



# A class of nonhomogeneous singular integrals in $\mathbf{R}^n$

Faruk Abi-Khuzam and Bassam Shayya\*

Department of Mathematics, American University of Beirut, Beirut, Lebanon

Received 1 October 2002

Submitted by L. Grafakos

## Abstract

Let  $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$  be a nonisotropic dilation group on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , let  $\rho$  be a distance function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which is homogeneous with respect to  $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$ , and for  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  define  $Tf = [\text{p.v. } \rho(\cdot)^{-\alpha} e^{i/|\cdot|^\beta}] * f$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are positive parameters. We give necessary and sufficient conditions on  $p$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for which  $T$  extends to a bounded linear operator on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Consider the dilation group  $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  given by

$$\delta_t : x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \mapsto (t^{b_1}x_1, t^{b_2}x_2, \dots, t^{b_n}x_n),$$

where  $1 = b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \dots \leq b_n$  are fixed numbers. A  $\{\delta_t\}$ -homogeneous distance function is defined to be a continuous nonnegative function on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which is homogeneous with respect to  $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$  and vanishes only at the origin. A standard example is given by

$$\tau(x) = (|x_1|^{2b_2b_3\dots b_n} + |x_2|^{2b_1b_3\dots b_n} + \dots + |x_n|^{2b_1b_2\dots b_{n-1}})^{1/(2b_1b_2\dots b_n)}.$$

Any  $\{\delta_t\}$ -homogeneous distance function defines a quasi-norm on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (see (3)).

Let  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , let  $\rho$  be a  $\{\delta_t\}$ -homogeneous distance function which is  $C^1$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n - 0$ , and for  $f \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  define

$$Tf(x) = \text{p.v.} \int_{|y| \leq 1} \frac{e^{i/|y|^\beta}}{\rho(y)^\alpha} f(x - y) dy. \tag{1}$$

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: farukakh@aub.edu.lb (F. Abi-Khuzam), bshayya@aub.edu.lb (B. Shayya).

As we shall see below, the principal value integral in (1) exists whenever  $\alpha < b$  or  $\beta > \alpha - b \geq 0$ , where

$$b = b_1 + \dots + b_n.$$

In fact, as can be seen from Lemma 1 below, if  $\alpha < b$ , then the kernel of  $T$  is an  $L^1$  function.

The purpose of this paper is to study the mapping properties of  $T$  on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  when  $\alpha \geq b$ . As always, the major problem in dealing with this kind of singular integrals is how to translate the cancellation properties of the kernel into  $L^p$  boundedness of the operator. The fact that the singularity of the kernel of  $T$  and the cancellation conditions it satisfies are given in terms of two distance functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  having different types of homogeneity, puts this problem into a geometric setting where the incompatibility between the Euclidean balls  $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n: |y - x| < r\}$  and the  $\rho$ -balls  $B_\rho(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n: \rho(y - x) < r\}$  reflects the incompatibility between the radial cancellations of the kernel and the nonisotropic singularity it has at the origin.

In the case  $n = 2$ , it was shown in [3] that  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^2)$  whenever

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < Q(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2 + 2b_2 + \beta - 2\alpha}{2\beta},$$

and that  $T$  fails to be bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^2)$  if

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| > \max[Q(\alpha, \beta), Q(\alpha, 1 + 2\beta - b_2)].$$

In the present paper we generalize these results to dimensions  $n \geq 2$ :

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose  $\beta > \alpha - b \geq 0$ . Let*

$$Q(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{2b + \beta - 2\alpha}{2\beta}$$

and

$$Q_j = Q(\alpha, j(1 + \beta) - b_1 - \dots - b_j),$$

$j = 1, \dots, n$ . Then:

- (i) *The principal value integral in (1) exists for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ .*
- (ii)  *$T$  extends to a bounded linear operator on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever*

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < Q(\alpha, \beta).$$

- (iii) *If*

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| > \max[Q_1, \dots, Q_n],$$

*then  $T$  is not bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ .*

Notice that, putting  $b_{n+1} = \infty$ ,

$$b_j \leq 1 + \beta \leq b_{j+1} \Rightarrow \max[Q_1, \dots, Q_n] = Q_j.$$

In particular, if  $1 + \beta \leq b_2$ ,  $\max[Q_1, \dots, Q_n] = Q_1 = Q(\alpha, \beta)$ . So we have a sharp result (up to the end-points) in the case  $1 + \beta \leq b_2$ . When  $b = n$  and  $\rho(y) = |y|$ , it is known that  $T$  extends to a bounded operator on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever  $1 < p < \infty$  and

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| \leq Q(\alpha, n\beta),$$

and that  $T$  fails to be bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  in the complementary range. For these results we refer the reader to [1,2,4,8].

Throughout this paper, the letter  $C$  denotes a constant that may change from line to line. In Section 2, constants are only allowed to depend on  $b_1, \dots, b_n, \alpha$ , and  $\rho$ . In Section 3, constants are only allowed to depend on  $b_1, \dots, b_n, \alpha, \rho, \beta, p, u$ , and  $\gamma$ . Also, constants are always positive numbers.

## 2. Properties of $\rho$

From the definition of  $\{\delta_r\}$ -homogeneous distance functions, it is easy to see that there are constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  such that

$$c_1^{-1} \tau(x) \leq \rho(x) \leq c_1 \tau(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^n) \quad (2)$$

and

$$\rho(x + y) \leq c_2(\rho(x) + \rho(y)) \quad (x, y \in \mathbf{R}^n). \quad (3)$$

Also, for later reference, let us note that (2) implies

$$c_3|x| \leq \rho(x) \leq c_4|x|^{1/b_n} \quad (|x| \leq 1) \quad (4)$$

and

$$c_3|x|^{1/b_n} \leq \rho(x) \leq c_4|x| \quad (|x| \geq 1), \quad (5)$$

where  $c_3 = (c_1^{-1})(\inf_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \tau)$  and  $c_4 = (c_1)(\sup_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \tau)$ .

The generalization of the results of [3] to higher dimensions is made possible mainly because of the following lemma that generalizes Lemma 2.1 in [3].

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose  $\alpha > b - 1$ . Then<sup>1</sup>*

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^\alpha} \approx r^{b-n-\alpha}$$

and

<sup>1</sup> The notation  $A \approx B$  means  $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$  for some constant  $C$ .

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \left| \frac{d}{dr} \rho(r\theta)^{-\alpha} \right| d\sigma(\theta) \leq Cr^{b-n-\alpha-1}$$

for  $0 < r \leq 1$ , where  $\sigma$  is surface measure on the sphere  $\mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ .

**Proof.** The observation

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^\alpha} = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\rho(r\theta)}^\infty \alpha \lambda^{-\alpha-1} d\lambda d\sigma(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \alpha \lambda^{-\alpha-1} \sigma(\Omega_\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where  $\Omega_\lambda = \{\theta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1} : \rho(r\theta) \leq \lambda\}$ , suggests that we start by estimating  $\sigma(\Omega_\lambda)$ . Of course,  $\sigma(\Omega_\lambda) = n|A_\lambda|$ , where  $A_\lambda = \{s\theta : 0 \leq s \leq 1, \theta \in \Omega_\lambda\}$  and  $|A_\lambda|$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of  $A_\lambda$ .

The inequalities in (4) tell us that  $c_3r \leq \rho(r\theta) \leq c_4r^{1/b_n}$ . So,  $\Omega_\lambda = \emptyset$  if  $\lambda < c_3r$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^\alpha} = \int_{c_3r}^\infty \alpha \lambda^{-\alpha-1} \sigma(\Omega_\lambda) d\lambda.$$

It is easy to see (e.g., using (5)) that there is a constant  $c$  such that  $\rho(x) \leq 1 \Rightarrow |x| \leq c$ . If  $\theta \in \Omega_\lambda$ , then  $\rho(\delta_{1/\lambda}r\theta) = \rho(r\theta)/\lambda \leq 1$ , so that  $|\delta_{1/\lambda}r\theta| \leq c$ . Thus  $\Omega_\lambda$  and  $A_\lambda$  are contained in the solid ellipsoid

$$\frac{x_1^2}{\lambda^{2b_1}} + \frac{x_2^2}{\lambda^{2b_2}} + \dots + \frac{x_n^2}{\lambda^{2b_n}} \leq \left(\frac{c}{r}\right)^2.$$

Now if we simply estimate  $|A_\lambda|$  by the measure of the ellipsoid, we obtain

$$\sigma(\Omega_\lambda) = n|A_\lambda| \leq C \frac{\lambda^b}{r^n},$$

and consequently

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{r^n} \int_{c_3r}^\infty \lambda^{b-\alpha-1} d\lambda \leq Cr^{b-n-\alpha}$$

provided  $\alpha > b$ , which falls short of the needed  $\alpha > b - 1$ . So we go back and observe that in fact  $\Omega_\lambda \subset \mathbf{S}^{n-1} \cap B_\lambda$ , where  $B_\lambda$  is the box centered at the origin with dimensions  $2 \times (2c\lambda^{b_2}/r) \times \dots \times (2c\lambda^{b_n}/r)$ . We then get the better estimate

$$\sigma(\Omega_\lambda) = n|A_\lambda| \leq n|B_\lambda| \leq C \frac{\lambda^{b-1}}{r^{n-1}},$$

which leads to

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^\alpha} \leq \frac{C}{r^{n-1}} \int_{c_3r}^\infty \lambda^{b-\alpha-2} d\lambda \leq Cr^{b-n-\alpha}$$

provided  $\alpha > b - 1$ .

In order to get the inequality in the other direction, we proceed as follows. Let

$$E = \left\{ \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}: |\theta_i| \leq \frac{r^{b_i-1}}{\sqrt{n2^{b_i}}}, i = 2, 3, \dots, n \right\}.$$

Then  $\sigma(E) \approx r^{b-n}$ . We shall prove that  $\int_E \rho(r\theta)^{-\alpha} d\sigma(\theta) \geq Cr^{b-n-\alpha}$ . In fact, we shall show that  $\rho(r\theta) \approx r$  on  $E$ . Since, by (4),  $\rho(r\theta) \geq Cr$ , it will suffice to show that  $\rho(r\theta) \leq Cr$  on  $E$ . Let  $\theta \in E$ . Then for  $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$ ,

$$\theta_1^2 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^n \theta_k^2 \geq 1 - \sum_{k=2}^n \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \geq \theta_i^2,$$

and using  $0 \leq r^2\theta_i^2 \leq 1$ ,  $0 < 1/b_i \leq 1$ , we get

$$(r^2\theta_i^2)^{1/b_i} - r^2(1 - \theta_i^2) \leq 2(r^2\theta_i^2)^{1/b_i} - r^2 \leq 0,$$

so that

$$(r^2\theta_i^2)^{1/b_i} \leq r^2(1 - \theta_i^2) = r^2 \sum_{k \neq i} \theta_k^2 \leq (n-1)r^2\theta_1^2.$$

It follows that  $\tau(r\theta) \leq Cr$ , which together with (2) gives  $\rho(r\theta) \leq Cr$ .

To estimate

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \left| \frac{d}{dr} \rho(r\theta)^{-\alpha} \right| d\sigma(\theta),$$

we now proceed as in [3]. First, the homogeneity of  $\rho$ ,

$$\rho(\delta_t x) = t\rho(x) \quad (t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n),$$

tells us that

$$t^{b_j} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j}(\delta_t x) = t \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j}(x) \quad (t > 0, x \in \mathbf{R}^n - 0)$$

for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . So, putting  $t = 1/\rho(x)$ , we get

$$\left| \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \leq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^{b_j-1}} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^n - 0)$$

(here  $C = \sup_{\rho(y)=1} |\partial \rho / \partial x_j(y)|$ ). Second, by (2),

$$|x_j| \leq \tau(x)^{b_j} \leq C\rho(x)^{b_j} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^n),$$

so that

$$|x \cdot \nabla \rho(x)| \leq C\rho(x) \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^n - 0).$$

Hence

$$\left| \frac{d}{dr} \rho(r\theta) \right| \leq C \frac{\rho(r\theta)}{r} \quad (r > 0, \theta \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}), \quad (6)$$

and it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \left| \frac{d}{dr} \rho(r\theta)^{-\alpha} \right| d\sigma(\theta) \leq Cr^{b-n-\alpha-1} \quad (0 < r \leq 1)$$

by our previous estimate on

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \rho(r\theta)^{-\alpha} d\sigma(\theta).$$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1

For  $z = u + iv \in \mathbf{C}$ , define

$$K_z(y) = \begin{cases} \rho(y)^{-z} e^{i/|y|^\beta} & \text{if } 0 < |y| \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$L_z = \text{p.v. } K_z.$$

We need three facts about  $L_z$ . The first is that  $L_z$  exists and defines a tempered distribution when  $u < \beta + b$ , i.e.,

$$L_z \in \mathcal{S}' \quad \text{if } u < \beta + b, \tag{7}$$

the second is that

$$L_z = K_z \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \quad \text{if } u < b, \tag{8}$$

and the third is that

$$\|\hat{L}_z\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + |v|) \quad \text{if } u < \frac{\beta}{2} + b. \tag{9}$$

Clearly, Lemma 1 implies (8). Before we prove (7) and (9), however, let us notice that since  $Tf = L_\alpha * f$  for  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ , part (i) of Theorem 1 follows immediately from (7). Also, part (ii) of Theorem 1 follows from (7)–(9) by using complex interpolation as in [3]. The argument goes as follows. Let  $\gamma > 0$  and consider the family  $\{R_z\}_{0 \leq u \leq 1}$  of analytic linear operators defined on  $\mathcal{S}$  by

$$R_z f = M_z * f \quad \text{with } M_z = L_{(\beta/2)z+b-\gamma}.$$

Then (7) becomes

$$M_z \in \mathcal{S}' \quad \text{if } u < 2 + \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}.$$

Also, (8) and (9) become

$$M_z \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n) \quad \text{if } u < \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}$$

and

$$\|\hat{M}_z\|_{L^\infty} \leq C(1 + |v|) \quad \text{if } u < 1 + \frac{2\gamma}{\beta}.$$

Thus  $R_{iv}$  is bounded on  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , and  $R_{1+iv}$  is bounded on  $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$  with operator norm that grows polynomially in  $v$ . Complex interpolation now tells us that  $R_u$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever

$$0 \leq u \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{u}{2}.$$

Since  $T = R_{(2/\beta)(\alpha-b+\gamma)}$ , it follows that  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever

$$b - \alpha \leq \gamma \leq \frac{\beta}{2} + b - \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2b + \beta - 2\alpha - 2\gamma}{2\beta}.$$

But  $b - \alpha \leq 0$ , so  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever

$$0 < \gamma \leq \frac{\beta}{2} + b - \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{2b + \beta - 2\alpha - 2\gamma}{2\beta}.$$

Hence  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} < \frac{2b + \beta - 2\alpha}{2\beta}.$$

Finally, duality implies that  $T$  is bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  whenever

$$\left| \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \right| < \frac{2b + \beta - 2\alpha}{2\beta}.$$

It is now time to prove (7). If  $u < b$ , there is nothing to prove by (8). Suppose  $u \geq b$  and  $f \in \mathcal{S}$ . Let  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ . Changing

$$I_\epsilon = \int_{\epsilon \leq |y| \leq 1} K_z(y) f(y) dy$$

into polar coordinates, we can write

$$I_\epsilon = \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{\epsilon}^1 \psi_\theta(r) F'(r) dr d\sigma(\theta),$$

where

$$\psi_\theta(r) = r^{n-1} f(r\theta) \rho(r\theta)^{-z} \quad \text{and} \quad F(r) = \int_{\epsilon}^r e^{i/s^\beta} ds.$$

A simple computation (together with (6)) shows that

$$|\psi'_\theta(r)| \leq C \left( |v| r^{n-2} \rho(r\theta)^{-u} + r^{n-1} \left| \frac{d}{dr} \rho(r\theta)^{-u} \right| \right) \|f\|_{C^1},$$

where  $\|f\|_{C^1}$  is the  $C^1$  norm of  $f$ . Lemma 1 then tells us that

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} |\psi'_\theta(r)| dr \leq C(1 + |v|)r^{b-u-2} \|f\|_{C^1}$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} |\psi_\theta(r)| dr \leq Cr^{b-u-1} \|f\|_{C^1}.$$

Also, by Van der Corput’s lemma (see [6]),

$$|F(r)| \leq Cr^{1+\beta},$$

and so integrating by parts we get

$$\left| \int_\epsilon^1 \psi_\theta(r) F'(r) dr \right| \leq C \left( |\psi_\theta(1)| + |\psi_\theta(\epsilon)|\epsilon^{1+\beta} + \int_\epsilon^1 |\psi'_\theta(r)| r^{1+\beta} dr \right),$$

which in turn leads to

$$|I_\epsilon| \leq C \left( 1 + \epsilon^{\beta+b-u} + (1 + |v|) \int_\epsilon^1 r^{\beta+b-u-1} dr \right) \|f\|_{C^1}.$$

Thus  $L_z(f) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} I_\epsilon$  exists and satisfies

$$|L_z(f)| \leq C(1 + |v|) \|f\|_{C^1}$$

if  $\beta + b - u > 0$ .

The proof of (9) is exactly the same, except that now

$$\psi_\theta(r) = r^{n-1} \rho(r\theta)^{-z} \quad \text{and} \quad F(r) = \int_\epsilon^r e^{i(s^{-\beta} - 2\pi s \xi \cdot \theta)} ds,$$

and one has to apply Van der Corput’s lemma using the second derivative of the phase rather than the first to get  $|F(r)| \leq Cr^{1+\beta/2}$ .

Our task for the remaining part of this paper is to prove part (iii) of Theorem 1. Our plan is to localize  $T$ , as in [5], to subsets of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  where the phase function  $1/|y|^\beta$  is almost stationary. To do this we need the dilation methods of [3].

Let  $1 \leq j \leq n$  and suppose  $b_j \leq 1 + \beta < b_{j+1}$  ( $b_{n+1} = \infty$ ). We define a dilation group  $\{d_t^{(j)}\}_{t>0}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} d_t^{(j)} : x &= (x_1, \dots, x_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_n) \\ &\mapsto (t^{1+\beta} x_1, \dots, t^{1+\beta} x_j, t^{b_{j+1}} x_{j+1}, \dots, t^{b_n} x_n). \end{aligned}$$

To simplify the notation we are going to write  $d_t$  for  $d_t^{(j)}$ . Following Stein and Wainger [7], we define a  $\{d_t\}$ -homogeneous distance function  $\rho_j$  as follows. If  $x \neq 0$ ,  $|d_{1/t}x|$  as a function of  $t$  is strictly decreasing and is therefore equal to 1 for a unique  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Define



$\rho_j(x)$  to be this unique  $t$ . If  $x = 0$ , set  $\rho_j(x) = 0$ . Notice that if  $0 < |x| \leq 1$ ,  $t_0 = \rho_j(x)^{-1}$ ,  $t_1 = |x|^{-1/(\beta+1)}$ , and  $t_2 = |x|^{-1/b_n}$ , then

$$|d_{t_1}x| \geq 1, \quad |d_{t_2}x| \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad |\delta_{t_0}x| \leq |d_{t_0}x| = 1.$$

Hence

$$|x|^{1/(\beta+1)} \leq \rho_j(x) \leq |x|^{1/b_n} \quad (|x| \leq 1) \quad (10)$$

and

$$\rho(x) = t_0^{-1} \rho(\delta_{t_0}x) \leq t_0^{-1} c_4 = c_4 \rho_j(x) \quad (|x| \leq 1), \quad (11)$$

where we have also used (4).

Fix a nonnegative  $C_0^\infty$  function  $\phi$  such that  $\phi(y) = 1$  if  $\rho_j(y) \leq 1/2$ , and  $\phi(y) = 0$  if  $\rho_j(y) \geq 1$ . Set

$$\phi_\epsilon(y) = \phi(d_{1/\epsilon}y).$$

Now suppose  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  and the positive integer  $k$  are such that the interval

$$I_k = \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi k + \pi/3)^{1/\beta}} + \epsilon^{1+\beta}, \frac{1}{(2\pi k - \pi/3)^{1/\beta}} - \epsilon^{1+\beta} \right]$$

has positive length and is contained in the interval  $(\epsilon, 1)$ . We are going to estimate  $T\phi_\epsilon$  from below on the ring  $|x| \in I_k$ .

Suppose  $|x| \in I_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |T\phi_\epsilon(x)| &= \left| \int_{\rho_j(x-y) \leq \epsilon} \frac{e^{i/|y|^\beta}}{\rho(y)^\alpha} \phi_\epsilon(x-y) dy \right| \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\rho_j(x-y) \leq \epsilon} \frac{1}{\rho(y)^\alpha} \phi_\epsilon(x-y) dy \geq \frac{C}{\rho(x)^\alpha} \int \phi_\epsilon(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality holds because, by (10),

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j(x-y) \leq \epsilon &\Rightarrow |x-y| \leq \epsilon^{1+\beta} \Rightarrow |y| \in I_k \\ &\Rightarrow \cos \frac{1}{|y|^\beta} \geq \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality holds because

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y) &\leq C\rho(y-x) + C\rho(x) && \text{(by (3))} \\ &\leq C\rho_j(y-x) + C\rho(x) && \text{(by (11))} \\ &\leq C\epsilon + C\rho(x) \\ &\leq C|x| + C\rho(x) && \text{(because } |x| \in I_k \subset (\epsilon, 1)\text{)} \\ &\leq C\rho(x) && \text{(by (4)).} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|T\phi_\epsilon(x)| \geq C \frac{\epsilon^{j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n}}{\rho(x)^\alpha}$$

for  $|x| \in I_k$ .

Now as was observed in [3] (Lemma 3.1), there are constants  $A$  and  $B$ , with  $B < A^{1/\beta} < 1$ , such that if

$$J_k = \left[ \frac{1}{(2\pi(k+1) - \pi/3)^{1/\beta}} - \epsilon^{1+\beta}, \frac{1}{(2\pi k + \pi/3)^{1/\beta}} + \epsilon^{1+\beta} \right],$$

and if  $0 < \epsilon < B$ , then

$$[A^{-1/\beta}\epsilon, 2A^{-1/\beta}\epsilon] \subset [A^{-1/\beta}\epsilon, 7^{-1/\beta}] \subset I_{k'} \cup \left[ \bigcup_{k=1}^{k'-1} (I_k \cup J_k) \right] \tag{12}$$

and

$$|J_k| \leq C|I_{k+1}| \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq k' - 1, \tag{13}$$

where  $k'$  is the largest integer  $k$  such that  $1 \leq k \leq A\epsilon^{-\beta}$ . From this it follows that if  $0 < \epsilon < B$  and  $p > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^p}^p &\geq C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{k'} \int_{|x| \in I_k} \frac{dx}{\rho(x)^{\alpha p}} \\ &= C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{k'} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \int_{r \in I_k} \frac{r^{n-1}}{\rho(r\theta)^{\alpha p}} dr d\sigma(\theta) \\ &= C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{k'} \int_{r \in I_k} r^{n-1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{n-1}} \frac{d\sigma(\theta)}{\rho(r\theta)^{\alpha p}} dr \\ &\geq C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \sum_{k=1}^{k'} \int_{r \in I_k} r^{b-\alpha p-1} dr \\ &\geq C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \int_{A^{-1/\beta}\epsilon}^{7^{-1/\beta}} r^{b-\alpha p-1} dr \\ &\geq C\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \epsilon^{b-\alpha p}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the line before the last we used (12) and (13), and in the line before that we used Lemma 1.

Finally, suppose the estimate

$$\|T\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^p} \leq C\|\phi_\epsilon\|_{L^p}$$

holds for some  $1 < p \leq 2$ . Then

$$\epsilon^{p(j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n)} \epsilon^{b-\alpha p} \leq C\epsilon^{j(1+\beta)+b_{j+1}+\dots+b_n}$$

for  $0 < \epsilon < B$ . This implies

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} \leq Q_j.$$

Thus  $T$  is not bounded on  $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if  $1 < p \leq 2$  and

$$\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2} > \max[Q_1, \dots, Q_n].$$

The corresponding inequality for  $p > 2$  is then obtained by using duality.  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. Fefferman, Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators, *Acta Math.* 124 (1970) 9–36.
- [2] C. Fefferman, E.M. Stein,  $H^p$  spaces of several variables, *Acta Math.* 129 (1972) 137–193.
- [3] B. Shayya, Singular integrals with nonhomogeneous oscillatory kernels, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 50 (2001) 1015–1025.
- [4] P. Sjölin,  $L^p$  estimates for strongly singular convolution operators in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , *Ark. Mat.* 14 (1976) 59–64.
- [5] P. Sjölin, Convolution with oscillating kernels, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 30 (1981) 47–56.
- [6] E.M. Stein, *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1993.
- [7] E.M. Stein, S. Wainger, Problems in harmonic analysis related to curvature, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 84 (1978) 1239–1295.
- [8] S. Wainger, Special Trigonometric Series in  $k$  Dimensions, in: *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, Vol. 59, American Mathematical Society, 1965.