unrolling the definition of $\psi_{K}$, we see that it is equal to the following composite:

$$
\Omega C(K) \xrightarrow{\Omega\left(\Delta_{K}\right)_{\sharp}} \Omega C(K \times K) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Omega} f_{K}} \Omega(C(K) \otimes C(K)) \xrightarrow{q} \Omega C(K) \otimes \Omega C(K) .
$$

We show in the next two results that it is, in particular, cocommutative up to homotopy of chain algebras and strictly coassociative. Thus, $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K) \in \mathbf{H}$, i.e., $\widetilde{C}(K)$ is a strict Alexander-Whitney coalgebra.

Proposition 4.1. The canonical cobar diagonal $\psi_{K}$ is cocommutative up to homotopy of chain algebras for all 1 -reduced simplicial sets $K$.

Proof. Consider the following diagram, in which $s w$ denotes both the simplicial coordinate switch map and the algebraic tensor switch map:


The triangle on the left and the square on the right commute for obvious reasons, while the middle square commutes up to chain homotopy, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Omega(s w) \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f & =\widetilde{\Omega} f \circ \Omega \nabla \circ \Omega(s w) \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f \\
& =\widetilde{\Omega} f \circ \Omega(s w)_{\sharp} \circ \Omega \nabla \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f \quad \text { since } \nabla \circ s w=(s w)_{\sharp} \circ \nabla \\
& \simeq \widetilde{\Omega} f \circ \Omega(s w)_{\sharp} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The homotopy in the last step is provided by $\widetilde{\Omega} f \circ \Omega(s w)_{\sharp} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi$. Hence, the whole diagram commutes up to chain homotopy, where $q \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f \circ \Omega(s w)_{\sharp} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi \circ \Omega \Delta_{\sharp}$ provides the necessary homotopy.

Theorem 4.2. The canonical cobar diagonal $\psi_{K}$ is strictly coassociative for all 1-reduced simplicial sets $K$.

Proof. We need to show that $\left(\psi_{K} \otimes 1\right) \psi_{K}=\left(1 \otimes \psi_{K}\right) \psi_{K}$, which means that we need to show that the following diagram commutes. (Note that we drop the subscript $K$ for the remainder of
this proof.)


In order to prove that the square above commutes, we divide it into nine smaller squares:

and show that each of the small squares commutes, with one exception, for which we can correct. We label each small square with its row and column number, so that, e.g., square $(2,3)$ is


The commutativity of eight of the nine small squares is immediate. Square $(1,1)$ commutes since $\Delta$ is coassociative. Squares $(1,2)$ and $(2,1)$ commute by naturality of $f$, while squares $(1,3)$ and $(3,1)$ commute by naturality of $q$. The commutativity of squares $(2,3)$ and $(3,2)$ is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.2. Finally, a simple calculation shows that square $(3,3)$ commutes as well.

Let $q^{(2)}=(1 \otimes q) q=(q \otimes 1) q$. In the case of square $(2,2)$, we show that

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\widetilde{\Omega}(1 \otimes f) \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, K^{2}}-\widetilde{\Omega}(f \otimes 1) \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K^{2}, K}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker} q^{(2)}
$$

which suffices to conclude that the large square commutes, since we know that the other eight small squares commute.

Let $c_{1,2}$ and $c_{2,1}$ denote the usual coproducts on $C(K) \otimes C\left(K^{2}\right)$ and $C\left(K^{2}\right) \otimes C(K)$, respectively. Given any $z \in C\left(K^{3}\right)$, use the Einstein summation convention in writing

$$
f_{K, K^{2}}(z)=x_{i} \otimes y^{i}, \quad c_{K}\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i, j} \otimes x_{i}^{j}, \quad \text { and } \quad c_{K^{2}}\left(\varphi\left(y^{i}\right)\right)=\varphi\left(y^{i}\right)_{k} \otimes \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)^{k},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q^{(2)}\left(s^{-1}(1 \otimes f)\right)^{\otimes 2} c_{1,2}(1 \otimes \varphi) f_{K, K^{2}}(z) \\
& \quad=q^{(2)}\left(s^{-1}(1 \otimes f)\right)^{\otimes 2} c_{1,2}\left(x_{i} \otimes \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)\right) \\
& \quad=q^{(2)}\left(s^{-1}(1 \otimes f)\right)^{\otimes 2}\left( \pm x_{i, j} \otimes \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)_{k} \otimes x_{i}^{j} \otimes \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)^{k}\right) \\
& \quad=q^{(2)}\left( \pm s^{-1}\left(x_{i, j} \otimes f\left(\varphi\left(y^{i}\right)_{k}\right)\right) s^{-1}\left(x_{i}^{j} \otimes f\left(\varphi\left(y^{i}\right)^{k}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \quad=(1 \otimes q)\left(\left(s^{-1} x_{i} \otimes 1\right)\left(1 \otimes s^{-1} f \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)\right) \pm\left(1 \otimes s^{-1} f \varphi\left(y^{i}\right)\right)\left(s^{-1} x_{i} \otimes 1\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since $(1 \otimes q)\left(s^{-1}(u \otimes v)\right)=0$ unless $|u|=0$ or $|v|=0$. This last sum is 0 , however, since $f \varphi=0$.

Similarly, $q^{(2)}\left(s^{-1}(f \otimes 1)\right)^{\otimes 2} c_{2,1}(\varphi \otimes 1) f_{K^{2}, K}(z)=0$. Applying Gugenheim and Munkholm's formula from Theorem 2.1, we obtain for all $z \in C\left(K^{3}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q^{(2)} \widetilde{\Omega}(1 \otimes f) \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, K^{2}}\left(s^{-1} z\right) & =q^{(2)} s^{-1}(1 \otimes f) f_{K, K^{2}}(z) \\
& =q^{(2)} s^{-1}(f \otimes 1) f_{K^{2}, K}(z) \\
& =q^{(2)} \widetilde{\Omega}(f \otimes 1) \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K^{2}, K}\left(s^{-1} z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

since in general
$\left(1 \otimes f_{L, M}\right) f_{K, L \times M}=\left(f_{K, L} \otimes 1\right) f_{K \times L, M}: C(K \times L \times M) \rightarrow C(K) \otimes C(L) \otimes C(M)$.
Proposition 4.3. The chain algebra quasi-isomorphism $S z_{K}: \Omega C(K) \rightarrow C(G K)$ induced by Szczarba's twisting cochain $s z_{K}$ is a map of chain coalgebras up to homotopy of chain algebras, with respect to the canonical cobar diagonal and the usual coproduct $c_{G K}=f_{G K} \circ\left(\Delta_{G K}\right)_{\sharp}$ on $C(G K)$, i.e., the diagram

commutes up to homotopy of chain algebras.

Remark. Since $c_{G K}$ is homotopy cocommutative, Proposition 4.3 implies immediately that $\psi_{K}$ is homotopy cocommutative as well. We consider, however, that it is worthwhile to establish the homotopy cocommutativity of $\psi_{K}$ independently, as we do in Proposition 4.1, since we obtain an explicit formula for the chain homotopy.

Proof. We can expand and complete the diagram in the statement of the theorem to obtain the diagram below:


The top square commutes exactly, by naturality of the twisting cochains. An easy calculation shows that the bottom triangle commutes exactly. Since Corollary 3.3 implies that the middle square commutes up to homotopy of chain algebras, we can conclude that the theorem is true. In particular $f_{G K} \rho_{\sharp} S z_{K \times K} \widetilde{\Omega} \varphi_{K} \Omega\left(\Delta_{K}\right)_{\sharp}$ is an appropriate derivation homotopy.

It would be interesting to determine under what conditions $S z_{K}$ is a strict map of Hopf algebras. We have checked that $\left(S z_{K} \otimes S z_{K}\right) \psi_{K}=c_{G K} S z_{K}$ up through degree 3 and will show in a later paper [15] that $S z_{K}$ is a strict Hopf algebra map when $K$ is a suspension.

Even if $S z_{K}$ is not a strict coalgebra map, we know that it is at least the next best thing, as stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4. Any natural map $\theta_{K}: \Omega C(K) \rightarrow C(G K)$ of chain algebras is a DCSH map, with respect to any natural choice of strictly coassociative coproduct $\chi_{K}$ on $\Omega C(K)$.

Remark. Proposition 4.3 is, of course, an immediate corollary of Theorem 4.4. The independent proof of Proposition 4.3 serves to provide an explicit formula for the homotopy between $\left.S z_{K} \otimes S z_{K}\right) \psi_{K}$ and $c_{G K} S z_{K}$. The proof below sacrifices all hope of explicit formulae on the altar of extreme generality.

Proof. Let $\bar{\Delta}[n]$ denote the quotient of the standard simplicial $n$-simplex $\Delta[n]$ by its 0 -skeleton. Recall from [20] that there is a contracting chain homotopy $\bar{h}: C(G \bar{\Delta}[n]) \rightarrow C(G \bar{\Delta}[n])$. The functor $C(G(-))$ from reduced simplicial sets to connected chain algebras is therefore acyclic on the set of models $\mathfrak{M}=\{\bar{\Delta}[n] \mid n \geqslant 0\}$.

On the other hand, the functor $C$ from reduced simplicial sets to connected chain coalgebras is free on $\mathfrak{M}$. In particular, the set $\left\{\iota_{n} \in C(\bar{\Delta}[n]) \mid n \geqslant 0\right\}$ gives rise to basis of $C(K)$ for all $K$, where $\iota_{n}$ denotes the unique non-degenerate $n$-simplex of $\bar{\Delta}[n]$.

Theorem 4.4 therefore follows immediately from Theorem 1.5, where $\mathbf{D}$ is the category of reduced simplicial sets, $X=\left(\Omega C(-), \chi_{-}\right)$and $Y=C(G(-))$.

Remark. The results in this section beg the question of iteration of the cobar construction. Let $K$ be any 2 -reduced simplicial set. Since $\psi_{K}$ is strictly coassociative and $S z_{K}$ is a DCSH map, we can apply the cobar construction to the quasi-isomorphism $S z_{K}: \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)=\left(\Omega C(K), \psi_{K}\right) \rightarrow$ $C(G K)$ and consider the composite

$$
\Omega \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Omega} S_{z_{K}}} \Omega C(G K) \xrightarrow{S_{z_{G K}}} C\left(G^{2} K\right),
$$

which is a quasi-isomorphism of chain algebras (see Lemma 1.4 and Theorem 2.3 for explanation of the notation $\widetilde{\Omega}$ and $\widetilde{C}$ ). The question is now whether there is a canonical, topologicallymeaningful way to define a coassociative coproduct on $\Omega \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$, in order to iterate the process. In other words, is there a natural, coassociative coproduct on $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$ with respect to which $S z_{G K} \circ \widetilde{\Omega} S z_{K}$ is a DCSH map? Equivalently, does $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$ admit a natural Alexander-Whitney coalgebra structure, with respect to which $S z_{K}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{F}$ ?

Using the notion of the diffraction from [14] and the more general version of the Cobar Duality Theorem proved there, we can show that $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$ admits a natural weak Alexander-Whitney structure, with respect to which $S z_{K}$ is a morphism in $\mathbf{w F}$. Consequently, $\Omega \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(K)$ indeed admits a natural coproduct, but it is not necessarily coassociative, which prevents us from applying the cobar construction again.

In [15] we show that if $E K$ is the suspension of a 1-reduced simplicial set $K$, then $\widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{C}(E K)$ does admit a natural, strict Alexander-Whitney coalgebra structure and that $S z_{E K}$ is then a morphism in $\mathbf{F}$. We conjecture that this result generalizes to higher suspensions and correspondingly higher iterations of the cobar construction.

## 5. The Baues coproduct and the canonical cobar diagonal

We show in this section that the coproduct defined by Baues in [3] is the same as the canonical cobar diagonal defined in Section 4. As mentioned in the introduction, this result is at first sight quite surprising, since there is an obvious asymmetry in Baues's combinatorial definition, which is well hidden in our definition of the canonical cobar diagonal.

We begin by recalling the definition of Baues's coproduct on $\Omega C(K)$, where $K$ is a 1-reduced simplicial set. For any $m \leqslant n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $[m, n]=\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid m \leqslant j \leqslant n\}$. Let $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ denote the category with objects

$$
O b \boldsymbol{\Delta}=\{[0, n] \mid n \geqslant 0\}
$$

and

$$
\boldsymbol{\Delta}([0, m],[0, n])=\{f:[0, m] \rightarrow[0, n] \mid f \text { order-preserving set map }\} .
$$

Viewing the simplicial set $K$ as a contravariant functor from $\Delta$ to the category of sets, given $x \in K_{n}:=K([0, n])$ and $0 \leqslant a_{1}<a_{2}<\cdots<a_{m} \leqslant n$, let

$$
x_{a_{1} \ldots a_{m}}:=K(\mathbf{a})(x) \in K_{m},
$$

where $\mathbf{a}:[0, m] \rightarrow[0, n]: j \mapsto a_{j}$.

Let $x$ be a non-degenerate $n$-simplex of $K$. Baues's coproduct $\tilde{\psi}$ on $\Omega C(K)$ is defined by

$$
\tilde{\psi}\left(s^{-1} x\right)=\sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant m<n \\ 0<a_{1}<\cdots<a_{m}<n}}(-1)^{\ell(\mathbf{a})} s^{-1} x_{0 \ldots a_{1}} s^{-1} x_{a_{1} \ldots a_{2}} \cdots s^{-1} x_{a_{m} \ldots n} \otimes s^{-1} x_{0 a_{1} \ldots a_{m} n}
$$

where

$$
\ell(\mathbf{a})=\left(a_{1}-1\right)+\left(\sum_{i=2}^{m}(i-1)\left(a_{i}-a_{i-1}-1\right)\right)+m\left(n-a_{m}-1\right) .
$$

Baues showed in [3] that $\tilde{\psi}$ was strictly coassociative and that it was cocommutative up to derivation homotopy, providing an explicit derivation homotopy for the cocommutativity.

To prove that the canonical cobar diagonal agrees with the Baues coproduct, we examine closely each summand of $\widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}$, determining precisely what survives upon composition with $q: \Omega(C K \otimes C L) \rightarrow \Omega C K \otimes \Omega C L$. Henceforth, in the interest of simplifying the notation, we no longer make signs explicit.

Recall from Section 2 the Eilenberg-Zilber SDR of normalized chain complexes

$$
C(K) \otimes C(L) \underset{f_{K, L}}{\stackrel{\nabla_{K, L}}{\rightleftarrows}} C(K \times L) \circlearrowleft \varphi_{K, L},
$$

where we can rewrite the definitions of $f_{K, L}$ and $\nabla_{K, L}$ in terms the notation introduced above as follows. In degree $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{K, L}\right)_{n}(x \otimes y)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} \sum_{\substack{A \cup B=[0, n-1] \\|A|=n-\ell,|B|=\ell}} \pm\left(s_{A} x, s_{B} y\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{K, L}\right)_{n}(x, y)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{n} x_{0 \ldots \ell} \otimes y_{\ell \ldots n} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{I}$ denotes $s_{i_{r}} \cdots s_{i_{2}} s_{i_{1}}$ for any set $I$ of non-negative integers $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}$ and $|I|$ denotes the cardinality of $I$. There is also a recursive formula for $\varphi_{K, L}$, due to Eilenberg and MacLane [9]. Let $g=\nabla_{K, L} f_{K, L}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi_{K, L}\right)_{n}=-(g)^{\prime} s_{0}+\left(\left(\varphi_{K, L}\right)_{n-1}\right)^{\prime} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivation operation on simplicial operators, i.e.,

$$
h=s_{j_{n}} \cdots s_{j_{0}} \partial_{i_{0}} \cdots \partial_{i_{m}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad h^{\prime}=s_{j_{n}+1} \cdots s_{j_{0}+1} \partial_{i_{0}+1} \cdots \partial_{i_{m}+1}
$$

Let $\hat{\varphi}$ denote the degree +1 map

$$
\hat{\varphi}: C(K \times L) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{K, L}} C(K \times L) \xrightarrow{\left(\Delta_{K \times L}\right) \sharp} C\left((K \times L)^{2}\right) \xrightarrow{f_{K \times L, K \times L}} C(K \times L)^{\otimes 2} .
$$

If $K$ and $L$ are 0 -reduced, consider the pushout $C K \vee C L$ of the complexes $C K$ and $C L$ over $\mathbb{Z}$ and the map of chain complexes

$$
\kappa: C K \otimes C L \rightarrow C K \vee C L
$$

defined by $\kappa(x \otimes 1)=x, \kappa(1 \otimes y)=y$ and $\kappa(x \otimes y)=0$ if $|x|,|y|>0$. Define a family of linear maps

$$
\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{\bar{F}_{k}: C(K \times L) \rightarrow(C K \vee C L)^{\otimes k} \mid \operatorname{deg} \bar{F}=k-1, k \geqslant 1\right\}
$$

by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{F}_{1}: C(K \times L) \xrightarrow{f_{K, L}} C K \otimes C L \xrightarrow{\kappa} C K \vee C L,  \tag{5.4}\\
& \bar{F}_{k}: C(K \times L) \xrightarrow{\hat{\varphi}} C(K \times L)^{\otimes 2} \xrightarrow{-\sum_{i+j=k} \bar{F}_{i} \otimes \bar{F}_{j}}(C K \vee C L)^{\otimes k} . \tag{5.5}
\end{align*}
$$

We can use the family $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ to obtain a useful factorization of $q \circ \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}$, as follows.
Observe first, by comparison with the construction given after the statement of Theorem 2.1, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{k}=\kappa^{\otimes k} F_{k} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{k}$ is defined as in Theorem 2.1. Next note that for any pair of 1-connected chain coalgebras $(C, d)$ and $\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$, the algebra map $\gamma: T s^{-1}\left(C_{+} \vee C_{+}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow T s^{-1} C_{+} \otimes T s^{-1} C_{+}^{\prime}$ specified by $\gamma\left(s^{-1} c\right)=s^{-1} c \otimes 1$ and $\gamma\left(s^{-1} c^{\prime}\right)=1 \otimes s^{-1} c^{\prime}$ for $c \in C$ and $c^{\prime} \in C^{\prime}$ commutes with the cobar differentials, i.e., it is a chain algebra map $\gamma: \Omega\left((C, d) \vee\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)\right) \rightarrow \Omega(C, d) \otimes \Omega\left(C^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore,

$$
q=\gamma \circ T\left(s^{-1} \kappa s\right): \Omega(C K \otimes C L) \rightarrow \Omega C K \otimes \Omega C L
$$

which implies, by (5.6), that when $K$ and $L$ are 1-reduced,

$$
q \widetilde{\Omega} f_{K, L}=\gamma \circ \sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} \bar{F}_{k} s: \Omega C(K \times L) \rightarrow \Omega C(K) \otimes C(L) .
$$

In particular, the canonical cobar diagonal is equal to $\gamma \circ \sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} \bar{F}_{k} s \circ \Omega\left(\Delta_{K}\right)_{\sharp}$.
Thanks to the decomposition above, we obtain as an immediate consequence of the next theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{K}=\tilde{\psi} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5.1. Let $\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\left\{\bar{F}_{k}: C(K \times L) \rightarrow(C K \vee C L)^{\otimes k} \mid \operatorname{deg} \bar{F}=k-1, k \geqslant 1\right\}$ denote the family defined above. If $j \geqslant 2$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{F}_{i} \otimes \bar{F}_{j}\right) \hat{\varphi}=0 . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $K$ and $L$ are 1-reduced then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{F}_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{\left\{0<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}<n\right\}} \pm y_{0 i_{1} \ldots i_{r} n} \otimes x_{0 \ldots i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_{r} \ldots n} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this summation we adopt the convention that 1 -simplices $x_{J}$ or $y_{J}$ for $|J|=2$ are to be identified to the unit (not to zero), and consider only those terms for which exactly $k$ non-trivial tensor factors remain.

To see how Theorem 5.1 implies (5.7), note that (5.9) implies that for all $x \in C_{n} K$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma\left(\sum_{k \geqslant 1}\left(s^{-1}\right)^{\otimes k} \bar{F}_{k}(x, x)\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{\left\{0<i_{1}<\cdots<i_{r}<n\right\}} \pm s^{-1} x_{0 \ldots i_{1}} \cdots s^{-1} x_{i_{r} \ldots n} \otimes s^{-1} x_{0 i_{1} \ldots i_{r} n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the proof of Theorem 5.1 we rely on the following lemmas.
The map $\bar{F}_{1}$ is easy to identify, by definition of $f$ and $\kappa$.
Lemma 5.2. For all $(x, y)$ in $K \times L$, we have $\bar{F}_{1}(x, y)=x+y$.
To understand $\bar{F}_{k}$ for $k \geqslant 2$, we use the following explicit formula for $\varphi$.
Lemma 5.3. Let $A, B$ be disjoint sets such that $A \cup B=[m+1, n]$ and $|B|=r-m$ for $r>m$. For $(x, y) \in K_{n} \times L_{n}$, let

$$
\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)=\left(s_{A \cup\{m\}} x_{0 \ldots r}, s_{B} y_{0 \ldots m r \ldots n}\right) \in K_{n+1} \times L_{n+1} .
$$

Then the Eilenberg-Zilber homotopy $\varphi$ is given by

$$
\varphi(x, y)=\sum_{\substack{m<r \\ A \cup B=[m+1, n] \\|A|=n-r,|B|=r-m}} \pm \varphi^{A, B}(x, y)
$$

The following result should be compared with Lemma 5.2 and the classical result $\varphi^{2}=0$.
Lemma 5.4. For a general term $\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)$ of Lemma 5.3 and $0 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n+1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right) & = \begin{cases}x_{0 \ldots \ell}+y_{0 \ldots \ell} & \text { if } \ell \leqslant m, \\
y_{0} \ldots m r \ldots r-m+\ell-1 & \text { if } \ell>m \text { and }[m+1, \ell-1] \subseteq A, \\
0 & \text { else, }\end{cases} \\
\bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right) & = \begin{cases}x_{r-n+\ell-1 \ldots r} & \text { if } \ell>m \text { and }[\ell, n] \subseteq B, \\
y_{\ell-1 \ldots n} & \text { if } \ell>m \text { and }[\ell, n] \subseteq A, \\
0 & \text { else },\end{cases} \\
\varphi\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right) & =0 \quad \text { if } \ell>m \text { and }[m+1, \ell-1] \nsubseteq A,
\end{aligned}, \begin{array}{ll}
\text { always. }
\end{array}
$$

Proof of Theorem 5.1. If $j \geqslant 2$, then we have

$$
\bar{F}_{j}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right)=-\sum_{j_{1}+j_{2}=j}\left(\bar{F}_{j_{1}} \otimes \bar{F}_{j_{2}}\right) f \Delta_{\sharp} \varphi\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right)=0
$$

by the final result of Lemma 5.4, and so

$$
\left(\bar{F}_{i} \otimes \bar{F}_{j}\right) \hat{\varphi}(x, y)=\sum_{\ell, m, r, A, B} \bar{F}_{i}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right) \otimes \bar{F}_{j}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right)=0,
$$

proving the first part of the theorem.
For the second part, note that for $k=1$ the right-hand side of (5.9) reduces to

$$
y_{0 n} \otimes x_{0 \ldots n}+y_{0 \ldots n} \otimes x_{01} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{n-1 n}
$$

which we identify with $x+y=\bar{F}_{1}(x, y)$.
For $k=2$ we use the first two results of Lemma 5.4 and the fact that $B \neq \emptyset$ so $A$ cannot contain both $[m+1, \ell-1]$ and $[\ell, n]$, to establish that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{F}_{2}(x, y) & =\sum_{\ell, m, r, A, B} \bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right) \otimes \bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{\ell, m, r} y_{0 \ldots m r \ldots r-m+\ell-1} \otimes x_{r-n+\ell-1 \ldots r} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $[m+1, \ell-1]=A$ and $[\ell, n]=B$, so $r-m=|B|=n-\ell+1$ and we have

$$
\bar{F}_{2}(x, y)=\sum_{m<r} y_{0 \ldots m r \ldots n} \otimes x_{m \ldots r}
$$

which agrees with (5.9). For $k \geqslant 2$ we have, by (5.8),

$$
\bar{F}_{k+1}(x, y)=\sum_{\substack{\ell, m, r, A, B \\ i+j=k}}\left(\left(\bar{F}_{i} \otimes \bar{F}_{j}\right) f \Delta_{\sharp} \varphi\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right)\right) \otimes \bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right)
$$

and Lemma 5.4 tells us once again we must take $A=[m+1, \ell-1], B=[\ell, n], \ell=n+m-r+1$ to obtain non-vanishing terms

$$
\bar{F}_{k+1}(x, y)=\sum_{m<r} \bar{F}_{k}\left(s_{[m, m+n-r]} x_{0 \ldots m}, y_{0 \ldots m r \ldots n}\right) \otimes x_{m \ldots r} .
$$

The theorem then follows by a straightforward induction.

Proof of Lemma 5.3. Expanding the definitions (5.1)-(5.3) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{n}(x, y) & =\sum_{m=0}^{n-1} \pm g_{n-m}^{(m+1)} s_{m}(x, y) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leqslant n-1 \\
0 \leq \ell \leq n-m \\
A \cup B=[0, n-m-1] \\
|A|=n-m-\ell,|B|=\ell}} \pm\left(s_{A+m+1} \partial_{\ell+1+m+1}^{n-m-\ell} s_{m} x, s_{B+m+1} \partial_{0+m+1}^{\ell} s_{m} y\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{0 \leq m \leqslant n-1 \\
1 \leqslant \ell \leqslant n-m \\
A \cup B=[m+1, n] \\
|A|=n-m-\ell,|B|=\ell}} \pm\left(s_{A} s_{m} \partial_{m+\ell+1}^{n-m-\ell} x, s_{B} \partial_{m+1}^{\ell-1} y\right) \\
& =\sum_{\substack{0 \leqslant m \leqslant n-1 \\
m+1 \leqslant r \leqslant n \\
A \cup B=[m+1, n] \\
|A|=n-r,|B|=r-m}} \pm\left(s_{A \cup\{m\}} x_{0 \ldots \ldots}, s_{B} y_{0} \ldots m r \ldots n\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we have dropped the $\ell=0$ terms, since they are degenerate (in the image of $s_{m}$ ), and written $r=m+\ell$.

Proof of Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 5.2, the first equation holds for $\ell \leqslant m$, since then

$$
\bar{F}_{1}\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right)=\bar{F}_{1}\left((x, y)_{0 \ldots \ell}\right)=x_{0 \ldots \ell}+y_{0 \ldots \ell .} .
$$

If $\ell>m$, then the first term is always $s_{m}$-degenerate, as is the second, unless all the indices specified in $B$ are $\geqslant \ell$, that is, unless $[m+1, \ell-1] \subseteq A$.

If $\ell \leqslant m$, then $\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}$ always has an $s_{m}$-degeneracy in the first component and some other degeneracy in the second, since $B \neq \emptyset$, so its image under $\bar{F}_{1}$ is zero. If $\ell>m$, then the first component can only be non-degenerate if no elements of $A$ are $\geqslant \ell$, so $[\ell, n] \subseteq B$. Similarly the second can be non-degenerate only if $[\ell, n] \subseteq A$.

If $\ell>m$ then every term of $\varphi\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{0 \ldots \ell)}\right.$ has as first factor the face of the second component specified by $[0, p] \cup[q, \ell]$, say, which is degenerate if $q \leqslant m$ and $[q, \ell-1] \cap B$ is non-empty. If $q>m$, however, then either $p>m$ and $[p, q] \subseteq B$, so it is still degenerate, or $[p, q] \cap(A \cup\{m\})$ is non-empty and the other factor is degenerate.

A similar argument shows that the terms of $\varphi\left(\left(\varphi^{A, B}(x, y)\right)_{\ell \ldots n+1}\right)$ always have one of the two factors degenerate.
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