

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

Journal of Algebra 281 (2004) 651–665

JOURNAL OF
Algebrawww.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Left-symmetric algebras from linear functions

Chengming Bai

Nankai Institute of Mathematics, Tianjin 300071, PR China

Liu Hui Center for Applied Mathematics, Tianjin 300071, PR China

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

Received 16 June 2003

Available online 16 September 2004

Communicated by Geoffrey Mason

Abstract

In this paper, some left-symmetric algebras are constructed from linear functions. They include a kind of simple left-symmetric algebras and some examples appearing in mathematical physics. Their complete classification is also given, which shows that they can be regarded as generalization of certain two-dimensional left-symmetric algebras.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Left-symmetric algebra; Linear function; Lie algebra

1. Introduction

A left-symmetric algebra is an algebra whose associator is left-symmetric: let A be a vector space over a field \mathbf{F} with a bilinear product $(x, y) \rightarrow xy$. A is called a left-symmetric algebra if for any $x, y, z \in A$, the associator

$$(x, y, z) = (xy)z - x(yz) \tag{1.1}$$

E-mail address: maomao@public.tpt.tj.cn.

0021-8693/\$ – see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.06.036

is symmetric in x, y , that is,

$$(x, y, z) = (y, x, z) \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad (xy)z - x(yz) = (yx)z - y(xz). \quad (1.2)$$

Left-symmetric algebras are a class of non-associative algebras arising from the study of convex homogenous cones, affine manifolds and affine structures on Lie groups [13,19,22]. Moreover, they have very close relations with many problems in mathematical physics. For example, they appear as an underlying structure of those Lie algebras that possess a phase space ([15–18], thus they form a natural category from the point of view of classical and quantum mechanics) and there is a close relation between them and classical Yang–Baxter equation [9,10,12].

However, due to the non-associativity, there is not a suitable representation theory of left-symmetric algebras. It is also known that the definition identity (1.2) of left-symmetric algebras involves the quadric forms of structure constants, which is not linear in general [13]. Hence it is quite difficult to study them. Therefore, one of the most important problems is how to construct interesting left-symmetric algebras. One way is to construct them through some well-known algebras and algebraic structures. This can be regarded as a kind of “realization theory.” For example, there is a study of realization of Novikov algebras (they are left-symmetric algebras with commuting right multiplications) from commutative associative algebras and Lie algebras in [3–5]. Another way is to try to reduce the “non-linearity” in certain sense. Combining these two ways, a natural and simple way is to construct left-symmetric algebras from linear functions, which is the main content of this paper.

On the other hand, there are many examples of left-symmetric algebras appearing in mathematical physics ([6,11,12,21], etc.). For example, let V be a vector space over the complex field \mathbf{C} with the ordinary scalar product (\cdot) and a be a fixed vector in V , then

$$u * v = (u, v)a + (u, a)v, \quad \forall u, v \in V, \quad (1.3)$$

defines a left-symmetric algebra on V which gives the integrable (generalized) Burgers equation [20,21]

$$U_t = U_{xx} + 2U * U_x + (U * (U * U)) - ((U * U) * U). \quad (1.4)$$

However, such examples are often scattered and independent in different references of mathematical physics. And in most of the cases, there is neither a good mathematical motivation nor a further study. In this paper, our construction not only has a natural motivation from the point of view of mathematics, but also can be regarded as a kind of generalization of the examples given by Eq. (1.3). Moreover, a systematic study is given.

The algebras that we consider in this paper are of finite dimension and over \mathbf{C} . The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct left-symmetric algebras from linear functions. In Section 3, we give their classification. In Section 4, we discuss some properties of these left-symmetric algebras and certain application in mathematical physics.

2. Constructing left-symmetric algebras from linear functions

Let A be a vector space in dimension n . In general, we assume $n \geq 2$. Just as said in the introduction, motivated by the study of algebraic structure itself and some equations in integrable systems, it is natural to consider the left-symmetric algebras satisfying the following conditions: for any two vectors x, y in A , the product $x * y$ is still in the subspace spanned by x, y , that is, any two vectors make up a subalgebra in A . Thus, it is natural to assume

$$x * y = f_1(x, y)x + f_2(x, y)y, \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (2.1)$$

where $f_1, f_2: A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are two functions. In general, f_1 and f_2 are not necessarily linear. However, if they are not linear functions, they cannot be decided by their values at a basis of A . Hence the problem turns to be more complicated, even more complicated than the study of the algebra itself.

Therefore, we can assume that f_1 and f_2 are linear functions. Since the algebra product $*$ is bilinear, for $f_1 \neq 0$, f_1 depends on only y , that is, f_1 is not a linear function depending on x . Otherwise, for any $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x) * y &= f_1(\lambda x, y)\lambda x + f_2(\lambda x, y)y = \lambda^2 f_1(x, y)x + \lambda f_2(x, y)y \\ &= \lambda(f_1(x, y)x + f_2(x, y)y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Hence $f_1(x, y) = 0, \forall x, y \in A$, which is a contradiction. Similarly, f_2 depends on only x . Thus, we can set $f_1(x, y) = f(y), f_2(x, y) = g(x)$, where $f, g: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ are two linear functions.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a vector space in dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $f, g: A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be two linear functions. Then the product*

$$x * y = f(y)x + g(x)y, \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad (2.3)$$

defines a left-symmetric algebra if and only if $f = 0$ or $g = 0$. Moreover, when $f = 0$ or $g = 0$, the above equation defines an associative algebra.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in A$, the associator

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z) &= (x * y) * z - x * (y * z) \\ &= f(y)(f(z)x + g(x)z) + g(x)(f(z)y + g(y)z) - f(z)(f(y)x + g(x)y) \\ &\quad - g(y)(f(z)x + g(x)z) \\ &= f(y)g(x)z - g(y)f(z)x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(x, y, z) = (y, x, z)$ if and only if for any $y, z \in A, g(y)f(z) = 0$, that is, $f = 0$ or $g = 0$. Moreover, when $f = 0$ or $g = 0, (x, y, z) = 0$. Thus the proposition holds. \square

Let L_x, R_x denote the left and right multiplication, respectively, i.e., $L_x(y) = xy$, $R_x(y) = yx$, $\forall x, y \in A$.

Corollary 2.2. *With the conditions in above proposition, we have*

- (1) *If $f = 0, g \neq 0$, then there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A such that $L_{e_1} = \text{Id}$, $L_{e_i} = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$, where Id is the identity transformation.*
- (2) *If $g = 0, f \neq 0$, then there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A such that $R_{e_1} = \text{Id}$, $R_{e_i} = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$.*
- (3) *If $f = g = 0$, then A is a trivial algebra, that is, all products are zero.*

Proof. For any linear function $g : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$, if $g \neq 0$, due to the linearity of g and the direct sum of vector spaces

$$A = \text{Ker } g \oplus g(A) = \text{Ker } g \oplus \mathbf{C},$$

there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A such that $g(e_1) \neq 0, g(e_i) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$. Furthermore, we can normalize g by $g(e_1) = 1$. Hence (1) and (2) follows. (3) is obvious. \square

Remark 1. There is a natural matrix representation of above associative algebras [8]. Let $\{E_{ij}\}$ be the canonical basis of $gl(n)$, that is, E_{ij} is a $n \times n$ matrix with 1 at i th row and j th column and zero at other places. Then the algebra in above case (1) (respectively (2)) is an associative subalgebra of $gl(n)$ (under the ordinary matrix product) with $e_i = E_{1i}$ (respectively $e_i = E_{i1}$).

It is well known that the commutator of a left-symmetric algebra $[x, y] = xy - yx$ defines a (sub-adjacent) Lie algebra ([13,19], etc.).

Corollary 2.3. *The sub-adjacent Lie algebras of the associative algebras defined by equation (2.3) with $g = 0, f \neq 0$, or $f = 0, g \neq 0$ are isomorphic to the following 2-step solvable Lie algebra:*

$$A = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid [e_1, e_i] = e_i, i = 2, \dots, n, \text{ other products are zero} \rangle. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. For case (1) in Corollary 2.2, the conclusion is obvious. For case (2) in Corollary 2.2, we only need a linear transformation by letting e_1 be $-e_1$ and e_i still be e_i ($i = 2, \dots, n$), which the conclusion follows. \square

Remark 2. The above conclusion also can be obtained from Eq. (2.3) directly. That is, the Lie algebra given by $[x, y] = (f - g)(x)y - (f - g)(y)x$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra given by Eq. (2.4) for $g \neq f$. In fact, this algebra can be regarded as a (unique!) non-abelian Lie algebra constructed from linear functions: it is easy to show that the product $[x, y] = f(x)y + g(y)x$ defines a Lie algebra if and only if $f(x) = -g(x), \forall x \in A$.

Due to the above discussion, in order to get non-associative left-symmetric algebras, we need to extend the above construction. A simple extension of Eq. (2.3) is to add a fixed vector $c \neq 0$ as follows:

$$x * y = f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c, \quad \forall x, y \in A, \quad (2.5)$$

where $h : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is a non-zero bilinear function. The above Eq. (2.5) can be understood that for any two vectors x, y , the three vectors x, y, c make up a subalgebra in A . Moreover, if h is symmetric, then its sub-adjacent Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra given by Eq. (2.4) ($f \neq g$) or the abelian Lie algebra ($f = g$).

For a further study, we give a lemma on linear functions at first.

Lemma 2.4. *Let A be a vector space in dimension $n \geq 2$. Let $f, g : A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be two linear functions and $h : A \times A \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be a symmetric bilinear function.*

- (1) *If for any $x, y \in A$, $f(x)g(y) = f(y)g(x)$, then $f = 0$, or $g = 0$, or $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$ and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha \neq 0$ such that $f(x) = \alpha g(x), \forall x \in A$.*
- (2) *If for any $x, y, z \in A$, $f(x)h(y, z) = f(y)h(x, z)$, then $f = 0$, or $h = 0$, or there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha \neq 0$ such that $f(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1), \forall x \in A$; $h(e_1, e_1) = 1, h(e_i, e_j) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$.*

Proof. For a linear function f , if $f \neq 0$, we can choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A such that $f(e_1) \neq 0, f(e_2) = \dots = f(e_n) = 0$. If $g \neq 0$, then from $f(x)g(y) = f(y)g(x)$, we can have $g(e_1) \neq 0, g(e_i) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n$. Let $\alpha = f(e_1)/g(e_1)$, then by linearity, for any $x \in A$, we have $f(x) = \alpha g(x)$.

Similarly, for $f \neq 0$ and the basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A such that $f(e_1) \neq 0, f(e_2) = \dots = f(e_n) = 0$, we have $h = 0$ or $h(e_1, e_1) \neq 0$ and $h(e_i, e_j) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$. For the latter case, we can normalize h by $h(e_1, e_1) = 1$. Thus, we still have $f(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1), \forall x \in A$, where $\alpha = f(e_1)/h(e_1, e_1) = f(e_1)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. *With the conditions in above lemma and $h \neq 0$, Eq. (2.5) defines a left-symmetric algebra if and only if the functions f, g, h belong to one of the following cases:*

- (1) $f = g = 0, h(x, c) = 0, \forall x \in A$;
- (2) $f = g = 0$, and there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $h(e_1, e_1) = 1, h(e_i, e_j) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ with $a_1 \neq 0$;
- (3) $g = 0, f \neq 0, f(x) = h(x, c), \forall x \in A$;
- (4) $g = 0, f \neq 0$, and there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha \neq 0$ such that $f(x) = h(x, c - \alpha e_1), h(e_1, e_1) = 1, h(e_i, e_j) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$, and $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ with $a_1 \neq \alpha$;
- (5) $f = 0, g \neq 0, g(x) = -h(x, c), \forall x \in A$ and $h(c, c) = 0$;
- (6) $f = 0, g \neq 0, h(x, c) = 0, \forall x \in A$, and there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}, \alpha \neq 0$ such that $g(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1), h(e_1, e_1) = 1, h(e_i, e_j) = 0, i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n$;

(7) $f \neq 0$, $g \neq 0$, $f(c) \neq 0$ and there exists $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $g(x) = \alpha f(x)$,
 $h(x, y) = -f(x)f(y)/f(c)$, $\forall x, y \in A$.

Proof. For any $x, y, z \in A$, the associator

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z) &= (x * y) * z - x * (y * z) \\ &= f(x)[f(y)z + g(z)y + h(y, z)c] + g(y)[f(x)z + g(z)x + h(x, z)c] \\ &\quad + h(x, y)[f(c)z + g(z)c + h(c, z)c] - f(y)[f(x)z + g(z)x + h(x, z)c] \\ &\quad - g(z)[f(x)y + g(y)x + h(x, y)c] - h(y, z)[f(x)c + g(c)x + h(x, c)c] \\ &= [-f(y)g(z) - g(c)h(y, z)]x + [g(y)f(x) + f(c)h(x, y)]z \\ &\quad + [g(y)h(x, z) - f(y)h(x, z) + h(x, y)h(c, z) - h(y, z)h(x, c)]c. \end{aligned}$$

Then by left-symmetry, we can get the following equations: for any $x, y, z \in A$,

$$f(y)g(x) = g(y)f(x); \quad (2.6)$$

$$f(y)g(z) + g(c)h(y, z) = 0; \quad (2.7)$$

$$[(g - f)(y) + h(y, c)]h(x, z) = [(g - f)(x) + h(x, c)]h(y, z). \quad (2.8)$$

From Eq. (2.6) and using Lemma 2.4, we can consider the following cases.

Case (I). $f = g = 0$. There is only one non-trivial equation $h(y, c)h(x, z) = h(x, c)h(y, z)$. Let $h'(x) = h(x, c)$, then by Lemma 2.4, we know that $h'(x) = 0$ or there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A and $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $h'(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1)$, $\forall x \in A$; $h(e_1, e_1) = 1$, $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The former is the case (1) and the latter is the case (2) since $h(x, c) = h(x, \alpha e_1)$ implies that $a_1 = \alpha \neq 0$ for $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$.

Case (II). $g = 0$, $f \neq 0$. Then Eq. (2.7) is satisfied. From Eq. (2.8) and using Lemma 2.4 again, we have $f(x) = h(x, c)$ or there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $h(e_1, c) - f(e_1) \neq 0$, $f(e_i) = h(e_i, c) = 0$; $h(e_1, e_1) = 1$, $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The former is the case (3) and the latter is the case (4) where $\alpha = -f(e_1) + h(e_1, c)$. Notice for the latter, $f \neq 0$ if and only if $a_1 \neq \alpha$ for $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$.

Case (III). $f = 0$, $g \neq 0$. From Eq. (2.7), we have $g(c) = 0$. As the same as the discussion in Case (II), Eq. (2.8) implies that $g(x) = -h(x, c)$ or there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $g(e_1) + h(e_1, c) \neq 0$, $g(e_i) = h(e_i, c) = 0$, $h(e_1, e_1) = 1$, $h(e_i, e_j) = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, \dots, n$. The former is the case (5). For the latter, we have $g(x) = -h(x, c) + \alpha h(x, e_1)$ where $\alpha = g(e_1) + h(e_1, c)$. Set $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$, then $g(c) = -a_1^2 + \alpha a_1 = 0$. Thus $a_1 = \alpha$ or $a_1 = 0$. Therefore if $g \neq 0$, we have $h(x, c) = 0$ and $g(x) = h(x, \alpha e_1)$ which is just the case (6).

Case (IV). $f \neq 0, g \neq 0$. Thus there exists $\alpha \neq 0$ such that $g(x) = \alpha f(x)$. Hence from Eq. (2.7) and the assumption $h \neq 0$, we know that $f(c) \neq 0$ and $h(x, y) = -\frac{f(x)f(y)}{f(c)}$, $\forall x, y \in A$. It is easy to see that Eq. (2.8) holds under these conditions. This is the case (7). \square

Corollary 2.6. *The left-symmetric algebras given in Theorem 2.5 are commutative (hence associative), if and only if their sub-adjacent Lie algebras are abelian, if and only if they belong to the case (1), (2), and (7) with $\alpha = 1$.*

By direct checking, we have

Corollary 2.7. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in Theorem 2.5.*

(1) *If A is in the case (1), (2), (4), (6), (7), then the corresponding bilinear function h satisfies*

$$h(x * y, z) = h(y * x, z) = h(x * z, y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (2.9)$$

(2) *If A is in the case (3), then the corresponding bilinear function h is invariant under the following sense:*

$$h(x * y, z) = h(x, z * y), \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (2.10)$$

That is, for every $x, y, z \in A$, $h(R_x(y), z) = h(y, R_x(z))$ (R_x is self-adjoint).

(3) *If A is in the case (5), then the corresponding bilinear function h satisfies*

$$h(x * y, z) + h(y, x * z) = 0, \quad \forall x, y, z \in A. \quad (2.11)$$

That is, for every $x, y, z \in A$, $h(L_x(y), z) + h(y, L_x(z)) = 0$.

3. Classification of left-symmetric algebras from linear functions

In this section, we discuss the classification of left-symmetric algebras given in Theorem 2.5. Since the bilinear function h appearing in the case (2), (4), (6), and (7) has been (almost) decided completely, we give the classification of these cases at first.

Proposition 3.1. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (2) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then A is isomorphic to the following algebra (we only give the non-zero products):*

$$A_{(2)} = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1 e_1 = e_1 \rangle. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. For $c = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e_i$ with $a_1 \neq 0$, let

$$e'_1 = \frac{1}{a_1} e_1 + \frac{1}{a_1^2} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i, \quad e'_j = e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

then under the new basis, Eq. (3.1) follows. \square

Proposition 3.2. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (4) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:*

$$A_{(4)}^1 = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1 e_1 = e_1 + e_2, e_1 e_j = e_j, j = 2, \dots, n \rangle; \quad (3.2)$$

$$A_{(4)}^\lambda = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1 e_1 = \lambda e_1, e_1 e_j = e_j, j = 2, \dots, n \rangle, \quad \lambda \neq 1, 2. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. For the case (4), we have

$$e_1 * e_1 = h(e_1, (a_1 - \alpha)e_1)e_1 + h(e_1, e_1)c = (a_1 - \alpha)e_1 + c = (2a_1 - \alpha)e_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i,$$

$$e_1 * e_i = (a_1 - \alpha)e_i, \quad e_i * e_j = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

If $a_1 = 0$, then $c = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i \neq 0$. Without losing generality, we suppose $a_2 \neq 0$. Let

$$e'_1 = -\frac{1}{\alpha}e_1, \quad e'_2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2}c, \quad e'_j = e_j, \quad j = 3, \dots, n,$$

then under the new basis, we can get Eq. (3.2).

If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_1 \neq \alpha$, then let

$$e'_1 = \frac{1}{a_1 - \alpha}e_1 + \frac{1}{(a_1 - \alpha)a_1} \sum_{i=2}^n a_i e_i, \quad e'_j = e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence under the new basis, we have

$$e'_1 * e'_1 = \frac{2a_1 - \alpha}{a_1 - \alpha}e'_1, \quad e'_1 * e'_i = e'_i, \quad e'_i * e'_j = 0, \quad i = 2, \dots, n, j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Set $\lambda = \frac{2a_1 - \alpha}{a_1 - \alpha}$ which gives Eq. (3.3). Notice that $\lambda \neq 1, 2$ since $a_1 \neq 0, a_1 \neq \alpha$ and $\alpha \neq 0$. \square

As the same as the proof of Eq. (3.2), we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.3. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (6) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then A is isomorphic to*

$$A_{(6)} = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1 e_1 = e_1 + e_2, e_j e_1 = e_j, j = 2, \dots, n \rangle. \quad (3.4)$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (7) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Then A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras:*

$$A_{(7)}^\alpha = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1 e_1 = \alpha e_1, e_1 e_j = e_j, e_j e_1 = \alpha e_j, j = 2, \dots, n \rangle, \quad \alpha \neq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Without losing generality, we can choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $e_1 = c$ and $f(e_2) = \dots = f(e_n) = 0$. Hence

$$e_1 * e_1 = \alpha f(e_1)e_1, \quad e_1 * e_j = f(e_1)e_j, \quad e_j * e_1 = \alpha f(e_1)e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

The conclusion follows by the basis transformation

$$e'_1 = \frac{1}{f(e_1)}e_1, \quad e'_j = e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n. \quad \square$$

In order to classify the left-symmetric algebras in other cases, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over \mathbf{C} . Let $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$ as the direct sum of two subspaces and A_1 be a subalgebra. Assume that, for every $x \in A_1$, L_x and R_x acts on A_2 is zero or Id. If there exists a non-zero vector $v \in A_1$ such that for any two vectors $x, y \in A_2$, $xy = yx \in \mathbf{C}v$, then the classification of the algebraic operation in A_2 (without changing other products) is given by the classification of symmetric bilinear forms on a n -dimensional vector space over \mathbf{C} , where $n = \dim A_2$. That is, there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A_2 such that the classification is given as follows: A_2 is trivial or for every $k = 1, \dots, n$:*

$$e_i e_j = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij} v, & i, j = 1, \dots, k; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. From the assumption, there exists a symmetric bilinear form $f : A_2 \times A_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$xy = f(x, y)v, \quad \forall x, y \in A_2.$$

Moreover, any linear transformation of A_2 does not change the operation relations between A_1 and A_2 , hence the whole algebra $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$. Thus the classification of A_2 is decided completely by the classification of symmetric bilinear forms on a vector space in dimension $\dim A_2$. Therefore there exists a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ in A_2 such that the matrix $(f(e_i, e_j))$ is zero or a diagonal matrix with the first k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) elements are 1 and the others are zero on the diagonal, which gives Eq. (3.6). It is easy to show that for different k , the algebras are not mutually isomorphic. \square

Proposition 3.6. *The classification of left-symmetric algebras in the case (1) with dimension $n \geq 2$ is equivalent to the classification of symmetric bilinear forms on a $(n - 1)$ -dimensional vector space. The classification is given as follows: for every $k = 0, \dots, n - 1$,*

$$A_{(1)}^{(k)} = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_j e_j = e_1, j = 2, \dots, k + 1 \rangle. \quad (3.7)$$

Proof. Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (1) with dimension $n \geq 2$. We can choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $e_1 = c$. Thus we have

$$e_1 * e_1 = e_1 * e_j = e_j * e_1 = 0, \quad e_j * e_k = h(e_j, e_k)e_1, \quad j, k = 2, \dots, n.$$

Let A_1 be a subspace spanned by e_1 and A_2 be a subspace spanned by e_2, \dots, e_n . Then by Lemma 3.5, the proposition holds. \square

Proposition 3.7. *The classification of left-symmetric algebras in the case (3) with dimension $n \geq 2$ is given by the following matrices ($F = (h(e_i, e_j))$), where $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis):*

$$F_1 = I, \quad F_2^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad F_3^{(k)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & A^{(k)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

where $A^{(k)} = \text{diag}(1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$ is a $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ diagonal matrix with the first k elements are 1 and the others are zero on the diagonal, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2$. The corresponding left-symmetric algebras are

$$A_{(3)}^1 = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1e_1 = 2e_1, e_1e_j = e_j, e_je_1 = e_1, j = 2, \dots, n \rangle; \quad (3.9)$$

$$A_{(3)}^{(k),2} = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1e_1 = 2e_1, e_1e_j = e_j, e_je_1 = e_1, j = 2, \dots, n, \\ l = 3, \dots, k+2 \rangle; \quad (3.10)$$

$$A_{(3)}^{(k),3} = \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_1e_2 = e_1, e_2e_1 = 2e_1, e_2e_2 = e_2, e_2e_j = e_j, e_je_1 = e_1, \\ j = 3, \dots, n, l = 3, \dots, k+2 \rangle. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in the case (3) with dimension $n \geq 2$. Without losing generality, we can assume $c = e_1$. At first we consider the case $h(e_1, e_1) \neq 0$. Thus we can choose e_2, \dots, e_n such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis and $h(e_1, e_j) = 0, j = 2, \dots, n$. Set $h_{ij} = h(e_i, e_j)$. Therefore the product of A is given by

$$e_1 * e_1 = 2h_{11}e_1, \quad e_1 * e_j = h_{11}e_j, \quad e_j * e_1 = 0, \\ e_j * e_l = h_{jl}e_1, \quad j, l = 2, \dots, n.$$

Moreover, we can assume $h_{11} = 1$ by letting

$$e'_1 = \frac{1}{h_{11}}e_1, \quad e'_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{h_{11}}}e_j, \quad j = 2, \dots, n.$$

Let $A_1 = \mathbf{C}e_1$ and A_2 be a subspace spanned by e_2, \dots, e_n , then from Lemma 3.5, we know the classification of above algebras is just given by the matrix F_1 and $F_2^{(k)}$, respectively, which corresponds to the left-symmetric algebra given by Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), respectively.

Next assume $h(c, c) = h(e_1, e_1) = 0$. Since there exists an element $u \in A$ such that $h(u, c) \neq 0$, we can let $u = e_2$. Then we can choose e_3, \dots, e_n such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is a basis and $h(e_1, e_j) = 0, j = 1, 3, \dots, n$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 * e_1 &= 0, & e_1 * e_2 &= h_{12}e_1, & e_2 * e_1 &= 2h_{12}e_1, \\ e_2 * e_2 &= h_{12}e_2 + h_{22}e_1, & e_j * e_1 &= e_1 * e_j = 0, & e_2 * e_j &= h_{12}e_j + h_{2j}e_1, \\ e_j * e_2 &= h_{2j}e_1, & e_j * e_l &= h_{jl}e_1, & j, l &= 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$e'_1 = e_1, \quad e'_2 = \frac{1}{h_{12}}e_2 - \frac{h_{22}}{2h_{12}}e_1, \quad e'_j = e_j - \frac{h_{2j}}{h_{12}^2}e_1, \quad j = 3, \dots, n.$$

Under the new basis, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e'_1 * e'_1 &= 0, & e'_1 * e'_2 &= e'_1, & e'_2 * e'_1 &= 2e'_1, & e'_2 * e'_2 &= e'_2, \\ e'_j * e'_1 &= e'_1 * e'_j = 0, & e'_2 * e'_j &= e'_j, & e'_j * e'_2 &= 0, \\ e'_j * e'_l &= h_{jl}e'_1, & j, l &= 3, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Let A_1 be a subspace spanned by e_1, e_2 and A_2 be a subspace spanned by e_3, \dots, e_n , then from Lemma 3.5, we know the classification of above algebras is just given by the matrix $F_3^{(k)}$, which corresponds to the left-symmetric algebra given by Eq. (3.11). \square

As the same as the proof of the case $A_{(3)}^{(k),3}$ in above proposition, we have

Proposition 3.8. *The classification of left-symmetric algebras in the case (5) with dimension $n \geq 2$ is given by the matrix $F_3^{(k)}$. The corresponding left-symmetric algebras is $(k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2)$*

$$\begin{aligned} A_{(5)}^{(k)} &= \langle e_i, i = 1, \dots, n \mid e_2e_1 = -e_1, e_2e_2 = e_2, e_je_2 = e_j, e_1e_l = e_1, \\ &3 \leq j \leq n, 3 \leq l \leq k + 2 \rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

Corollary 3.9. *Let A be a left-symmetric algebra in dimension $n \geq 2$ given in Theorem 2.5. If the bilinear function h is non-degenerate, then A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras: $A_{(3)}^1; A_{(3)}^{(n-2),3}; A_{(5)}^{(n-2)}$.*

Theorem 3.10. *When the dimension $n = 2$, the left-symmetric algebras given in Theorem 2.5 are not (mutually) isomorphic except for*

$$A_{(3)}^{(0),3} \sim A_{(7)}^{1/2}, \quad A_{(5)}^{(0)} \sim A_{(4)}^{-1}. \tag{3.13}$$

Moreover, with the associative algebras given in Corollary 2.2 together, they include all two-dimensional non-commutative left-symmetric algebras.

Proof. Comparing the classification of two-dimensional left-symmetric algebras given in [1] or [8], the conclusion follows immediately. Notice that $A_{(3)}^{(0),3}$ is isomorphic to $A_{(7)}^{1/2}$ by $e_1 \rightarrow e_2, e_2 \rightarrow 2e_1$ and $A_{(5)}^{(0)}$ is isomorphic to $A_{(4)}^{-1}$ by $e_1 \rightarrow e_2, e_2 \rightarrow -e_1$, which the order of e_1, e_2 is changed respectively. \square

Remark 3. Obviously, some commutative associative algebras such as the direct sum of two fields $\mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$ are not included in above algebras. Moreover, we would like to point out that the above conclusion is not obvious since for a general algebra, the “linear” construction like in this paper has certain restriction conditions for the corresponding structure constants, which could not contain all (non-trivial) examples.

Corollary 3.11. *When $n > 2$, the left-symmetric algebras given in Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.2 are not mutually isomorphic.*

Proof. It is easy to see that when $n > 2$, $A_{(3)}^{(k),3}$ is not isomorphic to $A_{(7)}^{1/2}$ and $A_{(5)}^{(k)}$ is not isomorphic to $A_{(4)}^{-1}$. With the special roles of e_1, e_2 in the algebraic operation and similar to the classification of two-dimensional left-symmetric algebras in [1] or [8], the conclusion follows by a straightforward analysis. \square

4. Further discussion

In this section, we discuss some properties of the algebras given in the previous sections and certain application in mathematical physics.

Theorem 4.1. *The left-symmetric algebras given by Eq. (1.3) are isomorphic to the left-symmetric algebra $A_{(3)}^1$. Moreover, it is a simple left-symmetric algebra, that is, it has no ideals except itself and zero.*

Proof. The first half of the above conclusion follows directly from the proof of Proposition 3.7 and the fact that for every $c \neq 0$, $h(c, c) \neq 0$ since h is the ordinary scalar product. The simplicity of the algebra is proved in [8]. \square

Remark 4. The simple left-symmetric algebra $A_3^{(1)}$ is firstly constructed in [8]. In certain sense, our re-construction gives it an interesting (geometric) interpretation.

Due to Corollary 2.7, we have

Corollary 4.2. *The scalar product appearing in Eq. (1.3) is invariant under the sense of Eq. (2.10).*

Corollary 4.3. *The (generalized) Burgers Eq. (1.4) is just the following equation:*

$$\begin{aligned}
 u_t^1 &= u_{xx}^1 + 4u^1 u_x^1 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^n u^j u_x^j; \\
 u_t^k &= u_{xx}^k + 2u^1 u_x^k - u^1 u^1 u^k - u^k u^k u^k, \quad k = 2, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let C_{ij}^k be the structure constants. Hence Eq. (1.4) gives

$$u_t^i = u_{xx}^i + 2 \sum_{j,k=1}^n C_{jk}^i u^j u_x^k + \sum_{k,j,l,m=1}^n (C_{ml}^i C_{kj}^l - C_{kj}^l C_{lm}^i) u^k u^j u^m.$$

For the left-symmetric algebra $A_{(3)}^1$, the non-zero structure constants are $C_{11}^1 = 2, C_{jj}^1 = 1, C_{1j}^j = 1, j = 2, \dots, n$. Hence Eq. (4.1) follows. \square

Besides the simple left-symmetric algebra $A_{(3)}^1$, there are some other algebras appearing in Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.2 satisfying certain additional (interesting) conditions, which play important roles in the study of left-symmetric algebras.

Definition. Let A be left-symmetric algebra.

- (1) If for every $x \in A, R_x$ is nilpotent, then A is said to be transitive or complete. The transitivity corresponds to the completeness of the affine manifolds in geometry [7,13, 19].
- (2) If for every $x \in A, L_x$ is an interior derivation of the sub-adjacent Lie algebra of A , then A is said to be an interior derivation algebra. Such a structure corresponds to a flat left-invariant connection adapted to the interior automorphism structure of a Lie group [19].
- (3) If for every $x, y \in A, R_x R_y = R_y R_x$, then A is said to be a Novikov algebra. It was introduced in connection with the Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type and Hamiltonian operators in the formal variational calculus [6,11].
- (4) If for every $x, y, z \in A$, the associator (x, y, z) is right-symmetric, that is, $(x, y, z) = (x, z, y)$, then A is said to be bi-symmetric. It is just the assosymmetric ring in the study of near-associative algebras [2,14].

By direct computation, we have

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be a left-symmetric constructed from Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.2.*

- (1) A is associative if and only if A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras: the associative algebras given in Corollary 2.2; $A_{(1)}^{(k)}, A_{(2)}, A_{(7)}^1$.
- (2) A is transitive if and only if A is trivial or A is isomorphic to $A_{(1)}^{(k)}$ or $A_{(4)}^0$.

- (3) Besides the commutative cases, A is an interior derivation algebra if and only if A is isomorphic to $A_{(4)}^0$. Moreover, $A_{(4)}^0$ is the unique left-symmetric interior derivation algebra on the Lie algebra given by Eq. (2.4) (cf. [19]).
- (4) Besides the commutative cases, A is a Novikov algebra if and only if A is isomorphic to one of the following algebras: the associative algebra in the case (2) of Corollary 2.2; $A_{(4)}^0$; $A_{(6)}$; $A_{(7)}^\alpha$; $A_{(3)}^{(k),3}$.
- (5) Besides the associative cases, A is bi-symmetric if and only if A is isomorphic to $A_{(4)}^1$ or $A_{(6)}$.

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be a left-symmetric constructed from Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.2. Then A with dimension $n > 2$ is associative (or transitive, or bi-symmetric, or an interior derivation algebra, or a Novikov algebra) if and only if A has such an additional structure when its dimension $n = 2$. Hence, the construction in this paper can be regarded as generalization (not extension!) of certain two-dimensional left-symmetric algebras.*

At the end of this paper, we give an application of the results in this paper to integrable systems. Recall that a linear transformation R on a Lie algebra \mathcal{G} is called a classical r -matrix if R satisfies

$$[R(x), R(y)] = R([R(x), y] + [x, R(y)]), \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{G}. \quad (4.2)$$

It corresponds to a solution of classical Yang–Baxter equation [12,18]. Moreover, if R satisfies the above equation, then

$$x * y = [R(x), y], \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{G}, \quad (4.3)$$

defines a left-symmetric algebra on \mathcal{G} . Two classical r -matrices are said to be equivalent if their corresponding left-symmetric algebras are isomorphic.

Corollary 4.6. *For the Lie algebra A given by Eq. (2.4), there is only one non-zero classical r -matrix under the sense of equivalence such that A is the sub-adjacent Lie algebra of the left-symmetric algebra given by Eq. (4.3), which R is given by*

$$R(e_1) = e_1, \quad R(e_j) = 0, \quad j = 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.4)$$

The corresponding left-symmetric algebra given by Eq. (4.3) is isomorphic to $A_{(4)}^0$.

Proof. Let R satisfy Eq. (4.2). Hence by Eq. (4.3), we know that for every $x \in A$, $L_x = \text{ad } R(x)$, where ad is the adjoint operator of Lie algebra. Hence L_x is an interior derivation of the Lie algebra A . Thus the left-symmetric algebra defined by Eq. (4.3) is an interior derivation algebra. Therefore the conclusion follows from (3) in Proposition 4.4. \square

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Professor I.M. Gel'fand, Professor B.A. Kupershmidt, and Professor P. Etingof for useful suggestion and great encouragement. The author also thanks Professor J. Lepowsky, Professor Y.Z. Huang, and Professor H.S. Li for the hospitality extended to him during his stay at Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey and for valuable discussions. This work was supported in part by S.S. Chern Foundation for Mathematical Research, the National Natural Science Foundation of China (10201015), Mathematics Tianyuan Foundation (10126003), K.C. Wong Education Foundation.

References

- [1] C.M. Bai, D.J. Meng, The classification of left-symmetric algebra in dimension 2, *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 23 (1996) 2207 (in Chinese).
- [2] C.M. Bai, D.J. Meng, The structure of bi-symmetric algebras and their sub-adjacent Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* 28 (2000) 2717–2734.
- [3] C.M. Bai, D.J. Meng, On the realization of transitive Novikov algebras, *J. Phys. A* 34 (2001) 3363–3372.
- [4] C.M. Bai, D.J. Meng, The realizations of non-transitive Novikov algebras, *J. Phys. A* 34 (2001) 6435–6442.
- [5] C.M. Bai, D.J. Meng, A Lie algebraic approach to Novikov algebras, *J. Geom. Phys.* 45 (2003) 218–230.
- [6] A.A. Balinskii, S.P. Novikov, Poisson brackets of hydrodynamic type, Frobenius algebras and Lie algebras, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 32 (1985) 228–231.
- [7] D. Burde, Affine structures on nilmanifolds, *Internat. J. Math.* 7 (1996) 599–616.
- [8] D. Burde, Simple left-symmetric algebras with solvable Lie algebra, *Manuscripta Math.* 95 (1998) 397–411.
- [9] P. Etingof, A. Soloviev, Quantization of geometric classical r -matrix, *Math. Res. Lett.* 6 (1999) 223–228.
- [10] P. Etingof, T. Schedler, A. Soloviev, Set-theoretical solutions to the quantum Yang–Baxter equations, *Duke Math. J.* 100 (1999) 169–209.
- [11] I.M. Gel'fand, I.Ya. Dorfman, Hamiltonian operators and algebraic structures related to them, *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 13 (1979) 248–262.
- [12] I.Z. Golubschik, V.V. Sokolov, Generalized operator Yang–Baxter equations, integrable ODES and nonassociative algebras, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 7 (2000) 184–197.
- [13] H. Kim, Complete left-invariant affine structures on nilpotent Lie groups, *J. Differential Geom.* 24 (1986) 373–394.
- [14] E. Kleinfeld, Assosymmetric rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957) 983–986.
- [15] B.A. Kupershmidt, Non-abelian phase spaces, *J. Phys. A* 27 (1994) 2801–2810.
- [16] B.A. Kupershmidt, Quantum differential forms, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 5 (1998) 245–288.
- [17] B.A. Kupershmidt, On the nature of the Virasoro algebra, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6 (1999) 222–245.
- [18] B.A. Kupershmidt, What a classical r -matrix really is, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.* 6 (1999) 448–488.
- [19] A. Medina, Flat left-invariant connections adapted to the automorphism structure of a Lie group, *J. Differential Geom.* 16 (1981) 445–474.
- [20] S.I. Svinolupov, On the analogues of the Burgers equation, *Phys. Lett. A* 135 (1989) 32–36.
- [21] S.I. Svinolupov, V.V. Sokolov, Vector-matrix generalizations of classical integrable equations, *Theoret. Math. Phys.* 100 (1994) 959–962.
- [22] E.B. Vinberg, Convex homogeneous cones, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* 12 (1963) 340–403.