

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 217 (2016) 1199 - 1202

Future Academy®'s Multidisciplinary Conference

Confirmatory Factor Analysis on Multidimensional Adjustment Scale

Sakineh Haidari^a, Siamak Samani^b*, Nadereh Sohrabi^a

^a Dep. Psychology, Mmarvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran ^s Dep. Psychology, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract

The purpose of the study was to exam the factor structure of the Multidimensional Adjustment Scale (MAS). The sample consisted of 166 female and 114 male between 19 to 62 years old. All participants filled out the MAS. The MAS consisted of five subscales: personal, social, academic, family, and job. Each subscale includes 3 items with a nine-point scale. To check the factor structure of the MAS, Maximum Likelihood (ML) factor analysis was used. The results of the ML factor analysis revealed that there is a three factors structure for the MAS. These extracted factors were named personal/inter-personal adjustment, academic adjustment, and work and family adjustment. Alpha coefficients for these factors were ranged between .86 to .90. In sum, the results confirm a three factor structure for the MAS. Also the results showed that the factor structure is a valid and reliable for research job.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of Future Academy[®] Cognitive Trading

Keywords: Adjustment scale, Factor analysis, Psychometric properties

1. Introduction

How does one behave when he/she faces with academic or interpersonal problems? Commonly, there are individual differences to find a balance position in problem situations. Adjustment refers to a process of internal or external change that provides a new balance level between organism and environment (internal or external).

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: 9173150780; fax: +98-713-2349217. *E-mail address*:samanisiamak@gmail.com

Individuals make this balance in different levels. One may has different performance to make it in different context. For example someone is able to make an efficient level of adjustment in academic situation but is not able to make it in interpersonal interactional situation. To assess the ability to make adjustment in different areas, Sohrabi and Samani (2015) developed a multidimensional adjustment scale (MAS). The MAS assesses five areas of adjustment: academic, social, family, job, and personal. The MAS consisted of 15 items. Each three items assess an area or dimension of adjustment (Appendix A). Sohrabi and Samani (2015) design the MAS based on Mundt and Marks, et al. (2002). Mundt, et al. (2002) develop an adjustment scale to assess work and social adjustment. The Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) consisted of five items with a nine-points scale from not at all (0) to very severely. As well as WSAS, the lower score in the MAS shows a better level of adjustment.

Alpha coefficient for the subscales of MAS were between 0.69 to 0.82 and this index for total score of the MAS was 0.90 (Sohrabi and Samani, 2015). Also the scores of the MAS showed negative correlation coefficients with the Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale (Samani and Jowkar, 2007). According to Sohrabi and Samani (2015) the MAS has an acceptable divergent and convergent validity for clinical and research jobs.

The main purpose of the study was to check the factor structure of the MAS. According to the initial study, the MAS consists five factors: academic adjustment, social adjustment, family adjustment, job adjustment, and personal adjustment.

2. Method

The sample consisted of the sample consisted of 125 male and 78 female between 19 to 62 years old. All participants filled out the MAS. The MAS includes 15 items with a nine-point scale from 0 (not at all) to 8 (very severely). Each three items assess an area of adjustment. The MAS consisted of five factors: personal, academic, social (inter-personal), family, and job. Here to check the factor structure of the MAS a maximum likelihood factor analysis was run. Three to six factor solutions was run in this study to test the factor structure of the MAS. To check the internal consistency of the scale, Cornbach's alpha was used.

3. Results

Maximum likelihood (ML) factor analysis was used to exam five factors structure of the MAS. The KMO index for this analysis was 0.90 and the chi square of Bartlett's test of sphericity was significant. Table 1 shows these two indexes.

Table1. The KMO and Bartlett's test of sphericity				
КМО 0.90				
Bartlett's test of sphericity	Chi=1841.67, df=105, p< 0.0001			

Although based on exploratory factor analysis (PC) a five factor structure was suggested, the results of ML factor analysis confirm a three factors structure. Table 2 display eigenvalue and percent of variance for these extracted components. These three factors computed more than 58 percent of the total variance of the MAS. The chi-square for the Goodness-of-fit test was 198.25 (df=63 and p<0.0001).

Table 2. The eigenvalues		

Component	Eigenvalue	% of variance
1	3.76	25.08
2	3.01	20.10
3	1.96	13.11

These extracted factors named *personal and inter-personal adjustment, academic adjustment, and work and family adjustment* respectively. Table 3 showed items and factor load of each item in extracted factors.

			Factors	
	Item	One	Two	Three
1.	My problem impairs my ability to do my personal job.		.61	
6.	Because of my problem, my leisure time is impaired.		.62	
11.	My problem impairs my ability to manage my personal jobs		.66	
2.	My problem leads me to low toleration for others		.58	
7.	Because of my problem, my social communication is impaired		.64	
12.	My problem impairs my close relationship with others.		.52	
3.	My problem impairs my academic activities.			.64
8.	Because of my problem, my academic motivation is impaired.			.92
13.	My problem impairs my academic performance.			.32
4.	My problem impairs my ability to do my work	.67		
9.	Because of my problem, my interpersonal work communication is impaired.	.78		
14.	My problem impairs my working motivation.	.76		
5.	Because of my problem, my family communication faced with conflicts	.63		
10.	My problem distracts my family communication.	.60		
15.	My problem impairs my motivation to apply for home activities	.63		

Table 3. Factor loud of items

Personal and social adjustment subscales in initial factor analysis (PC) collect in a common component (personal and inter-personal adjustment). Family adjustment and job adjustment subscales in recent factor analysis (ML) merge in a new component that named work and family adjustment.

The mean correlation between subscales of the MAS was 0.63 and the mean correlation between subscales total score of the MAS was 0.86. Table 4 shows the alpha coefficients for subscales and total score of the MAS.

Table 4. The cornbach's alpha coefficient, descriptive indexes, and subscale- total score correlation for subscales and total score of the MAS

	Work/family adjustment	Personal/inter-personal adjustment	Academic adjustment	Total score of the MAS adjustment
Alpha coefficient	.90	.86	.87	.92
Correlation with total score	.73	.93	.93	
Mean	2.46	3.12	2.78	2.79
SD	1.71	1.83	1.51	1.56

Table 5 displays correlation coefficient of the five factors structure (Exploratory method) and the three factors structure (Confirmatory method) for the MAS.

 Table 5. Correlation coefficient between exploratory factor analysis and confirmatory factor analysis

Confirmatory factor	Explo	Exploratory factor structure (Sohrabi and Samani, 2015)					
structure	personal	family	job				
Work/family	.56	.62	.69	.90	.84		
Academic	.41	.44	1.00	.78	.38		
Personal/inter-personal	.90	.92	.47	.53	.63		

4. Discussion

The results of the study confirm a three factors structure for the MAS. The study defines a new factor structure for the MAS. Among initial extracted factors just academic adjustment was confirmed. Personal subscale showed common variance with social subscale. Also job subscale was merged with family adjustment subscale.

In sum, the results that the new factor structure has acceptable validity and reliability for research job. To confirm the efficacy of the MAS for clinical situation, there is some need to check other psychometric properties of the MAS.

Appendix A. The Persian form of the multidimensional adjustment scale (P-MAS)

نسخه ی فارسی مقیاس چند بعدی سازگار ی								
0		2	3	4	5	6	7	8
به هیچ		ا نے کے		به طور		شد یـد		خيلى
وجه				قابل				شد یــد
				مالاحظه				
				ا ی				
کند.	ضعف می	یی ام دچار	رهای شخص	ر انجام کار	ی مرا د	ده، توانای	پیش آم	مشکلی که برایم
کند (گوش								مشکلی که برایم
								دادن بـه مـوسيقـی
یف مـی کـند	ر مـن ضعـ							مشکلی که برایم
			and the second se	در کارها).	ی نظمی	فت کردن، ب	<u>مم، نظا</u>	(مرتب کردن اتا
ھد بےرای	دن، مست	(زودرنج ش	می کند	ا برای سخت	یگران ر ان).	ده، تحمل د یای به دیاگر	پیش ام ی اعتنا	مشکلی که برایم عصبانیت شدن، ب
ی رفتن،	(مهمانې	ليعف ملي كاند	ا ديار ض	ح گروهی مر	ای تفریہ	ده فعاليته	ییش آم	مشکلی که برایم
0 0404 805		بای گروهی)	فعاليته	بازیهای و	دوستان،	تفريح با	مان ها،	پذیرایی از میه
می کنند را	ن زندگی	لی که با م	ن و کسان	ا با دیگراز	میمی مر	ہ ارتباط ص	پیش آمد	مشکل که برایم
								ضعیف می کند.
مطالعة ،	کز برای	کند (تمر	ضعف مے					مشکل که برایم
		2.1		کـلاس) .	ارکت در	مطالعه، مش	ـه، روش	مدت زمان مطالع
طالعه، بی	هنكام مد	لی حوصلکی	ی کند (ب	مرا ضعیف مے	ىحصيالى ا	ده انکیزه ا	پـيس امـ	مشکلی کہ برایم
		درسی).	لمعاليه	ی در انجام	بی میا	وع مطالعه،	برای س <u>ر</u> م آ	رغبتی و کرختی
، ارانه	ن تمراد	(پایین امد	ىى كىلە (مرا ضعیف ہ	تحصيلى	ده، عملکرد	پـيس امـ	مشکلی که برایم
5 - 1 Is -		2 2 4 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<					تکالیف ضعیف). مشکلی که برایم
وطايف	رالجام		میں می د	بی ام را ص				مسینی کہ برایم شغلی، حضور نام
01001	211. (1	ان معدف م	1 5 10 1	محبط كار ر	10 1 10	ليم الدراط	ريش آم	مشکلی که برایم
	.,	<u> </u>			J - J	· (u	و مسئولا	رجوع، همکاران
به محیط	رغبتی ہ	ـى كـند (بـى	ن ضعيف م	رای کارکردز				مشکلی که برایم
		ها).	لجام كار	رغبتی به ان	ار، بے	فـتن بـه سرك	برای ر	کار، بی حوصلگی
ايىلى بە	کند (تم	ضعیف می	ه ام را	ضای خانواده	م با اع	ده، ارتباط	پيش آم	مشکلی که برایم
			كردن).	خانه، قهر	حضور در	تگو، کا هش	یزان گف	تنهایی، کاهش م
_ر ای								مشکل که برایم
								پرخاشگری به اع
و خانصواده								مشکل که برایم ضعیف می کند (ا
								and a second

References

Mundt, J. C., I. M. Marks, et al. (2002). "The Work and Social Adjustment Scale: A simple measure of impairment in functioning." Br. J. Psychiatry 180: 461-4.

Sohrabi, N., and Samani, S. (2015). Developing a Multidimensional Adjustment Scale. International Journal of Humanities, 1, 1-6.

Samani, S., and Jowkar, B. (2007). Examining validity and reliability of brief form depression, anxiety and stress scale. *Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities of Shiraz University*, 26(3), 65-77 (in Persian).