



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/laaA Cayley–Hamilton trace identity for 2×2 matrices over Lie-solvable rings $\star, \star\star, \star\star\star$ Johan Meyer^a, Jenő Szigeti^{b,*}, Leon van Wyk^c^a Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of the Free State, PO Box 339, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa^b Institute of Mathematics, University of Miskolc, Miskolc 3515, Hungary^c Department of Mathematical Sciences, Stellenbosch University P/Bag X1, Matieland 7602, Stellenbosch, South Africa

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 14 June 2011

Accepted 2 November 2011

Available online 5 December 2011

Submitted by P. Šemrl

AMS classification:

15A15

15A24

15A33

16S50

Keywords:

Cayley–Hamilton identity

Trace of a matrix

Lie-nilpotent ring

Lie-solvable ring

ABSTRACT

First we construct an algebra satisfying the polynomial identity $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$, but none of the stronger identities $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] = 0$. Then we exhibit a Cayley–Hamilton trace identity for 2×2 matrices with entries in a ring R satisfying $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$.

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

\star The first and third authors were supported by the National Research Foundation of South Africa under Grant No. UID 72375. Any opinion, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and therefore the National Research Foundation does not accept any liability in regard thereto.

$\star\star$ As far as the second author is concerned, this research was carried out as part of the TAMOP-4.2.1.B-10/2/KONV-2010-0001 project with support by the European Union, co-financed by the European Social Fund.

$\star\star\star$ The authors thank P.N. Anh and L. Marki for fruitful consultations.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: MeyerJH@ufs.ac.za (J. Meyer), jeno.szigeti@uni-miskolc.hu (J. Szigeti), LvW@sun.ac.za (L. van Wyk).

1. Introduction

The Cayley–Hamilton theorem and the corresponding trace identity play a fundamental role in proving classical results about the polynomial and trace identities of the $n \times n$ matrix algebra $M_n(K)$ over a field K (see [2,3]). In case of $\text{char}(K) = 0$, Kemer’s pioneering work (see [5]) on the T-ideals of associative algebras revealed the importance of the identities satisfied by the $n \times n$ matrices over the Grassmann (exterior) algebra

$$E = K \langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r, \dots \mid v_i v_j + v_j v_i = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq j \rangle$$

generated by the infinite sequence of anticommutative indeterminates $(v_i)_{i \geq 1}$.

For $n \times n$ matrices over a Lie-nilpotent ring R satisfying the polynomial identity

$$[[[\dots [x_1, x_2], x_3], \dots], x_m], x_{m+1}] = 0$$

(with $[x, y] = xy - yx$), a Cayley–Hamilton identity of degree n^m (with left- or right-sided scalar coefficients) was found in [6]. Since E is Lie-nilpotent with $m = 2$, the above mentioned Cayley–Hamilton identity for a matrix $A \in M_n(E)$ is of degree n^2 .

In [1] Domokos presented a slightly modified version of this identity in which the coefficients are invariant under the conjugation action of $GL_n(K)$. For a matrix $A \in M_2(E)$ he obtained the trace identity

$$\begin{aligned} &A^4 - 2\text{tr}(A)A^3 + (2\text{tr}^2(A) - \text{tr}(A^2))A^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A)\text{tr}(A^2) + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A^2)\text{tr}(A) - \text{tr}^3(A)\right)A \\ &+ \frac{1}{4}\left(\text{tr}^4(A) + \text{tr}^2(A^2) - \frac{5}{2}\text{tr}^2(A)\text{tr}(A^2) + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A^2)\text{tr}^2(A) \right. \\ &\left. - 2\text{tr}(A^3)\text{tr}(A) + 2\text{tr}(A)\text{tr}(A^3)\right)I = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where I is the identity matrix and $\text{tr}(A)$ denotes the sum of the diagonal entries of A . A similar identity with right coefficients also holds for A . Here E can be replaced by any ring R which is Lie-nilpotent of index 2.

The identity $[x, y][x, z] = 0$ is a consequence of Lie-nilpotency of index 2 (see [4]), as is obviously $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$. The first aim of the present paper is to provide an example of an algebra satisfying $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$, but neither $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ nor $[[x, y], z] = 0$. Since the above mentioned trace identity cannot be used for matrices over such an algebra, our second purpose is to exhibit a new trace identity of the same kind (of degree 4 in A) for a matrix A in $M_2(R)$, where R is any ring satisfying the identity

$$[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$$

and $\frac{1}{2} \in R$. We note that a ring satisfying $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$ is called Lie-solvable of index 2.

From now onward R and S are rings with 1. In Section 2 we consider the ring $U_3^*(R)$ of upper triangular 3×3 matrices with equal diagonal entries over R . First we observe that $U_3^*(R)$ is never commutative. We prove that if R is commutative then the algebra $U_3^*(R)$ satisfies the identities $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] = 0$. However, for a non-commutative R we show that the ring $U_3^*(R)$ never satisfies any of the identities $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] = 0$.

The main result in Section 2 states that if S satisfies the identities $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] = 0$, then the matrix ring $U_3^*(S)$ is Lie-solvable of index 2. It follows that if R is commutative, then $U_3^*(U_3^*(R))$ is an example of an algebra satisfying $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$, but neither $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ nor $[[x, y], z] = 0$.

Section 3 is entirely devoted to the construction of our Cayley–Hamilton trace identity.

2. A particular Lie-solvable matrix algebra

Since

$$E_{1,2}, E_{2,3} \in U_3^*(R) = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c, d \in R \right\}$$

and $E_{1,2}E_{2,3} = E_{1,3} \neq 0 = E_{2,3}E_{1,2}$, the ring $U_3^*(R)$ is never commutative. Any element of $U_3^*(R)$ can be written as $al + X$, where X is strictly upper triangular. We note that $XYZ = 0$ for strictly upper triangular 3×3 matrices. If R is commutative, then al is central in $U_3^*(R)$ (of course, also in $M_3(R)$), $[al + X, bl + Y] = [X, Y]$ for all $a, b \in R$ and so $U_3^*(R)$ satisfies all polynomial identities in which each summand is a product of certain (possibly iterated) commutators. For example,

$$[x, y][u, v] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [[x, y], z] = 0$$

are typical such identities for $U_3^*(R)$. If R is non-commutative, say $[r, s] \neq 0$ for some $r, s \in R$, then for $x = rl, y = se_{1,2}, u = e_{2,2}, v = z = e_{2,3}$ in $U_3^*(R)$ we have

$$[x, y][u, v] = [[x, y], z] = [r, s]e_{1,3} \neq 0.$$

Theorem 2.1. *If S satisfies $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ and $[[x, y], z] = 0$, then $U_3^*(S)$ satisfies $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$.*

Proof. Using the matrices

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ 0 & a & d \\ 0 & 0 & a \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad y = \begin{bmatrix} e & f & g \\ 0 & e & h \\ 0 & 0 & e \end{bmatrix}$$

in $U_3^*(S)$, a straightforward calculation gives that

$$[x, y] = \begin{bmatrix} [a, e] & [a, f] + [b, e] & [a, g] + [c, e] + (bh - fd) \\ 0 & [a, e] & [a, h] + [d, e] \\ 0 & 0 & [a, e] \end{bmatrix} = [a, e]I + C + \alpha E_{1,3},$$

where $\alpha = bh - fd$ and C is a strictly upper triangular matrix with entries in $[S, S]$ (the additive subgroup of S generated by all commutators). Now $[[a, e], s] = 0$ for all $s \in S$, hence $[a, e]I$ is central in $U_3^*(S)$ (also in $M_3(S)$). Thus we have

$[[x, y], [u, v]] = [[a, e]I + C + \alpha E_{1,3}, [a', e']I + C' + \alpha' E_{1,3}] = [C + \alpha E_{1,3}, C' + \alpha' E_{1,3}] = 0$ because of $(C + \alpha E_{1,3})(C' + \alpha' E_{1,3}) = (C' + \alpha' E_{1,3})(C + \alpha E_{1,3}) = 0$. Indeed, $CC' = C'C = 0$ is a consequence of $C, C' \in M_3([S, S])$ and of $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ in S , and $CE_{1,3} = E_{1,3}C = C'E_{1,3} = E_{1,3}C' = 0$ follows from the fact that C and C' are strictly upper triangular. \square

Corollary 2.2. *If R is commutative, then the algebra $U_3^*(U_3^*(R))$ satisfies $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$, but neither $[x, y][u, v] = 0$ nor $[[x, y], z] = 0$.*

3. Matrices with commutator entries

The following can be considered as the “real” 2×2 Cayley–Hamilton trace identity.

Proposition 3.1. *If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ and $A = [a_{ij}] \in M_2(R)$, then*

$$A^2 - \text{tr}(A)A + \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}^2(A) - \text{tr}(A^2))I = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}[a_{11}, a_{22}] + \frac{1}{2}[a_{12}, a_{21}] & [a_{12}, a_{22}] \\ [a_{21}, a_{11}] & -\frac{1}{2}[a_{11}, a_{22}] - \frac{1}{2}[a_{12}, a_{21}] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. A straightforward computation suffices. \square

Corollary 3.2. *If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ and $B = [b_{ij}] \in M_2(R)$ with $\text{tr}(B) = 0$, then*

$$B^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(B^2)I = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}[b_{12}, b_{21}] & -[b_{12}, b_{11}] \\ [b_{21}, b_{11}] & -\frac{1}{2}[b_{12}, b_{21}] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. Since $b_{22} = -b_{11}$, we have $[b_{11}, b_{22}] = 0$ and $[b_{12}, b_{22}] = -[b_{12}, b_{11}]$. Thus the formula in Proposition 3.1 immediately gives the identity for B . \square

Theorem 3.3. *If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ and R satisfies $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$, then*

$$\left(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left(\left(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I\right)^2\right)I = 0$$

for all $C \in M_2(R)$ with $\text{tr}(C) = 0$.

Proof. Take $C = [c_{ij}]$. In view of Corollary 3.2 we have

$$C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}[c_{12}, c_{21}] & -[c_{12}, c_{11}] \\ [c_{21}, c_{11}] & -\frac{1}{2}[c_{12}, c_{21}] \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $\text{tr}(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I) = 0$, the repeated application of Corollary 3.2 to $B = C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I$ gives that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}\left(\left(C^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)I\right)^2\right)I \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -[[c_{12}, c_{11}], [c_{21}, c_{11}]] & [[c_{12}, c_{11}], [c_{12}, c_{21}]] \\ [[c_{21}, c_{11}], [c_{12}, c_{21}]] & [[c_{12}, c_{11}], [c_{21}, c_{11}]] \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$[[c_{12}, c_{11}], [c_{21}, c_{11}]] = [[c_{11}, c_{12}], [c_{11}, c_{21}]]$$

and

$$[[c_{21}, c_{11}], [c_{12}, c_{21}]] = -[[c_{21}, c_{11}], [c_{21}, c_{12}]].$$

Thus each entry of the above 2×2 matrix is of the form $\pm[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$ and the desired identity follows. \square

In Corollaries 3.4 and 3.5 we assume that $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ and R satisfies $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$.

Corollary 3.4. *If $C \in M_2(R)$ with $\text{tr}(C) = \text{tr}(C^2) = \text{tr}(C^4) = 0$, then $C^4 = 0$.*

Proof. Expanding the left hand side of the identity in Theorem 3.3, we get

$$C^4 - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(C^2)C^2 - \frac{1}{2}C^2\text{tr}(C^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr}^2(C^2) - \text{tr}(C^4))I = 0,$$

whose all terms but C^4 contain a factor $\text{tr}(C^2)$ or $\text{tr}(C^4)$. \square

Corollary 3.5. *If $\frac{1}{2} \in R$ and R is a ring satisfying $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$, then for all $A \in M_2(R)$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &A^4 - \frac{1}{2}A^2\text{tr}(A)A - \frac{1}{2}\text{Atr}(A)A^2 - \frac{1}{2}A^3\text{tr}(A) - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A)A^3 + \frac{1}{2}A^2\text{tr}^2(A) + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}^2(A)A^2 \\ &- \frac{1}{2}A^2\text{tr}(A^2) - \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(A^2)A^2 + \frac{1}{4}\text{Atr}(A)\text{Atr}(A) + \frac{1}{4}\text{tr}(A)\text{Atr}(A)A \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A)A^2 \operatorname{tr}(A) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Atr}^2(A)A - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{Atr}^2(A) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}^2(A) \operatorname{Atr}(A) \\
 & + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{Atr}(A^2) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A^2) \operatorname{Atr}(A) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Atr}^3(A) - \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}^3(A)A \\
 & + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Atr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^2) + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A^2) \operatorname{tr}(A)A - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}^2(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^2)I - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A^2) \operatorname{tr}^2(A)I \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}^2(A^2)I + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A^2 \operatorname{tr}(A)A)I + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Atr}(A)A^2)I + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A^3) \operatorname{tr}(A)I + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^3)I \\
 & - \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Atr}(A)A)I - \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Atr}(A)A) \operatorname{tr}(A)I - \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Atr}^2(A)A)I - \frac{1}{8} \operatorname{tr}(A) \operatorname{tr}(A^2) \operatorname{tr}(A)I \\
 & + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}^4(A)I - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A^4)I = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Apply Theorem 3.3 for $C = A - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A)I$; using linearity of $\operatorname{tr}(-)$, we get the identity above. \square

We note that the trace identity in Corollary 3.5 is different from the trace identity given by Domokos [1] in the following respect: in the latter in each term a power of A is multiplied from the left by a trace expression, whereas in our identity terms like $A^2 \operatorname{tr}(A)A$ appear.

Throughout this section we have used the identity $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0$. The referee pointed out that this identity implies the “seemingly stronger” identity $[[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$ of Lie solvability, which plays an important role in Section 2.

Starting with a matrix $C \in M_2(R)$ such that $\operatorname{tr}(C) = 0$, define the sequence $(C_k)_{k \geq 0}$ by the following recursion: $C_0 = C$ and

$$C_{k+1} = C_k^2 - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(C_k^2)I.$$

Clearly, $\operatorname{tr}(C_k) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ and C_k is a trace polynomial expression of C . In view of Corollary 3.2, the entries of C_1 are of the form $[x_1, x_2]$. The repeated application of Corollary 3.2 (as it can be seen in the proof of Theorem 3.3) and a straightforward induction show that the (four) entries of C_k are all of the form $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^k}]_{\text{solv}}$, where $[x_1, x_2]_{\text{solv}} = [x_1, x_2]$ and for $i \geq 1$ we take the Lie brackets as

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^{i+1}}]_{\text{solv}} = [[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^i}]_{\text{solv}}, [x_{2^i+1}, x_{2^i+2}, \dots, x_{2^{i+1}}]_{\text{solv}}].$$

If R satisfies the general identity

$$[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2^k}]_{\text{solv}} = 0$$

of Lie solvability, then $C_k = 0$, whence we can derive a trace identity for C . Thus the substitution $C = A - \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}(A)I$ gives a trace identity for an arbitrary $A \in M_2(R)$.

Acknowledgment

The authors sincerely thank the referee for, amongst other helpful comments, providing a proof for the implication $[[x, y], [x, z]] = 0 \implies [[x, y], [u, v]] = 0$, which was posed as a question in the original version of the paper.

References

- [1] M. Domokos, Cayley–Hamilton theorem for 2×2 matrices over the Grassmann algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 133 (1998) 69–81.
- [2] V. Drensky, *Free Algebras and PI-Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, 2000.
- [3] V. Drensky, E. Formanek, *Polynomial Identity Rings*, Birkhäuser-Verlag, 2004.
- [4] S.A. Jennings, On rings whose associated Lie rings are nilpotent, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 53 (1947) 593–597.
- [5] A.R. Kemer, *Ideals of Identities of Associative Algebras*, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1991.
- [6] J. Szegedi, New determinants and the Cayley–Hamilton theorem for matrices over Lie nilpotent rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (8) (1997) 2245–2254.