

Plane Partitions V: The TSSCPP Conjecture

GEORGE E. ANDREWS*

*The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802 and
IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598*

Communicated by the Managing Editors

Received March 28, 1991

This paper is devoted to proving the conjecture by Mills, Robbins, and Rumsey that the number of totally symmetric, self-complementary plane partitions in $[1, 2n]^3$ is given by $\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (3i+1)!/(n+i)!$. © 1994 Academic Press, Inc.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [6], Mills, Robbins, and Rumsey define “a *totally symmetric plane partition* of size n (to be) a plane partition whose three-dimensional Ferrers graph is contained in the box

$$X_n = [1, n] \times [1, n] \times [1, n]$$

and which is mapped to itself under all permutations of the coordinate axes. The complement of the Ferrers graph of such a plane partition (that is, the set of lattice points in the box X_n that does not belong to the Ferrers graph) is again totally symmetric when viewed from the vantage point of vertex $(n+1, n+1, n+1)$. A totally symmetric plane partition is self-complementary if it is congruent (in the geometrical sense) to its complement. This cannot occur unless $n = 2m$ is even.”

If we define

$$\lambda_n = \frac{(3n+1)! n!}{(2n)! (2n+1)!} = \binom{3n+1}{n} / \binom{2n}{n}, \quad (1.1)$$

then Mills *et al.* conjecture that t_n , the total number of TSSCPP's (totally symmetric, self-complementary plane partitions) in X_{2n} , is given by

$$t_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(3i+1)!}{(n+i)!} \quad (1.2)$$

(see also [8, Conjecture 2; 9, Case 10]).

* Partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant DMS 8702695-03 and the IBM T. J. Watson Research Center.

In [10], Stembridge made a major advance on the problem by proving that

$$t_n = \begin{cases} pf[a_{ij}]_{0 \leq i < j \leq n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ pf[a_{ij}]_{1 \leq i < j \leq n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where for $0 \leq i < j$,

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{r=2i-j+1}^{2j-i} \binom{i+j}{r}. \quad (1.4)$$

Our object is to prove (1.2). We proceed as follows. In Section 2 we reformulate Stembridge's Pfaffian representation of t_n . In Section 3 we prove a number of lemmas related to hypergeometric series. The proof then follows in a straightforward manner in Section 4.

2. A REFORMULATION OF STEMBRIDGE'S THEOREM

The methods developed in the previous papers in this series [1–4] were concerned with the evaluation of determinants, and in this section we restate Stembridge's result (1.3) as a slightly modified skew-symmetric determinant.

Recalling that the Pfaffian is the square root of the related skew-symmetric determinant [7, p. 394], and noting that $t_n \geq 0$, we see immediately that (1.3) is equivalent to the assertion that

$$t_n^2 = \begin{cases} \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where we extend (1.4) by requiring $a_{ii} = 0$ and $a_{ij} = -a_{ji}$ for $i > j$.

LEMMA 1.

$$(-1)^n t_n^2 = \text{determinant } (a_{ij}^*)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1},$$

where $a_{00}^* = -1$ and $a_{ij}^* = a_{ij}$ otherwise.

Proof. If n is even, this assertion reduces to the top line of (2.1). To see this we expand the determinant by minors along the top row. The result is clearly

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} + a_{00}^* \cdot \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \\ &= \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \\ &= t_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

since a skew-symmetric matrix of odd order has determinant 0 [7, p. 392].

If n is odd, precisely the same expansion yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} + a_{00}^* \cdot \text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \\ &= -\text{determinant } (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \\ &= -t_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

since, as before, a skew-symmetric matrix of odd order has determinant 0. ■

3. HYPERGEOMETRIC BACKGROUND AND LEMMAS

The material in this section is inordinately complicated. The purpose of the various lemmas becomes clearer if you read Section 4 first.

We begin with six definitions.

$$e(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i < j \\ 1, & \text{if } i = j > 0 \\ \frac{(3j+1)! j!}{(2j)! (2j+1)!}, & \text{if } i = 0 \\ \frac{(-1)^{j-i} (2j-i-1)! (3i+2)(3j+1)! (i+j)! j! (2i+1)!}{(j-i)! (i-1)! i! (i+2j+2)! (2j+1)! (2j)!}, & \text{if } 0 < i < j, \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

$$f(i, j) = \frac{\binom{4i-j+1}{j} \binom{3i+1}{j} \binom{i}{j}}{\binom{2i}{j}^2}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(i, j) &= 2 \binom{3i-j}{j-1} + \binom{3i-j}{j} \\ &= \binom{3i-j}{j} \frac{(3i+1)}{(3i-2j+1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$C_j(i) = \frac{\binom{i}{j} \binom{i+1}{2j} \binom{3i-2j}{j} (3i+1)}{(3i-3j+1) \binom{2i-j+1}{j} \binom{2i}{2j}}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$b(i, j) = \sum_{s=0}^j \binom{3i-j+1}{s}, \tag{3.5}$$

$$T(i, j, s) = \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1-s} \binom{3i-k-s+1}{k} e(2i-k-s, j). \tag{3.6}$$

LEMMA 2. For each $j \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^j 2^{k+1} e(k+1, j+1) = e(0, j+1), \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. By (3.1) we may rewrite (3.7) as follows after dividing both sides by $e(0, j+1)$:

$$\sum_{k=1}^j \frac{2^{k+1} (-1)^{j-k} (2j-k)! (3k+5)(k+j+2)! (2k+3)!}{(j-k)! (k+1)! k! (k+2j+5)!} = 1. \tag{3.8}$$

The underlying hypergeometric series [5, p. 8] is (after finite products have been removed)

$${}_4F_3 \left(\begin{matrix} -j, 8/3, j+3, 5/2; \\ 5/3, -2j, 2j+6 \end{matrix} ; -8 \right), \tag{3.9}$$

which is not one of the well-known summable series. Consequently, we must rely on the WZ method [11] to prove (3.8). We define

$$F(j, k) = \frac{2^{k+1} (-1)^{j-k} (2j-k)! (3k+5)(k+j+2)! (2k+3)!}{(j-k)! (k+1)! k! (k+2j+5)!}, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$G(j, k) = \frac{2^{k+3} (-1)^{j-k-1} (j+2)(2j-k)! (k+j+3)! (2k+5)!}{(j-k)! (k+2)! k! (k+2j+7)!}, \tag{3.11}$$

and we easily determine that

$$\begin{aligned} & F(j+1, k) - F(j, k) \\ &= \frac{F(j, k)(j+2)(6k(k+3) - 8(j+1)(j+3))}{(j-k+1)(k+2j+7)(k+2j+6)} \\ &= G(j, k) - G(j, k-1). \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

We now let

$$S(j) = \sum_{k=0}^j F(j, k). \tag{3.13}$$

Then since $F(j, j+1) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} S(j+1) - S(j) &= \sum_{k=0}^{j+1} (F(j+1, k) - F(j, k)) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{j+s} (G(j, k) - G(j, k-1)) \\ &= G(j, j+1) - G(j, -1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $S(j) = S(0)$ for all j , and $S(0) = 1$. This proves (3.8) and consequently (3.7). ■

LEMMA 3. For $0 < r \leq j$

$$\sum_{\lambda=0}^r f(r, \lambda) e(2r - \lambda, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 < r < j \\ \binom{3j+1}{j}^2 / \binom{2j}{j}^2 & \text{if } r = j. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. We first note that the terms with $\lambda < 2r - j$ are identically zero by (3.1). We may now prove by mathematical induction applied to v that for $0 \leq v \leq j - r$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\lambda=0}^{2r-j+v} f(r, \lambda) e(2r - \lambda, j) \\ &= \frac{(2r + j - v + 1)! (3r + 1)! r! (j + v)! j! (3j - v + 2)_v (r + j - v + 1)_v}{v! (2r - j + v)! (2j + 1)! (r + j + 1)! (j - r)! (2j - v + 1)_v (2r)!^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where $(\alpha)_v = \alpha(\alpha + 1) \cdots (\alpha + v - 1)$. This is merely a restatement of the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} &f(r, 2r - j + v) e(2r - (2r - j + v), j) \\ &\quad - f(r, 2r - j + v - 1) e(2r - (2r - j + v - 1), j) \end{aligned}$$

equals the right-hand side of (3.16), and that (3.16) is immediate when $v = 0$.

Identity (3.15) follows from (3.16) by setting $v = j - r$ and noting that $(r - j + 1)_{j-r} = 0$ if $r < j$. If $r = j$ the only nonvanishing term of the sum occurs for $r = j$, which is $f(j, j)$ as desired. ■

LEMMA 4. For $s \leq 2i - 1$,

$$T(i, j, s) = T(i - 1, j, s - 1) + T(i - 1, j, s - 2). \quad (3.17)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & T(i - 1, j, s - 1) + T(i - 1, j, s - 2) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-2-s} \binom{3i-k-s-1}{k} e(2i-k-s-1, j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1-s} \binom{3i-k-s}{k} e(2i-k-s, j) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1-s} \binom{3i-k-s}{k-1} e(2i-k-s, j) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1-s} \binom{3i-k-s}{k} e(2i-k-s, j) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1-s} \binom{3i-k-s+1}{k} e(2i-k-s, j) \\ &= T(i, j, s). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 5. For $m \leq 2i$

$$\sum_{r=0}^i c_r(i) f(i-r, m-2r) = \phi(i, m). \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. We note that the terms of the sum are identically zero if $r < m - i$. In any event if we begin by assuming m is nonintegral and $z! = \Gamma(z + 1)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=0}^i c_r(i) f(i-4, m-2r) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^i \frac{\binom{i}{r} \binom{i+1}{2r} \binom{3i-2r}{r} (3i+1)}{(3i-3r+1) \binom{2i-r+1}{r} \binom{2i}{2r}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{\binom{4i-m-2r+1}{m-2r} \binom{3i-3r+1}{m-2r} \binom{i-r}{m-2r}}{\binom{2i-2r}{m-2r}^2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{(2i-m)!^2 i! (i+1)! (3i+1)}{(4i-2m+1)! (2i)!} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{r=0}^i \frac{(3i-2r)! (2i-2r+1)(4i-2r-m+1)!}{\binom{r}{r! (i+1-2r)! (2i-r+1)!} (m-2r)! (3i-r-m+1)! (i+r-m)!} \\
&= \frac{(2i-m)!^2 i! (3i+1)! (4i-m+1)!}{(4i-2m+1)! (2i)!^2 (2i)!^2 m! (3i-m+1)! (i-m)!} \\
&\quad \times {}_7F_6 \left(\begin{matrix} -2i-1, -i+1/2, -i/2, -\frac{(i+1)}{2}, -3i+m-1, -\frac{m+1}{2}, -\frac{m}{2}; 1 \\ -i-1/2, -3i/2, -\frac{3i+1}{2}, i-m+1, \frac{m-1}{2}, 2i, \frac{m}{2}-2i \end{matrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{(3i-m)! (3i+1)}{m! (3i-2m)! (3i-2m+1)} \\
&= \phi(i, m), \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have summed the ${}_7F_6$ by Dougall's summation [5, p. 26, Eq. (5)]. We now extend the result to integral $m \leq 2i$ by analytic continuation. ■

LEMMA 6. For $0 \leq i \leq j$,

$$2T(i-1, j, -1) + T(i-1, j, -2) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i < j \\ \binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
&2T(i-1, j, -1) + T(i-1, j, -2) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-2} 2 \binom{3i-k-1}{k} e(2i-1-k, j) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \binom{3i-k}{k} e(2i-1-k, j) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \left(2 \binom{3i-k}{k-1} + \binom{3i-k}{k} \right) e(2i-k, j) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \phi(i, k) e(2i-k, j) \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \sum_{r=0}^i c_r(i) f(i-r, k-2r) e(2i-k, j) \\
&= \sum_{r=0}^i c_r(i) \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} f(i-r, k-2r) e(2(i-r)-(k-2r), j)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i < j \text{ by (3.15), top line} \\ c_0(i) \binom{3j+1}{j}^2 / \binom{2j}{j}^2, & \text{if } i = j \text{ by (3.15), bottom line,} \end{cases}$$

which is (3.20) since $c_0(i) = 1$ by (3.4). ■

LEMMA 7. For $0 \leq i \leq j$

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{2i-1} b(i, \mu) e(2i - \mu, j) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } i < j \\ \binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2 & \text{for } i = j. \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

Proof. Let

$$\begin{aligned} U(i, j) &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{2i-1} b(i, \mu) e(2i - \mu, j) \\ &= \sum_{\mu=0}^{2i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\mu} \binom{3i - \mu + 1}{k} e(2i - \mu, j) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k+s \leq 2i-1 \\ k \geq 0, s \geq 0}} \binom{3i - (k+s) + s}{k} e(2i - k - s, j) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2i-1} \sum_{k=0}^{2i-s-1} \binom{3i - k - s + 1}{k} e(2i - k - s, j) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{2i-1} T(i, j, s). \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

Hence by Lemma 4,

$$\begin{aligned} U(i, j) &= \sum_{s=0}^{2i-1} (T(i-1, j, s-1) + T(i-1, j, s-2)) \\ &= \sum_{s=-1}^{2i-2} T(i-1, j, s) + \sum_{s=-2}^{2i-3} T(i-1, j, s) \\ &= 2U(i-1, j) + 2T(i-1, j, -1) + T(i-1, j, -2) \\ &= 2U(i-1, j) + \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq i < j \\ \binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

by Lemma 6.

We now proceed by induction on i . If $i = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(1, j) &= b(1, 0) e(2, j) + b(1, 1) e(1, j) \\ &= e(2, j) + 4e(1, j) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > 1 \\ 4 & \text{if } j = 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

by Lemma 3 in the case $r = 1$ since $f(1, 0) = 1$ and $f(1, 1) = 4$.

If $i = 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(2, j) &= b(2, 0) e(4, j) + b(2, 1) e(3, j) \\ &\quad + b(2, 2) e(2, j) + b(2, 3) e(1, j) \\ &= e(4, j) + 7e(3, j) + 16e(2, j) + 15e(1, j) \\ &= (e(4, j) + 7e(3, j) + \frac{49}{4} e(2, j)) \\ &\quad + \frac{15}{4} (e(2, j) + 4e(1, j)) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j > 2 \\ 49/4 & \text{if } j = 2 \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

by Lemma 3 in the case $r = 1$ and $r = 2$, since $f(r, 0) = 1$, $f(r, 1) = 3r + 1$, and $f(2, 2) = 49/4$.

Now we assume that (3.21) is valid up to but not including a particular $i > 2$. Then by (3.23) with $i \leq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} U(i, j) &= U(i-1, j) + U(i-2, j) \\ &\quad + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j \\ \binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i < j \\ \binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2 & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

since $i-1 < j$. Hence (3.21) holds for all i . ■

4. THE MAIN RESULT

THEOREM.

$$t_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(3i+1)!}{(n+i)!}$$

Proof. We begin by defining three matrices:

$$M(n) = (a_{ij}^*)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \quad (4.1)$$

$$R(n) = (e(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \quad (4.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(n) &= M(n) \cdot R(n) \\ &= (v(i, j))_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

We show that $V(n)$ is a lower triangular matrix and that $v(i, i) = -\lambda_i^2 = -\binom{3i+1}{i}^2 / \binom{2i}{i}^2$. If we can establish this last assertion, then we are done because then

$$(-1)^n t_n^2 = \text{determinant } (M(n)) \quad (4.4)$$

$$1 = \text{determinant } (R(n)). \quad (4.5)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^n t_n^2 &= \text{determinant } (M(n)) \cdot \text{determinant } (R(n)) \\ &= \text{determinant } (V(n)) \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} v(i, i) \\ &= (-1)^n \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \lambda_i^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

But since both t_n and λ_i are nonnegative, this proves the theorem.

We now proceed to evaluate $v(i, j)$ for $i \leq j$. We define

$$A(i, j) = \sum_{s=0}^{2j-i} \binom{i+j}{s}, \quad (4.7)$$

so that

$$a_{ij} = A(i, j) - A(j, i) \quad (4.8)$$

for all nonnegative i and j .

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} A(i, j) &= \sum_{s=0}^{2j-i} \binom{i+j}{s} \\ &= 2^{i+j} - \sum_{s=2j-i+1}^{i+j} \binom{i+j}{s} \\ &= 2^{i+j} - \sum_{s=0}^{2i-j-1} \binom{i+j}{i+j-s} \\ &= 2^{i+j} - \left(A(j, i) - \binom{i+j}{2i-j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so for all nonnegative i and j

$$A(i, j) + A(j, i) = 2^{i+j} + \binom{i+j}{2i-j}. \quad (4.9)$$

Now $v(0, 0) = a_{0,0}^* e(0, 0) = -1 = -\lambda_0^2$, and for $j > 0$ by (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} v(0, j) &= \sum_{k=0}^j a_{0,k}^* e(k, j) \\ &= -e(0, j) + \sum_{k=1}^j 2^k e(k, j) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

by Lemma 2.

Now for $0 < i \leq j$

$$\begin{aligned} v(i, j) &= \sum_{k=0}^j a_{i,k} e(k, j) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j (A(i, k) - A(k, i)) e(k, j) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^j \left(2^{i+k} + \binom{i+k}{2i-k} - 2A(k, i) \right) e(k, j) \\ &= 2^i \sum_{k=0}^j 2^k e(k, j) - \sum_{k=0}^j \left(2A(k, i) - \binom{i+k}{2i-k} \right) e(k, j) \\ &= - \sum_{k=1}^j \left(\sum_{s=0}^{2i-k} \binom{i+k+1}{s} \right) e(k, j), \end{aligned}$$

where the first sum has vanished by Lemma 2, and the coefficient of $e(k, j)$ in the second sum has been rewritten using the recurrence $\binom{A}{B} = \binom{A-1}{B} + \binom{A-1}{B-1}$.

Hence for $0 < i \leq j$,

$$\begin{aligned} v(i, j) &= - \sum_{k=1}^{2i} \sum_{s=0}^{2i-k} \binom{i+k+1}{s} e(k, j) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} \sum_{s=0}^k \binom{3i-k+1}{s} e(2i-k, j) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{2i-1} b(i, k) e(2i-k, j) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i < j \\ -\lambda_i^2 & \text{for } i = j \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

by Lemma 7. Hence $V(n)$ is lower triangular and $v(i, i) = -\lambda_i^2$ as desired. Therefore our theorem is proved. ■

5. CONCLUSION

The most important observation in cracking this problem was that -1 should be inserted in the upper left-hand corner of the matrix $M(n)$. Without a nonzero entry there, the methods developed in [1]–[4] break down completely. Whether this attack will be successful in other such problems remains to be seen.

The great value of the WZ method [11] is brought home forcefully in Lemma 2.

Finally, I express my gratitude to the IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center and to Richard Jenks and his colleagues in the SCRATCHPAD/AXIOM Group. Formulas (3.1), (3.2), and (3.4), which are central to this whole work, were found empirically using AXIOM. Without this marvellous computer algebra package, the TSSCPP conjecture would still be just that.

REFERENCES

1. G. E. ANDREWS, Plane partitions. I. The MacMahon conjecture, *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.* **1** (1979), 131–150.
2. G. E. ANDREWS, Plane partitions. II. The equivalence of the Bender–Knuth and MacMahon conjectures, *Pacific J. Math.* **72** (1977), 283–291.
3. G. E. ANDREWS, Plane partitions. III. The weak Macdonald conjecture, *Invent. Math.* **53** (1979), 193–225.
4. G. E. ANDREWS, Plane partitions. IV. A conjecture of Mills–Robbins–Rumsey, *Aequationes Math.* **33** (1987), 230–250.
5. W. N. BAILEY, “Generalized Hypergeometric Series,” Cambridge Tracts in Math. and Math. Physics, Vol. 32, Cambridge University Press, London/New York, 1935. (Reprinted: Hafner, New York, 1964.)
6. W. H. MILLS, D. P. ROBBINS, and H. RUMSEY, Self-complementary, totally symmetric plane partitions, *J. Combin Theory Ser. A* **42** (1986), 277–292.
7. T. MUIR AND W. H. METZLER, “Treatise on the Theory of Determinants,” Privately published, Albany, 1930. (Reprinted: Dover, New York, 1960.)
8. R. P. STANLEY, A baker’s dozen of conjectures concerning plane partitions, in “Combinatoire Énumérative” (G. Labelle and P. Leroux, Eds.), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 1234, pp. 285–293, Springer, Berlin–New York, 1986.
9. R. P. STANLEY, Symmetries of plane partitions, *J. Combin Theory Ser. A* **43** (1986), 103–113.
10. J. STEMBRIDGE, Nonintersecting paths, Pfaffians, and plane partitions, *Adv. Math.* **83** (1990), 96–131.
11. H. S. WILF AND D. ZEILBERGER, Rational functions certify combinatorial identities, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **3** (1990), 147–158.