On the Number of Solutions of $N - p = P_3$

EUGENE KWAN-SANG NG

Department of Mathematics. The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

Communicated by P. T. Bateman

Received June 16, 1982; revised October 5, 1982

A lower bound of Richert on the number of solutions of $N - p = P_3$ is improved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let N be a large positive even number, p be a prime, and let P_r denote an almost prime with at most r prime factors counted with multiplicity. Furthermore we set

$$C_N = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{2$$

In 1969, Richert [6, 8] proved

THEOREM 1. There exists an absolute constant N_0 such that, if $N \ge N_0$, then

$$|\{p: p < N, N - p = P_3\}| \ge \frac{13}{3} C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N};$$

in particular, every sufficiently large even number N can be represented in the form

$$N = p + P_3$$
.

Richert's proof is based on a logarithmic weighted sieve, Jurkat-Richert's theorem, and Bombieri's theorem. Qualitatively speaking, Chen's theorem [3] is better than Theorem 1. However, as a quantitative statement about the representation of N - p as a P_3 , Theorem 1 is still unsurpassed. In this paper we shall prove

THEOREM 2. There exists an absolute constant N_1 such that, if $N \ge N_1$, then

$$|\{p: p < N, N - p = P_3\}| \ge 6.173 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.$$

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on a theorem of Jurkat-Richert on the linear sieve, Bombieri-type mean value theorem, and Chen's idea of switching. It is interesting to observe that we are able to show the existence of P_3 in the sequence $\{N - p : p < N\}$ without any elaborate weighted sieve. With more calculations, it is possible to improve the constant 6.173. Of course, the conjugate result for the number of representations of $p + h = P_3$ $(p \le N, 2 | h)$ can be proved in precisely the same way.

2. Proof of Theorem 2

Let \mathcal{C} be a finite sequence of integers, \mathscr{P} be a set of primes and $|\mathcal{C}|$ the number of elements in \mathcal{C} . Furthermore, for a positive integer d, suppose that the quantity

$$|\mathcal{A}_d| = |\{a \in \mathcal{A}; a \equiv 0 \pmod{d}\}|$$

may be written in the form

$$|\mathcal{A}_d| = \frac{\omega(d)}{d} X + r_d \qquad (\mu(d) \neq 0),$$

where $\omega(d)$ is multiplicative with $0 \le \omega(p) < p$, X is a large enough parameter independent of d, r_d is considered as an error term, and $\mu(d)$ is the Möbius function.

Finally, for a given $z \ge 2$, we let

$$P(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z \\ p \in \mathcal{P}}} p,$$

$$S(\mathcal{O}; \mathcal{P}, z) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{O} \\ (a, P(z)) = 1}} 1,$$

and

$$W(z) = \prod_{p < z} \left(1 - \frac{\omega(p)}{p}\right).$$

We now state some well-known results.

LEMMA 1 (Selberg). If $\omega(d)$ satisfies the conditions

$$0 \leqslant \frac{\omega(p)}{p} < 1 - \frac{1}{A_1},\tag{1}$$

$$-A_2 \leqslant \sum_{w \leqslant p \leqslant z} \frac{\omega(p)}{p} \log p - \log \frac{z}{w} \leqslant A_3, \qquad 2 \leqslant w \leqslant z, \tag{2}$$

for some suitable constants $A_i \ge 1$, i = 1, 2, 3, then

$$S(\mathcal{O}; \mathcal{P}, z) \leq XW(z)e^{\gamma} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{A_2}{\log z}\right)\right) + \sum_{\substack{d < z^2 \\ d \mid P(z)}} 3^{\nu(d)} |r_d|.$$

Here γ is Euler's constant and v(d) is the number of distinct prime factors of d. For a proof of this lemma, see [6, Chap. 5].

LEMMA 2 (Jurkat-Richert). Suppose $\omega(d)$ satisfies conditions (1) and (2). For $\xi \ge z$ we have

$$S(\mathcal{Q}; \mathcal{P}, z) \leq XW(z) \left\{ F\left(\frac{\log \xi^2}{\log z}\right) + O\left(\frac{A_2}{(\log \xi)^{1/14}}\right) \right\} + R, \quad (3)$$

$$S(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{P},z) \ge XW(z) \left\{ f\left(\frac{\log \xi^2}{\log z}\right) + O\left(\frac{A_2}{(\log \xi)^{1/14}}\right) \right\} - R, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$R = \sum_{\substack{d < \xi^2 \\ d \mid P(z)}} 3^{v(d)} |r_d|,$$

the functions F and f are defined by $F(u) = 2e^{\gamma}/u$, f(u) = 0 for $0 < u \le 2$, and (uF(u))' = f(u-1), (uf(u))' = F(u-1) for $u \ge 2$.

This lemma is also true if $1 < \xi < z$ but $z \ll \xi^{\lambda}$ with a positive constant λ , in which case the *O* constant in (3) depends also on λ . The proof of this lemma can be found in [6, Chap. 8].

It is well known that

$$F(u) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{u}, \qquad \qquad 0 < u \leq 3, \qquad (5)$$

$$F(u) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{u} \left(1 + \int_{2}^{u-1} \log(t-1) \frac{dt}{t} \right), \qquad 3 \le u \le 5, \tag{6}$$

and

$$f(u) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{u}\log(u-1), \qquad 2 \le u \le 4.$$
(7)

LEMMA 3 (Bombieri). Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant $B_1 = B_1(A)$ such that

$$\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_1}} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l,d)=1} \left| \pi(y;d,l) - \frac{\operatorname{li} y}{\varphi(d)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^4},$$

where $\varphi(d)$ is the Euler function and li y is the logarithmic integral of y,

$$\pi(y; d, l) = \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv l \pmod{d}}} 1 \quad and \quad \text{li } y = \int_2^y \frac{dt}{\log t}.$$

For a proof, see [1, 4]. Simplified proofs have been given by Gallagher and Vaughan.

LEMMA 4 (Ding and Pan). Let

$$\pi(y; a, d, l) = \sum_{\substack{ap \leq y \\ ap \equiv l \pmod{d}}} 1$$

and let f(a) be a real function, $f(a) \ll 1$; then, for any given A > 0, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_2} \ y \leq x}} \max_{\substack{y \leq x \ (l,d) = 1}} \left| \sum_{\substack{a \leq x^{1-\epsilon} \\ (a,d) = 1}} f(a) \left(\pi(y; a, d, l) - \frac{\operatorname{li} y/a}{\varphi(d)} \right) \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}.$$

where $B_2 = B_2(A) = \frac{3}{2}A + 17$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$.

The proof of this mean value theorem can be found in [7]. Using familiar methods, we deduce from Lemmas 3 and 4, respectively,

LEMMA 5. Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant $B_3 = B_3(A)$ such that

$$\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_3}} \mu^2(d) 3^{v(d)} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l, d) = 1} \left| \pi(y; d, l) - \frac{\mathrm{li} y}{\varphi(d)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}.$$

LEMMA 6. Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 4. Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant $B_4 = B_4(A)$ such that

$$\frac{\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_4}} \mu^2(d) \, 3^{\nu(d)} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l,d)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{a \leq x^{1-\epsilon} \\ (a,d)=1}} f(a) \left(\pi(y; a, d, l) - \frac{\operatorname{li} y/a}{\varphi(d)} \right) \right| \\ \ll \frac{X}{(\log x)^4}.$$

To proceed further, we take

$$\mathcal{O} = \{ N - p \quad : p \leq N \}, \qquad \mathcal{P} = \{ p : p \nmid N \}.$$

Consider the quantity

$$|\{N-p: p \leq N, (N-p, P(N^{1/8})) = 1\}| = S(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P}, N^{1/8}).$$

Since $\sum_{p|N} 1 = O(\log N)$, clearly we have

LEMMA 7.

$$\begin{split} |\{N-p:p\leqslant N,N-p=P_3\}|\\ \geqslant S(\mathcal{A};\mathcal{P},N^{1/8})-S_1-S_2-S_3-S_4+O(\log N), \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{split} S_{1} &= |\{N - p : p \leq N, N - p = p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}, p_{i} \geq N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 4\}|, \\ S_{2} &= |\{N - p : p \leq N, N - p = p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{5}, p_{i} \geq N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 5\}|, \\ S_{3} &= |\{N - p : p \leq N, N - p = p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{6}, p_{i} \geq N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 6\}|, \\ S_{4} &= |\{N - p : p \leq N, N - p = p_{1}p_{2} \cdots p_{7}, p_{i} \geq N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 7\}|, \end{split}$$

and p_i stands for a prime, $p_i \nmid N$.

In what follows, $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$ denote small positive numbers, tending to 0 as N approaches infinity.

Lemma 8.

$$S(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{P}, N^{1/8}) \ge 8.7888 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.$$

Proof. We apply (4) of Lemma 2 with

$$X = \ln N, \qquad \omega(p) = \frac{p}{p-1}, \qquad p \nmid N,$$
$$z = N^{1/8}, \qquad \xi^2 = N^{1/2} \log^{-c} N,$$

where c is a suitable positive constant. Combining with Lemma 5 and (7), we find

$$S(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{P}, N^{1/8}) \ge (1 - \varepsilon_1) 8 \log 3 \cdot C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}$$
$$\ge 8.7888 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},$$

provided that we choose N large enough. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

It remains to estimate S_1 , S_2 , S_3 , S_4 from above. This is accomplished by using Chen's idea of switching, Lemma 1 and Lemma 6.

Lemma 9. $S_1 \leq 2.3952 C_N N/\log^2 N$.

Proof. According to the definition,

$$S_{1} = \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{\substack{p_{i} \ge N^{1/8}, p_{i} \nmid N \\ i = 1, \dots, 4}} \sum_{\substack{N - p = p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4} \\ p \le N}} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{\substack{p_{i} \ge N^{1/8}, p_{i} \nmid N \\ i = 1, \dots, 4}} \sum_{\substack{p = N - p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4} \\ p \le N}} \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\leqslant \frac{1}{4!} \sum_{\substack{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3} \le N^{7/8} \\ p_{i} \ge N^{1/8, i = 1, 2, 3}}} \sum_{\substack{p = N - p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4} \\ p \le N}} \frac{1}{4!} S_{1}', \quad \text{say.}$$

We now consider the sets

$$\mathscr{B} = \{b: b = p_1 p_2 p_3, b \leq N^{7/8}, (b, N) = 1, p_i \ge N^{1/8}, i = 1, 2, 3\}$$

and

$$\mathscr{L} = \{l: l = N - bp, b \in \mathscr{B}, bp \leq N\}.$$

Clearly,

$$|\mathscr{B}| \ll N^{7/8}$$
 and $b \ge N^{3/8}$, $b \in \mathscr{B}$.

Moreover,

$$|\{l: l \in \mathscr{L}, l \leq N^{3/8}\}| \ll N^{7/8}.$$

It follows that if we choose

$$\mathscr{P} = \{ p \colon p \nmid N \},\$$

then

$$S'_1 \leq S(\mathscr{L}; \mathscr{P}, z) + O(N^{7/8}), \qquad z \leq N^{3/8}.$$

234

To estimate $S(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{P}, z)$ from above, we apply Lemma 1 with

$$X = \sum_{b \in \mathscr{B}} \lim \frac{N}{b},$$
$$\omega(d) = \frac{d}{\varphi(d)}, \qquad \mu(d) \neq 0, \qquad (d, N) = 1.$$

Conditions (1) and (2) are met. Taking $z^2 = D = N^{1/2} \log^{-c} N$, where c is a suitable positive constant, we get

$$S(\mathscr{L};\mathscr{P}, D^{1/2}) \leq 8(1+\varepsilon_2)C_N \frac{X}{\log N} + R_1 + R_2,$$

where

$$R_1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N) \approx 1}} \mu^2(d) 3^{\nu(d)} \left| \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathscr{X} \\ (b,d) = 1}} \left(\sum_{\substack{bp \leq N \\ bp \approx N(d)}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \operatorname{li} \frac{N}{b} \right) \right|$$

and

$$R_2 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(d) \mathfrak{Z}^{v(d)}}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathscr{P} \\ (b,d)>1}} \operatorname{li} \frac{N}{b}.$$

If $b \in \mathscr{B}$, then $N^{3/8} \leq b \leq N^{7/8}$. Thus

$$R_{1} = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N) = 1}} \mu^{2}(d) 3^{v(d)} \left| \sum_{\substack{N3/8 \leq a \leq N^{7/8} \\ (a,d) = 1}} f(a) \left(\sum_{\substack{ap \leq N \\ ap \equiv N(d)}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \operatorname{li} \frac{N}{a} \right) \right|,$$

where

$$f(a) = \sum_{\substack{b=a\\b\in\mathscr{B}}} 1 \leq 6.$$

Using Lemma 6 and with an appropriate choice of c, we obtain

$$R_1 \ll \frac{N}{\log^3 N}.$$

Next we turn to R_2 . Changing the variable of summation from d to q and using the fact that $\mu^2(q) 3^{\nu(q)} < d^2(q)$, where d(q) is the divisor function, we find

$$R_{2} \leqslant \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{d^{2}(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} f(a) \text{ li } \frac{N}{a}$$
$$\leqslant N \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{d^{2}(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{a}$$
$$\ll N^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{a}$$
$$= N^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{m \mid q \\ m \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) = m}} \frac{1}{a}$$
$$\ll N^{1+2\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{m \mid q \\ m \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{m}$$
$$\ll N^{(7/8)+3\varepsilon}.$$

Finally, observe that

$$X \leq \sum_{N^{1/8} \leq p_1 \leq N^{5/8}} \sum_{N^{1/8} \leq p_2 \leq N^{5/8}/p_1} \sum_{N^{1/8} \leq p_3 \leq N^{7/8}/p_1p_2} \operatorname{li} \frac{N}{p_1 p_2 p_3}.$$

Using Stieltjes integration, we get

$$X \leqslant (1+\varepsilon_3) \frac{N}{\log N} \int_{1/8}^{5/8} \int_{1/8}^{(6/8)-a} \int_{1/8}^{(7/8)-a-b} \frac{1}{abc(1-a-b-c)} dc \, db \, ba$$
$$= 2(1+\varepsilon_3) \frac{N}{\log N} \int_{1/8}^{5/8} \int_{1/8}^{(6/8)-a} \frac{\log(7-8a-8b)}{ab(1-a-b)} db \, da.$$

Putting all these estimates together, we have

$$S_{1} \leq \frac{(1+\varepsilon_{4})}{4!} \ 16 \ C_{N} \frac{N}{\log^{2} N} \int_{1/8}^{5/8} \int_{1/8}^{(6/8)-a} \frac{\log(7-8a-8b)}{ab(1-a-b)} \ db \ da$$
$$\leq 2.3952 \ C_{N} \frac{N}{\log^{2} N},$$

provided that N is sufficiently large. This completes the proof of Lemma 9.

Remark. The evaluation of the above double integral is done by a computer, using Simpson's rule.

Similarly, we have

Lemma 10.

$$S_2 \leqslant 0.2140 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},$$
$$S_3 \leqslant 0.0052 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},$$

and

$$S_4 \leqslant 0.0007 \ C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of Lemma 9 and use more numerical integrations, again done by the computer.

Finally, we can now prove Theorem 2. This follows from Lemmas 7–10.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I want to thank Professor Sidney Graham for his interest and a discussion about this paper. I am also very grateful to Professors P. T. Bateman, H. Halberstam, H.-E. Richert, and R. C. Vaughan, for their comments and suggestions.

References

- 1. E. BOMBIERI, On the large sieve, Mathematika 12 (1965), 201-225.
- A. A. BUCHSTAB, New results in the investigation of the Goldbach-Euler problem and the problem of prime pairs, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 162 (1965), 735-738; *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 6 (1965), 729-732.
- 3. J.-R. CHEN, On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes, *Sci. Sinica* 16 (1973), 157–176.
- 4. H. DAVENPORT, "Multiplicative Number Theory," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1980.
- 5. H. HALBERSTAM, W. JURKAT, AND H.-E. RICHERT, Un nouveau résultat de la méthode du crible, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 264 (1967), A920-A923.
- 6. H. HALBERSTAM AND H.-E. RICHERT, "Sieve Methods," Academic Press, New York/London, 1974.
- 7. C.-D. PAN, Recent progress in analytic number theory, in "Proceedings of the Durham Symposium," Chap. 18, Academic Press, New York/London, 1981.
- 8. H.-E. RICHERT, Selberg's sieve with weights, Mathematika 16 (1969), 1-22.
- 9. H.-E. RICHERT, "Lectures on Sieve Methods," Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1976.