Partition Theorems Related to the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities*

GEORGE E. ANDREWS

Department of Mathematics, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania Communicated by Gian-Carlo Rota

Abstract

In this paper a partition theorem is proved which contains the Rogers-Ramanujan identities and Euler's partition theorem as special cases. Other partition theorems of the Rogers-Ramanujan type are proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [3], Gordon proved the following striking generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities.

THEOREM. Let $0 < a \le k$ be integers. Let $A_{k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into parts not of the forms (2k + 1)m, (2k + 1)m + a, (2k + 1)m + (2k + 1 - a). Let $B_{k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N of the form $N = b_1 + \cdots + b_s$ ($s \ge 1$, otherwise arbitrary), $b_i \ge b_{i+1}$, $b_i - b_{i+k-1} \ge 2$, and 1 appearing as a summand at most a - 1times. Then,

$$A_{k,a}(N) = B_{k,a}(N).$$

If k = 2, a = 1, 2, the above result reduces to the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [4, p. 291]. Gordon's method of proof was an extension of Schur's first proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan identities [6]. Gordon re-

^{*} Research supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant NSF 6592.

marks that his theorem parallels Glaisher's extension of Euler's theorem. Glaisher [2] proved:

THEOREM. Let r > 0 be an integer. Let $A_r(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into parts not of the form rm (i.e., parts not divisible by r). Let $B_r(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N of the form $N = b_1 \cdots + b_s$ ($s \ge 1$, otherwise arbitrary), $b_i \ge b_{i+1}$, $b_i - b_{i+r-1} \ge 1$ (i.e., no part appears more than r - 1 times). Then

$$A_r(N) = B_r(N).$$

If r = 2, the above theorem reduces to Euler's theorem [4, p. 277].

In [1], a proof of Gordon's theorem was given which was based on an extension of a technique of Rogers and Ramanujan [5] and A. Selberg [7]. In this paper we shall extend this method even further and shall obtain (among other results) a general partition theorem which contains not only the Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon identities as a special case but also contains the Euler-Glaisher theorem.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

Our main results will be based on the three following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $0 = \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_r < \lambda$, $0 < a \le k$ all be integers. Let $c_{k,i}(M, N)$ be given for all integers M and N with $0 \le i \le k$, and $c_{k,0}(M, N) = 0$ for all k, M, N; (2.1) $c_{k,i}(M, N) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M = N = 0 & \text{and} & 1 \le i \le k, \\ 0 & \text{if either } M \le 0 \text{ or } N \le 0 \text{ and not both are zero;} \end{cases}$

$$c_{k,i}(M,N) - c_{k,i-1}(M,N) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{k,k-i+1}(M - \lambda(i-1) - \alpha_j, N - M).$$
(2.3)

Then $c_{k,i}(M, N)$ is uniquely determined for all M and $N (0 \le i \le k)$, and

$$\sum_{M=0}^{N} c_{k,a}(M, N)$$

is the coefficient of q^N in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{\substack{n=1\\n\equiv0\pmod{\lambda}\\n\equiv0.\pm\lambda a\pmod{\lambda}(2k+1)\rangle}}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+q^{a_2m}+\cdots+q^{a_rm}).$$

PROOF: We define

$$C_{k,i}(x;q) = 1 - x^{i}q^{i} + \sum_{\mu=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{\mu} x^{k\mu} q^{1/2(2k+1)\mu(\mu+1)-i\mu} \\ \times (1 - x^{i}q^{(2\mu+1)i}) \frac{(1 - xq)\cdots(1 - xq^{\mu})}{(1 - q)\cdots(1 - q^{\mu})}.$$

Selberg [7, p. 4, Eq. 3] has proved

$$C_{k,i}(x;q) = C_{k,i-1}(x;q) + x^{i-1}q^{i-1}(1-xq)C_{k,k-i+1}(xq;q)$$

If we define

$$Q_{k,i}(x;q) = C_{k,i}(x^{\lambda};q^{\lambda}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-x^{\lambda}q^{j\lambda})^{-1} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+x^{a_2}q^{a_2n}+\cdots+x^{a_r}q^{a_rn}),$$

then

$$egin{aligned} Q_{k,i}(x;q) &= Q_{k,i-1}(x;q) + x^{\lambda(i-1)}q^{\lambda(i-1)}(1+x^{a_2}q^{a_2}+\cdots+x^{a_r}q^{a_r}) \ & imes Q_{k,k-i+1}(xq;q). \end{aligned}$$

We may expand $Q_{k,i}(x;q)$ as follows

$$Q_{k,i}(x;q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{M=0}^{\infty} b_{k,i}(M,N) x^M q^N, \quad |x| \le 1, |q| < 1.$$

We then easily verify by means of the definition of $Q_{k,i}(x; q)$ and (*) that the $b_{k,i}(M, N)$ satisfy (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3). It follows by mathematical induction that these three conditions define the $b_{k,i}(M, N)$ uniquely. Also $b_{k,i}(M, N) = 0$ if M > N follows by mathematical induction. Therefore

$$c_{k,i}(M,N) = b_{k,i}(M,N),$$

and

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=0}^{N} c_{k,i}(M, N)\right) q^{N}$$

$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{M=0}^{N} b_{k,i}(M, N)\right) q^{N}$$

$$= Q_{k,i}(1; q)$$

$$= \prod_{\substack{n=0 \ n \equiv 0 \ n \equiv 0 \ n \equiv 0 \ \lambda \neq 1 \$$

(by Jacobi's identity [4, p. 282]). Thus the lemma follows.

424

LEMMA 2. Let $0 = \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_r < \lambda$, $0 < a \le k$ all be integers. Let $p_{k,a}(M, N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into M parts of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ (where $f_i \ge 0$ denotes the number of times the summand i appears in the partition) with (1) $f_1 \le \lambda a - 1$; (2) for all $i, f_i \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{\lambda}$ for some j; (3) if $f_i \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{\lambda}$, then $f_i + f_{i+1} \le \lambda k + \alpha_j - 1$. We also define $p_{k,a}(0, 0) = 1$, $p_{k,a}(M, N) = 0$ if either M or N is non-positive and not both are zero. Finally we set $p_{k,0}(M, N) = 0$ for all k, M, N. Then the $p_{k,i}(M, N)$ satisfy (2.1), (2.2), and (2.3) of Lemma 1.

PROOF: (2.1) and (2.2) are true by definition. We now prove (2.3). $p_{k,i}(M, N) - p_{k,i-1}(M, N)$ counts the number of partitions of the type defined in the statement of the lemma with the added condition that 1 appears at least $\lambda(i-1)$ times and at most $\lambda i - 1$ times as a summand. Therefore there are exactly r types of partitions being enumerated; they are classified by

$$f_1 = \lambda(i-1) + \alpha_j \ (1 \le j \le r),$$

Since $f_1 \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{\lambda}$, $f_1 + f_2 \leq \lambda k + \alpha_j - 1$ implies

$$f_2 \leq \lambda k + \alpha_j - 1 - \lambda(i-1) - \alpha_j = \lambda(k-i+1) - 1.$$

Now let us subtract 1 from every summand of the partition under consideration. Since 1 appeared exactly $\lambda(i-1) + \alpha_j$ times formerly, the number of summands has been reduced to $M - \lambda(i-1) - \alpha_j$. Since there were M summands originally, we are now partitioning N - M. Since 2 originally appeared at most $\lambda(k - i + 1) - 1$ times, now 1 appears at most $\lambda(k - i + 1) - 1$ times. Consequency the partition has been transformed into one enumerated by

$$p_{k,k-i+1}(M-\lambda(i-1)-\alpha_i,N-M).$$

The above process establishes a one-to-one correspondence between those partitions enumerated by

$$p_{k,i}(M, N) - p_{k,i-1}(M, N)$$

for which

$$f_1 = \lambda(i-1) + \alpha_i$$

and those partitions enumerated by

$$p_{k,k-i-1}(M-\lambda(i-1)-\alpha_j,N-M).$$

Thus

$$p_{k,i}(M, N) - p_{k,i-1}(M, N) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} p_{k,k-i+1}(M - \lambda(i-1) - \alpha_j, N - M).$$

Thus the lemma is established.

LEMMA 3. Let $0 = \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_r < \lambda$, $0 < a \leq k$ all be integers. Let $B_{k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$, with (1) $f_1 \leq \lambda a - 1$; (2) for all $i, f_i \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{\lambda}$ for some j; (3) if $f_i \equiv \alpha_j \pmod{\lambda}$, $f_i + f_{i+1} \leq \lambda k + \alpha_j - 1$; $B_{k,a}(0) = 1$. Then $B_{k,a}(N)$ is the coefficient of q^N in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{\substack{n=1\\n\equiv 0 \pmod{\lambda}\\n\equiv 0,\pm\lambda a \pmod{\lambda} \\ (\text{mod }\lambda(2k+1))}}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+q^{a_2m}+\cdots+q^{a_rm}).$$

PROOF: We note that

$$B_{k,a}(N) = \sum_{M=0}^{N} p_{k,a}(M, N)$$

where $p_{k,a}(M, N)$ is defined in Lemma 2. By Lemma 2 applied to Lemma 1, we see that $\sum_{M=0}^{N} p_{k,a}(M,N)$ is the desired coefficient. Hence the result follows.

3. PARTITION THEOREMS

We may now prove a great number of partition theorems of the Rogers-Ramanujan type by noting that the infinite product in Lemma 3 is the generating function for partition functions related to partitions in which the summands are restricted to certain arithmetic progressions. We give two of many possible examples.

THEOREM 1. Let $\lambda > 0$, $0 < a \le k$ be integers. Let $A_{\lambda,k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into parts not of the forms $\lambda(2k + 1)m$, $\lambda(2k + 1)m + \lambda a$, $\lambda(2k + 1)m + \lambda(2k + 1 - a)$. Let $B_{\lambda,k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$, where $(1)f_1 \le \lambda a - 1$; (2) if $f_i \equiv \alpha \pmod{\lambda}$ ($0 \le \alpha < \lambda$), then $f_i + f_{i+1} \le \lambda k + \alpha - 1$. Then

$$A_{\lambda,k,a}(N) = B_{\lambda,k,a}(N).$$

426

PROOF: In Lemma 3, take $r = \lambda$, $\alpha_j = j - 1$ $(1 \le j \le r)$. Then $B_{\lambda,k,a}(N)$ is the coefficient of q^N in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{\substack{n=1\\n\equiv0\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda)\\n\equiv0.\pm\lambda a\ (\mathrm{mod}\ \lambda)(2k+1))}}^{\infty} (1-q^n)^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+q^m+\cdots+q^{(\lambda-1)m}) \\ = \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{\lambda(2k+1)(n+1)})(1-q^{\lambda(2k+1)n+\lambda a})(1-q^{\lambda(2k+1)n+\lambda(2k+1-a)})}{(1-q^{n+1})} \\ = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda,k,a}(N)q^N.$$

Therefore

$$A_{\lambda,k,a}(N) = B_{\lambda,k,a}(N).$$

COROLLARY 1.1. The Rogers-Ramanu jan-Gordon identities (given in Section 1).

PROOF: In Theorem 1, take $\lambda = 1$.

COROLLARY 1.2. The Euler-Glaisher theorem (given in Section 1).

PROOF: Take $\lambda = r$, k = a = 1 in Theorem 1. $A_{r,1,1}(N)$ denotes the number of partitions of N into parts $\neq 0$, $\pm r \pmod{3} r$, i.e., parts $\neq 0 \pmod{r}$. Let us now consider a general partition $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ enumerated by $B_{r,1,1}(N)$. We first note that if $f_i \geq 2r$, then $f_i + f_{i+1} \geq 2r$, which contradicts the restriction that $f_i + f_{i+1} \leq r + r - 1 = 2r - 1$. Suppose $f_i = r + \beta$ where $0 \leq \beta \leq r - 1$, then $f_i + f_{i+1} \geq r + \beta$, which contradicts the restriction that, since $f_i \equiv \beta \pmod{r}$, $f_i + f_{i+1} \leq r + \beta$.

Now suppose $f_i < r$ for all *i*; I claim that such a partition is one of those enumerated by $B_{r,1,1}(N)$. Clearly the condition that $f_1 \leq r - 1$ is fulfilled. If $f_i \equiv \beta \pmod{r}$ ($0 \leq \beta < r$), then since $f_i < r$, $f_i = \beta$; thus, $f_i + f_{i+1} \leq \beta + r - 1$. Thus the second condition is fulfilled. Therefore $B_{r,1,1}(N)$ enumerates the number of partitions of N in which each part appears at most r - 1 times. Thus the result follows.

We now give a corollary of a rather different nature.

COROLLARY 1.3. $B_{1,2rk+r+k,(2r+1)a}(N) = B_{2r+1,k,a}(N)$.

PROOF: This follows directly from Theorem 1 since both

$$A_{1,2rk+r+k,(2r+1)a}(N)$$
 and $A_{2r+1,k,a}(N)$

ANDREWS

enumerate the number of partitions of N into parts

$$\neq 0, \pm (2r+1)a \pmod{(2r+1)(2k+1)}$$

It would be of interest to prove this result by a more direct means.

THEOREM 2. Let $0 < \mu < \lambda$, $2\mu | \lambda$, $0 < a \le k$ all be integers. Let $A_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N into parts which are either $\equiv \mu (\mod 2\mu)$ or else $\equiv 0 (\mod \lambda)$ and $\not\equiv 0, \pm \lambda a (\mod \lambda(2k + 1))$. Let $B_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N)$ denote the number of partitions of N of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i \cdot i$ with (1) $f_1 \le \lambda a - 1$; (2) for all $i, f_i \equiv 0$, or $\mu (\mod \lambda)$; (3) if $f_i \equiv \alpha$ (mod λ) (where α is either 0 or μ), then $f_i + f_{i+1} \le \lambda k + \alpha - 1$.

Then

$$A_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N) = B_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N).$$

PROOF: By Lemma 3, $B_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N)$ is the coefficient of q^N in the power series expansion of

$$\prod_{\substack{n=0 \ n\equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } \lambda) \\ n=0.\pm \lambda a \ (\text{mod } \lambda) \\ n=0.\pm \lambda a$$

(by Euler's identity [4, p. 277])

$$=\sum_{N=0}^{\infty}A_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N)q^{N}.$$

Therefore

$$B_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N) = A_{\mu,\lambda,k,a}(N).$$

If $\mu = k = a = 1$, $\lambda = 2$, then Theorem 2 reduces to Euler's theorem; however, I know of no other special cases of the above theorem having been proved before. I thus give an example with $\mu = 1$, $\lambda = k = a = 2$ (which incidentally also follows from Theorem 1 with $\lambda = k = a = 2$).

COROLLARY 2.1. $A_{1,2,2,2}(N) = B_{1,2,2,2}(N)$. $A_{1,2,2,2}(N)$ is the number of partitions of N into parts $\equiv 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9 \pmod{10}$. $B_{1,2,2,2}(N)$ denotes the number of partitions of N into parts such that each part appears

at most three times with the restrictions that if n appears as a summand two or three times then (n + 1) may appear at most once, and if n appears once or not at all then (n + 1) may appear at most three times.

For example, if N = 10, the partitions enumerated by $A_{1,2,2,2}(10)$ are

Thus $A_{1,2,2,2}(10) = 26$.

The pautitions enumerated by $B_{1,2,2,2}$ (10) are

10, 9 + 1, 8 + 2, 8 + 1 + 1, 7 + 3, 7 + 2 + 1, 7 + 1 + 1 + 1, 6 + 4, 6 + 3 + 1, 6 + 2 + 2, 6 + 2 + 1 + 1, 5 + 5, 5 + 4 + 1, 5 + 3 + 2, 5 + 3 + 1 + 1, 5 + 2 + 2 + 1, 5 + 2 + 1 + 1 + 1, 4 + 4 + 2, 4 + 4 + 1 + 1, 4 + 3 + 3, 4 + 3 + 2 + 1, 4 + 3 + 1 + 1 + 1, 4 + 2 + 2 + 2, 3 + 3 + 3 + 1, 3 + 3 - 2 + 1 + 1, 3 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 1.

Thus $B_{1,2,2,2}(10) = 26$ also. We conclude with the following rather curious result.

COROLLARY 2.2. Let a(N) be the number of partitions of N into an odd number of parts of the form $N = b_1 + \cdots + b_{2s+1}$ ($s \ge 0$, otherwise arbitrary) such that $b_i \ge b_{i+1}$, $b_{2i+1} > b_{2i+2}$, a(0) = 0. Then

$$B_{1,4,2,1}(N) = a(N+1).$$

PROOF: By Theorem 2,

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} B_{1,4,2,1}(N) q^{N} = \prod_{\substack{n=0 \ n \equiv 0 \ (\text{mod } 4) \\ n \equiv 0, \pm 4 \ (\text{mod } 20)}}^{\infty} (1-q^{n})^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+q^{m})$$
$$= \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} (1-q^{20n+8})^{-1} (1-q^{20n+12})^{-1} \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1+q^{m})$$
$$= q^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n+1)^{2}}}{(1-q) \cdots (1-q^{2n+1})}$$
B p. 162, eq. 96]

[8

$$= q^{-1} \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} a(N)q^N$$
$$= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} a(N+1)q^N.$$

The identification of the generating function for a(N) follows by the standard graph-theoretic technique [4, p. 291] using the fact that

$$(n + 1)^2 = 1 + 1 + 2 + 2 + \dots + n + n + (n + 1).$$

Similar results may be obtained from some of the other identities of Slater [8].

REFERENCES

- 1. G. E. ANDREWS, An Analytic Proof of the Rogers-Ramanujan-Gordon Identities, Amer. J. Math. To appear.
- 2. J. W. L. GLAISHER, A Theorem in Partitions, Messenger of Math. 12 (1883), 158-170.
- 3. B. GORDON, A Combinatorial Generalization of the Rogers-Ramanujan Identities, Amer. J. Math. 83 (1961), 393-399.
- 4. G. H. HARDY AND E. M. WRIGHT, An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, 4th ed. Oxford University Press, London, 1960.
- 5. L. J. ROGERS AND S. RAMANUJAN, Proof of Certain Identities in Combinatory Analysis, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 19 (1919), 211-216.
- 6. I. J. SCHUR, Ein Beitrag zur additiven Zahlentheorie, Akad. Wiss. Berlin, Sitzungsber. 1917, 302-321.
- 7. A. Selberg, Über einige arithmetische Identitäten, Avh. Norske Akad. Vid.-Oslo 1936, No. 8.
- 8. L. J. SLATER, Further Identities of the Rogers-Ramanujan Type, Proc. London Math. Soc. 54 (1952), 147-167.