

ARAB JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES



Arab J Math Sci 22 (2016) 1-21

When is the annihilating ideal graph of a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal commutative ring complemented?

S. Visweswaran*, Hiren D. Patel

Department of Mathematics, Saurashtra University, Rajkot, 360 005, India

Received 1 July 2013; received in revised form 13 June 2014; accepted 23 June 2014 Available online 1 August 2014

Abstract. Let R be a commutative ring with identity. Let A(R) denote the collection of all annihilating ideals of R (that is, A(R) is the collection of all ideals I of R which admits a nonzero annihilator in R). Let AG(R) denote the annihilating ideal graph of R. In this article, necessary and sufficient conditions are determined in order that AG(R) is complemented under the assumption that R is a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal ring which admits at least two nonzero annihilating ideals and as a corollary we determine finite rings R such that AG(R) is complemented under the assumption that A(R) contains at least two nonzero ideals.

Keywords: Annihilating ideal graph of a commutative ring; Complemented graph; Zerodimensional quasisemilocal ring; Special principal ideal ring

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13A15

1. Introduction

The rings considered in this article are nonzero commutative rings with identity. Recall from [5] that an ideal I of a ring R is an annihilating ideal if there exists $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that Ir = (0). As in [5], we denote by A(R), the set of all annihilating ideals of R and by $A(R)^*$, the set of all nonzero annihilating ideals of R. In [5], the authors introduced the concept of annihilating ideal graph of R, denoted by AG(R), which is defined as follows: AG(R) is an undirected graph whose vertex set is $A(R)^*$ and two distinct vertices I and I are adjacent in this graph if and only if II = (0). Several graph theoretic properties of the annihilating ideal graph of any commutative ring with identity and their interplay with

E-mail address: s_visweswaran2006@yahoo.co.in (S. Visweswaran).

Peer review under responsibility of King Saud University.



Production and hosting by Elsevier

^{*} Corresponding author.

the ring theoretic properties have been investigated in [5,6]. Moreover, the annihilating ideal graph of a commutative ring is also studied in [1,7]. In this article we determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented under the assumption that R is a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal ring such that $A(R)^*$ contains at least two elements. As any finite ring is zero-dimensional and has only finitely many prime ideals, we answer the question of when AG(R) is complemented for any finite ring R which admits at least two nonzero annihilating ideals as a corollary to the results proved in this article.

This article is motivated by the interesting theorems proved on the annihilating ideal graph of a commutative ring in [1,5–7], and moreover, we are very much inspired by the research article [2] in which the authors among other results determined necessary and sufficient conditions in order that $\Gamma(R)$ is complemented, where $\Gamma(R)$ is the zero-divisor graph of R.

It is useful to recall the following definitions from [2,11]. Let G = (V, E) be a simple undirected graph. Let $a, b \in V$. We define $a \leq b$ if a and b are not adjacent and each vertex of G adjacent to b is also adjacent to a. We define $a \sim b$ if $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$. Thus $a \sim b$ if and only if $\{c \in V | c \text{ is adjacent to } a \text{ in } G\} = \{d \in V | d \text{ is adjacent to } b \text{ in } G\}$. Let $a, b \in V$, $a \neq b$. We say that a and b are orthogonal, written $a \perp b$, if a and b are adjacent and there is no vertex c of G which is adjacent to both a and b. We say that G is complemented, if for each vertex a of G, there is a vertex b of G (called a complement of a) such that $a \perp b$. We say that G is uniquely complemented if G is complemented and whenever $a \perp b$ and $a \perp c$, then $b \sim c$ [2,11]. By dimension of a ring, we mean its Krull dimension and we use the abbreviation $\dim R$ to denote the dimension of a ring R. A ring R is said to be quasilocal (respectively, quasisemilocal) if R has a unique maximal ideal (respectively, Rhas only finitely many maximal ideals). By a local (respectively, a semilocal) ring, we mean a Noetherian quasilocal (respectively, a Noetherian quasisemilocal) ring. Recall that a local ring (R, M) is said to be a special principal ideal ring (SPIR), if R is a principal ideal ring and M is nilpotent. Whenever a set A is a subset of a set B and $A \neq B$, we denote it symbolically by $A \subset B$.

It is also useful to recall the following definitions and results from commutative ring theory. Let R be a ring. Let M be a unitary R-module. By the set of zero-divisors of M as an R-module denoted by $Z_R(M)$, we mean $Z_R(M) = \{r \in R | rm = 0 \text{ for some } m \in M, m \neq 0\}$. We denote $Z_R(R)$ simply by Z(R). Recall from [8] that a prime ideal P of R is said to be a maximal N-prime of an ideal I of R, if P is maximal with respect to the property of being contained in $Z_R(R/I)$. It follows from [10, Theorem 1] that maximal N-primes of (0) always exist and if $\{P_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in A}$ is the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R, then $Z(R) = \bigcup_{\alpha\in \lambda} P_\alpha$.

In Section 2, it is shown that AG(R) is complemented for any reduced ring R which is not an integral domain. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. In Section 3, we state and prove several necessary conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented. The main theorem proved in Section 4 is Theorem 4.8 which determines necessary and sufficient conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented, where R is a zero-dimensional quasilocal ring which admits at least two nonzero annihilating ideals. In Section 5, we consider zero-dimensional quasisemilocal rings R with at least two nonzero annihilating ideals and in Theorem 5.6, necessary and sufficient conditions are determined in order that AG(R) is complemented. In Section 6, we consider rings R which are not reduced and which admit only a finite number of maximal N-primes of $\{0\}$. We denote the finite set of maximal N-primes of $\{0\}$ in $\{0\}$ in $\{0\}$ by $\{0\}$, ..., $\{0\}$. We determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that $\{0\}$ is

complemented under the additional hypothesis that $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} P_i = nil(R)$, where nil(R) denotes the nilradical of R (see Theorems 6.3 and 6.9).

2. A SUFFICIENT CONDITION UNDER WHICH AG(R) IS COMPLEMENTED

The purpose of this section is to prove that if R is any reduced ring which is not an integral domain, then AG(R) is complemented. We begin with the following lemma. This is an analogue to [2, Lemma 3.3]. Again we emphasize that all the rings considered in this article are commutative with identity.

Lemma 2.1. Let R be a ring. Let $I, J \in A(R)^*$. The following statements are equivalent: (i) $I \perp J$, $I^2 \neq (0)$, and $J^2 \neq (0)$.

- (ii) IJ = (0) and $I + J \notin A(R)$.
- **Proof.** (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since $I \perp J$, it is clear that IJ = (0). Suppose that $I + J \in A(R)$. Then there exists $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that a(I + J) = (0). Hence aI = (0) and aJ = (0). Since $I^2 \neq (0)$ and $J^2 \neq (0)$, it follows that $Ra \neq I$ and $Ra \neq J$. Observe that the ideal $Ra \in A(R)^*$ is such that I(Ra) = (0) and J(Ra) = (0). This is in contradiction to the hypothesis that $I \perp J$. Hence we obtain that $I + J \not\in A(R)$.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (i) If $I^2=(0)$, then from IJ=(0), it follows that (I+J)I=(0). This contradicts the assumption that $I+J\not\in A(R)$. Hence we obtain that $I^2\ne(0)$. Similarly, it follows that $J^2\ne(0)$. Now it is clear that $I\ne J$. Let K be an ideal of R such that IK=(0) and JK=(0). Then (I+J)K=(0). Since $I+J\not\in A(R)$, it follows that K=(0). This proves that $I\perp J$. \square

Proposition 2.2. Let R be a reduced ring which is not an integral domain. Then AG(R) is complemented. Moreover, AG(R) is uniquely complemented.

Proof. Since R is not an integral domain, there exist $a,b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that ab = 0. Note that $Ra, Rb \in A(R)^*$. Since R is reduced it follows from ab = 0 with $a,b \in R \setminus \{0\}$ that $Ra \neq Rb$. Hence $|A(R)^*| \geq 2$.

Let $I \in A(R)^*$. Hence there exists $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ such that Ix = (0). Let $J = ((0)):_R I$. As any nonzero element of I annihilates J, it is clear that $J \in A(R)^*$. We assert that $I \perp J$. It is clear that IJ = (0). Hence in view of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Lemma 2.1, it is enough to show that $I+J \not\in A(R)$. Let $r \in R$ be such that (I+J)r = (0). Then Ir = (0) and Jr = (0). Hence $r \in J$ and from Jr = (0), it follows that $r^2 = 0$. Since R is reduced, we obtain that r = 0. This proves that $I \perp J$. Thus each $I \in A(R)^*$ admits a complement in AG(R). This shows that AG(R) is complemented.

We next verify that AG(R) is uniquely complemented. Let $I \in A(R)^*$. Let $J_1, J_2 \in A(R)^*$ be such that $I \perp J_1$ and $I \perp J_2$. Since R is reduced, it follows that $A^2 \neq (0)$ for any nonzero ideal A of R. As $I \perp J_1$ and $I \perp J_2$, we know from (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Lemma 2.1 that $I + J_i \not\in A(R)$ for i = 1, 2. Hence $(I + J_1)J_2 \neq (0)$. This implies that $J_1J_2 \neq (0)$ since $IJ_2 = (0)$. Let $K \in A(R)^*$ be such that K is adjacent to J_2 . Then $KJ_2 = (0)$. From $IJ_1 = (0)$, it follows that $(I + J_2)KJ_1 = (0)$. As $I + J_2 \not\in A(R)$, it follows that $KJ_1 = (0)$. This proves that $J_1 \leq J_2$. Similarly, using the facts that $IJ_2 = (0)$ and $I + J_1 \not\in A(R)$, it follows that $J_2 \leq J_1$. Hence we obtain that $J_1 \sim J_2$. This proves that AG(R) is uniquely complemented. \square

3. Some necessary conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented, where R is not a reduced ring

In this section we consider rings R such that the nilradical of R is nonzero. We use nil(R) to denote the nilradical of a ring R. The aim of this section is to determine some necessary conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let R be a ring. If $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$, then for any $b \in nil(R)$, $Ra \neq Rab$.

Proof. If Ra = Rab, then a = rab for some $r \in R$. This implies that a(1 - rb) = 0. Since $b \in nil(R)$, 1 - rb is a unit in R. Hence from a(1 - rb) = 0, it follows that a = 0. This is a contradiction. Hence $Ra \neq Rab$. \square

The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.2. Let I be a nonzero nilpotent ideal of a ring R. Let n be the least integer $p \ge 2$ with the property that $I^p = (0)$. Then $I^i \ne I^j$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

We next have the following lemma which shows that if AG(R) is complemented, then nil(R) must be nilpotent.

Lemma 3.3. Let R be a ring. If AG(R) is complemented, then $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$.

Proof. First we show that for any $a \in nil(R)$, $a^4 = 0$. Suppose that $a^4 \neq 0$. Let n be the least integer $p \geq 5$ with the property that $a^p = 0$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists $I \in A(R)^*$ such that $Ra^{n-3} \perp I$. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $Ra^i \neq Ra^j$ for all distinct $i,j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Hence in particular $Ra^{n-1} \neq Ra^{n-2}$. Thus there exists $j \in \{n-2,n-1\}$ such that $I \neq Ra^j$. From $(Ra^{n-3})I = (0)$, it follows that $(Ra^j)I = (0)$. Since $n \geq 5$, it is clear that $Ra^jRa^{n-3} = (0)$. Hence the ideal Ra^j is adjacent to both Ra^{n-3} and I. This is impossible since $Ra^{n-3} \perp I$. Therefore, for any $a \in nil(R)$, $a^4 = 0$.

Let $a,b,c\in nil(R)$. We assert that $a^2bc=0$. Suppose that $a^2bc\neq 0$. As AG(R) is complemented, there exists $I\in A(R)^*$ such that $Ra^2\perp I$. From $(Ra^2)I=(0)$, it follows that $(Ra^2b)I=(Ra^2bc)I=(0)$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that the ideals Ra^2 , Ra^2b , and Ra^2bc are distinct. Hence either $I\neq Ra^2b$ or $I\neq Ra^2bc$. If $I\neq Ra^2b$, then it follows from $a^4=0$ that Ra^2b is adjacent to both Ra^2 and I. This is impossible since $Ra^2\perp I$. Similarly, if $I\neq Ra^2bc$, then we obtain that Ra^2bc is adjacent to both Ra^2 and I. This is not possible since $Ra^2\perp I$. Hence for any $a,b,c\in nil(R)$, $a^2bc=0$.

Let $a,b,c,d\in nil(R)$. We claim that abcd=0. Suppose that $abcd\neq 0$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that the ideals Ra,Rabc, and Rabcd are distinct. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists $I\in A(R)^*$ such that $Ra\perp I$. It follows from (Ra)I=(0) that (Rabc)I=(0) and (Rabcd)I=(0). Observe that either $I\neq Rabc$ or $I\neq Rabcd$. Since $a^2bc=0$, (Ra)(Rabc)=(0) and (Ra)(Rabcd)=(0). If $I\neq Rabc$, then we obtain that Rabc is adjacent to both Ra and I. This is impossible since $Ra\perp I$. Similarly $I\neq Rabcd$ is also impossible. This proves that for any $a,b,c,d\in nil(R)$, abcd=0.

This shows that $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$. \square

The following proposition provides some more necessary conditions on R if $(nil(R))^3 \neq (0)$ and AG(R) is complemented.

Proposition 3.4. Let R be a ring such that $(nil(R))^3 \neq (0)$. If AG(R) is complemented, then the following hold:

- (i) $(nil(R))^i \perp (nil(R))^3$ for i = 1, 2.
- (ii) $(nil(R))^3 = Rx$ for any $x \in (nil(R))^3 \setminus \{0\}$.
- (iii) $(nil(R))^2 = Ry \text{ for any } y \in (nil(R))^2 \setminus (nil(R))^3$.
- (iv) nil(R) = Rz for any $z \in nil(R) \setminus (nil(R))^2$.
- (v) If I is any ideal of R such that $I \subseteq nil(R)$, then $I \in \{(0), nil(R), (nil(R))^2, (nil(R))^3\}$ and so $I \in \{(0), Rz, Rz^2, Rz^3\}$ for any $z \in nil(R) \setminus (nil(R))^2$.

Proof. Since AG(R) is complemented, we know from Lemma 3.3 that $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$.

- (i) Let $i \in \{1,2\}$. Thus $(nil(R))^i(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. By hypothesis, $(nil(R))^3 \neq (0)$. Hence $(nil(R))^i$ has a nonzero annihilator and so $(nil(R))^i \in A(R)^*$. As AG(R) is complemented, there exists $I \in A(R)^*$ such that $(nil(R))^i \perp I$. Hence $(nil(R))^i I = (0)$ and so it follows that $(nil(R))^3 I = (0)$. It is already noted that $(nil(R))^3 (nil(R))^i = (0)$. Moreover, since $(nil(R))^3 \neq (0)$, we obtain from Lemma 3.2 that $(nil(R))^3 \neq (nil(R))^i$. As $(nil(R))^i \perp I$, the above arguments imply that $I = (nil(R))^3$. This proves that $(nil(R))^i \perp (nil(R))^3$ for i = 1, 2.
- (ii) Let $x \in (nil(R))^3 \setminus \{0\}$. As $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$, it follows that Rx(nil(R)) = (0) and $Rx(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. We know from (i) that $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^3$.

Since $Rx \neq (0)$ and $Rx \neq nil(R)$, we obtain that $Rx = (nil(R))^3$.

- (iii) Let $y \in (nil(R))^2 \setminus (nil(R))^3$. Since $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$, we obtain that $Ry(nil(R))^2 = (0)$ and $Ry(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. We know from (i) that $(nil(R))^2 \perp (nil(R))^3$. As $Ry \notin \{(0), (nil(R))^3\}$, it follows that $Ry = (nil(R))^2$.
- (iv) Let $y \in (nil(R))^2 \setminus (nil(R))^3$. Let $\phi: nil(R) \to (nil(R))^3$ be the mapping given by $\phi(a) = ay$ for any $a \in nil(R)$. It is clear that ϕ is a homomorphism of R-modules. We assert that ϕ is onto. Let $b \in (nil(R))^3$. Note that $(nil(R))^3 = nil(R)(nil(R))^2$. Since $(nil(R))^2 = Ry$ by (iii), we obtain that $(nil(R))^3 = (nil(R))Ry$. Hence b = ay for some $a \in nil(R)$. Hence $\phi(a) = ay = b$. This shows that ϕ is onto. We know from the fundamental theorem of homomorphism of modules that $nil(R)/ker\phi \cong (nil(R))^3$ as R-modules. We know from (ii) that for any nonzero $x \in (nil(R))^3$, $Rx = (nil(R))^3$. Hence it follows that for any $z \in nil(R) \setminus ker\phi$, $nil(R)/ker\phi = R(z + ker\phi)$. We claim that $ker\phi = (nil(R))^2$. As $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$ and $y \in (nil(R))^2$, it is clear that $(nil(R))^2 \subseteq ker\phi$. Let $a \in ker\phi$. Hence $(Ra)Ry = Ra(nil(R))^2 = (0)$ and $Ra(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. By (i), $(nil(R))^2 \perp (nil(R))^3$. Hence we obtain that $Ra \in \{(0), (nil(R))^2, (nil(R))^3\}$. This implies that $a \in (nil(R))^2$. Hence $ker\phi \subseteq (nil(R))^2$ and so $ker\phi = (nil(R))^2$.

Let $z\in nil(R)\backslash (nil(R))^2$. Hence $z\not\in ker\phi$. As is remarked in the previous paragraph, $nil(R)/ker\phi=R(z+ker\phi)$. Hence it follows that $nil(R)=Rz+ker\phi=Rz+(nil(R))^2$. Therefore, we obtain that $nil(R)=Rz+(Rz+(nil(R))^2)^2$. Now it is clear that nil(R)=Rz since $(nil(R))^4=(0)$. Thus for any $z\in nil(R)\backslash (nil(R))^2$, nil(R)=Rz.

(v) Let I be any nonzero ideal of R such that $I \subseteq nil(R)$. Since $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$, there exists $i \in \{1,2,3\}$ such that $I \subseteq (nil(R))^i$ but $I \not\subseteq (nil(R))^{i+1}$. Let $a \in I \setminus (nil(R))^{i+1}$. Hence $a \in (nil(R))^i \setminus (nil(R))^{i+1}$. It follows from (ii), (iii), or (iv) that $(nil(R))^i = Ra$. As $a \in I$, we obtain that $(nil(R))^i \subseteq I$ and so $I = (nil(R))^i$. This shows that $\{(0), nil(R), (nil(R))^2, (nil(R))^3\}$ is the set of all ideals of R which are contained in nil(R). Let $z \in nil(R) \setminus (nil(R))^2$. Then from (iv), it follows that nil(R) = Rz and so $\{(0), Rz, Rz^2, Rz^3\}$ is the set of all ideals of R which are contained in nil(R). \square

We next consider rings R such that $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$ but $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$ and determine some necessary conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented.

Proposition 3.5. Let R be a ring such that $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$ but $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$. If AG(R) is complemented, then the following hold:

- (i) $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^2$.
- (ii) $(nil(R))^2 = Rx$ for any $x \in (nil(R))^2 \setminus \{0\}$.
- (iii) If $ab \neq 0$ for some $a, b \in nil(R)$, then $Ra \perp (nil(R))^2$ and $Rb \perp (nil(R))^2$.
- (iv) If $z^2 \neq 0$ for some $z \in nil(R)$, then nil(R) = Ra for any $a \in nil(R) \setminus (nil(R))^2$.
- (v) If $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in nil(R)$, then nil(R) is not principal but there exist $a, b \in nil(R)$ such that nil(R) = Ra + Rb.

Proof. Since $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$ and $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$, it is clear that $nil(R) \in A(R)^*$.

- (i) By hypothesis, AG(R) is complemented. Hence there exists $I \in A(R)^*$ such that $nil(R) \perp I$. Hence I(nil(R)) = (0). Therefore, $I(nil(R))^2 = (0)$. Since $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$, it follows that $(nil(R))^2 nil(R) = (0)$. As $nil(R) \perp I$ and $(nil(R))^2 \notin \{(0), nil(R)\}$, we obtain that $(nil(R))^2 = I$. This proves that $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^2$.
- (ii) Let $x \in (nil(R))^2 \setminus \{0\}$. Since $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$, it follows that Rx(nil(R)) = (0) and $Rx(nil(R))^2 = (0)$. We know from (i) that $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^2$. As $Rx \notin \{(0), nil(R)\}$, we obtain that $Rx = (nil(R))^2$.
- (iii) Let $a,b \in nil(R)$ be such that $ab \neq 0$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists $I \in A(R)^*$ such that $Ra \perp I$. Hence (Ra)I = (0) and so (Rab)I = (0). As $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$, we obtain that (Ra)(Rab) = (0). Thus the nonzero ideal Rab is such that (Ra)(Rab) = (0) and (Rab)I = (0). Since $Ra \perp I$, $Rab \in \{I, Ra\}$. We know from Lemma 3.1 that $Ra \neq Rab$. Hence Rab = I. Therefore, $Ra \perp Rab$. By (ii), $(nil(R))^2 = Rab$. This proves that $Ra \perp (nil(R))^2$. Similarly, it follows that $Rb \perp (nil(R))^2$.
- (iv) Suppose that there exists $z\in nil(R)$ such that $z^2\neq 0$. Consider the mapping $\psi:nil(R)\to (nil(R))^2$ given by $\psi(y)=yz$ for any $y\in nil(R)$. It is clear that ψ is a homomorphism of R-modules. Since $(nil(R))^2=Rz^2$ by (ii), it follows that ψ is onto. Hence we obtain from the fundamental theorem of homomorphism of modules that $nil(R)/ker\psi\cong (nil(R))^2$ as R-modules. We know from (ii) that for any nonzero $x\in (nil(R))^2$, $Rx=(nil(R))^2$. Thus for any $a\in nil(R)\setminus ker\psi$, $nil(R)/ker\psi=R(a+ker\psi)$. We claim that $ker\psi=(nil(R))^2$. Since $ker\psi\subseteq nil(R)$ and $(nil(R))^3=(0)$, it follows that $(ker\psi)(nil(R))^2=(0)$. As $ker\psi\subseteq ((0):_Rz)$, we obtain that $Rz(ker\psi)=(0)$. We know from (iii) that $Rz\perp (nil(R))^2$. Hence $ker\psi\in \{(0),Rz,(nil(R))^2\}$. As $z^3=0$, $z^2\in ker\psi$. Hence $ker\psi\ne (0)$. Since $z\not\in ker\psi$, it is clear that $ker\psi\ne Rz$. Now it follows that $ker\psi=(nil(R))^2$. Let $a\in nil(R)\setminus (nil(R))^2$. Hence as is already observed in this proof we obtain that $nil(R)/(nil(R))^2=R(a+(nil(R))^2)$. This implies that $nil(R)=Ra+(nil(R))^2$. Hence $nil(R)=Ra+(nil(R))^2$. This implies that nil(R)=Ra since $(nil(R))^3=(0)$.
- (v) Since $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$ and by assumption $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in nil(R)$, it is clear that nil(R) is not principal. As $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$, there exist $a,b \in nil(R)$ such that $ab \neq (0)$. Consider the homomorphism of R-modules $f: nil(R) \to (nil(R))^2$ given by f(z) = zb for any $z \in nil(R)$. By (ii), $(nil(R))^2 = Rab$. Hence it follows that f is onto. We assert that ker f = Rb. Since $ker f \subseteq nil(R)$ and $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$, it follows that $(ker f)(nil(R))^2 = (0)$. As $ker f \subseteq ((0):_R b)$, it follows that Rb(ker f) = (0). We know from (iii) that $Rb \perp (nil(R))^2$. Hence $ker f \in \{(0), Rb, (nil(R))^2\}$. Since $b^2 = 0$, $b \in (nil(R))^2$.

 $ker\ f$ and so $ker\ f \neq (0)$. We know from Lemma 3.1 that $Rb \neq Rab$. Hence $b \notin (nil(R))^2$. Hence we obtain that $ker\ f \neq (nil(R))^2$. Therefore, it follows that $ker\ f = Rb$. Now f is a homomorphism of R-modules from nil(R) onto $(nil(R))^2$. Hence by the fundamental theorem of homomorphism of modules, it follows that $nil(R)/ker\ f \cong (nil(R))^2$ as R-modules. We know from (ii) that $(nil(R))^2 = Rx$ for any nonzero $x \in (nil(R))^2$ and as $a \notin ker\ f$, it follows that $nil(R)/ker\ f = R(a + ker\ f)$. This implies that $nil(R) = Ra + ker\ f = Ra + Rb$. \square

4. ZERO-DIMENSIONAL QUASILOCAL RINGS R SUCH THAT AG(R) IS COMPLEMENTED

The aim of this section is to determine all zero-dimensional quasilocal rings R such that AG(R) is complemented. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. Let R be a ring such that $\dim R = 0$ and R is quasilocal with M as its unique maximal ideal. Suppose that $M^3 \neq (0)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) AG(R) is complemented.
- (ii) $M^4 = (0)$ and R is a SPIR.
- **Proof.** (i) \Rightarrow (ii) By hypothesis, it is clear that M is the only prime ideal of R. Hence M=nil(R). Since AG(R) is complemented by assumption, it follows from Lemma 3.3 that $M^4=(0)$. By hypothesis, $M^3\neq(0)$. Now it follows from Proposition 3.4(iv) that M=Rm for any $m\in M\backslash M^2$. As $M^4=(0)$, $M^3\neq(0)$, and M is principal, it follows from the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of [3, Proposition 8.8] that $\{M=Rm,M^2=Rm^2,M^3=Rm^3\}$ is the set of all proper nonzero ideals of R. Hence we obtain that R is a SPIR.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (i) Now R is a SPIR with $M^4=(0)$ but $M^3\neq(0)$. Note that $\{M,M^2,M^3\}$ is the set of all nonzero proper ideals of R. Now it is clear that AG(R) is a graph on three vertices $\{M,M^2,M^3\}$, $M\perp M^3$, and $M^2\perp M^3$. This proves that AG(R) is complemented. \square

We next have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Let R be a quasilocal ring with M as its unique maximal ideal. Suppose that $M^3 = (0)$ but $M^2 \neq (0)$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) AG(R) is complemented.
- (ii) If $z^2 \neq 0$ for some $z \in M$, then M is principal. If $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in M$, then M is not principal but there exist $a, b \in M$ such that M = Ra + Rb.
 - (iii) $I \perp M^2$ for each nonzero proper ideal I of R with $I \neq M^2$.
- **Proof.** (i) \Rightarrow (ii) It is clear from the hypothesis that M is the only prime ideal of R. Hence M=nil(R). If $z^2\neq 0$ for some $z\in M$, then it follows from Proposition 3.5(iv) that M is principal. If $z^2=(0)$ for each $z\in M$, then it follows from Proposition 3.5(v) that M is not principal but there exist $a,b\in M$ such that M=Ra+Rb.
- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that $z^2 \neq 0$ for some $z \in M$. Then M is principal. As $M^3 = (0)$, it follows from the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of [3, Proposition 8.8] that M and M^2 are the only proper nonzero ideals of R. Hence in this case, AG(R) is a graph with vertex set $\{M, M^2\}$ and $M \perp M^2$.

Suppose that $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in M$. Then M is not principal but there exist $a, b \in M$ such that M = Ra + Rb. In such a case, $M^2 = Rab$. Let $x \in M^2$, $x \neq 0$. Then x = rab

for some $r \in R$. As $M^3 = (0)$, it follows that r is a unit in R and so $M^2 = Rab = Rx$. Since $M^3 = (0)$ but $M^2 \neq (0)$, it is clear that each nonzero proper ideal is in $A(R)^*$. Let I be any nonzero proper ideal of R. If $I \subseteq M^2$, then as $M^2 = Rx$ for any $x \in I \setminus \{0\}$, it follows that $I = M^2$. Suppose that $I \not\subseteq M^2$. Let $z \in I \setminus M^2$. Since M is not principal but is generated by two elements, it is clear that $dim_{R/M}(M/M^2) = 2$. As $z + M^2 \in M/M^2$ is nonzero, there exists $w \in M$ such that $\{z + M^2, w + M^2\}$ forms a basis of M/M^2 as a vector space over R/M. In such a case, it follows that $M = Rz + Rw + M^2$. This implies that M = Rz + Rw since $M^3 = (0)$. As $z^2 = w^2 = 0$, it follows that $M^2 = Rzw \subseteq I$ since $z \in I$. Note that $dim_{R/M}(I/M^2)$ is either 1 or 2. If $dim_{R/M}(I/M^2) = 1$, then $\{z + M^2\}$ forms a basis of I/M^2 . This implies that $I = Rz + M^2$ and so I = Rz since $M^2 = Rzw$. If $dim_{R/M}(I/M^2) = 2$, then it follows that $I/M^2 = M/M^2$ and so I = M.

From the above discussion it is clear that if $z^2=0$ for each $z\in M$ and if I is any nonzero proper ideal of R, then either $I\in\{M,M^2\}$ or I=Rz for some $z\in I\backslash M^2$. Since $M^3=(0)$, it follows that $IM^2=(0)$ for each proper ideal I of R. Let $I\in A(R)^*$ be such that $I\neq M^2$. We verify that $I\perp M^2$. Since $IM^2=(0)$, I is adjacent to M^2 . Let $J\in A(R)^*$ be such that $J\not\in\{I,M^2\}$. Suppose that J=M. Then $I\neq M$ and so I=Rz for some $z\in I\backslash M^2$. Moreover, it is noted in the previous paragraph that $M^2=Rzw$ for some $w\in M$. Hence we obtain that $M^2\subseteq IM=IJ$. Similarly, if I=M, then $J\neq M$ and so J=Rz' for some $z'\in J\backslash M^2$ and $M^2=Rz'w'$ for some $w'\in M$. Therefore, $M^2=Rw'z'\subseteq MJ=IJ$. Suppose that $I\neq M$ and $J\neq M$. Then there exist $z\in I\backslash M^2$ and $z'\in J\backslash M^2$ such that I=Rz and J=Rz'. We claim that I+J=M. Indeed, if $I+J\neq M$, then I+J=Ry for some $y\in I+J$ with $y\not\in M^2$. Now as $z,z'\in M\backslash M^2$, $I=Rz\subseteq Ry$, and $J=Rz'\subseteq Ry$, we obtain that I=Rz=Ry=Rz'=J. But this contradicts the assumption that $I\neq J$. Hence Rz+Rz'=I+J=M. Therefore, $M^2=Rzz'\subseteq IJ$. This shows that if $J\in A(R)^*\backslash \{M^2,I\}$, then $M^2\subseteq IJ$ and so $IJ\neq (0)$. This proves that $I\perp M^2$ for each $I\in A(R)^*$ with $I\neq M^2$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i). Since $M^3 = (0)$ but $M^2 \neq (0)$, it is clear that $M \neq M^2$. Hence R admits at least one nonzero proper ideal which is different from M^2 . Note that from the preceding observation (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follows immediately. \square

We next have the following lemma. We denote the characteristic of a ring R by char(R).

Lemma 4.3. Let R be a quasilocal ring with M as its unique maximal ideal such that $M^3 = (0)$ but $M^2 \neq (0)$. If AG(R) is complemented and M is not principal, then char(R/M) = 2 and moreover, $char(R) \in \{2, 4\}$.

Proof. Assume that AG(R) is complemented and M is not principal. It follows from the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Lemma 4.2 that $z^2=0$ for each $z\in M$ and $dim_{R/M}(M/M^2)=2$. Moreover, it is noted in the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Lemma 4.2 that for any nonzero $x\in M^2$, $M^2=Rx$ and $I\perp M^2$ for each nonzero proper ideal I of R with $I\neq M^2$. We first verify that char(R/M)=2. Suppose that $char(R/M)\neq 2$. Then $2\not\in M$ and so 2 is a unit in R. Let $a,b\in M$ be such that $\{a+M^2,b+M^2\}$ forms a basis of M/M^2 as a vector space over R/M. Consider the ideals $I_1=R(a+b)$ and $I_2=R(a-b)$ of R. From the choice of a,b, it is clear that I_1 and I_2 are nonzero proper ideals of R with $I_i\neq M^2$ for each $i\in\{1,2\}$. Note that $I_1\neq I_2$. For if $I_1=I_2$, then $2b=(a+b)-(a-b)\in I_1$. This implies that $b\in I_1$ since 2 is a unit in R. Hence b=r(a+b) for some $r\in R$. Therefore, ra+(r-1)b=0. This implies by the choice of a,b that $r\in M$ and $1-r\in M$. Hence $1=r+1-r\in M$. This

is impossible. Thus $I_1 \neq I_2$. Now as $a^2 = b^2 = 0$, it is clear that $I_1I_2 = R(a^2 - b^2) = (0)$. Moreover, as $M^3 = (0)$, it is clear that $I_2M^2 = (0)$. Thus $I_1I_2 = I_2M^2 = (0)$. This is impossible since $I_1 \perp M^2$. Hence char(R/M) = 2. Now $2 \in M$ and as $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in M$, it follows that 4 = 0 in R. Therefore, $char(R) \in \{2, 4\}$. \square

We next provide some examples to illustrate Lemma 4.2.

Example 4.4. Let K be a field with char(K) = 2. Let T = K[x, y] be the polynomial ring in two variables over K. Let $I = x^2T + y^2T$ and R = T/I. Then AG(R) is complemented.

Proof. Let N=xT+yT. Note that R=T/I is a local ring with M=N/I as its unique maximal ideal. For an element $t\in T$, we denote t+I by \overline{t} . Observe that $M=\overline{x}R+\overline{y}R,z^2=\overline{0}$ for each $z\in M$, $M^2=\overline{x}yR\neq (\overline{0})$, and $M^3=(\overline{0})$. Now it follows, from (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Lemma 4.2, that $J\perp M^2$ for each nonzero proper ideal J of R with $J\neq M^2$. This shows that AG(R) is complemented. \square

For any $n \geq 2$, we denote the ring of integers modulo n by \mathbf{Z}_n .

Example 4.5. Let $T = \mathbf{Z}_4[x,y]$ be the polynomial ring in two variables over \mathbf{Z}_4 . Let $I = x^2T + (xy - 2)T + y^2T$ and R = T/I. Then AG(R) is complemented.

Proof. Let N=2T+xT+yT. Observe that R=T/I is a local ring with M=N/I as its unique maximal ideal. For any $t\in T$, we denote t+I by \overline{t} . Note that $M=\overline{x}R+\overline{y}R,z^2=\overline{0}$ for each $z\in M$, $M^2=\overline{2}R$, and $M^3=(\overline{0})$. Now it follows, from (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Lemma 4.2, that $J\perp M^2$ for each nonzero proper ideal J of R with $J\neq M^2$ and hence we obtain that AG(R) is complemented. \square

Example 4.6. Let $T = \mathbf{Z}_4[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable over \mathbf{Z}_4 . Let $I = x^2T$. Let R = T/I. Then AG(R) is complemented.

Proof. Let N=2T+xT. Note that the ring R=T/I is local with M=N/I as its unique maximal ideal. For any $t\in T$, let us denote t+I by \overline{t} . Observe that $M=\overline{2}R+\overline{x}R,z^2=0$ for each $z\in M$, $M^2=\overline{2x}R\neq (\overline{0})$, and $M^3=(\overline{0})$. It now follows, from (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Lemma 4.2, that $J\perp M^2$ for each nonzero proper ideal J of R with $J\neq M^2$ and therefore, we obtain that AG(R) is complemented. \square

We make use of the following remark in the proof of Theorem 4.8.

Remark 4.7. Let R be a quasilocal ring with M as its unique maximal ideal. If $M^2=(0)$ but $M\neq (0)$, then AG(R) is not complemented and indeed one of the following holds:

- (i) M is the only element of $A(R)^*$ and hence AG(R) is a graph on a single vertex.
- (ii) $A(R)^*$ contains at least three elements and AG(R) is a complete graph.

Proof. Suppose that M is principal. As $M^2=(0)$, it is clear that M is the only nonzero proper ideal of R. Since the nonzero ideal M annihilates M, it follows that $M\in A(R)^*$. Hence (i) holds. Note that as AG(R) is a graph on a single vertex, it is not complemented.

Suppose that M is not principal. Since $M^2 = (0)$, it is clear that M annihilates any proper nonzero ideal of R and hence $A(R)^*$ is the set of all proper nonzero ideals of R and moreover,

M can be regarded as a vector space over the field R/M. As M is not principal, it follows that $dim_{R/M}M \geq 2$. Let $\{x,y\} \subseteq M$ be such that $\{x,y\}$ is linearly independent over R/M. Note that Rx, Ry, R(x+y) are distinct elements of $A(R)^*$. Since $M^2=(0)$, we obtain that IJ=(0) for any $I,J\in A(R)^*$. Hence it follows that AG(R) is a complete graph with at least three vertices and hence it is not complemented. \square

The following theorem characterizes when AG(R) is complemented, where R is any zero-dimensional quasilocal ring with AG(R) admitting at least two vertices.

Theorem 4.8. Let R be a zero-dimensional quasilocal ring with M as its unique maximal ideal. Suppose that AG(R) admits at least two vertices. Then AG(R) is complemented if and only if (a) and (b) hold and moreover, either (c) or (d) holds, where (a), (b), (c), and (d) are given below:

- (a) $M^2 \neq (0)$.
- (b) $M^4 = (0)$.
- (c) R is a SPIR.
- (d) $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in M$, M is not principal but there exist $a, b \in M$ such that M = Ra + Rb.

Proof. We are assuming that $\dim R = 0$ and R is quasilocal with M as its unique maximal ideal. Hence we obtain that nil(R) = M.

Assume that AG(R) admits at least two vertices and is complemented. It follows from Remark 4.7 that $M^2 \neq (0)$. We obtain from Lemma 3.3 that $M^4 = (0)$. If $M^3 \neq (0)$, then it follows from (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Lemma 4.1 that R is a SPIR. Suppose that $M^3 = (0)$. If M is principal, then it follows from the proof of (iii) \Rightarrow (i) of [3, Proposition 8.8] that R is a principal ideal ring and hence R is a SPIR. If M is not principal, then we obtain from (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Lemma 4.2 that $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in M$ and there exist $a, b \in M$ such that M = Ra + Rb. Thus if AG(R) is complemented, then (a) and (b) hold. Moreover, either (c) or (d) holds.

Conversely, assume that (a) and (b) hold and moreover, either (c) or (d) holds. Suppose that (c) holds. If $M^3 \neq (0)$, then it follows from (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Lemma 4.1 that AG(R) is complemented. If $M^3 = (0)$, then AG(R) is a graph with vertex set $\{M, M^2\}$ and $M \perp M^2$. Hence AG(R) is complemented. Suppose that (d) holds. Then it follows from (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Lemma 4.2 that AG(R) is complemented. \Box

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 4.8, we have the following result.

Corollary 4.9. Let (R, M) be a finite local ring with AG(R) admitting at least two vertices. Then AG(R) is complemented if and only if (a), (b) of Theorem 4.8 hold and either R is a finite SPIR or (d) of Theorem 4.8 hold.

5. ZERO-DIMENSIONAL QUASISEMILOCAL RINGS R SUCH THAT AG(R) IS COMPLEMENTED

The aim of this section is to determine zero-dimensional quasisemilocal rings R such that AG(R) is complemented. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. Let R be a quasisemilocal ring with $\dim R = 0$. Let $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal ideals of R. If AG(R) is complemented, then there exist quasilocal rings $(R_1, M_1), \ldots, (R_n, M_n)$ with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ as rings.

Proof. Since dim R = 0 and R is quasisemilocal with $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ as its set of all maximal ideals, it is clear that $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ is the set of all prime ideals of R. Hence we obtain that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. Moreover, as $P_i + P_j = R$ for all distinct $i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$, it follows from [3, Proposition 1.10(i)] that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i = \prod_{i=1}^n P_i$.

Suppose that AG(R) is complemented. Then we obtain from Lemma 3.3 that $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$. Hence we obtain that $\prod_{i=1}^n P_i^4 = (0)$. Since $P_i^4 + P_j^4 = R$ for all distinct $i,j \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, it follows from the Chinese remainder theorem [3, Proposition 1.10(ii) and (iii)] that the mapping $f: R \to R/P_1^4 \times \cdots \times R/P_n^4$ given by $f(r) = (r+P_1^4,\ldots,r+P_n^4)$ is an isomorphism of rings. Let $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$ and $R_i = R/P_i^4$. It is clear that R_i is quasilocal with $M_i = P_i/P_i^4$ as its unique maximal ideal and $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$ as rings. Moreover, it is obvious that M_i^4 is the zero ideal of R_i for each $i \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$. \square

In view of Lemma 5.1, in the rest of this section, we assume that $R = R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where R_i is a quasilocal ring with unique maximal ideal M_i such that $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. We proceed to determine when AG(R) is complemented. As Theorem 4.8 determines when AG(R) is complemented in the case where R is a zero-dimensional quasilocal ring, we assume that R is not quasilocal. Hence $n \geq 2$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$ $(n \ge 2)$, where (R_i, M_i) is a quasilocal ring with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If AG(R) is complemented, then $M_i^2 = (0)$ and M_i is principal for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$; in the case where $M_i \ne (0), M_i = R_i x_i$ for any nonzero element x_i of M_i . Moreover, R_i has at most one proper nonzero ideal for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Assume that AG(R) is complemented. Suppose that $M_i^2 \neq (0)$ for some $i \in$ $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Consider the ideal $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \dots \times I_n$ of R defined by $I_i = M_i^2$ and $I_j = R_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}$. Since $M_i^4 = (0)$, the ideal $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots \times J_n$ of R given by $J_i = M_i^2$ and $J_j = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ is such that $IJ = (0) \times (0) \times \dots \times (0)$. Hence $I \in A(R)^*$. As AG(R) is complemented, there exists $K \in A(R)^*$ such that $I \perp K$. Now it follows from $IK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$ and $I_i = R_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ that $K_j = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$. Note that $K_i M_i^2 = (0)$. Observe that $JK = IK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. Since $I \perp K$ and $J \notin \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I\}$, it follows that J=K. Hence we obtain that $I\perp J$. We next claim that $M_i^3=(0)$. Indeed, if $M_i^3 \neq (0)$, then the ideal $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ of R given by $A_i = M_i^3$ and $A_j = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ is such that $AI = AJ = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$ and $A \notin \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I, J\}$. This is impossible since $I \perp J$. Thus $M_i^3 = (0)$. Note that the ideal $B = B_1 \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_n$ given by $B_i = M_i$ and $B_i = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}$ of R is such that $IB = JB = (0) \times (0) \times ... \times (0)$ and $B \notin \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I, J\}$. This cannot happen since $I \perp J$. Hence we obtain that $M_i^2 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Let $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We next show that M_i is a principal ideal of R_i . If $M_i = (0)$, then it is clear that M_i is principal. Suppose that $M_i \neq (0)$. We show that $M_i = R_i x_i$ for any

nonzero $x_i \in M_i$. Consider the ideal $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ defined by $I_i = R_i x_i$ and $I_j = R_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$. Since $M_i^2 = (0)$, the ideal $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots \times J_n$ of R given by $J_i = M_i$ and $J_j = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ is such that $IJ = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. Hence $I \in A(R)^*$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists $K \in A(R)^*$ such that $I \perp K$. From $IK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$ and $I_j = R_j$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$, it is clear that $K_j = (0)$. Note that $K_i R_i x_i = (0)$. Hence $K_i \subseteq M_i$. As $M_i^2 = (0)$, it is clear that $JK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. Thus $IK = JK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. Since $I \perp K$ and $J \not\in \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I\}$, it follows that J = K. Thus $I \perp J$. The ideal $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ of R given by $A_j = (0)$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$ and $A_i = R_i x_i$ is such that $IA = JA = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. Since $I \perp J$ and $A \not\in \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I\}$, it follows that A = J. Hence we obtain that $M_i = R_i x_i$.

Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If $M_i = (0)$, then R_i is a field and it has no proper nonzero ideal. If $M_i \neq (0)$, then it is noted in the previous paragraph that $M_i = R_i x_i$ for each nonzero $x_i \in M_i$. Hence we obtain that M_i is the only proper nonzero ideal of R_i . This proves that R_i has at most one nonzero proper ideal. \square

With R as in the statement of Lemma 5.2, the following lemma provides another necessary condition in order that AG(R) is complemented.

Lemma 5.3. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where (R_i, M_i) is a quasilocal ring with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If AG(R) is complemented, then R_j is a field for some $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented and R_i is not a field for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Hence $M_i \neq (0)$ for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Let $I = M_1 \times M_2 \times \cdots \times M_n$. We know from Lemma 5.2 that $M_i^2 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Hence it follows that $I \in A(R)^*$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists an ideal $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots \times J_n$ of R such that $I \perp J$. From $IJ = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$, it follows that $I_iJ_i = (0)$ for any $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Hence $J_i \subseteq M_i$ and moreover, it follows from Lemma 5.2 that $J_i \in \{(0), M_i\}$ for each $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Since $I \neq J$ and $J \neq (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$, it is clear that there exist distinct $r,s \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that $J_r = M_r$ and $J_s = (0)$. Consider the ideal $K = K_1 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_n$ of R given by $K_i = (0)$ for all $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{s\}$ and $K_s = M_s$. Note that the ideal K is such that $K \notin \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0), I, J\}$ and $IK = JK = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$. This is impossible as $I \perp J$. Thus if AG(R) is complemented, then R_i is a field for some $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. \square

Let R be as in the statement of Lemma 5.2. The following lemma provides another necessary condition in order that AG(R) is complemented.

Lemma 5.4. Let $n \ge 2$ and let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where (R_i, M_i) is a quasilocal ring with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. If AG(R) is complemented, then there exists at most one $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that R_i is not a field.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented and there exist distinct $s,t \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that R_s and R_t are not fields. Hence $M_s \neq (0)$ and $M_t \neq (0)$. We know from Lemma 5.3 that there exists $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that R_j is a field. It is clear that $j \notin \{s,t\}$. Consider the ideal $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ of R given by $I_i = R_i$ for all $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\} \setminus \{s,t\}$,

 $I_s = M_s$, and $I_t = M_t$. We know from Lemma 5.2 that $M_s^2 = (0)$ and $M_t^2 = (0)$. Moreover, $R_i(0) = (0)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{s, t\}$. Hence we obtain that $I \in A(R)^*$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists an ideal $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \dots \times J_n$ of R such that $I \perp J$. Thus $IJ = (0) \times (0) \times \dots \times (0)$. Therefore, $I_iJ_i = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By the choice of I, it is clear that $J_i = (0)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{s, t\}$. Moreover, as we know from Lemma 5.2 that M_s (respectively M_t) is the only proper nonzero ideal of R_s (respectively R_t), it follows that $J_s \in \{(0), M_s\}$ and $J_t \in \{(0), M_t\}$. Since J is a nonzero ideal of R_s we must have either $J_s = M_s$ or $J_t = M_t$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $J_s = M_s$. Note that the ideal $K = K_1 \times K_2 \times \dots \times K_n$ of R given by $K_i = (0)$ for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{t\}$ and $K_t = M_t$ is such that $K \notin \{(0) \times (0) \times \dots \times (0), I, J\}$ and $IK = JK = (0) \times (0) \times \dots \times (0)$. This is impossible since $I \perp J$. Thus if AG(R) is complemented, then there exists at most one $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that R_i is not a field. \square

With R as in the statement of Lemma 5.2, the following lemma gives another necessary condition in order that AG(R) is complemented under the additional assumption that R is not reduced.

Lemma 5.5. Let $n \ge 2$ and let $R = R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$, where (R_i, M_i) is a quasilocal ring with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Suppose that R is not reduced. If AG(R) is complemented, then n = 2.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented and $n \geq 3$. We are assuming that R is not reduced (that is, R has nonzero nilpotent elements). Hence there exists at least one $i \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ such that R_i is not a field. Note that by Lemma 5.4, such an i is necessarily unique. Fix $j \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ with $j \neq i$. Consider the ideal $I = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n$ of R given by $I_i = M_i$, $I_j = R_j$, and $I_k = (0)$ for all $k \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\setminus \{i,j\}$. Note that $M_i \neq (0)$ and by Lemma 5.2, $M_i^2 = (0)$. Hence it is clear that $I \in A(R)^*$. Since AG(R) is complemented, there exists $J = J_1 \times J_2 \times \cdots \times J_n$ of R such that $I \perp J$. From $IJ = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$, it follows that $I_s J_s = (0)$ for all $s \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$. Since $I_i = M_i$, it follows that $J_i \subseteq M_i$ and as $I_j = R_j$, we obtain that $J_j = (0)$. Since $n \geq 3$, there exists $k \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\setminus \{i,j\}$. As R_k is a field, it follows that $J_k \in \{(0),R_k\}$. Consider the ideal $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n$ of R given by $A_i = M_i$, $A_j = (0)$, $A_k \in \{(0),R_k\}\setminus \{J_k\}$, and $A_s = (0)$ for all $s \in \{1,2,\ldots,n\}\setminus \{i,j,k\}$. Note that $AI = AJ = (0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0)$ but $A \not\in \{(0) \times (0) \times \cdots \times (0),I,J\}$. This is impossible since $I \perp J$. Thus if R is not reduced and AG(R) is complemented, then n = 2. \square

Let R be a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal ring admitting more than one maximal ideal. The following theorem determines necessary and sufficient conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented.

Theorem 5.6. Let R be a quasisemilocal ring which is not quasilocal and let $\dim R = 0$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) AG(R) is complemented.
- (ii) Either $R \cong F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_n$ as rings, where $n \geq 2$ and F_i is a field for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, or $R \cong S \times F$ as rings, where (S, M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and F is a field.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let n be the number of maximal ideals of R. Since R is not quasilocal, it follows that $n \geq 2$. We know from Lemma 5.1 that $R \cong R_1 \times R_2 \times \cdots \times R_n$ as rings, where (R_i, M_i) is a quasilocal ring with $M_i^4 = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. If $M_i = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then R_i is a field for each i and hence with $F_i = R_i$, we obtain that $R \cong F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_n$ as rings. Suppose that R_i is not a field for at least one $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. We know from Lemma 5.4 that such an i is necessarily unique. Now R is not reduced. Hence we obtain from Lemma 5.5 that n = 2. Thus $R \cong R_1 \times R_2$ as rings, where we may assume that R_1 is not a field and R_2 is a field. We know from Lemma 5.2 that $M_1^2 = (0)$ and $M_1 = R_1 x_1$ for any nonzero $x_1 \in M_1$. Hence M_1 is the only nonzero proper ideal of R_1 . Thus (R_1, M_1) is a SPIR with $M_1 \neq (0)$ but $M_1^2 = (0)$. Hence with $S = R_1, M = M_1$, and $F = R_2$, we obtain that $R \cong S \times F$ as rings, where (S, M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and F is a field.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that $R \cong F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_n$ as rings with $n \geq 2$ and F_i is a field for all $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Note that R is reduced and hence we obtain from Proposition 2.2 that AG(R) is complemented. Indeed AG(R) is uniquely complemented.

Suppose that $R\cong S\times F$ as rings, where (S,M) is a SPIR with $M\neq (0)$ but $M^2=(0)$ and F is a field. Let $T=S\times F$. Note that M is the only nonzero proper ideal of S. Hence $A(T)^*=\{(0)\times F, M\times (0), M\times F, S\times (0)\}$. It is easy to verify that $(0)\times F\perp M\times (0), M\times F\perp M\times (0)$, and $S\times (0)\perp (0)\times F$. This shows that AG(T) is complemented. As $R\cong T$ as rings, we obtain that AG(R) is complemented. Observe that $(0)\times F\perp M\times (0)$ and $(0)\times F\perp S\times (0)$. As $M\times F$ is adjacent to $M\times (0)$ but $M\times F$ is not adjacent to $S\times (0)$, it follows that AG(T) is not uniquely complemented. Hence we obtain that AG(R) is not uniquely complemented. \square

The following corollary determines when AG(R) is complemented, where R is a finite semilocal ring which is not local.

Corollary 5.7. Let R be a finite semilocal ring which is not local. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) AG(R) is complemented.
- (ii) Either $R \cong F_1 \times F_2 \times \cdots \times F_n$ as rings for some $n \geq 2$, where F_i is a finite field for i = 1, 2, ..., n, or $R \cong S \times F$ as rings, where (S, M) is a finite SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and F is a finite field.

Proof. The proof of this corollary follows immediately from Theorem 5.6. Note that the finiteness assertion of F_i for $i=1,2,\ldots,n,$ S, and F in (ii) follow since R is a finite ring. \square

6. RINGS R WITH ONLY FINITELY MANY MAXIMAL N-PRIMES OF (0) SUCH THAT AG(R) IS COMPLEMENTED

Let R be a commutative ring with identity which is not reduced (that is, $nil(R) \neq (0)$). Suppose that R admits only a finite number of maximal N-primes of (0). Let $\{P_1,\ldots,P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Moreover, we assume that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i = nil(R)$ and $A(R)^*$ contains at least two elements. The purpose of this section is to determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented. We begin with the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. Suppose that R admits only one maximal N-prime of (0). Let P be the unique maximal N-prime of (0) in R. If AG(R) is complemented, then P is a maximal ideal of R. Moreover, if P = nil(R), then P is the only prime ideal of R.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented. We prove that P is a maximal ideal of R. Let M be a maximal ideal of R such that $P \subseteq M$. We assert that P = M. Suppose that $P \neq M$. Let $a \in M \setminus P$. Since P is the only maximal N-prime of (0) in R, it follows that P = Z(R). Thus $a \notin Z(R)$. As $nil(R) \neq (0)$, there exists $x \in nil(R) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $x^2 = 0$. Let J=Rx. Note that $J\in A(R)^*$. Hence there exists $K\in A(R)^*$ such that $J\perp K$. Let A = Rax. From (Rx)K = (0), it is clear that AK = (Rax)K = (0). Moreover, as $x^2 = 0$, it follows that AJ = (Rax)(Rx) = (0). Thus the ideal A of R satisfies AJ = AK = (0). Since $J \perp K$, it follows that $A \in \{(0), J, K\}$. Since $x \neq 0$ and $a \notin Z(R)$, $A = Rax \neq (0)$. Observe that $A \neq J$. Indeed, for any $y \in M$, $J = Rx \neq Ryx$. For if Rx = Ryx, then x = ryx for some $r \in R$. This implies that x(1 - ry) = 0 and so $1 - ry \in Z(R) = P \subseteq M$. As $y \in M$, we obtain that $1 = 1 - ry + ry \in M$. This is impossible since $M \neq R$. This shows that $A \neq J$. Therefore, A = K. Hence we obtain that $J \perp A$. Let $B = Ra^2x$. Since $x^2 = 0$, it is clear that $BJ = (Ra^2x)(Rx) = (0)$ and $BA = (Ra^2x)(Rax) = (0)$. As $a \notin Z(R)$ but $a \in M$, it is clear that $Rx \neq Ra^2x$ and $Rax \neq Ra^2x$. Thus the ideal $B = Ra^2x \in A(R)^*$ is adjacent to both J and A. This is impossible since $J \perp A$. Therefore, P = M and this proves that P is a maximal ideal of R.

Suppose that P = nil(R). We next verify that P is the only prime ideal of R. Let Q be any prime ideal of R. Then $Q \supseteq nil(R) = P$ and as P is a maximal ideal of R, it follows that Q = P. This shows that P is the only prime ideal of R. \square

The following example illustrates that the moreover assertion of Lemma 6.1 may fail to hold if the hypothesis that P = nil(R) is omitted.

Example 6.2. Let $T=\mathbf{Z}[x]$ be the polynomial ring in one variable over \mathbf{Z} . Let $I=x^2T+2xT$. Let R=T/I. For any $t\in T$, we denote t+I by \overline{t} . Since $\mathbf{Z}\cap I=(0)$, we identify \overline{n} with n for any $n\in \mathbf{Z}$. This example appeared in [2, Example 3.6(a)], where it was noted that $nil(R)=\{0,\overline{x}\}$ and moreover, it was shown that $\Gamma(R)$ is an infinite star graph with center \overline{x} , where $\Gamma(R)$ is the zero-divisor graph of R.

Note that $I=x^2T+2xT=xT\cap(x^2T+2T)$ is an irredundant primary decomposition of I in T with xT is $P_1=xT$ -primary and x^2T+2T is $P_2=xT+2T$ -primary. Observe that xT/I is a P_1/I -primary ideal of R and $(x^2T+2T)/I$ is a P_2/I -primary ideal of R. Hence it follows that $xT/I\cap(x^2T+2T)/I$ is an irredundant primary decomposition of the zero ideal of R. We know from [3, Proposition 4.7] that $Z(R)=P_1/I\cup P_2/I$ and as $P_1\subseteq P_2$, it follows that $Z(R)=P_2/I$. This shows that R admits P_2/I as its only maximal N-prime of (0). Note that $nil(R)=P_1/I\ne P_2/I$.

We now verify that AG(R) is complemented. Indeed, we show that AG(R) is an infinite star graph with center nil(R). Let $J \in A(R)^*$. Then $J \subseteq Z(R) = P_2/I$. Observe that $P_2/I = ((0):_R \overline{x})$. Hence we obtain that Jnil(R) = (0). Let J_1, J_2 be distinct nonzero ideals of R which are different from nil(R). As $nil(R) = \{0, \overline{x}\}$, it follows that $J_1 \not\subseteq nil(R)$ and $J_2 \not\subseteq nil(R)$. Since nil(R) is a prime ideal of R, we obtain that $J_1J_2 \not\subseteq nil(R)$. Hence we obtain that $J_1J_2 \not= (0)$. It is clear that for any positive integer n, $2^nR \in A(R)^*$ and moreover, for any distinct positive integers n, m, n, n, n, n, n, n, n. The above arguments show

that AG(R) is an infinite star graph with center nil(R). Hence AG(R) is complemented. However, R has an infinite number of prime ideals. \square

The following theorem is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 4.8.

Theorem 6.3. Let R be a ring which is not reduced, admitting only one maximal N-prime P of (0) such that P = nil(R) and AG(R) admits at least two vertices. Then AG(R) is complemented if and only if (a) and (b) hold and moreover, either (c) or (d) holds where (a)-(d) are given below:

- (a) $P^2 \neq (0)$.
- (b) $P^4 = (0)$.
- (c) R is a SPIR.
- (d) (d_1) $z^2 = 0$ for each $z \in P$, P is not principal but there exist $a, b \in P$ such that P = Ra + Rb; and (d_2) $P^2 = Rx$ for any nonzero $x \in P^2$.

Proof. Suppose that P=nil(R) and AG(R) is complemented. Now it follows, from Lemma 6.1, that P is the only prime ideal of R. Hence R is a zero-dimensional quasilocal ring with P as its unique maximal ideal. Applying Theorem 4.8, we obtain that (a) and (b) hold and moreover, either (c) or (d_1) holds. We now verify that when (d_1) holds, then (d_2) holds. From (d_1) , P=Ra+Rb. As $z^2=0$ for each $z\in P$, it follows that $P^2=Rab$, and $P^3=(0)$. Let $x\in P^2, x\neq 0$. Hence x=rab for some $r\in R$. Since R is quasilocal with P as its unique maximal ideal and $P^3=(0)$, it follows that r is a unit in R and so $ab=r^{-1}x$. Hence we obtain that $P^2=Rab=Rx$.

Conversely, assume that (a) and (b) hold and moreover, either (c) or (d) holds. If (c) holds, then it is clear that P is the unique maximal ideal of R and it follows that either AG(R) is a graph on the vertex set $\{P, P^2, P^3\}$ with $P \perp P^3$ and $P^2 \perp P^3$ or AG(R) is a graph on the vertex set $\{P, P^2\}$ and $P \perp P^2$. Thus if (c) holds, then AG(R) is complemented. Suppose that (d) holds. Let $r \in R \setminus P$. Now P = Ra + Rb, $P^2 = Rab$, and $P^3 = (0)$. Since P is the only maximal N-prime of (0) in R, it follows that Z(R) = P. As $ab \neq 0$ and $r \in R \setminus Z(R)$, we obtain that $rab \neq 0$. Hence $P^2 = R(rab)$. So there exists $s \in R$ such that ab = srab. This implies that (1 - sr)ab = 0. Hence we obtain that $1 - sr \in Z(R) = P$. Therefore, P + Rr = R. This is true for any $r \in R \setminus P$. Hence it follows that P is a maximal ideal of R. By hypothesis, P = nil(R). So, R must be quasilocal with P as its unique maximal ideal. Now we obtain from (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Lemma 4.2 that AG(R) is complemented. \square

Let R and $\{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$ be as in the beginning of this section. We assume that $n \geq 2$ and attempt to determine necessary and sufficient conditions in order that AG(R) is complemented. We next state and prove Lemma 6.4. It is useful to recall the following. Let I be an ideal of a commutative ring T with identity. A prime ideal P of T is said to be a B-prime of I if there exists $t \in T$ such that $P = (I :_T t)$ [9].

Lemma 6.4. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Suppose that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. If AG(R) is complemented, then the following hold:

(i) For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, there exists $x_i \in R$ such that $P_i = ((0):_R x_i)$ (that is, P_i is a B-prime of (0) in R for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$). Moreover, for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $x_i \in P_i$ for all $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}$.

(ii) With x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n as in (i), $x_i \in nil(R)$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and moreover, for that i, P_i is a maximal ideal of R.

Proof. (i) As $\{P_1, P_2 \dots, P_n\}$ is the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R, it follows that $Z(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented. We know, from Lemma 3.3, that $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$. Hence $(\cap_{i=1}^n P_i)^4 = (0)$. Therefore, $\prod_{i=1}^n P_i^4 = (0)$. Let $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $n \geq 2$ and P_k is a maximal N-prime of (0) in R for each $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, it follows that $\prod_{j \in A_i} P_j^4 \neq (0)$, where $A_i = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i\}$. Let $y_i \in \prod_{j \in A_i} P_j^4$, $y_i \neq 0$. It now follows that $P_i^4 y_i = (0)$. Let $0 \leq s < 1$ be such that $P_i^s y_i \neq 0$ but $P_i^{s+1} y_i = (0)$. Let $P_i^s y_i \in (0)$ but $P_i^{s+1} y_i = (0)$. Let $P_i^s y_i \in (0)$ but $P_i^{s+1} y_i = (0)$. Let $P_i^s y_i \in (0)$ but $P_i^{s+1} y_i = (0)$. This proves that $P_i^s y_i \in (0) :_R x_i$. The proves that $P_i^s y_i \in (0) :_R x_i$. This proves that $P_i^s y_i \in (0) :_R$

(ii) Let $z \in nil(R)$ with $z \neq 0$. Note that $(x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n)z = 0$. Therefore, $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \in Z(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$. Hence we obtain that $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \in P_i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. We know from (i) that for each $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\} \setminus \{i\}, x_j \in P_i$. It follows from $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \in P_i$ that $x_i \in P_i = ((0):_R x_i)$. Therefore, we obtain that $x_i^2 = 0$ and so $x_i \in nil(R)$. We now prove that P_i is a maximal ideal of R. Let M be a maximal ideal of R such that $P_i \subseteq M$. We claim that $M \subseteq Z(R)$. Suppose that $M \not\subseteq Z(R)$. Let $w \in M \setminus Z(R)$. Let $I = Rx_i$. Since $x_i \neq 0$ but $x_i^2 = 0$, it is clear that $I \in A(R)^*$. As AG(R) is complemented, there exists $J \in A(R)^*$ such that $I \perp J$. Let $A = Rwx_i$. Since $x_i^2 = 0$, $IJ = (Rx_i)J = (0)$, it is clear that AJ = AI = (0). It follows from $I \perp J$ that $A \in \{(0), I, J\}$. Since $w \notin Z(R)$, we obtain that $A = Rwx_i \neq (0)$. Observe that $A \neq I$. For if A = I, then $x_i \in A$ and so $x_i = rwx_i$ for some $r \in R$. This implies that $(1 - rw)x_i = 0$. Hence $1 - rw \in ((0):_R x_i) = P_i \subseteq M$. This is impossible since $w \in M$ and M is a proper ideal of R. Hence $A \neq I$ and so A = J. Thus we arrive at $I = Rx_i \perp A = Rwx_i$. Note that $B = Rw^2x_i$ is such that $B \notin \{(0), I, A\}$, but BI = BA = (0). This is in contradiction to the fact that $I \perp A$. Hence we must have $M \subseteq Z(R)$. As M is a maximal ideal of R and $M \subseteq Z(R)$, M is necessarily a maximal N-prime of (0) in R. Since P_i is also a maximal N-prime of (0) in R, it follows from $P_i \subseteq M$ that $P_i = M$. This proves that P_i is a maximal ideal of R.

With the same hypotheses as in the statement of Lemma 6.4, the following lemma provides another necessary condition in order that AG(R) is complemented.

Lemma 6.5. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Suppose that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. If AG(R) is complemented, then $(nil(R))^2 = (0)$.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented. We know from Lemma 3.3 that $(nil(R))^4 = (0)$. We first prove that $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. Suppose that $(nil(R))^3 \neq (0)$. We know from Proposition 3.4(i) that $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^3$. Moreover, we know from Lemma 6.4 that there exist elements $x_i \in R$ such that $P_i = ((0):_R x_i)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and so $(nil(R))(Rx_i) = (0)$ and $(nil(R))^3(Rx_i) = (0)$. Since $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^3$, it follows that $Rx_1 \in \{nil(R), (nil(R))^3\}$ and $Rx_2 \in \{nil(R), (nil(R))^3\}$. As $(nil(R))^3 \subseteq nil(R)$, it follows that either $Rx_1 \subseteq Rx_2$ or $Rx_2 \subseteq Rx_1$. We may assume without loss of

generality that $Rx_1 \subseteq Rx_2$. This implies that $x_1 = rx_2$ for some $r \in R$. Let $a \in P_2$. Then $ax_2 = 0$ and so $ax_1 = a(rx_2) = 0$. This implies that $a \in P_1$. Hence we arrive at $P_2 \subseteq P_1$. This is impossible since P_1 and P_2 are distinct maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Hence we obtain that $(nil(R))^3 = (0)$. We now show that $(nil(R))^2 = (0)$. Suppose that $(nil(R))^2 \neq (0)$. We know from Proposition 3.5(i) that $nil(R) \perp (nil(R))^2$. As $(nil(R))Rx_i = (nil(R))^2Rx_i = (0)$ for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, we obtain that $Rx_1 \in \{nil(R), (nil(R))^2\}$ and $Rx_2 \in \{nil(R), (nil(R))^2\}$. Since $(nil(R))^2 \subseteq nil(R)$, proceeding as in the previous paragraph, we obtain a similar contradiction.

This proves that $(nil(R))^2 = (0)$. \square

Let $R, \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ be as in the statement of Lemma 6.4. With the assumption that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$, we determine in Theorem 6.9 when AG(R) is complemented. We make use of the following lemmas in the proof of Theorem 6.9. We denote by Tot(R), the total quotient ring of R.

Lemma 6.6. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Suppose that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. If AG(R) is complemented, then n = 2.

Proof. As $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ is the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R, it is clear that $Z(R) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i$. Let $S = R \setminus Z(R) = R \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^n P_i)$. Observe that $S^{-1}R = Tot(R)$ is a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal ring and moreover, $\{S^{-1}P_1, S^{-1}P_2, \dots, S^{-1}P_n\}$ is the set of all its maximal ideals. Furthermore, as R is not reduced, it follows that Tot(R) is not reduced. Since $n \geq 2$, it is clear that Tot(R) is not quasilocal. We want to show that n = 2. In view of (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 5.6, it is enough to show that AG(Tot(R)) is complemented. This is clear if R = Tot(R). Hence we may assume that $R \neq Tot(R)$. Therefore, R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R). We know from Lemma 6.4(i) that there exist elements R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R). Since R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R). Since R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R). We know from Lemma 6.4(i) that there exist elements R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R). Since R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R) is not a maximal ideal of R = Tot(R).

Let $A \in A(Tot(R))^*$. Note that $A = S^{-1}I$ for some ideal $I \in A(R)^*$. Since we are assuming that AG(R) is complemented, there exists $J \in A(R)^*$ such that $I \perp J$ in AG(R). We claim that $A = S^{-1}I \perp S^{-1}J$ in AG(Tot(R)). From IJ = (0), it follows that $S^{-1}IS^{-1}J = (0)$. If $B = S^{-1}K$ is any element of $A(Tot(R))^*$ such that $S^{-1}IS^{-1}K = S^{-1}JS^{-1}K = (0)$, it follows that IK = JK = (0). Since $I \perp J$ in AG(R), it follows that $K \in \{I,J\}$ and hence we obtain that either $S^{-1}K = S^{-1}I$ or $S^{-1}K = S^{-1}J$. Now to show $S^{-1}I \perp S^{-1}J$ in AG(Tot(R)), we need only to verify that $S^{-1}I \neq S^{-1}J$. Suppose that $S^{-1}I = S^{-1}J$. Then it follows from $S^{-1}IS^{-1}J = (0)$ that $(S^{-1}I)^2 = (S^{-1}J)^2 = (0)$. Therefore, we obtain that $I^2 = J^2 = (0)$. Hence it follows that $I \subseteq nil(R)$ and $J \subseteq nil(R)$. Note that $I(Rx_1) = J(Rx_1) = (0)$. As $x_1 \not\in nil(R)$, it is clear that $Rx_1 \not\in \{(0), I, J\}$. Thus we obtain that the ideal Rx_1 is adjacent to I and I in I in

Lemma 6.7. Let T_1, T_2 be commutative rings with identity. Suppose that N_i is the unique maximal N-prime of (0) in T_i for each $i \in \{1,2\}$ with $nil(T_i) = N_i$. Let $T = T_1 \times T_2$. Suppose that AG(T) is complemented. If $N_2 \neq (0)$, then N_2 is a maximal ideal of T_2 .

Proof. Since $nil(T_2)=N_2\neq (0)$, there exists $t_2\in N_2$ such that $t_2\neq 0$ but $t_2^2=0$. By contradiction, suppose that N_2 is not a maximal ideal of T_2 . Let M be a maximal ideal of T_2 such that $N_2\subset M$. Consider the ideal $I=T_1\times T_2t_2$. Note that $I\in A(T)^*$. As AG(T) is complemented, there exists $J\in A(T)^*$ such that $I\perp J$. Observe that $J=J_1\times J_2$ for some ideal J_1 of T_1 and an ideal J_2 of T_2 . From $IJ=(0)\times (0)$, it follows that $J_1=(0)$ and $(T_2t_2)J_2=(0)$. Let $y\in M\setminus N_2$. Since $Z(T_2)=N_2$, we obtain that $y\notin Z(T_2)$. As $t_2\neq 0$, it follows that $yt_2\neq 0$. Note that the nonzero ideal $K=(0)\times T_2(yt_2)$ is such that $IK=JK=(0)\times (0)$. Since $I\perp J$, we obtain that $K\in \{I,J\}$. It is clear that $K\neq I$. Hence K=J. Therefore, we obtain that $T_2t_2=T_2(yt_2)$. So there exists $s_2\in T_2$ such that $t_2=s_2yt_2$. This implies that $t_2(1-s_2y)=0$. Thus $1-s_2y\in Z(T_2)=N_2\subset M$. As $y\in M$, it follows that $1=1-s_2y+s_2y\in M$. This is impossible. Therefore, N_2 must be a maximal ideal of T_2 . \square

We also make use of the following lemma in the proof of Theorem 6.9.

Lemma 6.8. Let (S, M) be a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and D be an integral domain. Let $R = S \times D$. Then AG(R) is complemented.

Proof. If D is a field, then it is already verified in the proof of (ii) \Rightarrow (i) of Theorem 5.6 that AG(R) is complemented. Suppose that D is not a field. Observe that $A(R)^* = \{(0) \times I | I$ varies over all nonzero ideals of $D\} \cup \{M \times J | J$ varies over all ideals of $D\} \cup \{S \times \{0\}\}$. It is easy to verify that for any nonzero ideal I of D, O is O in O in O in O in O in O in O is any nonzero ideal O in O is O in O is complement in O i

With the help of Lemmas 6.4–6.8, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6.9. Let R be a ring which is not reduced. Let $n \geq 2$ and let $\{P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_n\}$ be the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. Suppose that $nil(R) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n P_i$. Then AG(R) is complemented if and only if either R is isomorphic to $F \times S$ as rings, where F is a field and (S, M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$, or is isomorphic to $S \times D$ as rings, where (S, M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and D is an integral domain which is not a field.

Proof. Suppose that AG(R) is complemented. We know from Lemma 6.6 that n=2. Thus $\{P_1,P_2\}$ is the set of all maximal N-primes of (0) in R. We know from Lemma 6.4(i) that there exist $x_1,x_2\in R$ such that $P_1=((0):_Rx_1),P_2=((0):_Rx_2)$ and moreover, $x_1\in P_2$ and $x_2\in P_1$. Furthermore, we know from Lemma 6.4(ii) that either $x_1\in nil(R)$ or $x_2\in nil(R)$. We may assume without loss of generality that $x_1\in nil(R)$. In such a case, it follows from Lemma 6.4(ii) that P_1 is a maximal ideal of R. As P_1,P_2 are distinct maximal N-primes of (0) in R, we obtain that $P_1+P_2=R$. We know from Lemma 6.5 that $(nil(R))^2=(0)$ and so $(P_i\cap P_2)^2=(0)$. Hence $P_1^2P_2^2=(0)$. As $P_1^2+P_2^2=R$, we obtain from the Chinese remainder theorem [3, Proposition 1.10(ii) and (iii)] that the mapping $f:R\to R/P_1^2\times R/P_2^2$ given by $f(r)=(r+P_1^2,r+P_2^2)$ is an isomorphism

of rings. Let us denote R/P_1^2 by T_1 and R/P_2^2 by T_2 . Moreover, let us denote $P_1/P_1^2=N_1$ and P_2/P_2^2 by N_2 . Note that $f(Z(R))=f(P_1\cup P_2)=(N_1\times T_2)\cup (T_1\times N_2)$. As f is an isomorphism of rings, it follows that $f(Z(R))=Z(T_1\times T_2)=(Z(T_1)\times T_2)\cup (T_1\times Z(T_2))$. Hence we obtain that $Z(T_1)=N_1$ and $Z(T_2)=N_2$. Therefore, N_i is the unique maximal N-prime of the zero ideal of T_i for each $i\in\{1,2\}$. Moreover, $f(nil(R))=f(P_1\cap P_2)=P_1/P_1^2\times P_2/P_2^2=nil(T_1)\times nil(T_2)$. Hence it follows that $nil(T_1)=P_1/P_1^2=N_1$ and $nil(T_2)=P_2/P_2^2=N_2$.

We consider two cases.

Case (i). P_2 is a maximal ideal of R.

As P_1 is already a maximal ideal of R and $nil(R) = P_1 \cap P_2$, it follows that R is a zero-dimensional quasisemilocal ring with $\{P_1, P_2\}$ as its set of all prime ideals of R. Now AG(R) is complemented and R is not reduced. Hence it follows from (i) \Rightarrow (ii) of Theorem 5.6 that R must be isomorphic to $S \times F$ as rings, where (S, M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2 = (0)$ and F is a field.

Case (ii). P_2 is not a maximal ideal of R.

Note that $N_2 = P_2/P_2^2$ is not a maximal ideal of T_2 . Since AG(R) is complemented and R is isomorphic to $T_1 \times T_2$ as rings, we obtain that $AG(T_1 \times T_2)$ is complemented. Now it follows from Lemma 6.7 that $nil(T_2)$ is the zero ideal of T_2 . Hence we obtain that $P_2=P_2^2$ and so $T_2=R/P_2^2=R/P_2$ is an integral domain. By assumption, P_2 is not a maximal ideal of R and so T_2 is not a field. Let us denote $T_1 \times T_2$ by T. Since T is not reduced, it follows that $nil(T_1)$ is a nonzero ideal of T_1 . Hence $P_1 \neq P_1^2$. We assert that any $x \in P_1 \setminus P_1^2$, $P_1/P_1^2 = T_1(x + P_1^2)$. Observe that $I = T_1(x + P_1^2) \times T_2 \in A(T)^*$. As AG(T) is complemented, there exists an ideal J_1 of T_1 and an ideal J_2 of T_2 such that $I = T_1(x + P_1^2) \times T_2 \perp J = J_1 \times J_2$. Hence $J_2 = (0 + P_2^2)$ and from $T_1(x + P_1^2)J_1 = I_1(x + P_1^2)$ $(0+P_1^2)$, it follows that $J_1\subseteq P_1/P_1^2$. Note that the ideal $K=P_1/P_1^2\times (0+P_2^2)$ is such that $IK = JK = (0 + P_1^2) \times (0 + P_2^2)$. Since $I \perp J$ and as $K \notin \{(0 + P_1^2) \times (0 + P_2^2), I\}$, it follows that K=J. Hence we obtain that $I\perp K$. Now the ideal $B=T_1(x+P_1^2)\times (0+P_2^2)$ is such that $BI = BK = (0+P_1^2) \times (0+P_2^2)$. Since $I \perp K$ and $B \notin \{(0+P_1^2) \times (0+P_2^2), I\}$, we obtain that B=K. Hence $P_1/P_1^2=T_1(x+P_1^2)$. As P_1/P_1^2 is a maximal ideal of T_1 , it is clear that (T_1, N_1) is a SPIR with N_1 is a nonzero ideal of T_1 but N_1^2 is the zero ideal of T_1 . Let $S = T_1$, $M = N_1$, and $D = T_2$. Note that (S.M) is a SPIR with $M \neq (0)$ but $M^2=(0), D$ is an integral domain which is not a field and moreover, $R\cong S\times D$ as rings.

The converse follows immediately from Lemma 6.8. \Box

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very much thankful to the referee for his/her valuable and useful suggestions. We are very much thankful to Professor M.A. Al-Gwaiz and Professor Y. Boudabbous for their support.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Aalipour, S. Akbari, R. Nikandish, M.J. Nikmehr, F. Shaveisi, On the coloring of the annihilating ideal graph of a commutative ring, Discrete Math. 312 (2012) 2520–2526.
- [2] D.F. Anderson, R. Levy, J. Shapiro, Zero-divisor graphs, von Neumann regular rings, and Boolean Algebras, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 180 (2003) 221–241.
- [3] M.F. Atiyah, I.G. Macdonald, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, Addison-Wesley, 1969.

- [4] I. Beck, Coloring of commutative rings, J. Algebra 116 (1) (1988) 208–226.
- [5] M. Behboodi, Z. Rakeei, The annihilating ideal graph of commutative rings I, J. Algebra Appl. 10 (4) (2011) 727–739.
- [6] M. Behboodi, Z. Rakeei, The annihilating ideal graph of commutative rings II, J. Algebra Appl. 10 (4) (2011) 741–753.
- [7] M. Hadian, Unit action and the geometric zero-divisor ideal graph, Comm. Algebra 40 (8) (2012) 2920-2931.
- [8] W. Heinzer, J. Ohm, On the Noetherian-like rings of E. G. Evans, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (1) (1972) 73-74.
- [9] W. Heinzer, J. Ohm, Locally noetherian commutative rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 158 (2) (1971) 273–284.
- [10] I. Kaplansky, Commutative Rings, The University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974.
- [11] R. Levy, J. Shapiro, The zero-divisor graph of von Neumann regular rings, Comm. Algebra 30 (2) (2002) 745–750.