# On the Number of Solutions of  $N - p = P_3$

EUGENE KWAN-SANG NG

Department of Mathematics. The University of Texas, Austin, Texas 78712

Communicated by P. T. Bateman

Received June 16, 1982; revised October 5. 1982

A lower bound of Richert on the number of solutions of  $N - p = P_3$  is improved.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let N be a large positive even number, p be a prime, and let  $P<sub>r</sub>$  denote an almost prime with at most  $r$  prime factors counted with multiplicity. Furthermore we set

$$
C_N = \prod_{p>2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right) \prod_{2 < p|N} \frac{p-1}{p-2}.
$$

In 1969, Richert  $[6, 8]$  proved

THEOREM 1. There exists an absolute constant  $N_0$  such that, if  $N \ge N_0$ , then

$$
|\{p : p < N, N - p = P_3\}| \geq \frac{13}{3} C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}
$$

in particular, every sufficiently large even number N can be represented in the form

$$
N=p+P_3.
$$

Richert's proof is based on a logarithmic weighted sieve, Jurkat-Richert's theorem, and Bombieri's theorem. Qualitatively speaking, Chen's theorem [3] is better than Theorem 1. However, as a quantitative statement about the representation of  $N - p$  as a  $P_3$ , Theorem 1 is still unsurpassed. In this paper we shall prove

THEOREM 2. There exists an absolute constant  $N_1$  such that, if  $N \ge N_1$ . then

$$
|\{p : p < N, N - p = P_3\}| \geqslant 6.173 \ C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on a theorem of Jurkat-Richert on the linear sieve, Bombieri-type mean value theorem, and Chen's idea of switching. It is interesting to observe that we are able to show the existence of  $P_3$  in the sequence  $\{N - p : p < N\}$  without any elaborate weighted sieve. With more calculations, it is possible to improve the constant 6.173. Of course, the conjugate result for the number of representations of  $p + h = P$ ,  $(p \leq N, 2 | h)$  can be proved in precisely the same way.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Let  $\mathcal U$  be a finite sequence of integers,  $\mathcal P$  be a set of primes and  $|\mathcal U|$  the number of elements in  $\mathcal{O}$ . Furthermore, for a positive integer d, suppose that the quantity

$$
|\mathcal{C}_d| = |\{a \in \mathcal{C} \, ; \, a \equiv 0 \, (\text{mod } d)\}|
$$

may be written in the form

$$
|\mathscr{A}_d| = \frac{\omega(d)}{d}X + r_d \qquad (\mu(d) \neq 0),
$$

where  $\omega(d)$  is multiplicative with  $0 \le \omega(p) < p$ , X is a large enough parameter independent of d,  $r_d$  is considered as an error term, and  $\mu(d)$  is the Möbius function.

Finally, for a given  $z \ge 2$ , we let

$$
P(z) = \prod_{\substack{p < z \\ p \in \mathcal{F}}} p,
$$
\n
$$
S(\mathcal{U}; \mathcal{P}, z) = \sum_{\substack{a \in \mathcal{A} \\ a \in \mathcal{U}}} 1,
$$

and

$$
W(z)=\prod_{p
$$

We now state some well-known results.

LEMMA 1 (Selberg). If  $\omega(d)$  satisfies the conditions

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{\omega(p)}{p} < 1 - \frac{1}{A_1},\tag{1}
$$

$$
-A_2 \leqslant \sum_{w \leqslant p \leqslant z} \frac{\omega(p)}{p} \log p - \log \frac{z}{w} \leqslant A_3, \qquad 2 \leqslant w \leqslant z,\tag{2}
$$

for some suitable constants  $A_i \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , then

$$
S(\mathcal{O}^{\prime};\mathscr{P},z) \leqslant XW(z)e^{\gamma}\left(1+O\left(\frac{A_2}{\log z}\right)\right)+\sum_{\substack{d \leqslant z^2 \\ d|P(z)}} 3^{\nu(d)}|r_d|.
$$

Here y is Euler's constant and  $v(d)$  is the number of distinct prime factors of d. For a proof of this lemma, see  $[6, Chap. 5]$ .

LEMMA 2 (Jurkat-Richert). Suppose  $\omega(d)$  satisfies conditions (1) and (2). For  $\xi \geqslant z$  we have

$$
S(\mathcal{O}^*; \mathcal{P}, z) \leqslant XW(z) \left\{ F\left(\frac{\log \xi^2}{\log z}\right) + O\left(\frac{A_2}{(\log \xi)^{1/14}}\right) \right\} + R,\tag{3}
$$

$$
S(\mathcal{O}; \mathcal{P}, z) \geqslant XW(z) \left\{ f\left(\frac{\log \xi^2}{\log z}\right) + O\left(\frac{A_2}{(\log \xi)^{1/14}}\right) \right\} - R, \tag{4}
$$

where

$$
R=\sum_{\substack{d<\xi^2\\d|P(z)}}3^{\nu(d)}|r_d|,
$$

the functions F and f are defined by  $F(u) = 2e^{\gamma}/u$ ,  $f(u) = 0$  for  $0 < u \le 2$ , and  $(uF(u))' = f(u - 1)$ ,  $(uf(u))' = F(u - 1)$  for  $u \ge 2$ .

This lemma is also true if  $1 < \xi < z$  but  $z \ll \xi^{\lambda}$  with a positive constant  $\lambda$ , in which case the O constant in (3) depends also on  $\lambda$ . The proof of this lemma can be found in  $[6, Chap. 8].$ 

It is well known that

$$
F(u) = \frac{2e^v}{u}, \qquad \qquad 0 < u \leq 3,\tag{5}
$$

$$
F(u) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{u} \left( 1 + \int_{2}^{u-1} \log(t-1) \frac{dt}{t} \right), \qquad 3 \leq u \leq 5,
$$
 (6)

and

$$
f(u) = \frac{2e^{\gamma}}{u} \log(u - 1), \qquad 2 \leq u \leq 4. \qquad (7)
$$

LEMMA 3 (Bombieri). Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant  $B_1 = B_1(A)$  such that

$$
\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_1}} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l,d)=1} \left| \pi(y; d, l) - \frac{\text{li } y}{\varphi(d)} \right| \leq \frac{x}{(\log x)^4},
$$

where  $\varphi(d)$  is the Euler function and li y is the logarithmic integral of y,

$$
\pi(y; d, l) = \sum_{\substack{p \leqslant y \\ p \equiv l \pmod{d}}} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{li } y = \int_2^y \frac{dt}{\log t}.
$$

For a proof, see  $[1, 4]$ . Simplified proofs have been given by Gallagher and Vaughan.

LEMMA 4 (Ding and Pan). Let

$$
\pi(y; a, d, l) = \sum_{\substack{ap \leq y \\ ap \equiv l \pmod{d}}} 1
$$

and let  $f(a)$  be a real function,  $f(a) \ll 1$ ; then, for any given  $A > 0$ , we have

$$
\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_2}} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l,d)=1} \left| \sum_{\substack{a \leq x^{1-\epsilon} \\ (a,d)=1}} f(a) \left( \pi(y;a,d,l) - \frac{\ln y/a}{\varphi(d)} \right) \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}.
$$

where  $B_2 = B_2(A) = \frac{3}{2}A + 17$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ .

The proof of this mean value theorem can be found in  $|7|$ . Using familiar methods, we deduce from Lemmas 3 and 4, respectively,

LEMMA 5. Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant  $B_3 = B_3(A)$  such that

$$
\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x)^{-B_3}} \mu^2(d) 3^{\nu(d)} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l, d) = 1} \left| \pi(y; d, l) - \frac{\text{li } y}{\varphi(d)} \right| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^4}.
$$

LEMMA 6. Assume the hypotheses of Lemma 4. Given any positive constant A, there exists a positive constant  $B_4 = B_4(A)$  such that

$$
\frac{\sum_{d \leq x^{1/2}(\log x) - B_4} \mu^2(d) 3^{v(d)} \max_{y \leq x} \max_{(l,d) = 1} \left| \frac{\sum_{\substack{a \leq x^{1-\epsilon} \\ (a,d) = 1}} f(a) \left( \pi(y; a, d, l) - \frac{\ln y/a}{\varphi(d)} \right) \right|}{\frac{x}{(\log x)^4}} \right|
$$

To proceed further, we take

$$
\mathscr{A} = \{ N - p \quad : p \leq N \}, \qquad \mathscr{P} = \{ p : p \nmid N \}.
$$

Consider the quantity

$$
|\{N-p: p\leq N, (N-p, P(N^{1/8}))=1\}|=S(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{F}, N^{1/8}).
$$

Since  $\sum_{p|N} 1 = O(\log N)$ , clearly we have

LEMMA 7.

$$
|\{N-p: p \le N, N-p = P_3\}|
$$
  
\$\ge S((N; \mathcal{F}, N^{1/8}) - S\_1 - S\_2 - S\_3 - S\_4 + O(\log N)\$,

where

$$
S_1 = |\{N - p : p \le N, N - p = p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4, p_i \ge N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 4\}|,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_2 = |\{N - p : p \le N, N - p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_5, p_i \ge N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 5\}|,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_3 = |\{N - p : p \le N, N - p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_6, p_i \ge N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 6\}|,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_4 = |\{N - p : p \le N, N - p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_7, p_i \ge N^{1/8}, i = 1, ..., 7\}|,
$$

and  $p_i$  stands for a prime,  $p_i \nmid N$ .

In what follows,  $\varepsilon_1$ ,  $\varepsilon_2$ ,... denote small positive numbers, tending to 0 as N approaches infinity.

LEMMA 8.

$$
S(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{S}, N^{1/8}) \geqslant 8.7888 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.
$$

Proof. We apply (4) of Lemma 2 with

$$
X = \text{li } N,
$$
  $\omega(p) = \frac{p}{p-1}, \quad p \nmid N,$   
 $z = N^{1/8}, \quad \xi^2 = N^{1/2} \log^{-c} N,$ 

where  $c$  is a suitable positive constant. Combining with Lemma 5 and (7),  $\alpha$ where  $\epsilon$ 

$$
S(\mathcal{A}; \mathcal{P}, N^{1/8}) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_1) 8 \log 3 \cdot C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}
$$
  

$$
\geq 8.7888 C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},
$$

provided that we choose  $N$  large enough. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.

It remains to estimate  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ ,  $S_3$ ,  $S_4$  from above. This is accomplished by using Chen's idea of switching, Lemma 1 and Lemma 6.

LEMMA 9.  $S_1 \leq 2.3952 C_N N / \log^2 N$ .

Proof. According to the definition,

1 \' \' 1 = 4! pi>~Tzqpip p'&;P2P3P4 i=1,....4 P<N 

We now consider the sets

$$
\mathscr{B} = \{b : b = p_1 p_2 p_3, b \leq N^{7/8}, (b, N) = 1, p_i \geq N^{1/8}, i = 1, 2, 3\}
$$

and

$$
\mathscr{L} = \{l: l = N - bp, b \in \mathscr{B}, bp \leq N\}.
$$

Clearly,

$$
|\mathscr{B}| \ll N^{7/8}
$$
 and  $b \ge N^{3/8}$ ,  $b \in \mathscr{B}$ .

Moreover,

$$
|\{l: l\in\mathscr{L}, l\leqslant N^{3/8}\}|\leqslant N^{7/8}.
$$

It follows that if we choose

$$
\mathscr{P} = \{p: p \nmid N\},\
$$

then

$$
S_1' \leqslant S(\mathscr{L}; \mathscr{P}, z) + O(N^{7/8}), \qquad z \leqslant N^{3/8}.
$$

To estimate  $S(\mathscr{L}; \mathscr{P}, z)$  from above, we apply Lemma 1 with

$$
X = \sum_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \ln \frac{N}{b},
$$
  

$$
\omega(d) = \frac{d}{\varphi(d)}, \qquad \mu(d) \neq 0, \qquad (d, N) = 1.
$$

Conditions (1) and (2) are met. Taking  $z^2 = D = N^{1/2} \log^{-c} N$ , where c is a suitable positive constant, we get

$$
S(\mathscr{L}; \mathscr{P}, D^{1/2}) \leqslant 8(1+\varepsilon_2)C_N \frac{X}{\log N} + R_1 + R_2,
$$

where

$$
R_1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N)=1}} \mu^2(d) 3^{\nu(d)} \left| \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B} \\ (b,d)=1}} \left( \sum_{\substack{bp \leq N \\ bp \equiv N(d)}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \ln \frac{N}{b} \right) \right|
$$

and

$$
R_2 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N)=1}} \frac{\mu^2(d) 3^{\nu(d)}}{\varphi(d)} \sum_{\substack{b \in \mathcal{B} \\ (b,d) > 1}} \text{li } \frac{N}{b}.
$$

If  $b \in \mathcal{B}$ , then  $N^{3/8} \leqslant b \leqslant N^{7/8}$ . Thus

$$
R_1 = \sum_{\substack{d \leq D \\ (d,N)=1}} \mu^2(d) 3^{\nu(d)} \left| \sum_{\substack{N^{3/8} \leq a \leq N^{7/8} \\ (a,d)=1}} f(a) \left( \sum_{\substack{ap \leq N \\ ap \equiv N(d)}} 1 - \frac{1}{\varphi(d)} \ln \frac{N}{a} \right) \right|,
$$

where

$$
f(a) = \sum_{\substack{b=a\\b\in\mathscr{B}}} 1 \leqslant 6.
$$

Using Lemma  $6$  and with an appropriate choice of  $c$ , we obtain

$$
R_1 \ll \frac{N}{\log^3 N}.
$$

Next we turn to R. Changing the variable of summation from d to q and using the fact that  $u^2(a)3^{\nu(q)} < d^2(a)$ , where  $d(a)$  is the divisor function, we find

$$
R_2 \leqslant \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{d^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} f(a) \text{ li } \frac{N}{a}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant N \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{d^2(q)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{a}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant N^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{a}
$$
\n
$$
= N^{1+\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{m|q \\ m \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \sum_{\substack{a \leqslant N^{7/8} \\ (a,q) \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{a}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant N^{1+2\varepsilon} \sum_{q \leqslant D} \frac{1}{q} \sum_{\substack{m|q \\ m \geqslant N^{1/8}}} \frac{1}{m}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant N^{(7/8)+3\varepsilon}.
$$

Finally, observe that

$$
X \leqslant \sum_{N^{1/8} \leqslant p_1 \leqslant N^{5/8}} \sum_{N^{1/8} \leqslant p_2 \leqslant N^{6/8}/p_1} \sum_{N^{1/8} \leqslant p_3 \leqslant N^{7/8}/p_1p_2} \text{li} \frac{N}{p_1p_2p_3}.
$$

Using Stieltjes integration, we get

$$
X \le (1 + \varepsilon_3) \frac{N}{\log N} \int_{1/8}^{5/8} \int_{1/8}^{(6/8) - a} \int_{1/8}^{(7/8) - a - b} \frac{1}{abc(1 - a - b - c)} \, dc \, db \, ba
$$
  
= 2(1 + \varepsilon\_3) \frac{N}{\log N} \int\_{1/8}^{5/8} \int\_{1/8}^{(6/8) - a} \frac{\log(7 - 8a - 8b)}{ab(1 - a - b)} \, db \, da.

Putting all these estimates together, we have

$$
S_1 \leqslant \frac{(1+\varepsilon_4)}{4!} \cdot 16 \cdot C_N \cdot \frac{N}{\log^2 N} \int_{1/8}^{5/8} \int_{1/8}^{(6/8)-a} \frac{\log(7-8a-8b)}{ab(1-a-b)} \, db \, da
$$
  

$$
\leqslant 2.3952 \cdot C_N \cdot \frac{N}{\log^2 N},
$$

provided that  $N$  is sufficiently large. This completes the proof of Lemma 9.

Remark. The evaluation of the above double integral is done by a computer, using Simpson's rule.

Similarly, we have

LEMMA 10.

$$
S_2 \leqslant 0.2140 \ C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},
$$
  

$$
S_3 \leqslant 0.0052 \ C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N},
$$

and

$$
S_4 \leqslant 0.0007 \ C_N \frac{N}{\log^2 N}.
$$

Proof. We follow closely the proof of Lemma 9 and use more numerical integrations, again done by the computer.

Finally, we can now prove Theorem 2. This follows from Lemmas 7–10.

### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

I want to thank Professor Sidney Graham for his interest and a discussion about this paper. I am also very grateful to Professors P. T. Bateman, H. Halberstam, H.-E. Richert. and R. C. Vaughan, for their comments and suggestions.

### **REFERENCES**

- 1. E. BOMBIERI, On the large sieve, Mathematika 12 (1965). 201-225.
- 2. A. A. BUCHSTAB, New results in the investigation of the Goldbach-Euler problem and the problem of prime pairs, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 162 (1965), 735-738; Soviet Math. Dokl. 6 (1965), 729-732.
- 3. J.-R. CHEN, On the representation of a large even integer as the sum of a prime and the product of at most two primes, Sci. Sinica 16 (1973), 157-176.
- 4. H. DAVENPORT, "Multiplicative Number Theory," Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1980.
- 5. H. HALBERSTAM, W. JURKAT, AND H.-E. RICHERT, Un nouveau résultat de la méthode du crible, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 264 (1967), A920-A923.
- 6. H. HALBERS~AM AND H.-E. RICHERT, "Sieve Methods," Academic Press, New York/London, 1974.
- 7. C.-D. PAN. Recent progress in analytic number theory, in "Proceedings of the Durham Symposium," Chap. 18. Academic Press, New York/London, 1981.
- 8. H.-E. RICHERT, Selberg's sieve with weights, Mathematika 16 (1969), 1-22.
- 9. H.-E. RICHERT, "Lectures on Sieve Methods," Tata Institute of Fundamental Research. Bombay. 1976.